Deletion of *LCE3C* and *LCE3B* genes at PSORS4 does not contribute to susceptibility to psoriatic arthritis in German patients

Ulrike Hüffmeier,¹ Xavier Estivill,^{2,3} Eva Riveira-Munoz,² Heiko Traupe,⁴ Jörg Wendler,⁵ Jörg Lohmann,⁶ Beate Böhm,⁷ Harald Burkhardt,⁷ André Reis¹

¹Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Erlangen, University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

²Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG) and Public Health and Epidemiology Network Biomedical Research Center (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain

³Pompeu Fabra University (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

⁴Department of Dermatology, University of Münster, Germany

⁵Rheumatologische Schwerpunktpraxis, Erlangen, Germany

⁶Psoriasis rehabilitation hospital, Bad Bentheim, Germany

⁷Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine II, Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Correspondence to

Professor A Reis, Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Erlangen, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, 91054 Erlangen, Germany; reis@humgenet.uni-erlangen.de

Accepted 21 April 2009

Published Online First

12 May 2009

ABSTRACT

Introduction Psoriasis susceptibility locus 4 (PSORS4) is a susceptibility locus for psoriasis vulgaris (PsV), a common inflammatory, hyperproliferative skin disorder. Recently, a deletion of 2 late cornified envelope (*LCE*) genes within epidermal differentiation complex on chromosome 1 was shown to be enriched in 1426 patients with PsV, suggesting compromised barrier function in deletion carriers. This genetic association was subsequently confirmed in a German cohort.

Methods In order to investigate whether this variant also predisposes to psoriatic arthritis (PsA), this deletion and 3 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in strong linkage disequilibrium with it were genotyped in a case-control cohort of 650 patients and 937 control individuals of German origin.

Results *LCE* deletion frequency did not significantly differ between patients with PsA and controls (65.0% vs 65.5%). Similarly, no evidence for association to the three SNPs was observed.

Discussion This is the first non-human leucocyte antigen (HLA) risk factor predisposing only to skin type of psoriasis, supporting the concept of partially overlapping but different aetiological factors underlying skin and joint manifestations.

INTRODUCTION

Psoriasis vulgaris (PsV) is a common inflammatory skin disorder characterised by epidermal hyperproliferation, altered keratinocyte differentiation and immunological processes (for example, invasion of granulocytes and of T cells in affected skin). About 30% of patients¹ develop an inflammatory joint disease, designated as psoriatic arthritis (PsA). Although the relative recurrence risk for relatives of patients with PsA is 3.5–13 times higher as compared with those of patients with PsV,^{2–6} indicating an even stronger genetic impact in PsA, most genetic risk factors identified for psoriasis so far; for example, variants in the interleukin 23 receptor (*IL23R*) pathway⁷ and variants in the genes *NAT9*, *SLC9A3R1* and *RAPTOR* at psoriasis susceptibility locus 2 (PSORS2) on chromosome 17q25⁸ do not account for the differences in, for example, sibling recurrence risk, or explain the different organ manifestations. It is of note, though, that frequency differences in the human leucocyte antigen (*HLA*)-C risk allele have been observed between patients with PsV and PsA.^{9–13}

PSORS4 was identified in a genome-wide linkage analysis,¹⁴ and this locus is of special interest for PsV since it comprises the epidermal differentiation complex (EDC), a group of genes expressed in the

upper strata of the epidermis. While several genes at PSORS4 (for example, *LOR*, *LCE1C*, *PGLYRP*, *SPRR* genes, *PRR9* genes and *IVL*) have been proposed to account for psoriasis susceptibility,^{15–18} very recently, a copy number variation (CNV) within the late cornified envelope (*LCE*) gene cluster was identified by a genome-wide scan using pooled DNAs. The deletion of 2 late cornified envelope genes (*LCE3C* and *LCE3B*) was shown to be enriched in 1426 patients with psoriasis and to be associated in a large family-based cohort.¹⁹ The results of the same study suggested that carriers of the deletion have a compromised repair response following barrier disruption in the skin.¹⁹

In the present work, we were interested in investigating whether the *LCE* deletion also contributes to susceptibility to PsA.

METHODS

We analysed a large case-control study comprising 650 patients with PsA, a subset of the 748 patients previously described.⁷ For this subset high-quality DNA from Qiagen column purification was available (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All 650 patients fulfilled the recently defined CASPAR (for 'CLASSification of Psoriatic ARthritis') criteria and were recruited by board certified rheumatologists. In comparison to the previously described, larger cohort,⁷ clinical characteristics were very similar: the mean (SD) age of onset for PsV was 28.2±13.0 years; 61.9% of the patients were men. For 78% of the patients, the diagnosis of PsA was made ≥3 years before recruitment and 96% of patients had a skin involvement ≥3 years before recruitment. Peripheral joint involvement was detectable in the majority of cases (619 or 95.2%); this was oligoarticular in 141 patients and polyarticular in 474 (21.7% and 72.9% of the entire cohort, respectively). Diagnosis of spinal involvement was based on symptoms of inflammatory back pain, characteristic clinical signs of restricted vertebral movement and/or sacroiliac pain upon physical examination, and a subsequent confirmation by radiographic signs of either sacroiliitis and/or spondylitis. Spinal involvement was observed in 132 patients, accounting for 20.3% of the PsA cohort. In these patients, sacroiliitis or spondylitis or both were partly associated with concomitant peripheral joint disease. The 937 control individuals were the same as previously reported.

We genotyped the CNV with a modified protocol from that used by Cid *et al*,¹⁹ a multiplex assay of two fluorescently marked PCR products detected

This paper is freely available online under the BMJ Journals unlocked scheme, see <http://ard.bmj.com/info/unlocked.dtl>

on an ABI3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). Briefly, we amplified a breakpoint-spanning PCR product of 351 bp (F: GGATACTAAGAAGTTCTCAC; R: GTGGTGAGAGAGGGCATCTC) for deletion alleles and a second amplicon for wild-type alleles (primers within the deleted region, product size of 561 bp (F: CATTAGCCTGG AGCTTTTGC; R: ACAAGTGATAACATTGTCAGGAGG)) using Amplitaq Gold polymerase (Applied Biosystems) and 40 ng DNA. The multiplex reaction was diluted 1:20; 5 µl were analysed with size standard LIZ600 (Applied Biosystems) on the capillary sequencer. Genotypes passing quality control showed peak intensities >2000 fluorescent units, and in putative heterozygote individuals, ratios of *LCE3C-LCE3B*-del allele to non-deletion allele peak heights were >0.5 and <3. To estimate the error rate of genotyping, we performed duplicate genotyping of six 96-well microtitre plates. In all, 515 DNAs yielded amplification in both runs and were used to compare genotypes. Within these, 449 (87.2%) passed both quality criteria (see earlier) and were concordant in both experiments. Seven DNAs (1.4%) passed quality control in both runs, but showed divergent genotypes. We therefore have to assume a genotyping error rate of about 1.4 %. Since it is not known whether the genetic risk factor is the deletion or a variant in strong linkage disequilibrium (LD), we also genotyped three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (rs10888502, rs4112788, rs4845456) in the same LD block. SNPs were genotyped using TaqMan assays (Applied Biosystems). In addition, 72 randomly selected genotypes were verified by DNA sequencing single individuals as previously described.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For all variants, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was fulfilled in both groups of patients and control individuals. Genotyping rates of *LCE3C-LCE3B*-del, rs10888502, rs4112788 and rs4845456 were between 94.1% and 96.4%. Almost all variants were in perfect LD with each other, except for LD between the CNV and rs10888502. We observed similar allele frequencies for all variants in patients and control individuals; differences were not significant as determined by χ^2 statistics (table 1). Similar results were obtained for haplotypes that were calculated using Haploview²⁰ (data not shown).

A power analysis revealed that we have 95% power to detect a risk factor with an allele frequency of 68% to 72% and an OR of 1.37 to 1.38 in our case-control cohort under the assumption of a logarithmic-additive model and with a type I error rate of 5% (calculated with Quanto).²¹ Considering that initial studies often overestimate effects of risk factors, we determined that

we had power of 80% of detecting an association even for an OR of 1.22 to 1.23 under the assumption of an identical allele frequency range.

The lack of association is most probably not due to the geographical origin of the patients, as we identified highly significant association to the deletion in an independent study of patients with PsV without joint manifestation retrieved from the same population (Germany)²² similar in magnitude to that recently reported.¹⁹ Likewise, we did not observe evidence for association in the subset of 502 patients with PsA with manifestation ≤40 years of age (type I psoriasis) and average age of onset in the subset was comparable to the one of the above mentioned PsV cohort ((24.0±9.5 and 23.2±11.9, respectively). Finally, no evidence for association was observed in subgroups of carriers or non-carriers of the PSORS1 risk allele.

Given the almost identical allele frequencies between both groups, it is highly unlikely, that the genotyping error determined can account for the lack of association. Our results suggest that the *LCE3C-LCE3B*-del, primarily identified in patients with skin type psoriasis, does not predispose to joint manifestation at least in German patients. Therefore this susceptibility factor is more specific to the skin manifestation than most of the risk factors for psoriasis identified, to date. Accordingly, our finding is also experimental support for the concept that besides common genetic factors, also clearly distinct ones contribute to the aetiology of distinguishable clinical manifestations such as psoriasis of skin and joints.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to all patients and control probands for participation in this study. We acknowledge Anne M Bowcock (Human Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine) for helpful discussions. We thank Petra Badorf and Claudia Danzer for excellent technical assistance. The work was supported in part by a grant from the Interdisciplinary Centre for Clinical Research (IZKF B32/A8) of the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg. Research of the laboratory of XE is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (SAF2008-00357) and by the 'Generalitat de Catalunya'.

Funding Interdisciplinary Centre for Clinical Research (IZKF B32/A8) of the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany. Research of the laboratory of XE is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (SAF2008-00357) and by the 'Generalitat de Catalunya'.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval This study was conducted with the approval of the ethical committees of the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg and of the University of Münster, Germany.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Gladman DD, Antoni C, Mease P, et al. Psoriatic arthritis: epidemiology, clinical features, course, and outcome. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;**64**(Suppl 2):ii14–17.
- Andressen C, Henseler T. [Inheritance of psoriasis. Analysis of 2035 family histories]. *Hautarzt* 1982;**33**:214–17.
- Elder JT, Nair RP, Guo SW, et al. The genetics of psoriasis. *Arch Dermatol* 1994;**130**:216–24.
- Gladman DD, Farewell VT, Pellett F, et al. HLA is a candidate region for psoriatic arthritis: evidence for excessive HLA sharing in sibling pairs. *Hum Immunol* 2003;**64**:887–9.
- Myers A, Kay LJ, Lynch SA, et al. Recurrence risk for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis within sibships. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2005;**44**:773–6.
- Chandran V, Schentag CT, Brockbank JE, et al. Familial aggregation of psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;**68**:664–7.
- Hüffmeier U, Lascorz J, Böhm B, et al. Genetic variants of the IL-23R pathway: association with psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis vulgaris, but no specific risk factor for arthritis. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009;**129**:355–8.
- Filer CE, Ho P, Bruce IN, et al. Investigation of association of genes NAT9, SLC9A3R1 and RAPTOR on chromosome 17q25 with psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;**68**:292–3.
- Fitzgerald O, Winchester R. Psoriatic arthritis: from pathogenesis to therapy. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2009;**11**:214.

Table 1 Allele frequencies (absolute number (percentage)) of the four variants in patients with psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and control probands and results of χ^2 statistics

Variant	Allele	Controls	PsA	χ^2	p Value
CNV	<i>LCE3C-LCE3B</i> -del*	1159 (65.5)	825 (65.0)	0.088	NS
	Non-deletion	611 (34.5)	445 (35.0)		
rs10888502	G*	685 (38.6)	427 (36.1)	1.902	NS
	C	1091 (61.4)	757 (63.9)		
rs4112788	A*	627 (35.3)	439 (35.9)	0.138	NS
	G	1151 (64.7)	783 (64.1)		
rs4845456	A*	675 (37.7)	449 (36.3)	0.614	NS
	G	1117 (62.3)	789 (63.7)		

*Indicates the associated allele from Cid et al.¹⁹
NS, not significant

10. **Ho PY**, Barton A, Worthington J, *et al.* Investigating the role of the HLA-Cw*06 and HLA-DRB1 genes in susceptibility to psoriatic arthritis: comparison with psoriasis and undifferentiated inflammatory arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;**67**:677–82.
11. **Al-Heresh AM**, Proctor J, Jones SM, *et al.* Tumour necrosis factor- α polymorphism and the HLA-Cw*0602 allele in psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:525–30.
12. **Ansell B**, Beeson M, Hall P, *et al.* HLA and juvenile psoriatic arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1993;**32**:836–7.
13. **Gladman DD**, Cheung C, Ng CM, *et al.* HLA-C locus alleles in patients with psoriatic arthritis (PsA). *Hum Immunol* 1999;**60**:259–61.
14. **Capon F**, Novelli G, Semprini S, *et al.* Searching for psoriasis susceptibility genes in Italy: genome scan and evidence for a new locus on chromosome 1. *J Invest Dermatol* 1999;**112**:32–5.
15. **Giardina E**, Sinibaldi C, Chini L, *et al.* Co-localization of susceptibility loci for psoriasis (PSORS4) and atopic dermatitis (ATOD2) on human chromosome 1q21. *Hum Hered* 2006;**61**:229–36.
16. **Liu Y**, Helms C, Liao W, *et al.* A genome-wide association study of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis identifies new disease loci. *PLoS Genet* 2008;**4**:e1000041.
17. **Kainu K**, Kivinen K, Zucchelli M, *et al.* Association of psoriasis to PGLYRP and SPRR genes at PSORS4 locus on 1q shows heterogeneity between Finnish, Swedish and Irish families. *Exp Dermatol* 2009;**18**:109–15.
18. **Chen H**, Toh TK, Szevenyi I, *et al.* Association of skin barrier genes within the PSORS4 locus is enriched in Singaporean Chinese with early-onset psoriasis. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009;**129**:606–14.
19. **Cid J**, Nascimento JD, Vicent A, *et al.* Evaluation of low platelet counts by optical, impedance, and CD61-immunoplatelet methods: estimation of possible inappropriate platelet transfusion. *Transfusion* 2010;in press.
20. **Barrett JC**, Fry B, Maller J, *et al.* Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 2005;**21**:263–5.
21. **Gauderman W**, Morrison J. QUANTO 1.1: a computer program for power and sample size calculations for genetic-epidemiology studies. 2006. <http://hydrauscedu/gxe> (accessed 16 Feb 2010).
22. **Hüffmeier U**, Bergboer JG, Becker T, *et al.* Replication of LCE3C–LCE3B CNV as a risk factor for psoriasis and analysis of interaction with other genetic risk factors. *J Invest Dermatol* 2010;in press.

Corrections

Hüffmeier U, Estivill X, Riveira-Munoz E, *et al.* Deletion of LCE3C and LCE3B genes at PSORS4 does not contribute to susceptibility to psoriatic arthritis in German patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;**69**:876-878. This was published in the print issue with the incorrect doi 10.1136/ard.2009.108951. The correct doi is 10.1136/ard.2010.108951 because this is the doi it was originally published with online first.

Ann Rheum Dis 2012;**71**:1756. doi:10.1136/ard.2010.108951