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ABSTRACT

Background In a subset of patients, anti tumour necrosis factor (TNF) therapeutic antibodies are immunogenic, resulting in the formation of antidrug antibodies (ADAs). Neutralising ADAs compete with TNF for its binding site and reduces the effective serum concentration, causing clinical non-response. It is however unknown to which extent ADAs are neutralising.

Objectives To study which proportion of antibodies to humanised anti-TNF (adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab) as well as chimeric anti-TNF (infliximab) is neutralising.

Methods Neutralising capacity of ADAs was assessed using a TNF competition assay in ADA-positive sera of patients treated with adalimumab (n=21), golimumab (n=4), certolizumab (n=9) or infliximab (n=34) sent in to our diagnostic department.

Results In 34 sera with ADAs to adalimumab, golimumab or certolizumab, >97% of the antibodies were neutralising. In 34 sera with ADAs to infliximab >90% of the antibodies were neutralising. Further characterisation of the broader antibody response to infliximab revealed that non-neutralising antibodies to infliximab do not target murine domains, but may bind infliximab-unique domains not involved in TNF binding (located outside the paratope).

Conclusions Our study shows that ADAs to humanised (ised) as well as chimeric anti-TNF therapeutic antibodies are largely neutralising. This highly restricted ADA response suggests an immunodominant role for the paratope of anti-TNF therapeutics.

INTRODUCTION

Anti tumour necrosis factor (TNF) therapeutic antibodies are increasingly used to treat inflammatory disorders like rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease. Unfortunately, in some patients the therapeutics are immunogenic, resulting in the formation of antidrug antibodies (ADAs) that can lead to loss of clinical response. The percentage of patients that develop ADA to TNF blockers varies strongly between immunogenicity studies. For adalimumab, using a highly drug-tolerant antigen binding test it was found that around 53% of adalimumab-treated patients made ADA to some extent. In this context, two kinds of ADA can be discriminated, namely non-neutralising antibodies that bind to the drug simultaneously with TNF, and neutralising antibodies that compete with TNF for the antigen-binding site (paratope). Neutralising antibodies can therefore immediately inhibit the working mechanism of the drug.

In patients treated with anti-TNF therapeutics, measuring the ADA titre as well as the drug level provides an objective view on the patient’s clinical response. However, one could argue that not only the ADA titre, but also the neutralizing capacity should be monitored. For example, for patients with multiple sclerosis treated with interferon-β, neutralising antibodies rather than binding antibodies are routinely assessed, since the former better correlate with clinical impact. However, the neutralisation capacity is usually monitored using a bioassay, which is difficult to standardise and cumbersome to carry out.

Previous work on the antibody response to adalimumab indicates that this response is restricted to the TNF binding site (the paratope), meaning that all ADAs are neutralising. The antibody construct of the fully human adalimumab might explain this restricted response, since typically the majority of foreign determinants is localised in the complementarity determining regions. Chimeric antibodies like infliximab differ from humanised (ised) antibodies as the entire variable domain is of murine origin which potentially induces a broader immune response. Moreover, the variable domains of infliximab contain additional determinants that are not involved in TNF binding and are neither mouse nor human germline which might be immunogenic.

In this study, we systematically investigated the proportion of neutralising and non-neutralising antibodies to infliximab, adalimumab, certolizumab and golimumab.

METHODS

Details about the methodology can be found in the online supplementary Materials and Methods. Briefly, patient sera from our diagnostic department were selected for ADA positivity for either adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab or infliximab. To determine the neutralising capacity of these ADAs, a TNF competition assay was set up in which TNF is used to block the antigen binding site of radiolabelled drug. In this assay, neutralising antibodies compete with TNF for their binding to the drug.
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RESULTS

ADA to all anti-TNF drugs are >90% neutralising

Twenty-one patients producing ADA to the fully human therapeutic antibody adalimumab were tested in a TNF competition assay (described in figure 1). Upon an increasing concentration of TNF, all patients showed an almost identical reduction in binding in a dose-dependent manner, with the highest concentrations of TNF reducing the binding to background levels (figure 2A). These results indicate that >97.7% of ADA to adalimumab are directed to the paratope of the drug and are thus neutralising (figure 2B). This is congruent with our previous findings which suggested that antiadalimumab antibodies are highly restricted to the paratope of adalimumab. Similarly, for sera containing antigliumumab or antcertolizumab antibodies, respectively >98.3% and >97.2% inhibition of binding to golimumab and certolizumab Fab respectively was observed when the highest concentration of TNF was added. Thus, in 34 cases of ADA to a human(ised) anti-TNF, >97% of the antibody response is neutralising.

For the chimeric infliximab, of which the entire variable domains are of murine origin, the results from the TNF competition assay were more varied. The ADA of one group of patients showed a decrease in signal with an increase in TNF leading to essentially complete suppression of ADA binding to the drug on the end point of the TNF titration. In contrast, a second group of patients showed incomplete inhibition of ADA binding to the drug when the highest concentration of TNF was added. The sera of this latter group thus contain ADA that are able to bind the drug simultaneously with TNF and are therefore non-neutralising. Nevertheless, all patient sera tested contained >90% neutralising ADA to infliximab. In line with these observations, ADA titres to infliximab determined in the WEHI bioassay, which only measures the effect of neutralising ADA, are in good correlation with those determined in the antigen binding test (ABT) which measures all ADA (figure 2C). No relationship was found between ADA levels and the percentage of neutralising antibodies (see online supplementary figure S2). In conclusion, for all TNF blockers, the vast majority of ADAs neutralise the drug, in case of human(ised) antibodies and for the chimeric infliximab.

Incomplete inhibition of ADA to infliximab is not caused by ADA-drug complexes

Although no free infliximab was detected in any of the sera, the possibility exists that complexes of infliximab and anti-infliximab are present. Hypothetically, if these complexes dissociate, a false-positive signal may result, since infliximab can bind protein A sepharose and TNF can subsequently form a bridge between bound infliximab and radiolabelled infliximab Fab. To exclude the possibility that the non-inhibitable signals were the result of drug-ADA complexes, monomeric IgG was separated from antibody complexes using fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) (see online supplementary figure S1A). However, no differences in neutralisation were found between the monomeric fractions compared with patient serum (see online supplementary figure S1B). This indicates that the incomplete inhibition of ADA to infliximab by TNF observed in some patients is not caused by ADA-drug complexes, but in fact are non-neutralising anti-infliximab antibodies.

Non-neutralising ADA to infliximab do not target mouse determinants

To investigate whether the non-neutralising anti-infliximab antibodies target predominantly infliximab unique determinants outside the paratope or mouse germline determinants foreign to humans (figure 3A), we modified the TNF competition assay by the addition of polyclonal mouse IgG. In theory, non-neutralising ADAs that target mouse germline epitopes are blocked by mouse IgG, thereby inhibiting binding of radiolabelled infliximab Fab seen as a decrease in signal. However, in serum samples containing non-neutralising antibodies, we observed no additional inhibition when mouse IgG was added (figure 3C,D); this suggests that non-neutralising anti-infliximab antibodies are not directed to mouse germline determinants of infliximab, but target determinants outside the paratope that are unique to infliximab.

DISCUSSION

We demonstrated that ADAs to the human(ised) antibodies adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab were highly confined to the paratope (>97%). Infliximab elicited a slightly broader immune response, although in all patients at least 90% of all ADAs were neutralising. In addition, a strong correlation was found between the anti-infliximab titre determined by ABT, in which all ADAs are detected, and the WEHI bioassay, which only measures neutralising ADAs. These results indicate that conventionally measured titres of binding antibodies closely resemble titres of neutralising ADAs. Therefore, measurement of neutralising antibodies to these TNF blockers, for example, by means of a bioassay, is not useful.

Sera containing low ADA levels (below 100 AU/mL) were not investigated, because the low levels preclude accurate quantification of the fraction of neutralising antibodies. The sera that...
were tested comprise a broad range of ADA levels that do not correlate with the percentages of neutralising antibodies (see online supplementary figure S2). However, a possibly broader response in patients with low ADA levels cannot be excluded, although absolute titres of non-neutralising ADAs will necessarily be low in such cases.

This study focused on IgG antibodies, since these were previously found to represent the predominant class of antibodies formed to infliximab or adalimumab.67 Moreover, IgM antibodies typically have low affinity and are poor in neutralising the drug, while IgG antibodies have high affinity and are therefore more potent drug inhibitors.

It was already known that anti-infliximab antibodies may neutralise the drug.6 We however observed that the majority (>90%) of antibodies to infliximab are neutralising, clearly demonstrating that the paratope of infliximab is immunodominant. Given the high number of non-human determinants in the variable domains of infliximab (figure 3A) this is a remarkable observation. Interestingly, paratope immunodominance was already suggested in an earlier study of the antibody response to the therapeutic mouse monoclonal antibody OKT3, where it was estimated that 50–60% of all ADAs were targeting the paratope.8 Moreover, in a more recent study, binding of minipig antibodies to adalimumab could not be inhibited by human
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**Figure 2** More than 90% of antidrug antibodies (ADAs) to all anti tumour necrosis factor (TNF) therapeutic antibodies target the paratope of the drug. (A) Radiolabelled drug preincubated with increasing amounts of TNF gives a decrease in binding of ADA to the drug. ADAs to all four anti-TNF therapeutic antibodies were >90% neutralising. Each line represents a single patient. In case of adalimumab, certolizumab and infliximab, a selection of representative patients is shown. (B) Percentage of AU inhibited by 5000 ng of TNF. Each dot represents a single patient. Median and range of adalimumab 98.9 (97.7–100), n=21; golimumab 98.9 (98.3–99.5), n=4; certolizumab 98.17 (97.2–98.8), n=9; infliximab 98.6 (90.2–99.3), n=34. (C) Anti-infliximab titres were measured using the ABT and the WEHI bioassay. The ABT measures all ADAs whereas the WEHI bioassay only measures neutralising ADAs. The strong correlation indicates that all ADAs are neutralising. Spearman’s $\rho$ was $r=0.9362$ ($p=0.0002, n=10$).

---

**Figure 3** Non-neutralising antidrug antibodies to infliximab do not target mouse determinants. Crystal structure of infliximab (A) or adalimumab. (B) Fab (orange) in complex with tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (purple). Residues that differ from human germ line are highlighted in blue. Residues that are unique to infliximab (ie, differ from mouse germ line) are highlighted in green. Figures rendered from PDB coordinate files 4G3y (infliximab)10 and 3WD5 (adalimumab),11 aligned using DomainGapAlign (available from the ImMunoGeneTics website). (C and D) Sera of four patients that showed incomplete inhibition by TNF were tested for antibodies to mouse determinants of infliximab. Polyclonal mouse IgG was added to the TNF competition assay to block antimouse antibodies. No inhibition could be observed. ns=not significant using paired t test.
polyclonal IgG F(ab)₂, suggesting anti-idiotypic antibodies. These results highlight that reducing immunogenicity in anti-TNF therapeutic antibodies will remain a challenging endeavour.

Surprisingly, the non-neutralising fraction of anti-infliximab antibodies that was found in approximately a third of the patients did not target mouse germline determinants, since addition of polyclonal mouse IgG did not reduce binding of ADA to the drug. Aligning the amino acid sequence of the infliximab variable domains with the mouse germline sequence revealed several accessible non-mouse germline (infliximab unique) amino acids that were not part of the paratope but could be targeted by the immune response (figure 3A). It is therefore likely that non-neutralising anti-infliximab antibodies target determinants that are unique to infliximab, but not involved in TNF binding.

In summary, only for the chimeric therapeutic infliximab, but not for the humanised adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab, a small amount of non-neutralising antibodies could be observed, which implies that the closer the drug is to the human germline, the more restricted the antibody response to it is. Nevertheless, in all cases >90% of all ADAs were neutralising, which shows that the TNF binding region of anti-TNF therapeutic antibodies is immunodominant even in case of the chimeric antibody infliximab.
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Figure S1: Incomplete inhibition of ADA to infliximab is not caused by ADA-drug complexes. A) FPLC fractionation of patient serum. To eliminate drug-ADA complexes, fractions corresponding to monomeric IgG were pooled and used to repeat the TNF competition assay. B) Percentage of AU that is inhibited in binding radiolabeled infliximab Fab’ after adding 5000 ng of TNF. No significant difference is detected between patient serum and the monomeric IgG fraction. Open dots: patient showing complete inhibition, closed dots: patients showing incomplete inhibition in TNF competition assay, ns = not significant using paired t-test.
Figure S2: There is no relationship between the percentage of neutralizing antibodies and the level of ADA. The percentage of units that is inhibited in binding radiolabeled drug Fab’ after adding 5000 ng of TNF, and the ADA level determined by our diagnostics department. Each symbol represents one patient.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient material
Patient sera from our diagnostic department were selected for ADA positivity for either adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab, or infliximab measured using the standard antigen binding tests (ABT). In total, serum of 68 patients (adalimumab (n=21), golimumab (n=4), certolizumab (n=9) and infliximab (n=34)) send in to our diagnostic department were analyzed. In all cases, the amounts of antibodies exceeded 100 AU/ml. Of these patients, no further clinical data is available. In case of infliximab, adalimumab and golimumab, no free drug was detected in the serum samples. On the other hand, most certolizumab samples contained free drug. However, since certolizumab is a Fab fragment and does not bind to protein A, free drug will be washed out during the ABT and therefore will not interfere with antibody measurements[1].

Antigen binding test (ABT) and TNF competition assay
To determine the neutralizing capacity of ADA, the TNF competition assay was used. First, the optimal serum dilution was determined for every patient using the ABT, an assay routinely used by our diagnostics department, validated for each TNF-blocker. Patient serum was serially diluted in PBS/0.3% bovine serum albumine (BSA, Millipore). Fifty μl of diluted serum (or 50 ul of the optimal concentration in case of the TNF competition assay) was added to 1 mg of Sepharose-immobilized protein A (GE Healthcare) in PBS-AT (PBS containing 0.3% BSA, 0.2% tween-20 (Merck) and 0.01M EDTA) in a final volume of 800 μl and incubated overnight on a rotator. The samples were subsequently washed five times with washing buffer (PBS/0.005% Tween-20) and incubated with 125I labeled Fab’ fragments of adalimumab, certolizumab or infliximab, or biotinylated golimumab Fab’ (no significant difference was observed between directly radiolabeled drug or indirect radiolabeling via biotinylation), all diluted in PBS-FAT (PBS-AT containing 40 μg/ml IVIG F(ab’)2, Sanquin) in order to avoid false-positive results due to anti-hinge antibodies[2]. In case of the TNF competition assay, the labeled drugs were preincubated with a titration of TNF (Human TNF-alpha cct premium, Active Bioscience), ranging from 0-5000 ng per tube. Labels were added in a final volume of 800 ul, and incubated for 5 h. Unbound labeled Fab’ was washed away and in case of golimumab 125I labeled streptavidine was added, incubated overnight and subsequently washed. Sepharose-bound radioactive label was measured using Wallac 1260 Multigamma II (LKB). Sample measurements were normalized to the percentage of total radioactively labeled input (% binding). For the TNF competition assay, the % binding was converted into arbitrary units (AU) using a standard curve (pooled serum of ADA-positive patients
for adalimumab and infliximab, polyclonal rabbit-anti-drug for certolizumab and golimumab). Percentage of inhibition was calculated by dividing the AU of inhibited samples by the AU of non-inhibited samples.

**WEHI bioassay**
The biological activity of ADA was analyzed using the TNF-sensitive WEHI bioassay. Per well 40,000 WEHI-164 cells were plated in IMDM (BioWhittaker) containing 5% FCS (Bodinco), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (both from Gibco), 1 μg/ml Actinomycin D and 50 μM β-Mercapto-ethanol (both from Sigma). Subsequently, a mixture of 100 pg/ml TNF (Strathmann), 30 ng/ml infliximab or adalimumab and a titration (twofold dilution, 1:100 – 1:6400) of sera containing ADA against infliximab or adalimumab were added. As a positive control, a titration of rabbit-anti-infliximab or rabbit-anti-adalimumab was added to the WEHI cultures containing TNF and infliximab or adalimumab, respectively. WEHI-164 cells were incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ after which cell viability was measured using the MTT-reduction method. MTT (Sigma, diluted in 0.14M NaCl and 0.01M HEPES) was added to the cell cultures in a final concentration of 0.83 mg/ml and incubated for four hours, after which SDS (Gibco, diluted in 0.01M HCl) was added to a final concentration of 4% for overnight incubation. Adsorption was measured at 595 nm and as reference 670 nm using the Multiskan EX (Thermo Scientific).

**Monomer isolation by FPLC**
Serum of five patients was fractionated by Fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) to isolate fractions that contain monomeric IgG but no complexes of two or more IgG molecules. Serum was three times diluted in PBS and filtered (0.22 um filter, Merck Millipore) prior to application. A Superdex 200 10/300 GL column in combination with the FPLC system GE ÄKTA Explorer (Amersham Pharmacia) was used to fractionate the sera. Molecular weight estimations were made using intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG, 20 mg/ml) that contains both monomeric and dimeric IgG. Fractions of patient serum containing only monomeric IgG were pooled for each patient.

**TNF/mouse IgG competition assay**
Further characterization of the target of non-neutralizing ADA to infliximab was done using the TNF competition assay with addition of polyclonal BALB/c mouse IgG (Molecular Innovations). Per patient, twelve tubes containing 1 mg of CaptureSelect anti-human IgG-Fc Affinity Matrix (Life Technologies) were incubated overnight with 50 μl of the optimal serum dilution. After washing, 20 ug mouse IgG in PBS-FAT was added in
six of the tubes, whereas in the other six tubes only PBS-FAT was added. In both sets of tubes, 50 ul of $^{125}$I labeled infliximab Fab' preincubated with a titration of TNF, ranging from 0-5000 ng per tube, was added. The tubes were incubated for 5h on a rotator and subsequently washed. Bound radioactivity was measured as described above.
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