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ABSTRACT

Progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy (PML) is a rare and often fatal opportunistic infection that has been well reported in patients with rheumatic diseases. The contributions of predisposing factors such as underlying disease and immunosuppressive drug selection are incompletely understood but it would appear that patients with systemic lupus erythematosus may be at highest risk. Natalizumab, a biological agent approved for multiple sclerosis and Crohn’s disease has the clearest pattern of small but definite risk. Although the risk due to rituximab is difficult to assess given the multiple confounders, continued vigilance is warranted. Rheumatologists need to become familiar with PML and feel able to help patients make shared and informed decisions about the risks when starting treatment with immunosuppressive therapies. In particular, rheumatologists need to be vigilant and pursue the diagnosis of PML in all patients with unexplained neurological signs or symptoms with clinical and MRI findings compatible with the diagnosis.

PML: THE DISEASE

The aetiologial agent of PML is the JC virus, named for the initials of the patient from whom it was initially isolated. JC is a member of the polyomavirus genus of the Papovaviridae family. The pathogenesis of PML is incompletely understood but appears to begin with infection early in childhood, presumably via an oral-pharyngeal route, establishing a lifelong latency with >80% of adults harbouring specific antibodies. In non-compromised hosts the virus is intermittently shed in the urine without clinical sequelae. A number of critical steps are required to convert from this state of asymptomatic latent infection to the fully developed disease state. These include: (a) establishment of latent infection in extraneural tissues (especially the kidney but also bone marrow, spleen, tonsils and other tissues); (b) critical rearrangements within the regulatory regions of the virion which convert it from its archetype to a neurotrophic form; (c) reactivation of viral infection with resultant viraemia and entry into the CNS; (d) failure of immunological control; (e) infection of myelin-producing cells in the brain (ie, oligodendrocytes) with resultant disease.

CLINICAL AND LABORATORY FEATURES OF PML

The most common presentation of PML is that of a subacute focal neurological disorder with motor weakness, visual loss and lack of coordination combined with higher cortical impairment, including confusion, disorientation and personality changes. Virtually all patients with PML exhibit MRI changes, most frequently asymmetrical, subcortical diffuse changes in white matter that are hypointense on T2 and FLAIR sequences and hypointense on T1-weighted images. Such lesions typically do not enhance or suggest oedema but exceptions do occur.

As there are no neurological symptoms or signs pathognomonic for PML, this disease can be difficult to distinguish from MS and other neuroinflammatory conditions such as neuropsychiatric SLE and CNS vasculitis. Helpful clues include the facts that PML does not present icterically, evolves progressivly over weeks and spares the spinal cord and optic nerve. The diagnosis of PML is made by identifying JC virus in the cerebral spinal fluid by PCR, which has a test sensitivity of about 70–80% and a specificity approaching 100%. Identifying the JC virus in urine or blood has no diagnostic value. The cerebral spinal fluid itself is generally remarkably bland being frequently normal or alternatively, displaying a mild pleocytosis and raised protein level.
PML is often fatal and if patients do survive it is often with significant sequelae. There are no known effective treatments and all efforts should be directed at minimising or reducing immunosuppressive therapies when possible.

**Epidemiology of PML in Rheumatic Diseases**

We previously reported a review of 36 cases of PML occurring in patients with rheumatic diseases.\(^{1}\) We excluded cases where there was insufficient information to substantiate the diagnoses of rheumatic disease or PML, or both. Since that time, an additional five proven cases of PML in patients with rheumatic diseases have been reported in the English language medical literature,\(^{6,10}\) including one of two cases of PML in rituximab-treated patients with SLE,\(^{7}\) initially described in the alert released by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2006.\(^{11}\) In addition, four cases of PML developing in patients with rheumatic diseases have been reported in abstract form.\(^{12}\) Overall, of the additional 10 cases mentioned above, seven patients had SLE.\(^{6,7,11,12}\) Of the other three patients, one had rheumatoid arthritis,\(^{12}\) one had a 3-year history of destructive polyarthritis and Raynaud’s phenomenon with positive anti-nuclear antibodies and Jo-1 antibodies and CD4+ lymphopenia\(^{10}\) and one patient with Sjögren’s syndrome developed PML and CD4+ lymphopenia.\(^ {1}\) A striking observation was that about 40% of the patients with SLE (and 25% of the patients without SLE) who developed PML had been treated with minimal immunosuppressive therapy in the 6 months before the diagnosis of PML, and only 33% and 62% of the SLE and non-SLE groups, respectively, had ever been treated with alkylator therapy.\(^ {1}\) These findings suggest that the risk of PML in patients with rheumatic diseases and in patients with SLE, in particular, is not wholly attributable to the intensity of iatrogenic immunosuppression. The mechanism for any posited predisposition to the development of PML in such patients is currently unknown.

**Working Hypothesis on the Effects of Disease, Biological and Non-Biological Immunosuppressive Agents**

At the present time, while our overall understanding of PML is plagued by considerable uncertainty, a few conclusions can be cautiously put forth. First, it appears that SLE is a special case since about two-thirds of all cases of PML reported in rheumatic diseases have been in patients with SLE. Even more telling is the fact that so many patients with SLE-PML have histories that reflect modest levels of immunosuppression, suggesting that SLE itself may be a predisposing factor, as discussed above. Second, a crude hierarchy of the risks of biological therapeutic agents can be proposed from the available data (table 1).

Clearly, natalizumab holds a special level of risk, given that incident cases have been reported in clinical trials, supporting an incidence of about 1 per 1000 per 18-month observation period. Although no further cases have been reported, even after exhaustive case-finding efforts, this agent stands alone in its defined epidemiological risk.

**Table 1** Summary of the risk of multifocal leucoencephalopathy associated with biological therapies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Basis for evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natalizumab</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Rare definite cases in clinical trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Rare definite cases outside clinical trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF inhibitors</td>
<td>Uncertain*</td>
<td>No definite cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This may be under-reported.\(^{13}\) TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

Rituximab has also been associated with PML in both oncological and rheumatic diseases but in this situation its absolute risk is problematic. Confounders include the fact that none of the cases reported have been in clinical trials, multiple other immunosuppressive drugs have been used (eg, purine analogues, stem cell transplantation regimens), especially in oncological disease, and no cases have yet been described in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, but rather in SLE, which may be associated with a predisposition to PML, as noted above.

In published reports of tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor, although “demyelinating disease”\(^ {1}\) is listed as a potential adverse event, no documented (ie, JC PCR positive or brain biopsy proven) cases of PML have been reported. For these agents a lingering concern is the possibility that atypical and possibly self-limited or abortive forms of PML might have occurred given that all approved agents have been associated with unexplained white matter lesions of which only a few have been biopsied, leaving unanswered the pathological basis for most.\(^ {13,14}\)

No data are available to allow an assessment of the risk of other agents, but this does not mean that there is no risk. As PML is a rare disease, clinical trials of biological therapeutic agents are too small to rule out such effects. Thus post-marketing surveillance is critical.

Quantifying risks of other forms of (non-biological) immunosuppressive drugs in the treatment of rheumatic diseases is difficult given the rarity of reported cases. The recent FDA warning on mycophenolate use after liver transplantation is of concern given the increasing used of this non-approved drug in the treatment of SLE.
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