Psoriasis vulgaris is a common skin disorder characterised by focal formation of inflamed, raised plaques that constantly shed scales derived from excessive growth of skin epithelial cells. The disease is defined by a series of linked cellular changes in the skin: hyperplasia of epidermal keratinocytes, vascular hyperplasia and ectasia, and infiltration of T lymphocytes, neutrophils, and other types of leucocyte in affected skin. In a relatively short period, psoriasis vulgaris has been conceptualised as a T lymphocyte mediated autoimmune disease and new biological therapies that target T cells have just entered routine clinical practice. Similarly, rapid progress has been made towards dissecting cellular and molecular pathways of inflammation that contribute to disease pathogenesis. This short review presents current pathogenic concepts that have emerged from genetic, genomic, and cellular information obtained in basic studies and from clinical studies of selective immune targeting drugs.

Today, psoriasis vulgaris is recognised as the most prevalent autoimmune disease caused by inappropriate activation of the cellular immune system. Psoriasis affects people of all ages, but there is a strong tendency for disease onset in early adulthood in patients who develop psoriasis due to genetic transmission.1 There has been a major international effort to characterise psoriasis susceptibility genes, but until recently, virtually nothing learned from linkage analysis has advanced the understanding of disease pathophysiology. Unlike other common tissue specific autoimmune diseases, psoriasis vulgaris does not have a generally accepted animal model, and thus our understanding of pathogenesis is derived mainly from clinical studies and translational science done in patients with this disease. That work initially pointed towards a major role of T lymphocytes as inducers of the disease phenotype and the pathogenic contribution of this cell type has now been tested through clinical studies of more than a dozen immune modifying biological agents in patients with psoriasis. The success of translational research in psoriasis is probably best illustrated by the US Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA’s) approval of alefacept and efalizumab in 2003. Alefacept is a fusion protein that binds to CD2 on T cells, and efalizumab is a humanised antibody that binds to leucocyte function associated antigen-1 (LFA-1), an integrin expressed at high levels on T cells.2,3 However, as work in psoriasis has advanced, we have also learned that inflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF) are likely to play major pathogenic roles in this disease3 and that other types of inflammatory leucocytes may also serve key pathogenic functions. Rather than viewing psoriasis as a disease caused by a single cell type or a single inflammatory cytokine, it is probably best to conceptualise disease pathogenesis as linked to many interactive responses between infiltrating leucocytes, resident skin cells, and an array of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and chemical mediators produced in the skin under regulation of the cellular immune system. Here we will review some recent work on psoriasis that advances our overall understanding of disease pathophysiology as impacted by genetic, genomic, and immune contributions.

GENETIC BASIS OF PSORIASIS

Psoriasis and associated psoriatic arthritis (PsA) are complex genetic diseases with environmental and genetic components. Approximately 10–30% of patients with psoriasis develop PsA. This suggests that susceptibility factors for psoriasis are also susceptibility factors for PsA. However, the development of PsA in psoriasis patients may require additional environmental stimuli or additional genetic factors that predispose to inflammation of the joints as well as the skin. Interestingly, in psoriasis, arthritis, and autoimmune arthritis in mice, self-reactive T cells do not destroy synoviocytes but stimulate them to proliferate. This may be due a genetic predisposition leading to a high sensitivity of synoviocytes or keratinocytes in patients to various activating stimuli. Other variants may result in inflammatory cells with a lower threshold for activation or a prolonged state of activation. This hypothesis is supported by the examples provided below where variants associated with the development of arthritis or psoriasis are frequently associated with the immune system.

In recent years, genetic analyses of multiply affected families or cases have identified some susceptibility variants for psoriasis and PsA. Genome-wide linkage scans suggest many additional susceptibility loci for which genes still need to be identified. One of the most compelling susceptibility factors for psoriasis is the presence of human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-Cw*0602 (discussed elsewhere in this issue by Rahmann and Elder)4 which was found in ~10% of healthy northern European controls and ~50% of psoriasis patients but in <20% of patients with PsA.5

Other susceptibility loci for psoriasis reside on chromosomes 1q21, 3q21, 4q, 7p, 8, 11, 16q, 17q, and 20p, and many others have been proposed following genome-wide linkage scans of primarily nuclear families with two affected individuals.6 Recently, two regions on chromosome 17q were precisely localised with association mapping.7 These regions are separated by ~6 Mb so that their contribution to psoriasis susceptibility appears to be independent. The two genes within the first peak are SLC9A3R1 and NAT9. The gene within the second peak is RAPTOR. SLC9A3R1 is a scaffold protein linking plasma membrane proteins to the actin cytoskeleton in polarised epithelial cells.8,9 It is via SLC9A3R1 that external signals to plasma
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altering their response to an immune signal.67 acts within the synovium or keratinocytes of the skin, marrow, thymus, or the periphery. It is possible that it also population and have weak effects, requiring the presence of additional susceptibility factors. RUNX1 is a transcription factor exerting a major role on the development of cells of haematopoietic origin. It may be acting within the bone marrow, thymus, or the periphery. It is possible that it also acts within the synovium or keratinocytes of the skin, altering their response to an immune signal.17

The consequence of loss of this RUNX1 site is unknown. However, alterations in RUNX1 sites in other genes predispose to other autoimmune diseases. In both cases these sites lie within introns. One lies within an intron of the PD-1 (PDCD1) gene and predisposes to systemic lupus erythematosus.13 The PD-1 gene codes for an immunoreceptor that is a member of the Ig superfamily harbouring an immunoreceptor tyrosine based inhibitory motif. Interaction of PD-1 with its ligand leads to inhibition of anti-CD3 induced T cell activation by reducing interleukin (IL)-2 production and inhibition of proliferation and cytokine production. The other RUNX1 variant lies within an intron of SLC22A4 on chromosome 3q21 and predisposes to rheumatoid arthritis (RA).13 14 SLC22A4 is specific to haematological and immunological tissues and is induced by proinflammatory stimuli. It is highly expressed in the inflammatory joints of mice with collagen induced arthritis, and it is proposed that it may function as a transporter in lymphoid organs or an inflammatory milieu.15 The RA associated variant leads to RUNX1 binding and it is proposed that its excessive expression contributes to development of RA.

RAPTOR lies in the region of psoriasis linkage on chromosome 17q25 that was first detected in a large multiply affected family with psoriasis.16 17 Some of the affected members in this family also developed PsA at an early age. Hence it is tempting to speculate that a regulatory variant in RAPTOR predisposes to both psoriasis and PsA. RAPTOR binds to and regulates the function of mTOR (target of rapamycin), a key regulator of T cell function and growth. mTOR is a component of a cytokine triggered protein kinase cascade leading to the phosphorylation of the eukaryotic initiation factor (eIF)-4E binding protein, PHAS-1, in activated T lymphocytes. This event promotes G1 phase progression by stimulating eIF-4E dependent translation initiation.18 19 Rapamycin binds to and blocks the function of mTOR, leading to immunosuppression.

Two genome-wide scans have identified additional regions that may predispose to PsA susceptibility. One is located on chromosome 16q and overlaps with the location of a psoriasis locus.20 The other is located on chromosome 15q.21 Recent studies on a cohort of PsA patients from Newfoundland suggest that variants within CARD15 on chromosome 16q predispose to PsA22 since they were found in 28% of patients versus 12% of controls. CARD15 is proposed to activate nuclear factor κB (NFκB) in response to bacterial lipopoly saccharides.23

Variants within several other genes have been shown to predispose to arthritis in mice. For example, a variant of the neutrophil cytosolic factor 1 (Ncf1) leads to rat arthritis.24 The protein encoded by this gene regulates the oxidative burst of neutrophils, and the disease related allele promotes the activation of arthritogenic T cells in lymphoid organs, rather than in peripheral inflammatory joints through an oxygen burst response. Chronic autoimmune arthritis in mice is due to a mutation in the SH2 domain of ZAP70, a key signal transduction molecule in T cells that is expressed early in haematopoietic development.25 It is proposed that this mutation changes the thresholds of T cells to thymic selection, leading to the positive selection of otherwise negatively selected autoimmune T cells. While mapping the ZAP70 gene, a second linkage peak was mapped to the murine major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region. This linkage was observed only when mice were maintained in a nearly specific pathogen free condition and homozygosity of the H-2d haplotype conferred high genetic susceptibility to arthritis.

Hence psoriasis and arthritis of many types are due to both susceptibility variants of the MHC as well as variants that alter T cell responses during development, during thymic selection, or in the periphery.

CELLULAR BASIS OF PSORIASIS

Although psoriasis vulgaris is usually identified by the clinical appearance of characteristic red, raised, scaly skin lesions, it is best defined as a unique skin disease by a set of underlying cellular changes (histopathology). Clinical features, then, are explained by impressive growth and dilatation of superficial blood vessels (redness) and equally impressive hyperplasia of the epidermis. Epidermal growth occurs in a pattern termed “psoriasiform” hyperplasia, which both elongated rete pegs, thickening (acanthosis), and differentiation changes. In psoriatic epidermis, keratinocytes proliferate and mature rapidly so that terminal differentiation is delayed. Therefore, mononuclear leucocytes have come to be studied in psoriasis, particularly with antibody based methods, it has become clear that neutrophils are actually quite variably expressed in
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membrane proteins lead to alterations in signal transduction and cell growth. In the T cell, SLC9A3R1 lies within the lipid raft and may be involved in formation of the immune synapse.21 Dysregulation of this gene could delay the formation of the synapse, increasing the time that antigen is presented to the T cell receptor, hence leading to prolonged inflammation. Interestingly, a solute carrier mapping to chromosome 3q21, SLC12A8, has been shown to be associated with psoriasis in Swedish families.22 The predisposing variant near SLC9A3R1 and NAT9 is likely to be loss of a RUNX1 site lying within the 1.2 kb region 3′ of both of the genes.23 This variant is relatively common in the population; hence, its effect upon psoriasis susceptibility is relatively low. This reinforces the prevailing belief that psoriasis susceptibility variants, like most variants susceptibility is relatively low. This reinforces the prevailing belief that psoriasis susceptibility variants, like most variants
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psoriasis lesions from different patients. In contrast, increased numbers of T lymphocytes are a highly consistent finding in psoriasis biopsies. With immunohistochemical staining, T lymphocytes are found interspersed between keratinocytes throughout the epidermis and in somewhat larger quantities in the dermis.22–24 In fact, a significant fraction of dErmal "mononuclear" inflammatory cells seen in routine sections is due to T cell infiltration. T cell subsets are not uniformly distributed in psoriasis lesions. Epidermal T cells are chiefly CD8+ T cells, with a significant fraction of these cells specialised for homing to epithelia through expression of the integrin α6β7, which binds E-cadherin associated with desmosomes.25–27 Dermal T lymphocytes are a mixture of CD4+ and CD8+ cells, with a CD4+ predominance similar to that seen in peripheral blood. Natural killer (NK)-T cells may well be present in psoriasis lesions based on staining with NK surface markers,28–30 but further work is needed to confirm their identity. Overall, the magnitude of T cell infiltration in skin lesions is quite impressive. For example, we calculate that a patient with 20% body surface area affected with psoriasis lesions has about eight billion T cells located in dermis and epidermis of psoriasis plaques. Most T cells in skin lesions are memory cells that express cutaneous lymphocyte antigen (CLA), the skin addressin.27 In contrast, only ~10% of circulating T lymphocytes are CLA+. Hence, CLA+ T cells are impressively and selectively targeted to inflammatory psoriasis lesions.

Dendritic cells form another major class of leucocytes that is found in increased abundance in psoriatic skin lesions. Here we will try to consider dendritic cell subsets in psoriasis according to current, but constantly evolving, classifications.24,25 Langerhans cells, considered as one type of immature dendritic cell (iDC), are resident in normal epidermis and also can be found in psoriasis lesions, sometimes in increased abundance.22 Dermal DCs, identified initially by strong expression of MHC II or factor XIIIa, can be considered another type of iDC that is similar to interstitial/myeloid DCs widely distributed in other tissues.26 It is likely that iDCs derive from blood monocytes or other myeloid precursors and that these cells can be further stimulated to become mature DCs (mDCs), cells that have potent immunostimulatory capacity for T lymphocytes. Psoriasis lesions show a marked increase in dermal DCs, whether marked by XIIIa expression, CD11c expression (one of the most consistent markers of myeloid DCs), or mannose receptor.28–30 A very similar DC subset, termed inflammatory epidermal dendritic cell (IDEC), is increased in the epidermis of active lesions, as determined by multiparameter flow cytometry.31 The best marker of mDC is expression of CD83 and DC-LAMP proteins, and many CD83+ and DC-LAMP+ mDCs are present in epidermis and dermis of psoriasis lesions based on immunophenotyping. In contrast, few CD83+ or DC-LAMP+ mDCs are found in normal skin, uninvolved skin of psoriasis patients, or resolved psoriasis lesions from different patients. In particular, CD83+ mDCs are normally contained in the blood. In the different DC subsets that appear in psoriasis vulgaris lesions. iDC, immature dendritic cell; NK-T, natural killer T cell. The array of leucocyte subsets that appear in psoriasis vulgaris lesions. iDC, immature dendritic cell; NK-T, natural killer T cell.

DEFINING THE PSORIASIS 'TRANSCRIPTOME'

Much as psoriasis has been defined previously by histopathology, it is now possible to provide a new disease definition based on functional genomics or analysis of expressed genes. Genomic analysis builds on cellular information, since some expressed genes will serve to identify new cell populations in skin tissue and others will relate to changes in activation or differentiation of cells. Most recently, altered expression of >1300 genes in lesional versus uninvolved skin was identified using 63,000 element gene arrays.32 These data provide some unique insights into regulation of inflammation in psoriatic tissue. Firstly, the array of chemokines expressed in skin lesions is much larger than previously recognised, with elevated expression of at least 16 distinct ligands. Unexpectedly, chemokines that have expression normally restricted to lymph nodes and formal lymphoid tissues are elevated in psoriasis. Two of these (secondary lymphoid chemokine/CCL21, MIP3β/CCL19) bind
to CCR7, a chemokine receptor that marks lymph node homing T cells, but this receptor was also unexpectedly detected on T cells in psoriasis lesions. Importantly, ectopic expression of this group of chemokines in peripheral tissues has been shown in model systems to be a sufficient stimulus to organise DC and T cell infiltrates and to produce autoimmune tissue destruction. Hence, in situ expression of lymphoid organising chemokines in psoriasis might explain not only the impressive array of DCs in diseased skin but T cell activation and chronic disease activity. Secondly, the genomic analysis has identified increased expression of many other immune regulating and proinflammatory gene products. Many of these inflammatory genes can be explained by activation of a type 1 pathway in which T helper 1/T cytotoxic 1 (Th 1/ 1) cells release IFNγ upon activation. Secreted IFNγ subsequently activates signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) which then increases transcription of a large group of immune related genes. Increased expression of >65 genes, or ~5% of the psoriasis transcriptome, can be explained by this IFNγ activated pathway. Importantly, key cellular features of psoriasis can be explained through induction of IFNγ regulated genes. For example, induction of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) produces the potent vasodilator nitric oxide; the induction of the chemokines MIG, MIP, and IP-10 can explain the accumulation of CXCR3+CD8+ T cells in lesions; and induction of IL-8 provides a strong chemoattractant signal for ongoing neutrophil recruitment (fig 2). Genomic analysis by real time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (which is inherently more sensitive than array based methods) has also identified increased expression IL-23, a key inducer of IFNγ synthesis and type 1 T cell polarity. Given that CD11c+ and CD83+ DCs appear to be the major cellular sources of IL-23 in psoriasis lesions, these data provide yet another link between accumulation of activated/mature DCs in skin regions and the subsequent activation of the type 1 pathway. Potentially, pDC could contribute to the array of IFN induced genes, as chronic exposure of cells to IFNα will activate most of these genes. Activated NK-T cells might also be another cellular source of IFNγ.
DISSECTING PATHOGENIC IMMUNE PATHWAYS IN THE CLINIC

The response of psoriasis to T lymphocyte targeted biological agents, which are inherently more specific antagonists than conventional drugs, provides a good deal of the evidence that these agents are key pathogenic contributors in psoriasis vulgaris. Resolution of skin disease has been induced by agents that target activated T cells or T cell costimulation, and by administration of cytokines that decrease type 1 T cell activation (reviewed in reference 23). Two T cell targeted biologicals, alefacept and efalizumab, recently received approval by the FDA for psoriasis vulgaris. Alefacept is an LFA3 Ig fusion protein that binds to CD2, a molecule expressed on activated T cells and memory T cells in psoriasis skin lesions and thus reduces expression of type 1 inflammatory gene products in diseased skin. Efalizumab is a humanised antibody that binds to CD11a, the defining subunit of LFA-1. LFA-1 is strongly downregulated on T cells after efalizumab binding, and the outcome of this interaction leads to reduced numbers of T cells in skin lesions and to marked reductions in mRNAs for type 1 inflammatory genes in skin lesions. Here we should acknowledge that large scale clinical trials of alefacept and efalizumab have clearly established that a significant fraction (perhaps half of treated patients) does not have a major clinical response after three months of treatment. The reason for response heterogeneity is not known but possibilities include variable expression of redundant immune controlling pathways in different patients or variable contributions of T lymphocytes to the overall inflammatory reaction. With this in mind, marked improvements in psoriasis produced by the TNF antagonists infliximab and etanercept have come as somewhat of a surprise, particularly if one views TNF’s actions as centred mainly in innate immune responses. Although mechanistic actions of TNF inhibitors in psoriasis are presently unknown, we note that a number of inflammatory type 1 genes can be coregulated by IFNγ and TNF through composite promoters that bind STAT1 and NFκB and that TNF is known to be an effector (bacterial) cytokine induced in tissue macrophages by T cells through IFNγ release. In addition, TNF is a key cytokine for inducing the activation of IDC to become CD83+ mDC, so TNF could theoretically stimulate in situ activation/maturity of DCs in psoriasis lesions.

Although we have gained key insights into the pathogenesis of psoriasis by doing clinical studies with selective immune agonists or antagonists, the rapid pace of clinical trials has largely outstripped basic and translational research into effects of these agents on normal and pathological immune immunity. There is tremendous opportunity in the future to learn about cellular and molecular mechanisms of auto-immune inflammation by studying actions of specific agents in concert with powerful new genomic and cellular approaches. Without doubt, targeted drugs will continue to allow testing of mechanistic hypotheses of inflammation, such as testing of the extent to which newly discovered molecules like IL-23 or secondary lymphoid chemokine (CCL21) regulate pathogenic immunity in humans.

SOME NEW INFORMATION FROM EXPERIMENTAL MODELS

Transgenic animals have provided a good deal of information about in vivo cellular activation that can be achieved by excessive production of specific inflammatory molecules in the skin. Interesting studies were reported recently about two sets of transgenic mice. Previously, a mouse was constructed with transgenic overexpression of the IL-12 p40 subunit. Curiously, marked skin inflammation occurred even though a functional IL-12 molecule (p35/p40 dimer) was not produced. However, at that time IL-23 had not yet been discovered, but today we know the (IL-12) p40 subunit can combine with a unique p19 subunit to form IL-23 (p19/p40 dimer). Re-examination of the p40 transgenic showed excessive formation of IL-23 in the skin due to endogenous upregulated synthesis of the p19 subunit. The overall inflammatory phenotype includes marked increases in DCs and T cells along with impressive epidermal hyperplasia. Although the histological picture in mouse skin is not psoriasis, parallels in cellular activation with psoriasis vulgaris are striking, especially considering impressive upregulation of IL-23 in psoriatic lesions. Another very interesting study reported on the effects of transgenic overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the skin. The resulting phenotype is a blend of vascular hyperplasia, increased T cell infiltration, and epidermal hyperplasia in mouse skin that shares some striking parallels with psoriasis. There are also effects on follicular epithelium and hair growth that are not part of psoriasis vulgaris, but overall this study shows that a vascular growth factor can trigger unexpected immune infiltrates and that there may be some additional mechanism to coordinate growth of superficial cutaneous blood vessels and epidermal keratinocytes.

Xenotransplantation models (human skin grafted to immunodeficient mice) have also provided key insights into psoriasis pathophysiology. Two recent studies are noteworthy. One report has shown that an antibody to IL-15, which could inhibit both T cell proliferation and TNF release from monocytes in vitro, had a remarkable ability to reverse histological features of psoriasis lesions grafted on severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice. Potentially, IL-15 serves as a master regulatory cytokine in psoriasis with the ability to induce downstream cytokines including TNF, IFNγ, and IL-17, and with the ability to regulate expansion/differentiation of CDB+ T cells and NK cells in particular. It will be extremely interesting to test IL-15 antagonists in clinical studies. A novel psoriasis model is the subject of another recent report. Nestle and coworkers have grafted uninvolved (pre-psoriatic) skin of psoriasis patients to AGR129 mice which lack both IFN receptors and a recombination (RAG2). With the highly immunosuppressed background of this mouse strain, human T cells that were carried in the original skin graft can expand and will produce a spontaneous psoriasis lesion. The lesion can be prevented by treating engrafted skin with CD3 antibodies (blocking T cell expansion) or TNF inhibitors. Though not fully explored, DCs appear to expand and activate along with T cells in the environment of human skin. This model is important because it is the first to permit spontaneous disease appearance under conditions where T cells activate/expand under the influence of endogenous antigens, cytokines, and other regulatory mechanisms. The model suggests that human skin (perhaps as influenced by fundamental genetic alterations in psoriasis) can serve not only as a long term reservoir of pathogenic immune cells, but also frank growth and expansion of skin homing memory T cells can occur exclusively within the skin. Hence, the skin can potentially function as a surrogate of formal lymphoid tissue, at least for expansion of already differentiated skin homing T cells.

INTEGRATING INFORMATION INTO PATHOGENIC MODELS

Figure 2 shows a number of alternative pathways of leucocyte activation that converge to activate type 1 inflammatory genes and which, in turn, regulate end stage inflammation in skin and the appearance of the psoriasis phenotype. We consider that both activated DCs and “proximal” cytokines such as IL-12, IL-15, IL-18, and IL-23 could contribute to
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