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---

**Background:** Leflunomide is a new oral disease modifying antirheumatic drug with a good safety profile.

**Case report:** The first case of lupus erythematosus in a 58 year old white woman after administration of leflunomide for primary Sjögren’s syndrome is reported. The relationship between induced lupus and leflunomide was confirmed by the resolution of the skin rash when the drug was stopped and its recurrence when it was reintroduced following a dose-response effect.

**Discussion:** Peripheral blood cells from this patient, from 15 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and from healthy controls were used in a bioassay, which suggested that leflunomide affected the Th1/Th2 balance. Such a side effect might be related, in part, to the anti-tumour necrosis factor activity of leflunomide.

---

Leflunomide is now approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and has a good safety profile. Common adverse events reported during drug trials included gastrointestinal symptoms, reversible alopecia, transient alterations in liver function tests, and hypertension. Unusual side effects have been recently reported with its increased clinical use, such as vasculitis, skin ulceration, and peripheral neuropathy. We present here the first case, to our knowledge, of lupus erythematosus after leflunomide administration, showing not only a temporal relationship but also a positive dose-response effect. A re-introduction further demonstrated the involvement of the drug, allowing a study of its mode of action.

**CASE REPORT**

This 58 year old white woman had an 11 year history of inflammatory disease. A diagnosis of primary Sjögren’s syndrome had been made in 1992 on inflammatory non-erosive arthritis and major salivary gland hypertrophy leading to bilateral parotidectomy. A pathological study concluded that it was myoepithelial sialadenitis without features of lymphoma. Other laboratory findings at the onset of disease included positive rheumatoid factor, low titre (1/256) antinuclear antibodies, negative anti-DNA antibodies, and positive anti-extractable nuclear antigen (ENA; SSA and SSB) antibodies. Levels of C3 and C4 were normal. HLA typing was A1/A32; B8/B63; DR3/DR13/DR52; DQ6/DQ7.

Because of active arthritis, the patient was treated with methotrexate for 8 years with increasing doses up to 15 mg/week. Owing to poor efficacy, methotrexate was stopped and leflunomide was started in September 2000 at a dose of 100 mg for 3 days, followed by 20 mg daily. One month later, despite a clear positive effect on joint manifestations, she developed a malar lupus skin reaction. Laboratory data showed an increased titre of homogeneous antinuclear antibodies (1/2560). Anti-DNA and antihistone antibodies were negative, C3 and C4 levels were normal, and anti-ENA antibodies (anti-SSA and anti-SSB) were positive. A skin biopsy showed features of discoid lupus erythematosus (fig 1C). Leflunomide was discontinued, the skin rash completely resolved within 4 weeks and the antinuclear titre decreased to 1/640. There were no other clinical or biological lupus manifestations—in particular, no nephropathy.

During the following 2 years, the patient was treated with azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, and infliximab combined with methotrexate, but these drugs had to be discontinued because of lack of efficacy or poor tolerance. After pressure from the patient who considered leflunomide to be the most active drug for her arthritis symptoms, this treatment was reintroduced in January 2003 at a low dose of 10 mg daily.

Three months later, she was free of skin lesions but noted no improvement in her arthritis. With her consent, leflunomide was increased to 20 mg. When seen 3 months later in July 2003, she presented an acute lupus-like skin rash over the face, neck and arms (fig 1A) that had appeared 8 days after the increase to 20 mg. Moreover, she now had leucopenia, increased titre of antinuclear antibodies and anti-SSA and anti-SSB antibodies, but antithistone antibodies were negative. A new skin biopsy showed, in addition to epidermal atrophy, a lymphocytic infiltration suggestive of subacute lupus (fig 1D). Immunofluorescence of that skin biopsy showed deposits of IgM and C3 at the dermo-epidermic junction. Again, there were no other signs of lupus. Leflunomide was stopped and the skin rash resolved within four weeks.

One month later, although she was strongly advised not to take the drug, she took again 10 mg a day and quickly developed a severe skin eruption, again typical of subacute lupus (fig 1B). These manifestations disappeared with oral steroids. At this stage, the patient reported that the skin manifestations were identical to those seen at the onset of disease. At that time, she was followed up at the department of dermatology with the diagnosis of cutaneous lupus. However, anti-DNA antibodies were negative and major salivary gland hypertrophy led to bilateral parotidectomy, suggesting that she had Sjögren’s syndrome.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

To explore the systemic immune function, a bioassay based on the induction of interferon γ (IFNγ) production by interleukin (IL)12 and/or IL18 was carried out with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from this patient, 15 patients with RA, and 14 healthy controls. PBMC from this patient were collected in July 2003, while the patient was having the second skin reaction. PBMC were isolated by Ficoll and stimulated or not by IL12 (1 ng/ml, R&D systems, Abingdon, UK) and IL18 (5 ng/ml, MBL, Nagoya, Japan) for 48 hours. Interferon γ (IFNγ) and interleukin 6 (IL6) levels in supernatants were measured by ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; ENA, extractable nuclear antigen; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TNFα, tumour necrosis factor α.
7 days. IFN\(\gamma\) was measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; DuoSet ELISA Development System human IFN\(\gamma\), R&D Systems).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This is, to our knowledge, the first case of lupus related to leflunomide. Other autoimmune disorders reported with leflunomide include a few cases of vasculitis\(^5\) and of peripheral neuropathy\(^7\) possibly related to vasculitis.

In this patient the role of leflunomide is based on the following criteria: a temporal relationship seen on two occasions, resolution when the drug was stopped, reappearance of the rash with reintroduction of the drug following a dose-response relationship, and the absence of other candidate drugs. In this case, it should be indicated that the diagnosis was primary Sjögren’s syndrome rather than RA, for which the drug has been registered. Because the patient later described the same rash as at the beginning of her disease, this case is rather a reactivation than an induction of lupus.

The mode of action of leflunomide is not completely known.\(^\text{8}\) Its active metabolite A771 726 inhibits the mitochondrial enzyme dihydro-orotate dehydrogenase and therefore inhibits the de novo synthesis of pyrimidine in immune cells, particularly in activated T cells. Furthermore, leflunomide inhibits tyrosine kinases and thus suppresses the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor \(\alpha\) (TNF\(\alpha\)). Suppression of a TNF\(\alpha\) induced cellular response may be one of the mechanisms of leflunomide efficacy in RA.\(^\text{9}\) In addition, such a mechanism could explain its contribution to this reactivation of lupus rash.\(^\text{10}\) The recent cases of lupus-like syndrome induced by anti-TNF treatment favour such a hypothesis.\(^\text{11–13}\) However, such skin manifestations were not seen when the patient was receiving infliximab combined with methotrexate for four infusions over 3 months. This observation suggests that leflunomide made a direct contribution, in line with the worsening when a higher dose was used.

An effect on the Th1/Th2 balance was evaluated by testing the systemic immune response with a bioassay based on the production of IFN\(\gamma\) by PBMC. In this bioassay, patients with active RA, healthy controls, and our patient’s PBMC from the patient (black bar), control patients with RA (n = 15, grey bar), and healthy controls (n = 14, white bar), were stimulated with or without 1 ng/ml IL12 or a combination of IL12 (1 ng/ml) and IL18 (5 ng/ml). IFN\(\gamma\) concentrations in culture supernatants were measured by ELISA.

Figure 1  Skin clinical and pathology changes: a typical lupus skin rash seen in July 2003 (A) and in September 2003 (B). Skin biopsy in November 2000 (face) showing signs of discoid lupus (C) and in July 2003 (arm) showing signs of subacute lupus (D).

Figure 2  Th1 immune modulation in patients with RA, healthy controls, and our patient. PBMC from the patient (black bar), control patients with RA (n = 15, grey bar), and healthy controls (n = 14, white bar), were stimulated with or without 1 ng/ml IL12 or a combination of IL12 (1 ng/ml) and IL18 (5 ng/ml). IFN\(\gamma\) concentrations in culture supernatants were measured by ELISA.
compared with controls. The picture was thus exactly opposite to that seen in RA.

In RA, the systemic defect of IFNγ production in RA blood compared with controls appears to result from a reduced response to the Th1 inducing cytokines IL12 and IL18. This situation could contribute to the increased incidence of tuberculosis, particularly after TNF inhibition. In this patient, the opposite picture was observed, with an increased response to cytokines inducing Th1. This observation is in line with an effect of leflunomide on the Th1/Th2 balance. In this case, the genetic (B8, DR3) and disease background (primary Sjögren’s syndrome and not RA) may explain the appearance of lupus skin manifestations.
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