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Riociguat for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with connective tissue disease: results from PATENT-1 and PATENT-2
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ABSTRACT

Background The 12-week, phase III Pulmonary Arterial hyperTEnsion sGC-stimulator Trial (PATENT)-1 study investigated riociguat in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). Here, we present a prospectively planned analysis of the safety and efficacy of riociguat in the subgroup of patients with PAH associated with connective tissue disease (PAH-CTD).

Methods Patients with PAH-CTD were further classified post hoc as having PAH associated with systemic sclerosis (PAH-SSc) or PAH-other defined CTD. In PATENT-1, patients received riociguat (maximum 2.5 or 1.5 mg three times daily) or placebo. Efficacy endpoints included change from baseline in 6-minute walking distance (6MWD; primary endpoint), haemodynamics and WHO functional class (WHO FC). In the long-term extension PATENT-2, patients received riociguat (maximum 2.5 mg three times daily); the primary endpoint was safety and tolerability.

Results In patients with PAH-CTD, riociguat increased mean 6MWD, WHO FC, pulmonary vascular resistance and cardiac index. Improvements in 6MWD and WHO FC persisted at 2 years. Two-year survival of patients with PAH-CTD was the same as for idiopathic PAH (93%). Riociguat had a similar safety profile in patients with PAH-CTD to that of the overall population.

Conclusions Riociguat was well tolerated and associated with positive trends in 6MWD and other endpoints that were sustained at 2 years in patients with PAH-CTD.

Trial registration numbers PATENT-1 (NCT00810693), PATENT-2 (NCT00863681).

INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a complication of connective tissue disease (CTD) that results from remodelling of the pulmonary vasculature, ultimately leading to right ventricular failure and death. Many CTDs can lead to the development of PAH (PAH-CTD), including systemic sclerosis (SSc), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and mixed CTD. Patients with PAH-CTD have a poorer prognosis compared with patients with idiopathic PAH (IPAH), and patients with PAH associated with SSc (PAH-SSc) have worse survival rates than those with non-SSc PAH-CTD.

Recommended treatments for PAH-CTD include prostanooids, phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitors and endothelin receptor antagonists (ERAs). However, the response to PAH-specific therapy is often reduced in patients with PAH-CTD (particularly PAH-SSc) compared with IPAH. Riociguat, a soluble guanylate cyclase stimulator, is approved for the treatment of PAH. In addition to its vasoactive properties, riociguat has been shown to have antifibrotic, antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory effects in preclinical models, providing a rationale for its use in PAH-CTD.

In the phase III Pulmonary Arterial hyperTEnsion sGC-stimulator Trial (PATENT)-1 study (NCT00810693) in patients with PAH of various aetiologies, including patients with PAH-CTD, riociguat was well tolerated and improved 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) and several secondary outcomes. The improvements in 6MWD and WHO functional class (WHO FC) were maintained at 2 years in the PATENT-2 open-label extension (NCT00863681). Here, we present a prospectively planned analysis of the safety and efficacy of riociguat in the subgroup of patients with PAH-CTD in PATENT-1 and PATENT-2.

METHODS

Patients, study design and outcome measures

The methodologies of the PATENT-1 and PATENT-2 studies are summarised in the online supplementary appendix. Patients in the prospectively defined PAH-CTD subgroup were stratified into three subgroups (PAH-SSc, PAH-other defined CTD and PAH-unspecified CTD) based on MedDRA terms in their medical history.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were exploratory as PATENT-1 was not powered to detect significant differences in subgroups; all data were analysed descriptively. The primary efficacy analysis was performed on data from the modified intention-to-treat population (all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study drug). The primary endpoint (6MWD) was analysed by analysis of covariance to estimate the least-squares (LS) mean difference and 95% CIs for riociguat 2.5 mg maximum versus placebo in the PAH-CTD population. Missing data due to patient withdrawal or death were imputed at week 12 of the study. The primary endpoint was safety and tolerability. For the long-term extension, patients received riociguat for up to 2 years.
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RESULTS

Patients and baseline characteristics

Of the 443 patients randomised and treated in PATENT-1, 111 patients had PAH-CTD, of whom 66 had PAH-SSc and 39 had PAH-other defined CTD (18 associated with SLE; 11 associated with rheumatoid arthritis/disorder; 10 associated with mixed/other CTD), and 6 had PAH associated with an unspecified CTD, as further medical history data were not available. Owing to low patient numbers, data are not shown for patients with PAH associated with an unspecified CTD.

Demographics, baseline characteristics and background therapy for patients with PAH-CTD in PATENT-1 are shown in Table 1. Patient disposition for the subgroup with PAH-CTD in PATENT-1 is shown in online supplementary figure S1. Of the 111 patients with PAH-CTD in PATENT-1, 94 (85%) completed PATENT-1 and entered PATENT-2. Mean±SD treatment duration for the PAH-CTD population in PATENT-2 was 31±14 months. For the PAH-SSc and PAH-other defined CTD subgroups, mean treatment duration was 29±15 months and 35±12 months, respectively.

Efficacy

The 2.5 mg maximum group

In the PAH-CTD population at week 12, riociguat 2.5 mg maximum increased mean±SD 6MWD by +18±51 m compared with a decrease of −8±110 m in the placebo group (see online supplementary figure S2A) (LS mean treatment difference: +28 m; 95% CI −4 to 61 m). Patients with PAH-SSc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Overall PAH-CTD (n=111)</th>
<th>PAH-SSc (n=66)</th>
<th>PAH-other defined CTD (n=39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD (years)</td>
<td>57±14</td>
<td>63±11</td>
<td>50±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>98 (88)</td>
<td>57 (86)</td>
<td>36 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from first diagnosis of PH, mean±SD (months)</td>
<td>27±36</td>
<td>26±35</td>
<td>26±38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD, mean±SD (m)</td>
<td>352±75</td>
<td>340±76</td>
<td>364±74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO FC III/IV (%)§</td>
<td>5/36/57/21</td>
<td>2/25/59/31</td>
<td>10/36/54/00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH-specific pretreatment, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (63)</td>
<td>45 (68)</td>
<td>23 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with ERA</td>
<td>59 (53)</td>
<td>39 (59)</td>
<td>19 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with PCA</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with ERA and PCA</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunomodulating pretreatment, n (%)†</td>
<td>27 (24)</td>
<td>16 (24)</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with immunosuppressive agents¶</td>
<td>21 (19)</td>
<td>13 (20)</td>
<td>8 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with antineoplastic agents¶</td>
<td>14 (13)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with endocrine therapy**</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with other immunomodulating agents</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not all percentages add up to 100 due to rounding.
†Data missing for one patient.
¶Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs.
§Azathioprine, leflunomide, methotrexate, mizoribine, mycophenolate mofetil, mycophenolate sodium, tacrolimus.
¶Celecoxib, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate.
**Estradiol valerate.
†6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; CTD, connective tissue disease; ERA, endothelin receptor antagonist; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PCA, prostacyclin analogue; PH, pulmonary hypertension; SSc, systemic sclerosis; WHO FC, WHO functional class.

![Table 1 Disease characteristics and demographics in patients with PAH-CTD at PATENT-1 baseline](http://ard.bmj.com/content/76/4/426)

![Table 2 Secondary endpoints in patients with PAH-CTD in PATENT-1](http://ard.bmj.com/content/76/4/426)

receiving riociguat reported a smaller increase in 6MWD (+4 ±43 m), but there was a larger decrease in the placebo group (−37±120 m) at week 12 (LS mean difference: +43 m; 95% CI 1 to 86 m) (see online supplementary figure S2B). The PAH-other defined CTD subgroup showed similar improvements in the riociguat and placebo groups at week 12 (see online supplementary figure S2C). Patients who were treatment-naive, pretreated and patients with concomitant immunosuppressant use showed similar changes from baseline in mean±SD 6MWD at week 12 (21±53, 17±50 and 14±63, respectively, see online supplementary tables S1 and S2). In PATENT-2, improvements in 6MWD were largely maintained at 2 years in the PAH-CTD, PAH-SSc and PAH-other defined CTD groups (see online supplementary figure S3).

At week 12 in the PAH-CTD population, the proportion of patients in whom WHO FC had improved or stabilised was 97% in the riociguat group versus 75% in the placebo group (see online supplementary figure S4). Results were similar in the PAH-SSc and PAH-other defined CTD subgroups (see online supplementary figure S4). At 2 years of PATENT-2, WHO FC had improved/stabilised/worsened in 36%/59%/6% of patients in the PAH-CTD population.

Haemodynamics, N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and quality-of-life endpoints are shown in table 2. Riociguat improved pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and cardiac index to a greater extent versus placebo in the PAH-CTD population (table 2); similar results were seen in the PAH-CTD population (see online supplementary table S4). The proportion of patients in whom WHO FC had improved or stabilised was 93% in the riociguat group versus 75% in the placebo group in the PAH-CTD population during PATENT-2, six (6%) of whom had PAH-SSc. At 2 years, survival rates for patients with idiopathic/familial PAH and PAH-CTD were both 93% (see online supplementary figure S6).

### Table 3 AEs and SAEs in the overall population and in patients with PAH-CTD in PATENT-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall PATENT-1</th>
<th>Overall PAH-CTD</th>
<th>PAH-SSc</th>
<th>PAH-other defined CTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riociguat (all doses) (n=317)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=126)</td>
<td>Riociguat (all doses) (n=86)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any AE</td>
<td>285 (90)</td>
<td>108 (86)</td>
<td>82 (95)</td>
<td>24 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs experienced by ≥20% patients in any CTD group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>89 (28)</td>
<td>25 (20)</td>
<td>26 (30)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>50 (16)</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>21 (24)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral oedema</td>
<td>58 (18)</td>
<td>14 (11)</td>
<td>16 (19)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>55 (17)</td>
<td>15 (12)</td>
<td>15 (17)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>41 (13)</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
<td>13 (15)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>22 (7)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>12 (14)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrexia</td>
<td>14 (4)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>10 (12)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation due to AE</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>9 (7)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs of special interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>27 (9)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any SAE</td>
<td>40 (13)</td>
<td>23 (18)</td>
<td>14 (16)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAEs experienced by ≥5% patients in any CTD group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricular failure</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening PAH</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation due to SAE</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AE, adverse event; CTD, connective tissue disease; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; SAE, serious adverse event; SSc, systemic sclerosis.
worsening PH, was reported in a patient with PAH-SSc receiving placebo.

AEs, SAEs and discontinuations were similar for the overall PATENT-2 population and the PAH-CTD subgroup, although most AEs occurred more frequently in patients with PAH-CTD and patients with concomitant immunosuppressant use (see online supplementary table S6). The most common SAEs in the pooled riociguat groups in the PAH-CTD population were pneumonia (3%) and right ventricular failure (2%). In PATENT-2, 1 (1%) patient with PAH-CTD experienced an SAE of haemoptysis compared with 13 (3%) patients in the overall population. A similar safety profile to that of the PAH-CTD population was observed in the subgroups.

DISCUSSION

Riociguat improved several efficacy endpoints in patients with PAH-CTD including 6MWD, WHO FC, PVR and cardiac index, although improvements were less pronounced than in the overall PATENT-1 population. This is consistent with previous observations that patients with PAH-CTD respond less well to PAH-specific therapy than patients with IPAH.4,6 The efficacy results presented in this analysis appear comparable with previous trials of patients with PAH-CTD treated with prostacyclin,12 ERAs15 and PDE5 inhibitors,2 although comparison between trials requires caution.

Less pronounced improvements in 6MWD were seen in patients with PAH-SSc; however, significant deterioration was observed in the PAH-SSc placebo group, suggesting that riociguat may prevent worsening of 6MWD in these patients. A separate study investigating riociguat in diffuse cutaneous SSc is ongoing (NCT02283762).

The improvements in 6MWD observed in patients that were pretreated with ERAs (53% of the PAH-CTD population) suggest that riociguat may provide additional therapeutic benefits in patients with PAH-CTD receiving ERAs, supporting the potential use of combination therapy in this subgroup.

With over 2 years of riociguat treatment in PATENT-2, survival of patients with PAH-CTD was similar to that seen in patients with idiopathic/familial PAH in PATENT-2 (93%).16 This is an important observation as mortality for PAH-CTD has been previously reported to be higher than IPAH despite modern therapy.19

The data in this analysis should be considered exploratory as PATENT-1 and PATENT-2 were not designed to detect statistically significant differences in subgroups. Moreover, the analysis is limited due to the post hoc subclassification of patients with PAH-CTD by patients’ medical histories using MedDRA terms. Also, as with most long-term trial data, it is possible that the analyses of long-term outcomes are subject to survivor bias. Finally, the low patient numbers in the PAH-SSc and PAH-other defined CTD subgroups should be taken into consideration when interpreting the data.

In conclusion, riociguat was well tolerated in patients with PAH-CTD and led to improvement or stabilisation in 6MWD, WHO FC and haemodynamics. The 2-year survival rate of patients with PAH-CTD or PAH-SSc was similar to that of patients with idiopathic/familial PAH.
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