

Supplement

Update of EULAR recommendations for the treatment of systemic sclerosis

Otylia Kowal-Bielecka¹, Jaap Fransen², Jerome Avouac³, Mike Becker^{4,5}, Agnieszka Kulak¹, Yannick Allanore³, Oliver Distler⁵, Philip Clements⁶, Maurizio Cutolo⁷, Laszlo Czirjak⁸, Nemanja Damjanov⁹, Francesco del Galdo¹⁰, Christopher P. Denton¹¹, Jörg H.W. Distler¹², Ivan Foeldvari¹³, Kim Figelstone¹⁴, Marc Frerix¹⁵, Daniel E. Furst⁶, Serena Guiducci¹⁶, Nicolas Hunzelmann¹⁷, Dinesh Khanna¹⁸, Marco Matucci-Cerinic¹⁶, Ariane L. Herrick^{19,20}, Frank van den Hoogen², Jacob M. van Laar²¹, Gabriela Riemekasten²², Richard Silver²³, Vanessa Smith²⁴, Alberto Sulli⁷, Ingo Turner¹⁵, Alan Tyndall²⁵, Joep Welling²⁶, Frederic Wigley²⁷, Gabriele Valentini²⁸, Ulrich A. Walker²⁵, Francesco Zulian²⁹, Ulf Müller-Ladner¹⁵; EUSTAR Coauthors.

EUSTAR Collaborators (numerical order of centers)

Thomas Daikeler³⁰, Elisabetta Lanciano³¹, Radim Bečvář³², Michal Tomcik³², Ewa Gińdzieńska-Sieśkiewicz¹, Giovanna Cuomo³³; Michele Iudici³³, Simona Rednic³⁴, Panayiotis G Vlachoyiannopoulos³⁵, Roberto Caporali³⁶, Patricia E. Carreira³⁷, Srdan Novak³⁸; Tünde Minier⁸, Eugene J. Kucharz³⁹, Armando Gabrielli⁴⁰, Gianluca Moroncini⁴⁰, Paolo Airo' ⁴¹, Roger Hesselstrand⁴², Duska Martinovic⁴³, Mislav Radić⁴³, Daniela Marasovic-Krstulovic⁴³, Yolanda Braun-Moscovici⁴⁴, Alexandra Balbir-Gurman⁴⁴, Andrea Lo Monaco⁴⁵, Paola Caramaschi⁴⁶, Jadranka Morović-Vergles⁴⁷, Melanie I. Čulo⁴⁷, Jörg Henes⁴⁸, Vera Ortiz Santamaria⁴⁹, Stefan Heitmann⁵⁰, 51Dorota Krasowska⁵¹, Małgorzata Michalska-Jakubus⁵¹, Matthias F. Seidel⁵², Paul Hasler⁵³, José A. Pereira Da Silva⁵⁴, Maria J.

Salvador⁵⁴, Bojana Stamenkovic⁵⁵, Aleksandra Stankovic⁵⁵, Mohammed Tikly⁵⁶, Lidia P Ananieva⁵⁷, Lorenzo Beretta ⁵⁸, Gabriella Szucs⁵⁹, Szilvia Szamosi⁵⁹, Carlos de la Puente Bujidos⁶⁰, Øyvind Midtvedt⁶¹, Anna-Maria Hoffmann-Vold⁶¹, David Launay⁶², Eric Hachulla⁶³, Valeria Riccieri⁶⁴, Ruxandra Ionescu⁶⁵, Daniela Opris⁶⁵, Carina Mihai⁶⁶, Ilka Herrgott⁶⁷, Christian Beyer⁶⁸, Francesca Ingegnoli⁶⁹, Carlos Alberto von Mühlen⁷⁰, Juan José Alegre-Sancho⁷¹, Emma Beltrán-Catalán⁷¹, Martin Aringer⁷², Julia Fantana⁷², Nicolai Leuchten⁷², Anne-Kathrin Tausche⁷², Ellen De Langhe⁷³, Marie Vanthuyne⁷⁴, Branimir Anic⁷⁵, Marko Barešić⁷⁵, Miroslav Mayer⁷⁵, Maria Üprus⁷⁶, Kati Otsa⁷⁶, Sule Yavuz⁷⁷, Brigitte Granel⁷⁸, Valderilio F. Azevedo⁷⁹, Carolina Muller⁷⁹, Sergio A Jimenez⁸⁰, Serghei Popa⁸¹, Svetlana Agachi⁸¹, Thierry Zenone⁸², Simon Stebbings⁸³, Joanne Dockerty⁸³, Alessandra Vacca⁸⁴, Joanna Schollum⁸⁵, Douglas J. Veale⁸⁶, Sergio Toloza⁸⁷, Dong Xu⁸⁸, Jacek Olas⁸⁹, Edoardo Rosato⁹⁰, Rosario Foti⁹¹, Sabine Adler⁹², Diana Dan⁹², Ewa Wiesik-Szewczyk⁹³, Marzena Olesińska⁹³, Cristiane Kayser⁹⁴, Nihal Fathi⁹⁵, Paloma García de la Peña Lefebvre⁹⁶, Bernard Imbert⁹⁷,

1. Department of Rheumatology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland
2. Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
3. Rheumatology A Department, Cochin Hospital, Paris Descartes University, Paris, France.
4. University Hospital Charité, Berlin, Germany.
5. University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
6. University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA.

7. Research Laboratories and Clinical Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Genova, IRCCS AOU San Martino, Genova, Italy.
8. Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Medical Center, University of Pecs, Pecs, Hungary.
9. University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
10. University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
11. University College London, London, UK.
12. University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany.
13. Hamburg Centre for Pediatric and Adolescence Rheumatology, Hamburg, Germany
14. FESCA, London, UK
15. University of Giessen, Bad Nauheim, Germany.
16. University of Florence, Florence, Italy.
17. University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.
18. University of Michigan School of Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.
19. University of Manchester, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom.
20. NIHR Manchester Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Central Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom.
21. Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands
22. University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany.
23. Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, USA.
24. Ghent University Hospital, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
25. Basel University, Basel, Switzerland

26. FESCA Patient Research Partner, Netherlands
27. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA
28. Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy.
29. University of Padua, Padua, Italy
- 30Rheumatology, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland,
- 31Rheumatology Unit-DiMIMP School of Medicine University of Bari, Bari, Italy;
- 32Institute of Rheumatology, 1st Medical School, Charles University, Praha, Czech Republic;
- 33Dipartimento Medicina Clinica e Sperimentale "F-Magrassi" Il Policlinico U.O. Reumatologia, Napoli, Italy;
- 34Clinica Reumatologie, University of Medicine & Pharmacy "Iuliu Hatieganu" Cluj, Cluj-Napoca, Romania;
- 35Department of Pathophysiology Medical School, National University of Athens, Athens, Greece;
- 36Unita' Operativa e Cattedra di Reumatologia, IRCCS Policlinico S Matteo, Pavia, Italy;
- 37Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain;
- 38Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Internal Medicine, KBC Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia;
- 39Department of Internal Medicine and Rheumatology, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland;
- 40Dipartimento di Scienze Cliniche e Molecolari, Clinica Medica, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy;
- 41UO Reumatologia ed Immunologia Clinica, Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy;
- 42Department of Rheumatology, Lund University Hospital, Lund, Sweden;

- 43Department of Internal Medicine, Clinical Hospital of Split, Split, Croatia;
- 44B Shine Department of Rheumatology, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel;
- 45Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Rheumatology Unit, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy;
- 46Rheumatology Unit, AOUI, Verona, Italy;
- 47Division of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine University of Zagreb, Dubrava University Hospital, Zagreb, Croatia;
- 48Medizinische Universitätsklinik, Abt. II (Onkologie, Hämatologie, Rheumatologie, Immunologie, Pulmonologie), Tübingen, Germany;
- 49Rheumatology Granollers General Hospital, Barcelona, Spain;
- 50Department of Rheumatology, Marienhospital Stuttgart, Germany;
- 51Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Pediatric Dermatology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland;
- 52Medizinische Klinik III, Oncology, Hematology and Rheumatology, Bonn, Germany;
- 53Klinik für Rheumatologie, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, Switzerland;
- 54Rheumatology Department, Hospitais da Universidade Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal;
- 55Institute for treatment and rehabilitation Niska Banja, Medical School, University of Nis, Serbia;
- 56Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine Chris Hani Baragwanath, Hospital and University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa;
- 57VA Nasonova Institute of Rheumatology, Moscow, Russian Federation;

58Scleroderma Unit, Referral Center for Systemic Autoimmune Disease, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico di Milano, Milan, Italy;

59Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary;

60Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Ramon Y Cajal, Madrid, Spain;

61Department of Rheumatology, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway;

62University Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U995, Centre national de référence maladies systémiques et auto-immunes rares (sclérodémie systémique), Lille, France;

63Department of Internal Medicine, Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille, France;

64Dipartimento di Medicina Interna e Specialità Mediche, Università Sapienza, Roma, Italy;

65Department of Rheumatology - St. Maria Hospital, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania;

66Department of Internal Medicine and Rheumatology Clinic, Ion Cantacuzino Clinical Hospital, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania;

67Department of Dermatology University of Münster, Münster, Germany;

68Department of Internal Medicine 3, University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany;

69Division of Rheumatology, Istituto Gaetano Pini, Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy;

70Rheuma Clinic, Porto Alegre, Brazil;

71Hospital Universitario Dr Peset, Valencia, Spain;

72Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine III, University Medical Center Carl Gustav Carus TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany;

73Skeletal Biology and Engineering Research Center, Department of Development and Regeneration KU Leuven; Rheumatology, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium;

74Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Rheumatology Department, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium;

75University Hospital Centre Zagreb Division of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology Department of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia;

76East-Tallin Central Hospital, Department of Rheumatology, Tallin, Estonia;

77University of Marmara, Department of Rheumatology, Istanbul Bilim University, Altunizade-Istanbul, Turkey;

78Service de Médecine Interne, Hôpital Nord de Marseille, Marseille, France;

79Hospital de Clínicas da Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba - Paraná, Brasil;

80Scleroderma Center, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, USA;

81Department of Rheumatology, State University of Medicine and Pharmacy “Nicolae Testemitanu”, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova;

82Department of Medicine, Unit of Internal Medicine, Valence, France;

83Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand;

84II Chair of Rheumatology, University of Cagliari-Policlinico Universitario, Monserrato, Italy;

85Waikato University Hospital Rheumatology Unit, Hamilton, New Zealand;

86Department of Rheumatology, St. Vincent's University Hospital and University College Dublin, Ireland;

87Hospital San Juan Batista, Catamarca, Argentina;

88Department of Rheumatology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (West Campus), Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China;

89Malopolskie Centrum Reumatologii, Immunologii i Rehabilitacji. Cracow, Poland;

90Centro per la Sclerosi Sistemica - Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica, Università La Sapienza, Policlinico Umberto I, Roma, Italy;

91U.O. di Reumatologia, A.O.U. Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele, Catania, Italy;

92Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology/Allergology Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland;

93Department of Connective Tissue Disease, National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Warsaw, Poland;

94Universidade Federal de São Paulo - Disciplina de Reumatologia, São Paulo, Brasil;

95Assiut and Sohage University Hospital, Rheumatology Department Assiut University Hospital, Assiut, Egypt;

96Hospital Universitario Madrid Norte Sanchinarro, Madrid, Spain;

97Vascular Medicine Unit - Department of Medicine, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, Grenoble, France

Correspondence to:

Otylia Kowal-Bielecka, MD

Department of Rheumatology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Bialystok

Ul. M. Skłodowskiej-Curie 24A, 15-276 Bialystok, Poland

Tel. +48 85 7468482, Fax. +48 85 7468606, E-mail: otylia.bielecka@gmail.com

SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Selection process of clinical questions: web-based Delphi exercise

Each participant had received an e-mail invitation allowing personalised access to a web-based questionnaire (SurveyMonkey ®). Reminders were sent to non-responders after 1 and 2 weeks. Participants rated the appropriateness of each clinical question for systemic sclerosis (SSc) treatment recommendations on a scale of 1 (not appropriate at all) to 9 (very appropriate). Clinical questions were accepted if over 50% of participants scored 7-9, with less than 30% scoring 1-3 (no disagreement). Questions with over 50% of scores between 1-3 were rejected if less than 30% scored 7-9 (no disagreement). Questions with a median appropriateness score 4-6 and questions with higher or lower medians having disagreement were rated 'ambiguous' and subjected to a next Delphi round. Participants also could submit new clinical questions. The remaining 'ambiguous' questions and new clinical questions were submitted to the participants, with provision of previous scores, in two next rounds. Participants were only included in rounds 2 and 3 if they had responded in round 1.

Table S1. List of research questions accepted for systematic literature research during the first recommendation meeting: Paris June 10-11th, 2014

I. <i>Corticosteroids</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do corticosteroids have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc? 2. Do corticosteroids contribute to the development of scleroderma renal crisis?
II. <i>Cyclophosphamide</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is cyclophosphamide beneficial in interstitial lung disease in SSc? 2. Does cyclophosphamide have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc?
III. <i>Mycophenolate mofetil</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does mycophenolate mofetil have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc? 2. Does mycophenolate mofetil have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc?
IV. <i>Methotrexate</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does methotrexate have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc? 2. Does methotrexate have beneficial effects on musculoskeletal involvement (arthritis, myositis, tendinitis, contractures) in SSc? 3. Which are effects of methotrexate on interstitial lung disease in SSc?
V. <i>Other immunosuppressive drugs</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does azathioprine have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc?
VI. <i>Hematopoietic stem cells transplantation</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does hematopoietic stem cell transplantation have beneficial effects on survival, event-free survival, skin fibrosis, interstitial lung disease, and patient reported outcomes in SSc?
VII. <i>Anti-CD20 therapy (Rituximab)</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do anti-CD20 therapies (rituximab) have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc? 2. Do anti-CD20 therapies (rituximab) have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc?
VIII. <i>TNF antagonists</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do TNF antagonists have beneficial effects on arthritis in SSc?
IX. <i>Tocilizumab</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does tocilizumab have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc? 2. Does tocilizumab have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc? 3. Does tocilizumab have beneficial effects on musculoskeletal involvement (arthritis, myositis, tendinitis, contractures) in SSc?
X. <i>Calcium channel blockers</i>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do calcium channel blockers have beneficial effects on Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc? 2. Do calcium channel blockers have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc?
XI. <i>ACE-inhibitors</i>

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do ACE-inhibitors prevent scleroderma renal crisis? 2. Do ACE-inhibitors improve scleroderma renal crisis?
XII. Angiotensin receptor antagonist (Sartans)
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do sartans prevent scleroderma renal crisis? 2. Do sartans improve scleroderma renal crisis?
XIII. Statins
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do statins prevent digital ulcers in SSc? 2. Do statins heal digital ulcers in SSc?
XIV. Prostacyclins
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do prostacyclin analogues have beneficial effects on Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc? 2. Do prostacyclin analogues have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc? 3. Do prostacyclin analogues have beneficial effects on pulmonary arterial hypertension in SSc? 4. Do prostacyclin analogues improve scleroderma renal crisis in SSc?
XV. Endothelin receptor antagonists
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do endothelin receptor antagonists have beneficial effects on Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc? 2. Do endothelin receptor antagonists have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc? 3. Do endothelin receptor antagonists have beneficial effects on pulmonary arterial hypertension in SSc?
XVI. Selective PDE-5 inhibitors
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do selective PDE-5 inhibitors have beneficial effects on Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc? 2. Do selective PDE-5 inhibitors have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc? 3. Do selective PDE-5 inhibitors have beneficial effects on pulmonary arterial hypertension in SSc? 4. Do selective PDE-5 inhibitors have beneficial effects on erectile dysfunction in SSc?
XVII. Anti-platelet aggregant/ anti-coagulant
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do anti-platelet drugs have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc?
XVIII. Proton Pump Inhibitors
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do proton pump inhibitors have beneficial effects on esophageal involvement in SSc?
XIX. Prokinetic agents
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do prokinetic drugs have beneficial effects on gastrointestinal involvement in SSc?
XX. Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do NSAIDs increase the risk of scleroderma renal crisis in SSc?
XXI. Antibiotics
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do antibiotics have beneficial effects on small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in SSc?
XXII. Other treatments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does hydroxychloroquine have beneficial effects on arthritis in SSc? 2. Does fluoxetine have beneficial effects on Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc? 3. Does riociguat have beneficial effects on pulmonary arterial hypertension in SSc?
XXIII. General questions

1. Does local wound care have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc?
2. Does lymph drainage have beneficial effects on hand function in systemic sclerosis?

ACE=angiotensin converting enzyme, PDE=phosphodiesterase, SSc=systemic sclerosis.

Systematic literature search

Table S2. Combination of keywords, used for each question, to perform the systematic literature search.

Category	Combination of keywords
CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS	“(calcium channel blockers OR Nitrendipine OR Nisoldipine OR Nimodipine OR Nifedipine OR Nicardipine OR Isradipine OR Felodipine OR Amlodipine OR Dihydropyridines OR verapamil OR diltiazem) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
PROSTACYCLINS	“(prostanoids OR iloprost OR beraprost OR cisaprost OR treprostenil OR epoprostenol) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
ENDOTHELIN RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS	“(endothelin receptor antagonists OR ambrisentan OR bosentan OR macitentan) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
SELECTIVE PDE-5 INHIBITORS	“(phosphodiesterase Inhibitors OR sildenafil OR tadalafil OR vardenafil) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
ACE-INHIBITORS	“(ACE inhibitors OR Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
ANGIOTENSIN RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS (SARTANS)	“(Angiotensin receptor antagonists OR losartan) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
CORTICOSTEROIDS	“(steroids OR prednisolone OR prednisone OR methylprednisolone OR dexamethasone OR glucocorticoids) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
NON STEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS (NSAIDs)	“(NSAIDs OR Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs OR cyclooxygenase inhibitors OR COX inhibitors) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS TRANSPLANTATION	“(autologous stem cell transplantation OR stem cell transplantation) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	“(cyclophosphamide OR endoxan) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
MYCOPHENOLATE MOFETIL	“(mycophenolate mofetil OR mycophenolic acid OR CellCept AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”

METHOTREXATE	“methotrexate AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
OTHER IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE DRUGS	“(azathioprine OR imuran) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
ANTI-CD20 THERAPY (RITUXIMAB)	“(rituximab OR rituxan) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
TNFα ANTAGONISTS	“(TNF α inhibitors OR TNF α antagonists OR etanercept OR adalimumab OR infliximab, golimumab OR certolizumab) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
TOCILIZUMAB	“tocilizumab AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
STATINS	“(statins OR HMG CoA Reductase Inhibitors) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
ANTI-PLATELET AGGREGANT/ COAGULANT	ANTI- “(anti-platelet OR anticoagulants OR aspirin, acetylsalicylic OR dipyridamole OR warfarin) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
PROTON INHIBITORS	PUMP “proton pump inhibitors AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
PROKINETICS	“(prokinetic OR clebopride OR mosapride OR erythromycin OR octreotide OR misoprostol OR metoclopramide OR domperidone OR cisapride OR prucalopride) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
ANTIBIOTICS	“(antibiotics OR gastrointestinal OR malabsorption OR anorectal) AND systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
OTHER TREATMENTS	“fluoxetine AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)” “(ulcer OR wound OR gangrene) AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)” “riociguat AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”
GENERAL QUESTION	“lymph drainage AND (systemic sclerosis OR scleroderma OR CREST)”

ACE=angiotensin converting enzyme, COX= cyclooxygenase, CREST (syndrome)= calcinosis, Raynaud phenomenon, oesophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, and telangiectasia (syndrome), HGM CoA=Hydroxy-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A, PDE=phosphodiesterase, TNF=tumor necrosis factor.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

A study could be included if its design was a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), a systematic review, a RCT, a controlled trial, a case-control study, or

an uncontrolled trial/cohort study/case series. Cross sectional studies, case reports and case series with less than five patients with SSc were excluded.

Patients included in the study had to be adults with definite SSc, according to the ACR classification criteria, or as classified according to subtype as defined by LeRoy et al., or according to the ACR-EULAR classification criteria.[1-3] Studies concerning patients with other diagnoses, such as Raynaud phenomenon or pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), were only included if subgroup analysis of SSc patients was provided and the subgroups were larger than n=5.

Studies were only included if studying a treatment addressed in the clinical questions as selected, in any dose administered by any route.

Classifying and selecting evidence

For each clinical question, only the studies within the highest level of available evidence for each intervention were reviewed for critical appraisal and data extraction.[4-5] For intended effects, the most recent meta-analysis of RCTs (level Ia) was reviewed for each intervention if available, and any RCTs (level Ib) published since the meta-analysis was conducted were also considered. Else, studies within the next highest level of evidence were regarded. For unintended effects (toxicity), meta-analyses (level Ia), RCTs (level Ib) and non-randomized controlled studies (level 2) were always all considered.

Quality appraisal

The articles fulfilling the inclusion criteria underwent quality appraisal by AK or MB (supervised by JA) guided by JF, using a standard scoring sheet. For quality appraisal, a scale such as the Cochrane 'Risk of Bias' tool can be preferred.

However, as this was an update of the 2009 recommendations, we used the same criteria as used previously: the Jadad scale and an item for using intention-to-treat analysis.[6-8] The Jadad scale contains three questions: 1) Was the study described as randomized? 2) Was the study described as double blind? 3) Was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts? Each question has a yes (1) or no (0) response. For every clinical question, the quality appraisal of the single studies was summarized in a table, together with the extracted data.

Data extraction

Data were extracted using standard data extraction forms on the full reports, not blinded for authors and journal, by AK or MB, supervised by OKB and JA. Descriptive data included: first author, publication year, journal name and Impact Factor, participants' age and sex, definition of SSc, trial duration and design, type of treatment, type of comparator, information on dosage and route, number of patients in treatment and comparator groups, and the outcome measures used to assess efficacy. All reported outcomes were retrieved from all included studies. For continuous outcome data, such as the modified Rodnan skin score, mean (SD) of the within group changes were extracted and effect sizes were calculated whenever possible. For binary outcome data, such as response (yes/no), the proportions of patients with the outcome were calculated and the number-needed-to-treat (NNT) was calculated. If relevant, a number-needed-to-harm was calculated analogously, based on the number of discontinuations secondary to adverse events, the number of serious adverse events according to the FDA definition including the number of deaths.

SUPPLEMENTARY RESULTS

Number of studies identified by the systematic literature research

Literature search using combination of key words given in Table S2 identified altogether 8771 papers of which 3335 were papers found during search performed for the current update of the recommendations. Finally, after reading title, abstract or full text, 462 articles remained, were analyzed in detail and considered during second recommendation meeting, of which 181 were papers found during search performed for the current update of the recommendations.

Table S3. Comments of the expert committee concerning research questions that did not yield a formal recommendation because of lack of appropriate evidence

Research question	Comment from the expert committee
<i>Corticosteroids</i> 1. Do corticosteroids have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc (SSc-ILD)?	There is no evidence from RCTs that glucocorticoids have beneficial effect on SSc-ILD.
<i>Cyclophosphamide</i> 1. Does cyclophosphamide have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc?	Evidence from one RCT (Scleroderma Lung Study) and from prospective observational studies indicate that cyclophosphamide has a beneficial effect on skin in patients with diffuse SSc. The efficacy of cyclophosphamide for skin in diffuse SSc needs more evidence.
<i>Mycophenolate mofetil</i> 1. Does mycophenolate mofetil have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc? 2. Does mycophenolate mofetil have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc?	Evidence from retrospective and prospective observational studies and from case series indicate that mycophenolate mofetil has beneficial effect on skin and lung function in SSc. The efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil for skin and lung in SSc needs further evaluation in RCT. The results of a RCT comparing mycophenolate mofetil with cyclophosphamide in patients with SSc-ILD are awaited.
<i>Methotrexate</i> 1. Does methotrexate have beneficial effects on musculoskeletal involvement (arthritis, myositis, tendinitis, contractures) in SSc?	There is insufficient evidence to recommend methotrexate for musculoskeletal involvement in SSc patients. More evidence is needed.

2. Which are effects of methotrexate on interstitial lung disease in SSc?	There is insufficient evidence to either recommend or reject use of methotrexate in SSc-related interstitial lung disease
Other immunosuppressive drugs 1. Does azathioprine have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc?	There is insufficient evidence for the use of azathioprine in SSc-ILD
Anti-CD20 therapy (Rituximab) 1. Do anti-CD20 therapies (rituximab) have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc? 2. Do anti-CD20 therapies (rituximab) have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc?	Evidence from a pilot RCT, prospective open label studies and a case control study suggests beneficial effect on skin manifestations and ILD in SSc. More evidence is needed
TNF antagonists 1. Do TNF antagonists have beneficial effects on arthritis in SSc?	Evidence from retrospective studies suggest that TNF inhibitors may be beneficial in treatment of arthritis in SSc. More evidence is needed before general recommendations can be given.
Tocilizumab 1. Does tocilizumab have beneficial effects on skin fibrosis in SSc? 2. Does tocilizumab have beneficial effects on interstitial lung disease in SSc? 3. Does tocilizumab have beneficial effects on musculoskeletal involvement (arthritis, myositis, tendinitis, contractures) in SSc?	Evidence from small observational study indicates that tocilizumab might have beneficial effect on arthritis. The results of a RCT evaluating tocilizumab in patients with diffuse SSc are awaited.*
Calcium channel blockers 1. Do calcium channel blockers have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc?	Evidence from 2 small RCTs suggests that nifedipine may have beneficial effect for healing of digital ulcers. The efficacy of nifedipine and possibly other calcium channel blockers for treatment of digital ulcers in SSc should be further investigated in RCT.
ACE-inhibitors 1. Do ACE-inhibitors prevent scleroderma renal crisis?	There is no evidence that ACE inhibitors prevent scleroderma renal crisis.
Angiotensin receptor antagonist (Sartans) 1. Do sartans prevent scleroderma renal crisis? 2. Do sartans improve scleroderma renal crisis?	There is no evidence that sartans prevent scleroderma renal crisis. There is insufficient evidence that sartans improve scleroderma renal crisis.
Statins 1. Do statins prevent digital ulcers in SSc? 2. Do statins heal digital ulcers in SSc?	One RCT indicates that atorvastatin may prevent development of new digital ulcers in patients with SSc. There is insufficient evidence that statins improve healing of digital ulcers in SSc. Potential bias from co-medications cannot be excluded. The efficacy of statins in the treatment of

	digital ulcers in SSc needs further evaluation in RCT.
Prostacyclins 1. Do prostacyclin analogues improve scleroderma renal crisis in SSc?	There is insufficient evidence that prostacyclin analogues improve scleroderma renal crisis.
Endothelin receptor antagonists 1. Do endothelin receptor antagonists have beneficial effects on Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc?	There is insufficient evidence that endothelin receptor antagonists have beneficial effect on attacks of Raynaud's phenomenon in SSc.
Selective PDE-5 inhibitors 1. Do selective PDE-5 inhibitors have beneficial effects on erectile dysfunction in SSc?	Evidence from small open-label study suggests that tadalafil may improve erectile dysfunction in SSc. The efficacy of PDE-5 inhibitors in erectile dysfunction in SSc patients should be further evaluated in RCT
Anti-platelet agent/ anti-coagulant 1. Do anti-platelet drugs have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc?	There is insufficient evidence that anti-platelet drugs have beneficial effect on digital ulcers in SSc
Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) 1. Do NSAIDs increase the risk of scleroderma renal crisis in SSc?	There is no evidence that NSAIDs increase risk of scleroderma renal crisis. In view of well recognized renal toxicity of NSAIDs experts believe that NSAIDs should be used with caution in SSc patients at risk of scleroderma renal crisis.
Other treatments 1. Does hydroxychloroquine have beneficial effects on arthritis in SSc?	There is no evidence that hydroxychloroquine has beneficial effect on arthritis in SSc.
General questions 1. Does local wound care have beneficial effects on digital ulcers in SSc?	Evidence concerning efficacy of local wound care in SSc patients is scarce. Experts think that local wound care is important for treatment of digital ulcers in SSc patients.
2. Does lymph drainage have beneficial effects on hand function in systemic sclerosis?	Results of 2 open-label RCT indicate that connective tissue massage and manual lymphatic drainage improve hand function in SSc. Experts appreciate the role of non-pharmacological treatment in SSc. The efficacy of connective tissue massage and manual lymphatic drainage should be further investigated in RCT.

ACE=angiotensin converting enzyme, ILD=interstitial lung disease, PDE=phosphodiesterase, RCT=randomized controlled trial, SSc=systemic sclerosis, SSc-ILD=SSc-related interstitial lung disease, TNF-tumor necrosis factor.

*See also information included in table S4 addressing the results of RCT published after closure of the systematic review.

SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSION

Table S4. Double-blind, randomized clinical trials evaluating new therapies in SSc patients published since the end of the literature analyses

Reference	Inclusion criteria (Number of SSc patients)	Treatment	Main findings
Hachulla, E., et al., Ann Rheum Dis, 2015.	SSc patients with DUs (n=83)	Sildenafil p.o. 20 mg three times daily versus placebo for 12 weeks	<p>Primary endpoint: Non-significant trend toward reduction of time to healing for each DU in favor of sildenafil in ITT population (HR = 1.33; 95% CI 0.88 to 2.00; p=0.18) and in PPP population (1.49 95% CI 0.98 to 2.28, p=0.06)</p> <p>Secondary endpoints: Reduction of the mean number of DUs per patient in the sildenafil group compared with the placebo group at week 8 (1.23±1.61 vs 1.79±2.40, p=0.04) and week 12 (0.86±1.62 vs 1.51±2.68, p=0.01)</p> <p>No significant difference between sildenafil and placebo in other endpoints in ITT population</p>
Khanna D, et al. Lancet 2016	dSSc with mRSS 15-40, disease duration ≤ 5 years since first non-Raynaud's symptom and: documentation of progressive skin disease or tendon friction rub and elevated acute phase reactants (n=87)	Tocilizumab s.c. 162 mg weekly versus placebo for 48 weeks	<p>Primary endpoint: Non-significant trend toward skin score improvement in tocilizumab group compared with placebo at 24 weeks (mean treatment difference -2.70; 95% CI -5.85 to 0.45; p=0.0915) and 48 weeks (-3.55; 95% CI -7.23 to 0.12; p=0.0579)</p> <p>Secondary endpoints: Fewer patients in the tocilizumab group than in the placebo group had a decline in percent predicted FVC at 48 weeks (p=0.0373)</p> <p>No significant difference between tocilizumab and placebo in other endpoints</p>
Khanna D, et al. JAMA 2016	SSc patients with DU (n=554: 289 in DUAL-1 and 265 in DUAL-2 study)	Macitentan p.o.. 3 mg/d or 10 mg/d versus placebo for 16 weeks	<p>Primary endpoint: No significant difference between macitentan and placebo in the mean number of new digital ulcers per patient</p>

dSSc=diffuse cutaneous SSc, DUs=digital ulcers, ITT = intention to treat, p.o.= orally, PPP = per protocol, s.c. = subcutaneously, SSc=systemic sclerosis.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

1. Preliminary criteria for the classification of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Subcommittee for scleroderma criteria of the American Rheumatism Association Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1980;23:581-90
2. LeRoy EC, Black C, Fleischmajer R, et al. Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): classification, subsets and pathogenesis. *J Rheumatol* 1988;15:202-5.
3. van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American college of rheumatology/European league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1747-55.
4. van der Heijde D, Aletaha D, Carmona L et al., 2014 Update of the EULAR standardised operating procedures for EULAR-endorsed recommendations. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:8-13.
5. Centre for Evidence-based Medicine – Levels of Evidence (March 2009): <http://www.cebm.net/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009>.
6. Kowal-Bielecka O, Landewé R, Avouac J, et al., EULAR recommendations for the treatment of systemic sclerosis: a report from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR). *Ann Rheum Dis*, 2009;68:620-8.
7. Avouac J, Kowal-Bielecka O, Landewe R, et al. European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Scleroderma Trial and Research group (EUSTAR) recommendations for the treatment of systemic sclerosis: methods of elaboration and results of systematic literature research. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:629-34.
8. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 1996;17:1-12.
9. Hachulla E, Hatron PY, Carpentier P, et al. Efficacy of sildenafil on ischaemic digital ulcer healing in systemic sclerosis: the placebo-controlled SEDUCE study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;75:1009-15.
10. Khanna D, Denton CP, Jhrees A, et al. Safety and efficacy of subcutaneous tocilizumab in adults with systemic sclerosis (faSScinate): a phase 2, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;387:2630-40.

11. Khanna D, Denton CP, Merkel PA, et al. Effect of Macitentan on the Development of New Ischemic Digital Ulcers in Patients With Systemic Sclerosis: DUAL-1 and DUAL-2 Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA* 2016;315:1975-88.