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ABSTRACT

Introduction The authors examined how body mass index (BMI) across life is linked to the risk of midlife knee osteoarthritis (OA), testing whether prolonged exposure to high BMI or high BMI at a particular period has the greatest influence on the risk of knee OA.

Methods A population-based British birth cohort of 3035 men and women underwent clinical examination for knee OA at age 53 years. Heights and weights were measured 10 times from 2 to 53 years. Analyses were stratified by gender and adjusted for occupation and activity levels.

Results The prevalence of knee OA was higher in women than in men (12.9% [n=194] vs 7.4% [n=108]). In men, the association between BMI and knee OA was evident at 20 years (p=0.038) and remained until 53 years (OR per z-score 1.38 [95% CI 1.11 to 1.71]). In women, there was evidence for an association at 15 years (p=0.003); at 53 years, the OR was 1.89 (95% CI 1.59 to 2.24) per z-score increase in BMI. Changes in BMI from childhood to adolescence in men were also positively associated with knee OA. A structured modelling approach to disentangle the way in which BMI is linked to knee OA suggested that prolonged exposure to high BMI throughout adulthood carried the highest risk and that there was no additional risk conferred from adolescence onward as adult BMI had been accounted for.

Conclusion This study suggests that the risk of knee OA accumulates from exposure to a high BMI through adulthood.

INTRODUCTION

Regardless of study design (eg, cross-sectional, case-control or prospective cohort) or case definition, body mass index (BMI) is strongly and positively associated with knee osteoarthritis (OA) across its distribution,1 and there is some evidence of a relationship with disease progression.2–5 However, few studies have reported on its association with BMI at different ages6–11; among those studies that have, most have relied on recall of body size,8–12 and none has examined BMI across life from childhood to mid-adulthood. Thus, while the association between overweight and OA is considered causal through mechanical or metabolic pathways,13 the way in which lifetime BMI is linked with future OA remains unclear. For example, does cumulative exposure to high BMI over a prolonged period of time drive OA risk, or is there a period in life when carrying excess weight is particularly detrimental? This information is important for informing best practices on the prevention of OA and may provide an insight into pathways across life by which BMI is linked to OA.13

We use a general population-based British birth cohort study to examine the relationship between lifetime BMI and knee OA at 53 years. Our aims were to: (1) determine how early in life BMI is associated with knee OA; (2) examine the influence of changes in BMI during specific periods of life; (3) assess whether prolonged exposure to high BMI throughout life increases the risk of knee OA or whether being exposed to a high BMI at a particular stage of life is the most important way in which weight affects risk;14 and (4) assess whether these relationships differ by gender since some studies have reported stronger associations in women.6 9 12–15–18

METHODS

Study sample

The Medical Research Council National Survey of Health and Development is a socially stratified birth cohort of 2547 women and 2815 men who have been followed up since their birth in 1946. This paper uses information on knee OA that was collected when cohort members were 53 years of age and includes 3035 participants (1472 men and 1563 women). The majority (n=2989) were examined in their own homes by trained research nurses. Those who were successfully contacted were, in most respects, representative of the UK-born population of that age.19 Contact was not attempted for 1979 individuals who either had previously refused to take part, were living abroad, remained untraced since last contact at 43 years or had already died. Data collection received ethical approval from the Medical Research Council ethics committee, and informed consent was given by respondents at each wave.

Outcome

We used the American College of Rheumatology criteria for the clinical diagnosis of idiopathic knee OA,20 namely knee pain in either knee on most days for at least 1 month in the last year and at least two of the following: stiffness, crepitus, bony tenderness and bony enlargement. These items were assessed through a clinical examination conducted by a trained research nurse (see online supplementary text S1 for full details of the examination). As an indicator of functional limitations in those with knee OA, we also report the average time taken...
to complete 10 chair rises, which was performed using a standardised protocol.21

**Exposure**

Heights and weights were measured with standardised protocols at ages 2, 4, 7, 11, 15, 36, 43 and 53 years, and were self-reported prospectively at ages 20 and 26 years. We calculated BMI (weight \(\text{kg}/\text{height}^2 \text{m}^2\)) at each age and converted these into z-scores, normalising and standardising by age and sex using the LMS method.22

**Covariables**

The Registrar General’s classification of own occupation in adulthood at 43 years, categorised into manual and non-manual occupations, was used. To avoid reverse causation where symptoms of knee OA can cause individuals to modify their activity level, we used the level of participation in sports and recreational activities collected at age 36 years. This variable assessed the frequency and duration of participation in 27 activities (including badminton, swimming, football and jogging over the last month) split into three categories: inactive=no reported activity; less active=one to four times; more active=five or more times.

**Analysis**

Analyses were stratified by gender, with interactions assessed using Wald tests. We first plotted the mean lifetime BMI (z-score) in individuals with knee OA and estimated unadjusted and adjusted (controlling for activity and adult occupation) ORs for the association between BMI at each age and knee OA using logistic regression (aim 1). BMI was modelled using both standardised z-scores and raw units \(\text{kg/m}^2\). Z-scores allowed for a comparison of effect sizes across ages, uninfluenced by the widening distribution of BMI with age. Raw units were examined to allow for a comparison with other studies and to more directly capture the mechanical overload hypothesis.23 There was no evidence for a departure from linearity, as tested using quadratic terms and by inspecting plots of observed versus predicted values.

We examined the influence of changes in BMI during five specific life periods (childhood: 2–7 years; childhood to adolescence: 7–15 years; adolescence to young adulthood: 15–20 years; early adulthood: 20–36 years; mid-adulthood: 36–53 years) (aim 2) by adjusting for BMI at the start of each interval. The associations are therefore conditional on size at the start of the interval, removing any of the association due to BMI tracking. This conditional variable can be interpreted as BMI change above or below that expected in our population given earlier BMI.

We used a structured modelling approach24 to provide an insight into the way in which high BMI over life is associated with knee OA (aim 3). Each individual’s lifetime BMI trajectory was simplified according to whether or not they were in the top quartile of the sex-specific BMI distribution (high BMI) at age 15, 26 and 43 years, giving eight possible trajectories. These ages approximate adolescence, early adulthood and mid-adulthood, although similar results were found in a sensitivity analysis using other ages. The top quartile, rather than overweight cut-off (>25 kg/m²), was used to avoid zero cell counts in trajectory groups. The results were similar in a sensitivity analysis using the upper tertile (see online supplementary table S1). A saturated model was first fitted by allowing each possible trajectory to explain knee OA. Sets of model constraints were then imposed, with each corresponding to a type of life course risk model.14 In each case, the model with the constraints was tested against the saturated model using the \(\chi^2\) distribution, and the \(p\) value was used as an indicator of model fit. Higher \(p\) values reflect a better model fit since they indicate that the more parsimonious (constrained) model fits the data as well as the more complex (saturated) model. The life course models considered were as follows: (1) an accumulation model where only the duration of high BMI is allowed to explain knee OA (duration);
having OA. We extended this by additionally excluding those with unilateral OA. Stata (V.10.1) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS
At age 53 years, 302 (10.2%) individuals were classified with knee OA. The prevalence was higher in women than in men: 12.9% (n=194) versus 7.4% (n=108) (OR in women 1.84 (95% CI 1.44 to 2.36)); adjusting for BMI at age 53 years slightly attenuated this association (OR 1.76). Thirty-eight per cent of individuals with knee OA had bilateral symptoms, with little evidence of a gender difference in this proportion (p=0.1). Of individuals with knee OA, 16.2% were unable to take or complete the 10 chair rise test, compared to 4.1% of other participants (p<0.001). Those with knee OA who were able to complete 10 chair rises took, on average, 14% longer (95% CI 8.9% to 19.4%) than individuals without knee OA.

From age 36 years, women had faster BMI gains, and their BMI distribution was more positively skewed compared to men (see online supplementary figure S1). More men than women were in manual occupations (39% vs 29%, p<0.001). Women were less likely to participate in sports and recreational activities at age 36 years compared to men (% inactive: 41% vs 31%, p<0.001).

Life course BMI and knee OA
The BMI pattern among women who developed knee OA deviated from the rest of the population from 2 years such that, by age 53 years, they had a mean BMI that is 0.61 SD higher than that of women without knee OA (figure 1). The pattern was similar in men, but the accrual of extra weight began later (around 11–15 years), and the difference in BMI at 53 years between those with knee OA and those without knee OA was smaller (0.36 SD).

Figure 3 shows the association between BMI z-scores at each age and knee OA. Adjusting for occupation and activity only slightly attenuated the crude estimates (crude not shown). In men, the association was evident at 20 years (p=0.038) and remained until 53 years; there was no evidence for an association with BMI in childhood. In women, there was weak evidence for a positive association as early as 11 years and the difference in BMI at 53 years between those with knee OA and those without knee OA was smaller (0.36 SD).

To examine the influence of potential secondary OA on our findings, we repeated the analyses after excluding cases who had reported ever seeing a doctor about a knee injury on the limb diagnosed as having OA. We extended this by additionally excluding those with unilateral OA. Stata (V.10.1) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS
At age 53 years, 302 (10.2%) individuals were classified with knee OA. The prevalence was higher in women than in men: 12.9% (n=194) versus 7.4% (n=108) (OR in women 1.84 (95% CI 1.44 to 2.36)); adjusting for BMI at age 53 years slightly attenuated this association (OR 1.76). Thirty-eight per cent of individuals with knee OA had bilateral symptoms, with little evidence of a gender difference in this proportion (p=0.1). Of individuals with knee OA, 16.2% were unable to take or complete the 10 chair rise test, compared to 4.1% of other participants (p<0.001). Those with knee OA who were able to complete 10 chair rises took, on average, 14% longer (95% CI 8.9% to 19.4%) than individuals without knee OA.

From age 36 years, women had faster BMI gains, and their BMI distribution was more positively skewed compared to men (see online supplementary figure S1). More men than women were in manual occupations (39% vs 29%, p<0.001). Women were less likely to participate in sports and recreational activities at age 36 years compared to men (% inactive: 41% vs 31%, p<0.001).
On the normalised and standardised scale, the association between BMI and knee OA was 39% (p=0.035), 31% (p=0.051), 28% (p=0.089) and 37% (p=0.025) stronger in women than in men at ages 15, 36, 43 and 53 years, respectively (figure 2A). However, with the exception of the gender interaction at 15 years (p=0.043), these gender differences were almost entirely removed when raw BMI units (kg/m²) were used (figure 2B).

These results were qualitatively similar after removing cases of suspected secondary knee OA due to a knee injury (see online supplementary text S4.1). The associations between BMI and knee OA were larger but had a similar pattern across age and gender after further exclusion of unilateral knee OA (see online supplementary text S4.1).

Conditional BMI change
In men, conditional BMI gain from 15 to 20 years was associated with a higher risk of knee OA (figure 3), but there was no evidence of an association with gains in adulthood. However, adult BMI gains were important after excluding suspected secondary OA and unilateral knee OA (see online supplementary figures S4 and S7). In women, BMI increases from childhood to adolescence (7–15 years) and in adulthood were positively associated with knee OA (figure 3).

Life course models of knee OA risk
Tests of life course models suggested that there was no additional benefit from including an early BMI measure (15 years) once adult BMI has been accounted for, so we restricted these tests to BMI exposures at 26 and 43 years to increase statistical power. In men, the most parsimonious model with the most support (highest p value) included a single term for high BMI at 43 years (table 1), suggesting that BMI in mid-adulthood was most important in explaining knee OA risk. However, there was still strong support for an accumulation model (duration of exposure). In women, the accumulation (duration and age of exposure) model had the most support, with a high BMI at 43 years having a stronger association with knee OA (OR 2.64 (95% CI 1.80 to 3.87)) than a high BMI at 26 years (OR 1.51 (95% CI 1.02 to 2.24)).

**DISCUSSION**
Our study showed a linear relationship between BMI and midlife knee OA that was detectable around puberty in female subjects and in early adulthood in male subjects and persisted through life. While these associations appear to have their origins through BMI gains in childhood and adolescence, comparisons of different life course models suggest that the accumulation or duration of exposure in adult life is the main way by which high BMI influences knee OA risk. This implies that having a high BMI in childhood or adolescence is not independently associated with knee OA over and above that acting through BMI in adulthood.

**Strengths and limitations**
A key strength of this study is its prospective and longitudinal design, which allowed for a detailed examination of the influence of lifetime BMI on knee OA and minimised bias from recall, regression dilution and reverse causality, particularly with regards to associations at younger ages where many studies have relied on recall.6–12 However, with prevalent rather than incident cases, there is the possibility of some reverse causation bias at later ages. While knee OA might lead to reductions in habitual activity and increased BMI, thereby inflating our associations, it is also possible that some individuals with known OA will reduce their weight to manage their condition, attenuating these associations.

We used the American College of Rheumatology criteria for a symptom-based diagnosis of knee OA. While we did not assess radiographic change and have no data on reliability, there is good evidence to suggest that those with well-defined symptoms also have structural changes on radiographs.26 Furthermore, the internal validity of our case classification is supported by the reduced physical performance among cases and by the similar effect sizes we saw compared to other studies that used radiographic criteria.27 It thus seems unlikely that our findings would be qualitatively different if radiographic criteria were used. Lastly our results should be generalisable to a similarly aged UK population.28

**Comparison with other studies and interpretation**
Despite differences in populations, confounders considered and case definition, the effect size and linearity of the association between adult BMI and knee OA were similar to previous prospective studies1 6–8 10 15 18–29–32 (online supplementary table S2 reports associations in BMI categories). Several studies have reported associations between BMI at age 20 or 30 years and later knee OA7–10; however, with the exception of one case–control study of women, which found a weak association at...
school-leaving age, none has examined BMI at earlier ages. Our findings of associations in childhood for women and in young adulthood for men are thus new.

Conditional BMI gains in periods coinciding with steep adolescent rises in BMI (15–20 years in men and 7–15 years in women) were associated with an elevated risk of knee OA. Although excessive weight during these periods may result in mechanical alteration to the knee joint, it is also possible that the relationship is a consequence of an underlying metabolic predisposition to both adiposity and OA.

Whichever of these potential mechanisms is at play, our findings support the hypothesis that knee OA risk accumulates from prolonged exposure to high BMI throughout adulthood, with a high BMI in mid-adulthood carrying a slightly greater risk in women. Our sensitivity analysis excluded individuals with a previous knee injury and restricted to cases with bilateral involvement. Associations were generally stronger among this subset, supporting such an accumulation model for this particular disorder. The inclusion of cases of knee OA where BMI is not a component cause would dilute any attempt to disentangle the role of lifetime BMI among cases where it is a necessary component; this is possibly also reflected in the associations between weight gain and OA that, while evident in women, were only evident among the bilateral OA cases in men. No other studies have attempted to disentangle how BMI over life is related to knee OA using the methods employed here, although there is support for an accumulation model from studies that have shown a relationship between early adult BMI and knee OA after adjustment for later weight.

The higher risk conferred by adult BMI in women was explained by the scale and functional form of the BMI exposure in the sense that a z-score increase in women represents a larger shift in raw units of BMI than in men, as visible in the LMS method for constructing normalized growth standards. The LMS method for constructing normalized growth standards.

Women had a higher prevalence of knee OA, similar to that reported in population-based cohort studies and in primary care. Differences in BMI were unable to explain these gender-specific prevalence; reproductive hormone status presents one possible mechanism.

Implications

The importance of weight control throughout life as a means of primary prevention of knee OA is emphasised by our finding that BMI is associated with later knee OA as early as 11 years in women and 20 years in men, and by the suggestion that risk from a high BMI accumulates through adulthood. It is further emphasised by the tendency of BMI to track from childhood to adulthood, meaning that weight control interventions that start earlier in life may be more effective. However, our results also suggest that an individual can stop accumulating risk by reducing weight at any stage in adulthood.

Being overweight is a major factor in the aetiology of knee OA—the increase in knee OA risk per unit increase in BMI is similar to that reported for mortality from vascular disease (Prospective, 2009 479/id). Our findings extend our understanding of this relationship by highlighting the role of lifetime BMI in knee OA risk. Rising secular patterns of obesity (particularly in children), combined with our ageing population, mean that the prevalence of knee OA is likely to rise in future generations. Future research into the mechanisms of knee OA in obesity and into the effectiveness of weight control across life in preserving musculoskeletal health are essential to best inform how to tackle these trends.
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