EXTENDED REPORT

Health status has improved more in women than in men with rheumatoid arthritis from 1994 to 2009: results from the Oslo rheumatoid arthritis register
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ABSTRACT

Objective To examine changes in patient reported outcome measures (PROs) over 15 years in a representative population of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), with a particular focus on gender differences.

Patients and methods Patients in the Oslo RA register filled in questionnaires including the Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (MHAQ), the Short-Form 36 (SF-36) with physical (PCS) and mental component summaries and derived utility (SF-6D), visual analogue scales (VAS) for pain, patient global assessment of disease (PtGA) and fatigue, and checklists of medication commonly used in the treatment of RA. Data were collected at five time points during a 15-year period from 1994. Mixed model analyses were used to analyse longitudinal changes in PROs from 1994 to 1996, 2001, 2004 and 2009.

Results Data were available from 829–1025 RA patients at each time point. PROs were statistically significantly improved from 1994 to 2009 (MHAQ, SF-36 PCS, SF-6D, pain VAS, PtGA VAS and fatigue VAS; all p<0.001), and also with clinically important improvement. Men reported significantly better health status than women in 1994, but women improved significantly more than men over 15 years with a reduction of the gender gap in 2009. Antirheumatic medication was increasingly used over 15 years with no gender differences.

Conclusions RA patients reported statistically significantly improved health status for most PROs from 1994 to 2009. Women improved most, and although they still reported higher disease impact than men, the gender differences were small at the final data collection in 2009.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic and disabling disease affecting women approximately three times more often than men.1 2 Gender differences in patient reported outcomes (PROs) for disease activity and functional capacity and treatment responses have been reported.3–5 Women acquire RA at a younger age and may report poorer health status than men despite similar medical treatment and level of joint damage.3 6–9 Further, women may respond poorer to treatment with synthetic and biological disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (sDMARDs and bDMARDs), and one study found women more likely to receive joint arthroplasties than men despite similar imaging findings.5 10 However, results are inconsistent as some studies did not identify gender differences in disease pattern or severity after correcting for disease duration.5 10 Physical function is reduced in RA,11–13 deteriorates with increasing age for both RA and non-RA patients,14 and is clearly worse in RA patients than non-RA controls.11 During the 1990s and 2000s treatment strategies for RA changed towards earlier and more aggressive treatment with targeted and effective medications,15 16 leading to less impact of disease with improved physical function and health status.17 18 Long term follow-up of a representative RA population in cross-sectional assessments of physical function demonstrated improving health status over 10 years.19 Such trends need to be followed as advanced therapies become more accessible to RA patients, and it is unknown whether these improvements differ across genders. The objective of this study was to examine changes in health over 15 years in a representative RA population, and in particular examine how health status developed in women versus men.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population

Patients with RA in Oslo have been included in the Oslo RA register (ORAR) since 1994, and ORAR is estimated to be 85% complete for patients aged 20–79 years.2 20 ORAR is a large, representative and community based register.2 20 Annual updates were performed by checking hospital records for new RA patients fulfilling the 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria,21 and for patients who moved out of the area or died. There are no exclusion criteria based on disease duration, disease activity or use of medication, thus the study population represents the RA population seen in clinical practice. Data were collected at five time points from 1994 to 2009, providing an opportunity to study changes in health status during a period with major improvement in treatment strategies and access to new therapies. Thus, the changes in PROs in this study may indicate whether the improved treatment and investment in management of RA patients is also reflected in improved health status and utility measures. The present study includes all patients in the ORAR aged 20–79 years responding to the questionnaire survey at least once in 1994, 1996, 2001, 2004 or 2009.

Data collection and outcome measures

Repeated cross-sectional data collection was performed by mailed questionnaires to living patients in 1994, 1996, 2001, 2004 and 2009. A core set of measures was included in all surveys: Modified

Health Assessment Questionnaire (MHAQ), Short-Form 36 questionnaire (SF-36), 100 mm Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) for pain, fatigue and patient global assessment of disease (PtGA), and checklists for use of medication and co-morbidities. The patients also reported years of education. Information on age, gender, disease duration and seropositivity was obtained from the ORAR database.

The MHAQ is a shortened version of the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ), with one question from each of the eight categories of activities of daily living (dressing and grooming, arising, eating, walking, hygiene, reach, grip and 'common daily activities'). MHAQ measures the ability to perform daily activities, score 0–3 (0=without any difficulty, 3=unable to do so). The HAQ has been validated in a number of languages including Swedish, which is very similar to Norwegian. SF-36 is a generic questionnaire measuring eight health dimensions (physical and social functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, role-emotional and mental health), score 0–100 where 0=worst possible health state and 100=perfect health. Mental (MCS) and physical (PCS) component summary scores were calculated from SF-36, scale 0–100. Utility (SF-6D) was derived from SF-36, scale 0–1; a living person will obtain a score between ≥0.29 and 1.00 (1.00 denotes perfect health). From 100 mm VAS scales for pain, fatigue and PtGA, 0 reflects no problem/symptom and 100 the worst possible state.

Current use of medication was recorded using alphabetical checklists for medications available in the treatment for RA (ie, glucocorticosteroids, sDMARDs and bDMARDs). Co-morbidity was recorded as absent or present (≥1 co-morbidity) from a checklist used in the Arthritis Impact Measurement Scales 2 (AIMS2). Smoking was recorded as never, previous and current (including number of cigarettes per day). Age was recorded in years when the questionnaire was completed and disease onset as the year when the patient fulfilled the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Disease onset was based on cumulative data obtained retrospectively from the patient history as proposed by Raza et al. Disease duration was recorded as year of data collection minus year of disease onset. Patients were classified as seropositive if they ever had a positive rheumatoid factor test and/or anti-cyclic citrullinated peptides antibodies exceeding the normal limits based on routine laboratory testing at the time of the test. Patients who were seronegative at inclusion were not routinely rechecked. Education level was recorded in years (range 7–17 years).

Statistical analysis
SPSS V20 was used for the analyses. Descriptive statistics present continuous variables as means with 95% CIs and categorical variables as counts or proportions. As a conservative measure, non-overlapping 95% CIs and two-tailed p<0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. To test for group differences we used the two-sample independent t test for continuous variables and the χ² test for proportions. Longitudinal change was examined using a mixed model approach with random intercept and Bonferroni correction to adjust for multiple testing. Using 1994 as reference year, we performed analyses for the patient population overall (adjusted for age, gender and disease duration) and by gender (adjusted for age and disease duration).

RESULTS
Patient demographics
Important patient characteristics for all time points of data collection are presented in table 1. The respondent rates (responding/invited) were 70.8% (931/1315) in 1994, 74.5% (1025/1375) in 1996, 58.5% (829/1411) in 2001, 62.9% (914/1454) in 2004 and 59.7% (986/1651) in 2009. Respondents and non-respondents were similar for age, gender distribution and disease duration at all time points (see online supplementary table S1). The number of patients who responded once to five times were as follows: once, n=845 (74.8% women); twice, n=629 (77.9% women); three times, n=336 (75.0% women); four times, n=206 (78.6% women); and five times (ie, to all surveys), n=150 (82% women). Respondents had similar age, gender distribution and disease duration throughout the 15-year study period with overlapping 95% CIs. Women and men responding to all five surveys were of similar age (64.0, SD 10.1 years vs 64.5, SD 10.3 years, p=0.83.). Patients responding for the first time to the consecutive surveys after 1994 were mainly newly included in ORAR with an approximately 3:1 female:Male ratio.

From 1994 to 2009 the years of education gradually increased, there was a trend towards fewer patients with co-morbidity and the proportion of seropositive patients increased. The female:Male ratio was approximately 3:1 at all examination points. Women had a longer disease duration than men on most examination points. There were no statistically significant gender differences at any examination point for age, education or seropositivity. Reporting of co-morbidities was similar between genders, with no statistically significant differences at all but one (2004) time points. A total of 83 (38.6%) men and 207 (28.9%) women smoked in 1994 (p=0.01 for gender difference) and these numbers were reduced to 53 (23.2%) men and 170 (22.4%) women in 2009 (p=0.74 for gender difference).

Self-reported use of antirheumatic medication is presented in table 2. The proportion of patients using glucocorticosteroids remained stable through the first 10 years but was decreased in 2009. The use of sDMARDs and bDMARDs increased towards 2009.

There was no gender difference in use of glucocorticosteroids, sDMARDs or bDMARDs at any examination point (all p>0.05), and the trends of increasing use of sDMARDs and bDMARDs and less use of glucocorticosteroids in 2009 was seen for both genders. In 2009, 509/587 (86.7%) patients using sDMARDs used methotrexate, with no statistically significant gender difference (387 (50.9%) women vs 122 (53.7%) men, p=0.45).

Disease related variables over time
Mean values with 95% CIs for MHAQ, SF-36 PCS, VAS PtGA, VAS pain, VAS fatigue, SF-6D and SF-36 MCS from 1994–2009 are presented in table 3. For all PROs, except SF-36 MCS, the overall scores were improved in 2009 compared to 1994 (non-overlapping 95% CIs).

Statistically significant cross-sectional gender differences for MHAQ, SF-36 PCS, VAS PtGA, VAS pain, VAS fatigue and SF-6D were observed in 1994 and 1996 (all p≤0.01 and with non-overlapping 95% CIs). From 1994 to 2009 women improved more than men and the gender gaps were reduced for all PROs. In 2009 the 95% CIs for men and women overlapped for all PROs (table 3).

These findings were further explored for change over time by mixed model analyses. Results are presented for all patients in figure 1 and separate for men and women in figure 2. The p values in figures 1 and 2 represent level of significance for the changes from 1994 to the indicated time points.
Table 1  Demographic data and disease related characteristics of patients in the Oslo rheumatoid arthritis register 1994–2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responders, n (%)</td>
<td>931 (70.8)</td>
<td>1025 (74.5)</td>
<td>829 (58.5)</td>
<td>914 (62.9)</td>
<td>986 (59.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responders (women)</td>
<td>716 (76.9)</td>
<td>797 (77.8)</td>
<td>639 (77.1)</td>
<td>721 (78.9)</td>
<td>758 (76.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>Overall 60.6 (59.7 to 61.5)</td>
<td>60.8 (60.0 to 61.7)</td>
<td>60.8 (59.9 to 61.7)</td>
<td>58.7 (57.8 to 59.6)</td>
<td>59.4 (58.6 to 60.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 60.5 (59.4 to 61.5)</td>
<td>60.4 (59.5 to 61.4)</td>
<td>60.4 (59.4 to 61.4)</td>
<td>58.6 (57.6 to 59.6)</td>
<td>59.5 (58.6 to 60.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 60.9 (59.1 to 62.8)</td>
<td>62.2 (60.4 to 63.9)</td>
<td>62.0 (60.1 to 63.9)</td>
<td>59.3 (57.5 to 61.1)</td>
<td>59.0 (57.5 to 60.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>Overall 12.6 (11.9 to 13.3)</td>
<td>13.8 (13.1 to 14.4)</td>
<td>13.9 (13.2 to 14.6)</td>
<td>13.6 (12.9 to 14.2)</td>
<td>13.7 (13.0 to 14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 13.2 (12.4 to 14.1)</td>
<td>14.3 (13.5 to 15.1)</td>
<td>14.3 (13.5 to 15.2)</td>
<td>13.8 (13.0 to 14.6)</td>
<td>14.1 (13.3 to 14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 10.5 (9.2 to 11.8)</td>
<td>11.9 (10.7 to 13.2)</td>
<td>12.5 (11.1 to 13.9)</td>
<td>12.6 (11.2 to 14.0)</td>
<td>12.3 (11.0 to 13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>Overall 11.5 (11.3 to 11.7)</td>
<td>11.3 (11.1 to 11.5)</td>
<td>11.9 (11.7 to 12.1)</td>
<td>12.4 (12.2 to 12.6)</td>
<td>12.8 (12.6 to 13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 11.4 (11.2 to 11.7)</td>
<td>11.2 (11.0 to 11.5)</td>
<td>11.8 (11.6 to 12.1)</td>
<td>12.3 (12.1 to 12.6)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.6 to 13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 11.6 (11.1 to 12.1)</td>
<td>11.5 (11.1 to 12.0)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.7 to 12.7)</td>
<td>12.7 (12.2 to 13.2)</td>
<td>12.7 (12.2 to 13.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbidity,* n (%)</td>
<td>Overall 559 (60.0)</td>
<td>649 (63.3)</td>
<td>475 (57.3)</td>
<td>519 (56.8)</td>
<td>560 (56.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 428 (59.8)</td>
<td>516 (64.7)</td>
<td>361 (56.5)</td>
<td>394 (54.6)</td>
<td>427 (56.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 131 (60.9)</td>
<td>133 (58.3)</td>
<td>114 (60.0)</td>
<td>125 (64.8)</td>
<td>133 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositive† n (%)</td>
<td>Overall 426 (45.8)</td>
<td>473 (46.1)</td>
<td>381 (46.0)</td>
<td>445 (48.7)</td>
<td>549 (55.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 324 (45.3)</td>
<td>383 (45.5)</td>
<td>297 (46.5)</td>
<td>346 (48.0)</td>
<td>419 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 102 (47.4)</td>
<td>110 (48.2)</td>
<td>84 (44.2)</td>
<td>99 (51.3)</td>
<td>130 (57.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents: n (%) of patients asked to fill in the questionnaires.

Crude means with 95% CIs if not stated otherwise. p Values refer to differences between men and women.

*Patients with ≥1 co-morbidity.
†Positive for rheumatoid factor, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptides antibodies, or both.

Overall improvement over the 15-year period was statistically significant for MHAQ, SF-36 PCS, VAS pain, PtGA and fatigue and SF-6D (all p<0.001, figure 1), but not for SF-36 MCS. Statistically significant improvement over 15 years was also observed for MHAQ, SF-36 PCS, VAS pain and VAS PtGA (both genders, all p<0.01), VAS fatigue and SF-6D (women, both p<0.001) and SF-6D (men, p=0.01) (figure 2). The gender gap was reduced as indicated by decreasing differences between women and men over time (table 3, Δ females–males). The gender difference in mean MHAQ score was reduced from 0.23 to 0.07 (table 3) and the gradually reduced distance between the 95% CIs for men and women is clearly demonstrated in figure 2.

**Table 2** Use of antirheumatic medication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994 (n=931)</th>
<th>1996 (n=1025)</th>
<th>2001 (n=829)</th>
<th>2004 (n=914)</th>
<th>2009 (n=986)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticosteroids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>379 (40.7)</td>
<td>436 (42.5)</td>
<td>354 (42.7)</td>
<td>379 (41.5)</td>
<td>341 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>293 (40.9)</td>
<td>340 (42.7)</td>
<td>267 (41.8)</td>
<td>301 (41.7)</td>
<td>265 (35.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>86 (40.0)</td>
<td>96 (42.1)</td>
<td>87 (45.8)</td>
<td>78 (40.4)</td>
<td>76 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sDMARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>339 (36.4)</td>
<td>405 (39.5)</td>
<td>401 (48.4)</td>
<td>495 (54.2)</td>
<td>587 (59.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>266 (37.2)</td>
<td>316 (39.6)</td>
<td>312 (48.8)</td>
<td>391 (54.2)</td>
<td>448 (59.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>73 (34.0)</td>
<td>89 (39.0)</td>
<td>89 (46.8)</td>
<td>104 (53.9)</td>
<td>139 (61.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bDMARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27 (3.3)</td>
<td>108 (11.8)</td>
<td>202 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24 (3.8)</td>
<td>88 (12.2)</td>
<td>157 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
<td>20 (10.4)</td>
<td>45 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values: n (%). p Values for difference between men and women at all time points were non-significant (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

The present study in a representative RA population shows that health status continues to improve over 15 years (table 2 and figure 1). For the first time, we demonstrate a reduced gender gap over time in physical disability, pain, PtGA and utility (SF-6D) over a 15-year period in that women improved more than men (table 3 and figure 2). The use of antirheumatic medication was similar between genders. There was a generally marked increase in use of bDMARDs from 3.1% in 2001 to 20.5% in 2009 and sDMARDs from 36.4% in 1994 to 59.5% in 2009, documenting the impact of increased availability of medication and adherence to new treatment recommendations between 1994 and 2009.

Our patients present with manifestations of milder disease over the 15 years, extending similar results from previous studies with a shorter time frame. A German collaboration...
study from 1997–2007 demonstrated lower disease activity, less painful and swollen joints and reduced sick-leave in RA patients with improved RA treatment.30 Krishnan et al31 reported lower disability after the introduction of sDMARDs and further improvement after bDMARDs introduction. Our approach was to follow PROs in an RA population in Oslo, Norway, with repeated cross-sectional measurements. In contrast to our observation of improving health status during recent years in an RA register, patients with RA would generally experience deteriorating physical function (HAQ) of 0.01–0.03 units per year.11–13 A Finnish study observed similar progression rates for HAQ in general population and RA patients <70 years old from 2000–2005.14

A study from the Danish DANBIO register reported a better response among men than women to bDMARDs in early, but not in established RA.15 Our observation of women reporting more somatic symptoms than men in 1994 is consistent with results from other studies.32–33 However, comparing these populations directly may not be feasible due to varying inclusion/exclusion criteria, follow-up schedules, sample sizes and measured PROs. Björk et al used longitudinal data from Sweden and the USA to compare disability,34 and suggested that
disability reporting may be influenced by the psychosocial and cultural context. There has also been some concerns whether the observed gender differences in RA may originate from the disease activity measures rather than actual gender differences in RA disease activity. In the present study we repeatedly used the same disease activity measures over 15 years and the women reported significantly more improvement than men. This finding suggests that the observed gender difference in 1994 and indications of a closing gender gap are real, and not related to methods inherent to assessment of health status. An important and clinically relevant finding of our study is the continuously improved physical function, especially for women (mean change MHAQ from 1994 to 2009: overall −0.25, women −0.29, and men −0.13). A difference of between 0.22 and 0.25 in HAQ and MHAQ is suggested as the minimally clinical important difference (MCID). Pope et al suggest MCID for HAQ in RA clinical practice may be even smaller (−0.20) than in randomised controlled trials.

Translating this threshold into simple language, our representative RA population has experienced a clinically important improvement of the physical burden from RA over the 15 years. This is an important message and can be considered as a reward for improved treatment strategies, that is, earlier diagnosis and treatment with sDMARDs, access to new therapies and possibly better informed patients with improved treatment adherence during the last 15 years. The level of pain was reduced over the 15-year study period in the range of about 10 mm on a 100 mm VAS scale: −12 mm overall, −12.9 mm for women and −8.8 mm for men. VAS pain is the best evaluated pain measure in RA, and Wolfe and Michaud reported a minimally clinical important change for VAS pain (0–10) in RA of 0.5–1.1, corresponding to 5–11 mm on a 100 mm VAS. Thus, the ORAR patients also experienced a clinically important improvement in pain on a group level.

Figure 1 Overall trends in patient reported outcomes from 1994 to 2009 in the Oslo rheumatoid arthritis register. Means with 95% CIs calculated by a mixed model approach; adjusted for age=60.0 years, disease duration=13.7 years and gender. p Values compared to 1994 with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. MHAQ, Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (0–3, 0 best); SF-36, Short Form 36 questionnaire (0–100, 100 best); SF-36 PCS, SF-36 physical component summary; VAS, visual analogue scale (0–100, 100 best); SF-6D, SF-36 utility (0.29–1.00, 1.00 best).
which is particularly important since pain is the most important symptom from a patient perspective. PrGA also improved statistically significantly in our population. A widely accepted definition MCID in PrGA would be difficult to validate across populations as a number of factors (eg, ethnicity, education, initial disease activity etc) could influence this outcome measure.

Utility (SF-6D, a measure of disease burden combining life quality and duration) improved 0.056 over the 15 years and more for women than men (0.062 and 0.041, respectively). Walters and Brazier reported that a mean minimally important difference for SF-6D is 0.041, indicating clinically important improvements in utility during our time frame of 15 years. Our results indicate that 100 RA patients gained 5.6 quality adjusted life years (QALYs), that is, overall, 100 RA patients gained an additional 5.6 years of ‘perfect health’ in 2009 vs 1994. This information of improved health may be relevant for payers and decision makers who have to make priorities about costs across different disease areas.

Increased use of sDMARDs and bDMARDs coincides with the improved health status, but our study was not designed to show a causal relationship between medication and improved PROs. Uncertainty about causality is raised by the finding that women improved more than men without differences in use of medication (sDMARDs and bDMARDs). Another study found men to respond better to bDMARDs than women in early RA, suggesting that other, yet unidentified factors, may influence PROs.

There may be different mechanisms underlying the reduced gender gap observed. We did not find any age difference between genders, but women had longer disease duration than men. Considering the natural development of RA, a higher impact of disease would be expected in later stages of RA. Onset of RA is on average earlier in women than men. Thus, at the same age women would be expected to have longer disease duration than men and therefore report a higher accrued disease impact. Referral delay for women versus men has been

Figure 2 Trends in patient reported outcomes for men and women from 1994 to 2009 in the Oslo rheumatoid arthritis register (ORAR). Means with 95% CIs calculated by a mixed model approach; adjusted for age=60.0 years, disease duration=13.7 years and gender. p Values compared to 1994 with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. MHAQ, Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (0–3, 0 best); SF-36, Short Form 36 questionnaire (0–100, 100 best); SF-36 PCS, SF-36 physical component summary; VAS, visual analogue scale (0–100, 100 best); SF-6D, SF-36 utility (0.29–1.00, 1.00 best).
reported, but ORAR does not record time from symptom onset to diagnosis and/or treatment initiation. A strength of our study is that the RA population has been validated and found to be representative of all patients with RA in Oslo under the age of 80 years. Further, data were collected at five time points over 15 years and applying different instruments measuring the same health dimensions. The consistency of the findings across instruments for the same dimensions increases the validity and robustness of the reported findings. Our patient population is unselected and includes patients regardless of disease activity or whether patients met for routine control appointments. Finally, this repeated cross-sectional study provides an opportunity to also study the gender specific development in health status during a period with major improvement in treatment strategies and access to new and more targeted therapies.

The study also has some limitations. We collected only PRos and did not have the opportunity to compare disease activity and study joint damage over time. However, in a previous study and did not have the opportunity to compare disease activity or whether patients met for routine control appointments. Finally, this repeated cross-sectional study provides an opportunity to also study the gender specific development in health status during a period with major improvement in treatment strategies and access to new and more targeted therapies.

In conclusion, we demonstrated improvement in crude PRos for disability, physical function, pain, global disease activity and fatigue from 1994 to almost all subsequent time points. Longitudinal analyses demonstrated statistically significant improvement for all PRos except SF-36 MCS from 1994 onwards, coinciding with increased disability, physical function, pain, global disease activity and fatigue. Importantly, women improved more than men and the statistically significant gender gap observed in 1994 was considerably diminished at the final assessment in 2009.
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