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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the long-term safety (up to 3 years) of treatment with pegloticase in patients with refractory chronic gout.

Methods This open-label extension (OLE) study was conducted at 46 sites in the USA, Canada and Mexico. Patients completing either of two replicate randomised placebo-controlled 6-month trials received pegloticase 8 mg every 2 weeks (biweekly) or every 4 weeks (monthly). Safety was evaluated as the primary outcome, with special interest in gout flares and infusion-related reactions (IRs). Secondary outcomes included urate-lowering and clinical efficacy.

Results Patients (n=149) received a mean±SD of 28±10 pegloticase infusions and were followed for a mean of 25±11 months. Gout flares and IRs were the most frequently reported adverse events; these were least common in patients with a sustained urate-lowering response to treatment and those receiving biweekly treatment. In 10 of the 11 patients with a serious IR, the event occurred when uric acid exceeded 6 mg/dl. Plasma and serum uric acid levels remained <6 mg/dl in most randomised controlled trial (RCT)-defined pegloticase responders throughout the OLE study and were accompanied by sustained and progressive improvements in tophus resolution and flare incidence.

Conclusions The safety profile of long-term pegloticase treatment was consistent with that observed during 6 months of RCT treatment; no new safety signals were identified. Improvements in clinical status, in the form of flare and tophus reduction initiated during RCT pegloticase treatment in patients maintaining goal range urate-lowering responses were sustained or advanced during up to 2.5 years of additional treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Urate-lowering therapy is the mainstay of chronic gout management and aims at achieving and maintaining sub-saturating serum uric acid (SUA) concentrations, most often recommended as ≤0.36 mmol/l (≤6 mg/dl).1 2 Long-term maintenance of goal range SUA is associated with depletion of urate crystals in synovial fluid3 4 and reductions in tophus size and flare frequency.5 7 Because the rates of achieving these improvements are related to the degree of urate-lowering,4 6 8 the optimal therapeutic target may be substantially lower than 6 mg/dl in patients with severe manifestations of chronic gout,9 prompting one recommendation for a target SUA of <5 mg/dl.9

Up to 3% of the estimated eight million patients with gout in the USA10 fail urate-lowering management with standard-of-care therapies (oral xanthine oxidase inhibitors) because of drug intolerance/contraindication or treatment refractoriness.11–15 Such patients are at risk of progression to increasing flare recurrences, gouty arthropathy, destructive and deforming tophi and chronic pain, frequently accompanied by impaired physical function and poor health-related quality of life. Until recently these patients had few or no effective urate-lowering options to prevent or reverse gout progression. Pegloticase, a mammalian recombinant uricase conjugated to monomethoxy(polyethylene glycol) (mPEG), was developed as an enzymatic alternative for the treatment of patients with gout refractory to conventional oral therapies and was approved in the USA in 2010.14–16 When administered intravenously, pegloticase reduces the urate concentration in the intravascular space to below the limit of solubility (6.8 mg/dl). The resulting reduction in extracellular soluble urate concentration is hypothesised to favour dissolution of deposited urate crystals, resulting in progressive normalisation of body urate pools and improvements in clinical signs and symptoms of gout.17–18

The tolerability and efficacy of pegloticase treatment in patients with refractory chronic gout were demonstrated in replicate 6-month randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trials (RCTs).17 Pegloticase administered every 2 weeks (biweekly) or every 4 weeks (monthly) produced treatment responses (plasma uric acid (PUA)<6 mg/dl for ≥80% of the time during months 3 and 6) in 42% and 35% of patients, respectively, compared with 0% for patients receiving placebo. PUA normalised within 24 h of the first pegloticase infusion in all patients, but in non-responders the urate-lowering response was lost over time. Infusion-related reactions (IRs) were the most common reason for discontinuations in the RCTs (10% of biweekly treated patients and 13% of monthly treated patients).17 This report focuses on the long-term open-label extension (OLE) of the RCTs which provided an additional 2.5 years of safety data.

METHODS

Study design and patients

The OLE (NCT01356498) enrolled patients at 46 centres in the USA, Canada and Mexico who had completed either of the two RCTs (NCT01356498, NCT00525195).17 The OLE was conducted from
December 2006 to July 2009; compliance and permission information is provided in the online supplementary material. Protocol amendments extended the OLE from 12 months to a maximum of 50 months. Figure 1 summarises the OLE study design, inclusion criteria (exclusion criteria are presented in the online supplementary material), end points and evaluations. Delays in treatment between the randomised trials and the OLE resulted from administrative requirements, such as time for Institutional Review Board approvals and site implementation. Except where specifically indicated, patients were categorised for analysis purposes according to the first dosing regimen administered during the OLE study.

Details of safety evaluations and prophylaxis regimens for IRs and flares are provided in the online supplementary material. As IRs were one of the events of interest, physical examinations and monitoring of vital signs were performed at the time of all IRs and medical intervention was provided as appropriate. For IRs occurring during infusions, the infusion could be discontinued, slowed by half, or interrupted and later restarted at a slower rate.

Immunogenicity was assessed from serum collected every 12 weeks using a validated ELISA to detect IgG and IgM pegloticase antibody. Antibody titres were categorised as low (≤1:2450) or high (>1:2450), consistent with the RCTs. Tophus monthly pegloticase group and all 39 patients from the placebo completers entered the OLE study, including 57 (97%) patients these, 157 patients (74%) completed the RCTs and 151 (96% of appropriate. For IRs occurring during infusions, the infusion could be discontinued, slowed by half, or interrupted and later restarted at a slower rate.

Immunogenicity was assessed from serum collected every 12 weeks using a validated ELISA to detect IgG and IgM pegloticase antibody. Antibody titres were categorised as low (≤1:2450) or high (>1:2450), consistent with the RCTs. Tophus complete response was defined as 100% reduction in the measured area of at least one tophus of baseline diameter ≥5 mm without growth of any other baseline tophus or appearance of any new tophus.

**Statistical analysis**
Safety and efficacy end points were evaluated using descriptive statistics in the intent-to-treat population, which included all patients who received at least one dose of pegloticase during the OLE study and had follow-up data. Demographic and baseline clinical values are described in the online supplementary material.

**RESULTS**

**Patient disposition**
A total of 225 patients were enrolled in the two RCTs and 212 patients were included in the primary efficacy analysis. Of these, 157 patients (74%) completed the RCTs and 151 (96% of completers) entered the OLE study, including 57 (97%) patients from the biweekly pegloticase group, 55 (98%) from the monthly pegloticase group and all 39 patients from the placebo group (figure 2). All patients received pegloticase in the OLE study, except for two patients who chose observation only after receiving monthly pegloticase in the RCTs. For patients treated with pegloticase in the RCTs, a higher proportion of those defined as responders than those defined as non-responders completed the OLE study, as did a higher proportion of patients allocated to placebo in the RCTs who then received biweekly pegloticase compared with those who received monthly pegloticase (figure 2). The most common reasons for discontinuing treatment during the OLE study were adverse events (AEs) in 18% (27/149) of patients and loss of urate-lowering response in 9% (13/149).

**Patients**
The OLE study population had a mean age of 56.8 years (range 30–89) and 79% were men. Most patients were white (69%), African-American (13%) or Hispanic/Latino (11%). Risk factors were common; the most common were cardiovascular (94%) of patients had at least one cardiovascular risk factor, most commonly hypertension, 72%, obesity (body mass index (BMI) ≥30 kg/m², 65%) and dyslipidaemia (52%). Chronic kidney disease (creatine clearance <1.0 ml/s) was present in 26% of patients. Less commonly occurring risk factors and concomitant medications are described in the online supplementary material.

**Exposure to pegloticase**
Patients received a mean of 28 pegloticase infusions (median 26; range 1–59) during the OLE study, with RCT responders receiving more infusions than non-responders (mean 35 vs 26). When exposure to pegloticase was pooled from the RCT and OLE studies, patients received a mean of 55 infusions (range 1–70). Thirty of 67 patients (45%) who started on monthly pegloticase switched to biweekly treatment at some point during the OLE study; only 12% (10/82) switched from biweekly to monthly treatment. Overall, patients remained in the OLE study for a mean of 25 months (median 29 months; range 0–37 months, including a mandated end-of-study observation period (no treatment) for a maximum of 6 months).

**Safety**
**Adverse events (AEs)**
Nearly all patients (98%) had at least one AE during the OLE study (table 1). The overall incidence of AEs did not differ between responders and non-responders from the RCTs or for patients initially on placebo who started pegloticase biweekly.
versus monthly. Both gout flares and IRs occurred at a lower rate with the biweekly regimen during the OLE study (2.7 per patient per year vs 4.7 with the monthly regimen and 1.3 per patient per year vs 2.1, respectively).

The incidence of gout flares during the OLE study was lowest for responders who had received biweekly pegloticase in the RCTs and highest for RCT non-responders to monthly pegloticase and patients treated with placebo in the RCTs. The incidence of IRs in the OLE study was lowest for RCT responders regardless of pegloticase schedule and highest for RCT non-responders to monthly pegloticase and RCT placebo patients starting monthly pegloticase. Eleven patients (7%) discontinued pegloticase due to AEs, including seven patients with IRs; six of these seven patients were RCT pegloticase non-responders or RCT placebo-treated patients. Among all patients with AEs judged as treatment-related by the investigators (66%, 99/149), only gout flares and IRs were reported in >5% of patients.

Most AEs (55% of patients) were investigator-rated as moderate in intensity. Overall, 56% (54/149) of patients had severe AEs, of which 17% were treatment-related, most commonly IRs (7%) or gout flares (7%). Of note, no RCT pegloticase responder had a severe treatment-related IR or a severe gout flare. The highest rates of severe IRs and flares (26% for both; 6/23) were reported in patients treated with biweekly pegloticase during the OLE study who had received placebo in the RCTs. Assessments of haematology, clinical chemistry and urinalysis identified no significant change from baseline (except for UA) in any of the subgroups defined by response to pegloticase or pegloticase administration schedule.

Twenty-four adjudicated cardiovascular events in 21 patients were identified in the OLE study by the independent expert committee. These events occurred in responders and non-responders with no apparent relationship to the duration of treatment or time since the last pegloticase infusion. Eight of these events occurred in patients who were being observed and had not received pegloticase for >30 days.

Serious AEs and deaths
Approximately one-third of patients (34%, 51/149) experienced a total of 106 serious AEs during the OLE study. Thirteen patients who reported serious AEs discontinued treatment. Among the 13 serious AEs considered possibly related to study drug, there were 11 IRs (one serious AE of IR was judged unlikely to be related to the study drug), one skin necrosis (severe) and one nephrolithiasis (moderate). Among the 11 serious AEs of IR, all but one occurred when the UA values

---

**Figure 2** Disposition for all patients entering the open-label extension (OLE) study. ITT, intention-to-treat; RCT, randomised controlled trial.

**Table 1** Summary of AEs during the OLE study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs in the OLE study</th>
<th>All treated patients (N=149)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects with any AE</td>
<td>146 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects with any serious AE</td>
<td>51 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects with serious AEs considered related to pegloticase</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuations due to AE</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most common AEs (incidence &gt;10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gout flare</td>
<td>106 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion-related reaction</td>
<td>65 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>29 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in extremity</td>
<td>26 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>25 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral oedema</td>
<td>21 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>20 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>16 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AE, adverse event; OLE, open-label extension.
exceeded 6 mg/dl. Four deaths occurred during the OLE study, all of which were judged as unlikely to be related to the study drug by the investigator (see online supplementary material).

IRs
During the RCTs, IRs were the second most common AE and were reported in 26% of patients receiving biweekly pegloticase and 42% of patients receiving monthly pegloticase. In the OLE, IRs were reported in 44% (60/149) of patients. The rate of IRs was lower in RCT responders (17%, 10/60) than in non-responders (52%, 26/50) and highest among patients who received placebo in the RCT (62%, 24/39). IRs were rated mild in 27% (16/60) of patients, moderate in 55% (33/60) and severe in 18% (11/60). IRs rated as severe in the OLE occurred in four patients, none of whom sustained goal range urate lowering, and in seven patients who received placebo in the RCT. IRs were the reason cited for withdrawal from the study for 6% (9/149) of patients.

Except for three patients with IRs manifesting in the 2 h period after infusion, all IRs occurred during infusion. The most common signs and symptoms associated with IRs were musculoskeletal pain/discomfort, flushing, erythema, nausea/vomiting, dyspnoea, sweating, headache, blood pressure changes, urticaria and pruritus. All IRs resolved with supportive measures and no patient required intubation, mechanical ventilatory support, pressors or hospitalisation. Three patients were found to have anaphylaxis based on a retrospective analysis. Symptoms included red, itching or swollen eyes, throat irritation, musculoskeletal symptoms, skin flushing, hypotension, dizziness and vomiting.

Immunogenicity
A total of 31% (52/169) of patients treated with pegloticase had high pegloticase antibody titres (>1:2430) during the RCTs. High-titre antibodies were identified in 53% (67/127) of patients at the week 13 assessment of the OLE; this number increased by the end of the study (antibody titres >1:2430 in 60%; 90/149). Only one patient had evidence of in vitro neutralising antibodies against uricase activity; this was measurable at only one time point. Consistent with findings from the RCTs, low titres (≤1:2430) of anti-pegloticase antibodies were less likely to be associated with loss of SUA response (see online supplementary material for supporting data).

Efficacy
Serum uric acid response
The concordance of PUA and SUA (collected for all patients at the same time points) for values above and below the target of 6 mg/dl was 95% in samples collected during the OLE study. The efficacy data reported here focus on SUA because of its ready accessibility in clinical settings. The overall mean±SD SUA at baseline was 10.1±1.4 mg/dl. Most responders to biweekly and monthly pegloticase in the RCTs maintained SUA<6 mg/dl throughout the OLE study (figure 3A). Among

Figure 3  Percentage of patients with serum uric acid (SUA) <6 mg/dl by study week, uric acid responder status in the randomised controlled trial (RCT) and pegloticase dose regimen. (A) All patients who entered the open-label extension (OLE) study after receiving pegloticase during the RCTs. Subgroups were defined by SUA response during the RCTs. (B) All patients who entered the OLE study after receiving placebo during the RCT and therefore had their first exposure to pegloticase in the OLE study. Subgroups are defined by the pegloticase dose administered at OLE entry.
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patients randomised to placebo in the RCTs, biweekly pegloticase administration in the OLE study produced greater reductions in mean SUA and a greater proportion of patients maintaining SUA<6 mg/dl than monthly pegloticase (figure 3B).

Tophus response

Tophus burden continued to decrease during ongoing pegloticase therapy. At the end of the RCTs, a complete response in at least one tophus was reported for 40% (21/52) of patients with tophi treated with biweekly pegloticase, 21% (11/52) of those treated with monthly pegloticase and 7% (2/27) of those treated with placebo. By OLE week 15 a complete response in at least one tophus was reported for 45% (56/120) of patients with tophi at RCT inception. At the final OLE visit (week 125), 60% (56/94) of patients with evaluable tophi had a complete response. Patients with a sustained urate-lowering response to treatment were more likely to experience complete tophus resolution. A complete response was seen in 87% (52/60) of patients in the responder group and in 51% (26/51) designated non-responders on the basis of SUA measurements in the RCTs.

As prespecified in the protocol, each patient could have up to five measurable (and two additional) target or index tophi. Responses based on the total number of tophi were assessed to complement information on the proportion of patients with tophus complete response. After 1 year of pegloticase treatment in the OLE study, 61% (185/302) of all target tophi had completely resolved. Among patients who qualified as responders in the RCTs, a complete response of 70% (102/145) of all target tophi was achieved after 1 year of open-label treatment.

Gout flares

Gout flares occurred in 71% of patients (106/149) during the OLE study; the mean number of flares per patient per 3-month period was 0.5 over the duration of the trial. The highest flare rates occurred in 52% of patients (78/149; 1.1 flares/patient) during the first 3-month period. Flare rates diminished with continued treatment in the OLE among patients who were urate-lowering responders during the RCT compared with patients who were RCT non-responders (figure 4A,B). For example, in the cohort of patients sustaining goal urate-lowering on the biweekly pegloticase OLE dose schedule, flares diminished substantially (occurring in 26% (9/35) during months 1–3 of the OLE study, 9% (3/36) during months 10–12 and 3% (1/29) during months 22–24).

DISCUSSION

Pegloticase is a PEGylated mammalian recombinant uricase that was developed to control hyperuricaemia and its clinical manifestations in patients with refractory chronic gout and no other urate-lowering options. In the present OLE of two RCTs, long-term treatment with pegloticase was safe and generally well tolerated, especially in patients who had experienced sustained goal range urate-lowering responses during blinded treatment. In addition to maintaining markedly sub-saturating urate levels, these patients showed progressive clinical benefit in gout flares and tophus reduction during pegloticase treatment for an average of 2 years in the OLE study.
Target range SUA was achieved for 55% of all patients at week 25 of the OLE study. This is consistent with findings from the RCTs in which 42% of patients treated with biweekly pegloticase showed sustained urate lowering for 6 months.17 Conversely, patients losing urate-lowering efficacy did so within the first few months of treatment in the RCTs, and urate levels for this cohort remained above target for the duration of the OLE study. About one-third of patients who did not sustain SUA<6 mg/dl in the RCTs retained clinical benefit during the OLE study with at least one tophus remaining resolved. However, this finding could be explained by an initial response followed by a protracted period needed for sufficient reaccumulation of crystal deposits to become clinically detectable.

A post hoc analysis of the RCTs revealed relationships between loss of urate-lowering efficacy, risk of IRs and development of high-titre pegloticase antibodies.17 Because of binding of urate levels during the RCTs, the relationship between loss of urate-lowering efficacy and the risk of pegloticase infusion reactions was not appreciated until several months after initiation of the OLE study. As a result, some patients who were RCT pegloticase non-responders continued receiving pegloticase during the OLE study, providing additional information with regard to these relationships. Among patients entering the OLE study, 71 had at least one IR during the randomised trials or the OLE study; 85% of these patients (60/71) experienced their first IR when SUA was >6 mg/dl. Overall, these data support the view that the great majority of IRs can be avoided if patients discontinue treatment when, with routine preinfusion monitoring of SUA, levels in excess of 6 mg/dl indicate a sustained loss of pegloticase urate-lowering efficacy.

There are limitations to this study. First, the open-label study design carries both well-documented value and some bias as a result of being uncontrolled.19 Second, the RCT protocol called for stratification of patients based on treatment response and dosing schedule. Although this provided the opportunity to follow safety and efficacy outcomes during the OLE study for patients with specific treatment histories, it also resulted in six distinct cohorts, each containing small numbers of patients. Nevertheless, the analyses of OLE study data indicate that patients who achieve treatment success throughout the first 6 months of treatment are likely to benefit from sustained long-term urate-lowering by pegloticase with favourable clinical responses. Conversely, patients not sustaining urate-lowering treatment responses to pegloticase infusions should not be expected to have treatment benefits (extending substantially beyond the period of urate-lowering initially achieved) and incur an increased risk of IRs. We recommend discontinuation of pegloticase therapy in such patients.

A final limitation is that patients were identified by their initial allocation to biweekly or monthly treatment in the RCTs but were allowed to change dosing frequency at two time points upon entering the OLE study. A higher proportion of patients switched from monthly to biweekly treatment than vice versa, however, indicating that most patients were receiving the US FDA-approved pegloticase biweekly regimen.

In summary, the safety profile of pegloticase in the OLE study was consistent with that observed in the RCTs with no evidence of new safety concerns related to long-term exposure. Efficacy findings further demonstrated that clinical improvements were durable and probably progressive during long-term therapy in patients with persistent goal range urate-lowering responses to pegloticase.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Methods

Study design approval and compliance

The OLE was conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation guidelines and Good Clinical Practice procedures and in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol, its amendments, and informed consents were approved by a local Institutional Review Board or by a central Institutional Review Board (IntegReview). All patients provided written informed consent and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act assurances before participating.

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria included cardiovascular instability, manifested by frequent angina, uncontrolled hypertension, or cardiac arrhythmia, or uncompensated congestive heart failure; renal dialysis; solid organ transplant; and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency.

Study discontinuation

A protocol amendment initiated during the trial specified discontinuation from pegloticase treatment for patients experiencing two or more IRs or a severe IR and uric acid (UA) >6 mg/dl. These patients could continue in the OLE in an observation-only cohort.

Prophylaxis for IRs and flares

Prophylaxis against IRs, administered before each pegloticase infusion, was defined in the study protocol as fexofenadine 60 mg the night before and morning of each infusion, acetaminophen 1000 mg the morning of infusion, and intravenous hydrocortisone 200 mg (or alternatively methylprednisolone 40 mg) immediately before infusion. After the first experience of an IR AE, subjects continuing in the study could be prescribed prednisone (20 mg the night before infusion) in addition to the standard premedication regimen. Patients receiving gout flare prophylaxis with colchicine, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
(NSAIDs), or both during the RCTs continued the same regimen for at least 3 months of participation in
the OLE study; thereafter, flare prophylaxis could be discontinued at investigator discretion. Gout flares
were treated with an anti-inflammatory regimen, pre-specified and analogous to that used during the
RCTs; options included colchicine, an NSAID and proton-pump inhibitor, or a corticosteroid.

Safety assessments
Laboratory testing (haematology, clinical chemistry, SUA and PUA, and urinalysis) was performed every
12 weeks during the OLE, and a complete physical examination was performed every 24 weeks. A 12-lead
electrocardiogram (ECG) was obtained whenever a severe IR or a suspected cardiopulmonary event
occurred. All AEs were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA), version
9.0. Cardiovascular safety was further evaluated by a 3-member expert cardiovascular committee, which
independently adjudicated all AEs with possible cardiovascular components and all deaths, as previously
described.[17]

Results
Baseline demographic and clinical variables
The following less commonly occurring risk factors were among the baseline demographic data: diabetes
(25%), cardiac arrhythmias (19%), coronary artery disease (19%), cardiac failure or left ventricular
dysfunction (14%), sleep apnea (12%), peripheral vascular disease (7%), cerebrovascular disease (5%),
and venous thromboembolic disease (5%). All patients received concomitant medications, most commonly
colchicine (68%), analgesics (68%), drugs acting on the renin-angiotensin system (62%), antibacterials
(62%), and drugs for gastroesophageal reflux (58%).

Demographic data were taken from the screening visit of the RCTs; baseline age, weight, and BMI were
measured prior to the first pegloticase infusion in the OLE study. Baseline clinical values were those
measured at the RCT screening visit for patients receiving pegloticase in the RCTs and those measured
prior to the first pegloticase infusion of the OLE study for patients randomised to placebo in the RCTs.
## Safety

### Deaths

**Supplemental table** Description of deaths in the pegloticase OLE study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient description</th>
<th>Pegloticase dose history</th>
<th>Time since last pegloticase dose</th>
<th>Narrative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87 y/o white male</td>
<td>biweekly in RCT and OLE</td>
<td>33 weeks</td>
<td>Patient was diagnosed with myelodysplastic syndrome in June 2009 and moved to the observation cohort of the OLE study. Patient died in February 2010 of progressive anemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 y/o African American female</td>
<td>monthly in RCT and biweekly in OLE</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>Patient had complex medical history including focal segmental glomerulonephritis, complications of peritoneal dialysis (peritonitis), and pancreatitis. Blood and peritoneal fluid were positive for oxacillin resistant <em>Staphylococcus aureus</em> and <em>Enterococcus</em>; she died of sepsis after 9 days of active intensive care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 y/o African American male</td>
<td>biweekly in RCT and monthly in OLE</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Patient had medical history of AIDS (HIV-2) with associated complications, chronic renal disease, jaundice, and diabetes. Patient died of pneumonia complicated by significant immunosuppressed state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 y/o white male</td>
<td>monthly in RCT and biweekly in OLE</td>
<td>5 weeks</td>
<td>Patient presented with necrotising skin lesions; biopsies showed inflammatory infiltrate with gram positive cocci. Patient was admitted 10 days later with hypotension; cultures of skin, blood, and olecranon bursa grew out Group A <em>Streptococcus</em>. Patient developed multisystem organ failure and died after withdrawal of life support. Diagnosis was cellulitis and sepsis secondary to <em>Streptococcal erysipelas</em>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Immunogenicity**
At the end of the OLE, 73% (46/63) of patients with SUA in target range had low antibody titres, and 27% (17/63) had high antibody titres. Conversely, high titres were associated with SUA levels ≥6 mg/dl (94%, or 73/78 patients with SUA exceeding target range, had high antibody titres) which were also associated with an increased likelihood for IRs (83%, or 50/60 patients with IRs, had high antibody titres).