Humoral immune response to vaccines in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tocilizumab: results of a randomised controlled trial (VISARA)
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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the effect of tocilizumab (TCZ), an interleukin 6 receptor inhibitor, on humoral immune responses to immunisations in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods Patients with RA with inadequate response/intolerance to one or more anti-tumour necrosis factor-α agents were randomly assigned (2:1) to TCZ 8 mg/kg intravenously every 4 weeks plus methotrexate (MTX) or MTX alone up until week 8. Serum was collected before vaccination at week 3, antibody titres were evaluated at week 8, and then all patients received TCZ+MTX through week 20. End points included proportion of patients responding to ≥6/12 pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPV23) serotypes (primary) and proportions responding to tetanus toxoid vaccine (TTV; secondary) at week 8.

Results 91 patients were randomised. At week 8, 60.0% of TCZ+MTX and 70.8% of MTX patients responded to ≥6/12 PPV23 serotypes, with insufficient evidence for any difference in treatments (10.8% (95% CI −33.7 to 12.0), and 42.0% and 39.1%, respectively, responded to TTV. Two of three TCZ+MTX patients with non-protective baseline TTV antibody titres achieved protective levels by week 8. The safety profile of TCZ was consistent with previous reports.

Conclusions Short-term TCZ treatment does not significantly attenuate humoral responses to PPV23 or TTV. To maximise vaccine response, patients should be up to date with immunisations before starting TCZ treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) have increased risk of infection because of underlying disease and immunomodulatory therapies. Therefore, routine immunisations are important to reduce morbidity and mortality.1–3 However, RA treatments may reduce primary immune responses to vaccines and diminish anamnestic responses.4–6 Tocilizumab (TCZ), a humanised monoclonal antibody directed against soluble and membrane-bound interleukin 6 (IL-6) receptors,7 is widely approved for treating moderate-to-severe RA. Because TCZ may affect how IL-6 regulates T-cell activation and B-cell differentiation,8–13 understanding the influence of TCZ on vaccine responses is important for this vulnerable population.

In previous studies, TCZ treatment did not impair antibody response to the trivalent-inactivated influenza vaccine14 or the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPV23).15 VISARA is the first randomised controlled trial evaluating humoral immune responses to T-cell-dependent and T-cell-independent antigens, tetanus toxoid vaccine (TTV) and PPV23, respectively, in patients with moderate-to-severe RA treated with TCZ.

METHODS

This two-arm, randomised, parallel-group, open-label, multicentre, phase IV study in patients with active RA receiving background methotrexate (MTX) treatment was conducted by 33 investigators at 35 centres in the USA. Patients were randomly assigned 2:1 to receive TCZ 8 mg/kg intravenously every 4 weeks plus MTX (7.5–25 mg/week; TCZ+MTX) or MTX alone through week 8 (figure 1). At week 3, serum was collected for measurement of prevaccination antibody levels, after which PPV23 (Pneumovax; Merck & Co) was administered as an intramuscular or a subcutaneous injection in the deltoid, and TTV (Adsorbed; Aventis Pasteur) was administered as an intramuscular injection in the opposite deltoid. At week 8 (5 weeks after vaccination), serum was collected for measurement of post-immunisation levels of antibodies against pneumococcal polysaccharide and tetanus toxoid. All patients then received TCZ+MTX through week 20.

Men and non-pregnant women aged 18–64 years with RA according to revised 1987 American College of Rheumatology criteria16 for >6 months were enrolled. Patients had to have inadequate clinical response to antirheumatic therapies, including MTX, and inadequate clinical response or intolerance to ≥1 anti-tumour necrosis factor-α therapies. See online supplementary text for additional inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The primary end point was proportion of patients in each treatment group responsive to ≥6 of 12 anti-pneumococcal antibody serotypes at week 8 (5 weeks after vaccination and after two TCZ infusions in the TCZ+MTX group). A positive response to PPV23 was defined as a twofold or >1 mg/L increase from baseline at week 8. Secondary end points, safety assessments, analysis populations and antibody measurement methods are described in online supplementary text. No formal hypothesis testing was conducted.
Descriptive statistics by treatment group and summaries of differences between treatment groups are presented along with 95% CIs for vaccine response only. A sample size of 91 patients was based on similar studies conducted with other RA treatments and 0% difference between treatment groups assuming an 80% response rate.

RESULTS

Of 112 patients screened, 91 were randomly assigned to receive TCZ+MTX (n=60) or MTX alone (n=31). See online supplementary text for screening failures. The per-protocol (PP) population (figure 1) comprised 81 patients (54 in the TCZ+MTX group, 27 in the MTX group); 10 patients were excluded because of protocol violations. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics were generally well balanced between treatment groups, and mean baseline oral corticosteroid and MTX doses were comparable (see online supplementary table S1).

At week 8, the proportion of responders to PPV23 (primary end point) was numerically greater in the MTX group than in the TCZ+MTX group (70.8% vs 60.0%, respectively), with insufficient evidence for any difference between treatments (10.8 (95% CI −33.7 to 12.0); table 1). Overall, a greater proportion of MTX-treated than TCZ+MTX-treated patients responded to a combination of PPV23 serotypes (>1 up to 12). However, the 95% CIs for the proportion of responders were wide in both treatment groups for all combinations (figure 2A). Proportions of patients responding to individual anti-pneumococcal antibody serotypes were comparable between treatment groups (<10% difference between groups) for serotypes 3, 4, 7f, 12f, 14 and 23f, whereas the proportion of responders to serotypes 1, 6b, 8, 9n, 18c and 19f was lower (>10% difference) in the TCZ+MTX than in the MTX group (figure 2B).

When stratified by age, groups aged 51–64 years had ∼10% fewer responders regardless of treatment (table 1). Consistent with the primary end point, the proportion of patients in both...
age groups who responded to ≥6 of 12 anti-pneumococcal antibody serotypes was numerically lower in the TCZ+MTX than the MTX group, with overlapping CIs.

At week 8, similar proportions of patients in the TCZ+MTX (42.0%) and MTX (39.1%) groups responded to TTV (between-group difference 2.9% (95% CI −21.4% to 27.1%)); 95% CIs for the treatment groups were overlapping (table 1). In the TCZ+MTX group, three patients had non-protective anti-tetanus antibody titres at baseline (<0.1 IU/mL); two subsequently achieved protective levels by week 8. The proportion of patients achieving ≥2-fold and ≥4-fold increases in anti-tetanus toxoid antibody levels was greater in the TCZ+MTX group than in the MTX group (table 1). Concomitant treatment with oral corticosteroids did not appear to attenuate responsiveness to PPV23 or TTV (data not shown); however, this should be confirmed in larger studies.

The pharmacodynamic effect of TCZ was demonstrated by a marked and sustained reduction in C-reactive protein (CRP) levels from baseline through week 8 (see online supplementary table S2).

During the first 8 weeks of the study, differences in the safety profile between treatment groups were consistent with prescribing information for each drug. The incidence of adverse events (AEs) was higher in the TCZ+MTX group, driven primarily by events of sinusitis, nausea and headache. Most AEs were considered mild or moderate in intensity. Serious AEs (SAEs) were

---

**Table 1** Proportions of responders to pneumococcal polysaccharide and tetanus toxoid vaccines (week 8; per-protocol population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>All patients*</th>
<th>Patients 18–50 years old</th>
<th>Patients 51–64 years old</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Responders, n (%)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>Responders, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportions of responders to ≥6 of 12 anti-pneumococcal antibody serotypes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX</td>
<td>17 (70.8)</td>
<td>(52.6 to 89.0)</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCZ (8 mg/kg)+MTX</td>
<td>30 (60.0)</td>
<td>(46.4 to 73.6)</td>
<td>12 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportions of responders to tetanus toxoid vaccine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX</td>
<td>9 (39.1)</td>
<td>(19.2 to 59.1)</td>
<td>21 (42.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCZ (8 mg/kg)+MTX</td>
<td>21 (42.0)</td>
<td>(28.3 to 55.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2** (A) Proportions of patients responding to pneumococcal serotype combinations from ≥1 to ≥12. Tocilizumab 8 mg/kg+methotrexate (tocilizumab (TCZ)+methotrexate (MTX); n=54) versus methotrexate (MTX; n=27). In this secondary end point analysis, the results show that, overall, a greater proportion of MTX-treated patients responded to serotype combinations. Error bars represent the 95% CIs, which indicate a notable degree of variation. (B) Proportions of patients responding to individual pneumococcal antigen serotypes. TCZ+MTX (n=54) versus MTX (n=27). In this secondary end point analysis, the results show that the proportion of responders to serotypes 3, 4, 7f, 12f, 14 and 23f was comparable (<10% difference) between that of the TCZ+MTX and MTX treatment groups, whereas the proportion of responders to serotypes 1, 6b, 8, 9n, 18c and 19f was lower in the TCZ+MTX treatment group than in the MTX treatment group (>10% difference). For serotypes 9n and 12f: MTX, n=23; for serotype 14: MTX, n=21; for serotypes 1, 3, 7f, 8 and 12f: TCZ+MTX, n=49.
experienced by one patient in the MTX group and two patients in the TCZ+MTX group (see online supplementary table S3). During the first 8 weeks, no patients in the MTX group discontinued treatment because of an AE or disease flare. One patient in the TCZ+MTX group experienced 116 AEs (upper respiratory tract infection, sinusitis, nausea, headache, nasopharyngitis and urinary tract infection were the most commonly reported), and five SAEs were reported in four patients. Five patients in the TCZ+MTX group discontinued treatment because of AEs. No malignancies or deaths were reported.

**DISCUSSION**

IL-6 is a driver of B-cell maturation and plasma cell differentiation; therefore, clinicians need to understand the impact of IL-6 blockade on immune response. Results of this study indicate that immune responses to PPV23 after TCZ+MTX treatment were slightly attenuated compared with MTX alone; 60.0% and 70.8% of patients, respectively, responded to ≥2 of 12 anti-pneumococcal antibody serotypes. Response to this T-cell-independent vaccine after TCZ treatment gave an evaluation of the impact of TCZ on specific immunoglobulin production to pneumococcal polysaccharide antigens. Similarly, numerically greater proportions of MTX-treated than TCZ+MTX-treated patients responded to multiple combinations (≥1–12) of pneumococcal antigen serotypes. The response to individual pneumococcal serotypes varied among patients in each treatment group. Numerically, the proportion of responders to serotypes 1, 6b, 8, 9n, 18c and 19f (generally associated with invasive pneumococcal disease and multidrug resistance) was greater in the MTX group, although these differences are of unknown clinical significance and the protective titre against each subtype is unclear. As expected, the immune response to PPV23 was attenuated in older patients. However, the difference in proportions of responders between older and younger subpopulations was similar in the two treatment groups.

Because most patients had detectable baseline anti-tetanus antibody titres, response to this T-cell-dependent vaccine after TCZ treatment gave an evaluation of the effect of TCZ on immunoglobulin G production by memory T-helper cells (anamnestic or recall response to TTV). The immune response to TTV after TCZ+MTX treatment was similar to that after treatment with MTX alone (42.0% vs 39.1%, respectively). The reduction in response rates observed with MTX was consistent with previous reports. Small differences were observed between treatment groups in the proportion of patients with ≥2- and ≥4-fold increases from baseline in TTV antibody levels at week 8 for patients with baseline antibody levels ≥0.1 IU/mL.

The rapid and sustained reduction in CRP levels from baseline confirmed that TCZ was pharmacologically active. Overall, the vaccines and randomised treatments were well tolerated. As expected, most AEs reported by patients randomly assigned to MTX occurred after week 8, when TCZ was added. The AE profile was consistent with the known TCZ safety profile.

While most patients with RA treated with TCZ 8 mg/kg—the highest approved dose—mounted a detectable immune response to PPV23 and TTV, levels of protective antibodies were poorly defined. A limitation is that vaccine response was measured after vaccination in patients treated with TCZ, data from this study do not change the current prescribing recommendations that patients be brought up to date with immunisations in accordance with current guidelines if possible before TCZ treatment is initiated.
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