Risk factors for subclinical atherosclerosis in a prospective cohort of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus
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Objective: To evaluate traditional and non-traditional risk factors for subclinical atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).

Methods: A prospective cohort of 78 patients with SLE without overt atherosclerotic disease was studied. SLE clinical and laboratory parameters, disease activity and damage, treatment and traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis were evaluated. At baseline (T1) and after five years’ follow up (T2), the serum levels of anti-oxidised palmitoyl arachidonoyl phosphocholine (oxPAPC), anti-heat shock protein 65, and anti-β2-glycoprotein I antibodies and C reactive protein were tested. At T2, intima-media thickness (IMT) was measured using duplex carotid sonography. Thickened intima, plaque, mean IMT (m-IMT), and maximum IMT (M-IMT) were assessed.

Results: A thickened intima was seen in 22/78 (28%) patients and plaque in 13/78 (17%). M-IMT and m-IMT were (mean (SD)) 0.77 (0.34) mm and 0.55 (0.15) mm, respectively. Patients with carotid abnormalities were significantly older, had higher blood pressure and total serum cholesterol levels, and had taken a higher prednisone cumulative dosage than those without any lesions. The carotid abnormalities were associated with renal disease and ECLAM >2 at T1, and with azathioprine treatment. In multivariate analysis, age and cumulative prednisone dose were associated with carotid abnormalities; age, hypertension, and anti-oxPAPC at T2 were correlated with higher M-IMT and m-IMT.

Conclusions: In patients with SLE some non-traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis were identified, the most important of which was the cumulative prednisone dose. The role of some traditional risk factors, such as age and hypertension, was also confirmed. The predictive value of the new immunological and inflammatory markers of atherosclerosis seems to be masked by some disease related features.

Premature atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) was first noted in necropsy studies reported by Bulkley and Roberts in 1975 and subsequently confirmed in a survival study by Urowitz et al in 1976. Since then early clinical and subclinical atherosclerotic features have been demonstrated in SLE by several groups. Because premature atherosclerosis cannot be explained by the Framingham risk factors alone, it has been attributed to complex interactions between traditional risk factors and factors associated with the disease itself or its treatment.

In recent years there has been a growing interest in the hypothesis that atherosclerosis may be an immune-inflammatory disease as demonstrated by the observation of T cells, monocytes transforming into lipid laden foam cells, and immunoglobulin deposition within lesions.

It has also been noted that increased C reactive protein (CRP) levels are a major predictor of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in apparently healthy subjects. Moreover, some autoantibody systems including anti-heat shock protein 65 (HSP65), anti-oxidised low density lipoprotein (oxLDL), and anti-β2-glycoprotein I (β2-GPI) seem to have a role in atherogenesis. In this regard, SLE is an intriguing model because it represents an inflammatory disease of autoimmune origin.

However, the role of each traditional and non-traditional risk factor in SLE is still being debated and prospective studies are lacking.

Our study was designed to evaluate the role of factors associated with the development of atherosclerosis in a group of patients with SLE without overt atherosclerotic disease who had been followed up prospectively. As a surrogate measure of atherosclerosis we considered the carotid lesions evaluated by B mode ultrasound. This technique provides an accurate measurement of subclinical atherosclerosis. In fact, people with asymptomatic carotid abnormalities are at an increased risk for CVD.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study group

The study subjects consisted of all patients with SLE meeting the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria seen at the Division of Rheumatology, University of Padova, Italy, who had no evidence of overt atherosclerotic disease at the baseline evaluation. Specifically, patients with angina, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure due to coronary heart disease, transient ischaemic attack, or stroke before the start of the study were excluded.

During the five year follow up they were regularly monitored for clinical and laboratory parameters, including traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis, and data were...
collected according to a standard protocol. At the five year follow up evaluation all patients underwent an echo colour Doppler (duplex) carotid ultrasound examination.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee. All patients gave informed consent.

**Variable measurements**

**SLE related risk factors**

All prominent clinical and laboratory features, registered at the baseline and after five years’ follow up, were considered. For definitions of features specific to SLE, including renal disease, we used those included in the ACR criteria. Antinuclear antibodies and anti-double stranded DNA antibodies (anti-dsDNA) were detected by indirect immunofluorescence using as a substrate HEp-2 cells and *Crithidia luciliae*, respectively. Anti-extractable nuclear antigen antibodies were tested by counterimmunoelectrophoresis, anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) by an “in house” enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to Harris and lupus anticoagulant (LA) by Russell Viper Venom Time assay.

SLE disease activity was measured by the European Consensus Lupus Activity Measurement (ECLAM) score and cumulative damage using the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) damage index. An ECLAM score $\geq 2$ was considered indicative of active disease.

Cumulative prednisone equivalent dose, as well as low dose aspirin, hydroxychloroquine, and immunosuppressant drug use, was recorded on a separate sheet at each clinical evaluation after baseline. Information on the treatment before baseline was collected from patients’ medical charts.

**Traditional risk factors**

We considered the following variables: age, sex, total serum cholesterol, triglycerides, blood pressure, body mass index, diabetes mellitus, cigarette smoking, and family history of CVD. For all modifiable traditional risk factors we considered the mean values of the measurements obtained at each evaluation during follow up because they show not only the presence of a disorder but also its persistence over the observational period.

On the basis of the mean values, hypercholesterolaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia were defined as a total serum cholesterol $\geq 5.2$ mmol/L, or a serum triglyceride $\geq 2.26$ mmol/L; systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) were determined using an average of two consecutive sitting blood pressure readings, five minutes apart. We considered patients to be hypertensive when they had, over the study period, an average systolic BP $>140$ mm Hg and/or diastolic BP $>90$ mm Hg (according to the WHO definition) and/or when they were taking antihypertensives drugs. Body mass index was calculated from height and weight. Diabetes mellitus was defined according to WHO criteria. For cigarette smoking we divided patients into three groups: (a) never smokers; (b) ever smokers, and (c) smokers during the observational period. Finally, we considered a family history of CVD in those who had a first degree relative who had had CVD. For all modifiable traditional risk factors we considered smoking we divided patients into three groups: (a) never smokers, (b) ex-smokers, and (c) current smokers.

**Immunological and inflammatory markers**

At baseline and after five years’ follow up, a blood sample was drawn after an overnight fast. The blood samples were immediately centrifuged and sera removed and stored at $-80^\circ$C until analysed. Anti-oxidised palmitoyl arachidonoyl phosphocholine (oxPAPC), anti-HSP65, anti-β2-glycoprotein I (anti-β2-GPI) antibodies, and CRP were tested.

Anti-oxPAPC antibody was detected by ELISA. A synthetic phospholipid PAPC (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, Alabama) as a surrogate for the precursor of the biologically active lipid was exposed to air for 16 hours for oxidative modification. The oxPAPC or PAPC was coated in the plate as antigen for detection of the autoantibodies.

The reaction was read in an ELISA reader at 405 nm. The standard curves were derived by computer assisted data reduction with the four parameter function. SofMax software connected a straight line between the means of calibrator replicates. Seven standards were prepared at appropriate dilutions. Arbitrary ELISA units were used for the quantitative assay. The correlation coefficient between the target and calculated values of standards was 0.998 for IgG autoantibodies against oxPAPC. Cut off value for anti-oxPAPC was $<10$ U/ml (95th centile of the normal population).

Anti-HSP65 antibody was tested on ELISA plates coated with 100 µg/ml of 1 µg/ml HSP65 (Lionex Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Braunschweig Germany). The detection of anti-HSP65 antibodies was performed by typical ELISA using serum specimens, standards, and controls 1:200 diluted in 1% bovine serum albumin-phosphate buffered saline.

Anti-β2-GPI antibody was detected by an ELISA kit (INOVA Diagnostics Inc, San Diego CA). The reaction was visualised with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine as substrate and read at 450 nm. Cut off value for anti-β2-GPI was $<20$ U/ml (95th centile of the normal population).

CRP was measured by nephelometry, using a CRP-UL kit (Wako Chemicals USA, Inc) Bayer ADVIA 1650 System. Cut off value for CRP was $<5$ mg/l (95th centile of the normal population).

**Duplex ultrasonography**

Carotid arteries were evaluated using the Aspen Advanced (Acuson, USA) equipped with a linear probe (7–10 MHz). The intima-media thickness (IMT) was measured as previously described. On end diastole images, a total of three IMT measurements on each side were taken at the following points: common carotid artery (10 mm before the bulb), bulb (5–10 mm cranial to the start of the bulb), and internal carotid artery (10 mm after the flow divider).

Mean IMT (m-IMT; the mean of the three IMT measurements on each side) and the maximum IMT (M-IMT, the highest IMT value found among the six segments studied) were assessed.

According to current sonographic criteria, we refer to “normal” IMT when complex intima-media is $<0.9$ mm. M-IMT values $>0.9$ mm were considered indicative of thickened intima and M-IMT values $>1.3$ mm indicative of atherosclerotic plaque. Data for the reproducibility of our method have been reported elsewhere.

**Statistical methods**

Continuous variables were averaged and values expressed as mean (SD). Differences between groups were evaluated using the two sample $t$ test for normally distributed variables. Prevalences of categorical variables were evaluated with two way contingency tables, expressed as a percentage rate, and compared by means of the Pearson $\chi^2$ test. The univariate correlations between m-IMT and M-IMT, and other continuous variables were evaluated by Pearson correlation coefficient with Bonferroni’s adjusted probabilities. The m-IMT and the M-IMT were also played into a multiple regression analysis, obtaining one multiple correlation coefficient ($r$). The prevalence of plaque and the prevalence of thickened intima were used as categorical variables in a logistic regression analysis. The SYSTAT package was used for calculation.
RESULTS

Basic characteristics of the patients
Of the 90 eligible patients, eight (9%) chose not to participate in the study and four (4.4%) were lost to follow up. Therefore, we studied 78 patients (67 female, 11 male) with a mean (SD) age at study entry of 31 (9) years, mean (SD) disease duration 4.9 (3.7) years, mean (SD) disease follow up 60.6 (9.0) months, and mean (SD) age at the time of carotid ultrasound evaluation 36 (9) years. No patients had cardiovascular events during follow up.

Table 1 summarises the prominent clinical and laboratory features at baseline and after five years’ follow up. The mean (SD) cumulative prednisone equivalent dose taken by the patients was 31.7 (18.3) g. During the follow up, 22 (28%) patients had taken low dose aspirin, 50 (64%) hydroxychloroquine, 37 (47%) immunosuppressant drugs, including 28 (36%) azathioprine.

Mean (SD) body mass index was 23 (3.6) kg/m². Twenty five patients (32%) had a body mass index ≥25. Hypertension was diagnosed in 35 (45%) patients. High levels of total serum cholesterol and triglycerides were observed in 11 (14%) and 4 (5%) patients, respectively. Forty seven patients (60%) had never been smokers, 31 (40%) had smoked before their entry into the study and/or during the follow up, and 20 (26%) had smoked only during the follow up. Thirty patients (38%) had a family history of CVD.

Table 2 reports the immunological and inflammatory parameters. The serum mean levels of anti-oxPAPC, anti-HSP65, and anti-β2GPI antibodies were lower in the second sample than in the first one. However, the differences were significant only for anti-HSP65 and anti-oxPAPC antibody levels.

Ultrasound study showed a thickened intima in 22 (28%) patients and plaque in 13 (17%). In our patients mean (SD) M-IMT and m-IMT were 0.77 (0.34) mm and 0.35 (0.15) mm, respectively.

Univariate analysis of risk factors
Patients with plaque or thickened intima compared with those without any carotid abnormalities were significantly older (p<0.0005 or p<0.0005), and had higher systolic BP (p = 0.001 or p = 0.004), diastolic BP (p = 0.017 or p = 0.002), and total serum cholesterol levels (p = 0.001 or p = 0.002) (table 3). Hypertension was more common in patients with plaque or thickened intima than in those without carotid abnormalities (p = 0.002 or p<0.0005) (table 4). Moreover, both M-IMT and m-IMT were significantly higher in patients with hypertension (p<0.0005 and p<0.0005, respectively) (table 4).

Plaque and thickened intima were associated with baseline renal disease (p = 0.012 and p = 0.030, respectively), baseline ECLAM score >2 (p = 0.019 and p = 0.014, respectively), and azathioprine treatment (p = 0.006 and p = 0.031, respectively) (table 4). Plaque was also associated with cumulative prednisone intake ≥40 g (p = 0.033). The M-IMT level (table 4) was higher in patients with baseline renal disease (p = 0.018), baseline ECLAM score >2 (p = 0.033), and in patients who had taken a cumulative prednisone dose ≥40 g (p = 0.045) as well as azathioprine (p = 0.027). The m-IMT level was higher in patients with baseline ECLAM score >2.

Patients with plaque compared with those without any carotid abnormalities had taken a significantly higher prednisone cumulative dose even after adjusting the data for traditional risk factors (table 3).

Autoantibody and inflammatory parameter mean levels did not differ in patients with and without carotid lesions and, in addition, they did not correlate with M-IMT or m-IMT.

Multivariate analysis of risk factors
In the multivariate analysis (table 5), age and cumulative prednisone intake were associated with plaque. Age, cumulative prednisone intake and absence of hypertension were associated with thickened intima.

In decreasing order of statistical power (table 6), age, anti-oxPAPC serum level at the second evaluation, cumulative prednisone intake, and renal disease at baseline were associated with higher M-IMT (r² = 0.425). Age, anti-oxPAPC serum levels at the second evaluation, and hypertension were associated with higher m-IMT (r² = 0.498).

DISCUSSION

We analysed the role of traditional and disease related risk factors, including some recently proposed immunological and inflammatory parameters, in predicting subclinical atherosclerosis in a prospective cohort of 78 patients with SLE. Our main outcome—that is, subclinical atherosclerosis, was assessed cross sectionally at the end of follow up. However, owing to the young age and short disease duration of our patients at baseline, the risk of having introduced a potential bias was very low.28 It is noteworthy that the prevalence of carotid abnormalities was negligible in a control group of 27 healthy subjects with mean (SD) age of 30 (9) years who had been previously tested in our laboratory.29

We found carotid plaque in 17% and thickened intima in 28% of our 78 patients with SLE. With duplex ultrasound, carotid plaques were found in 65% of patients with SLE with previous CVD and in 38% of those without previous CVD studied by Svenungsson et al.7 and in 40%, 38%, and 9% of patients considered by Manzi et al,9 Roman et al,10 and Vlachoyiannopoulos et al,11 respectively.

However, differences in the plaque definition and in the characteristics of the groups studied may account for the result variability. Our patients were all white subjects, had no history of previous CVD, and were much younger (mean age 36 years) than the patients studied by Svenungsson et al,7 Manzi et al,2 and Roman et al6 (mean age 52, 45, and 41 years, respectively), and a little older than those considered by Vlachoyiannopoulos et al11a (mean age 33 years).

Along with plaque and thickened intima, we also considered the M-IMT and the m-IMT level, which are complementary but distinct risk factors for cardiovascular events.21
In our study the strongest predictors of carotid lesions or increased M-IMT and m-IMT were age and hypertension. Moreover, we evaluated all the SLE related features at baseline and after five years’ follow up. Renal disease and active disease at baseline were predictive markers of both carotid plaque and thickened intima as well as increased M-IMT at the end of follow up. It is noteworthy that clinical features at the time of carotid ultrasound examination and antinuclear antibody, including anti-dsDNA both at baseline and after five years’ follow up, were not related to any carotid abnormalities.

Very few studies have extensively examined clinical SLE features as predictors of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis. Gladman et al found that myocardiitis and pericarditis were associated with clinical coronary artery disease. Svenungsson et al found no relationship between plaque and disease variables, including renal disease. Manzi et al found an inverse relationship between disease activity measured by the SLAM score and plaque. However, these last two ultrasound studies are cross sectional, thus evaluating SLE features at the time of ultrasound examination.

SLAM or ECLAM scores measure disease activity at one point in time; they greatly vary as a consequence of treatment and/or disease relapse, and do not reflect the SLE activity throughout the disease course. The relationship we found between active disease at baseline and carotid lesions may be due to active renal disease in a subset of our patients. Lupus glomerulonephritis has a more relevant impact on the disease evolution than SLAM or ECLAM scores. In fact, it indicates disease severity more than activity. The occurrence of glomerulonephritis in patients with SLE requires more aggressive treatment, including higher prednisone dosage, leading to some complications like hypertension or dyslipidaemia, which are well known predictors of atherosclerosis. Nephrotic range proteinuria was a predictor of increased M-IMT and m-IMT in juvenile SLE. In the current five year prospective study, renal disease seems to be a predictor of subclinical atherosclerosis in adult patients with SLE too. At the time of ultrasound examination, glomerulonephritis was in remission in some of our patients and, consequently, the relationship between renal disease and carotid lesions at that time was no longer significant. However, it is very common to observe glomerulonephritis remission as the result of treatment. Unfortunately, the relapse of the glomerulonephritis is very common even in patients treated aggressively.

We found an association between the cumulative dose of prednisone and plaque. The relationship between corticosteroids and CVD is controversial, corticosteroids being considered as risk factors for CVD by some authors, but not by others. Manzi et al, using carotid ultrasound, showed a significant association between plaque and cumulative corticosteroid dosage as well as duration of treatment. One major question is whether or not the effect of corticosteroids on atherosclerosis is mediated by traditional risk factors. In our study the cumulative prednisone dose remained associated with plaque after adjusting it for the classical Framingham predictors.

Azathioprine use was associated with carotid abnormalities in univariate, but not in multivariate, analysis. Azathioprine is mainly used for maintenance of remission, after cyclophosphamide induction therapy, in patients with lupus glomerulonephritis. Therefore, it might be related to renal disease. Therefore, it is likely that the SLE features that clearly emerged as risk factors for atherosclerosis by univariate statistical analysis—that is, cumulative prednisone intake, azathioprine treatment, renal disease, and active disease at baseline, were linked to each other and all indicated a severe disease. Prednisone cumulative intake was the strongest factor and therefore entered into the multivariate best models.

### Table 2: Serum levels of immunological and inflammatory markers at baseline and after five years’ follow up in the 78 patients with SLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Baseline (Mean (SD))</th>
<th>5 Year follow up (Mean (SD))</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-oxPAPC (U/ml)</td>
<td>15.3 (31.2)</td>
<td>5.1 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-2GPI (U/ml)</td>
<td>32.9 (15.7)</td>
<td>18.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-anti-HSP65 (U/ml)</td>
<td>22.2 (29.2)</td>
<td>18.8 (35.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-oxPAPC, anti-oxidised palmitoyl arachidonoyl phospholipid antibody; anti-HSP65, anti-hepat shock protein 65 antibody; anti-2GPI, anti-2 glycoprotein I antibody; CRP, C reactive protein.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Univariate analysis of risk factors for atherosclerosis in 78 patients with SLE: continuous variables (mean (SD))* in patients with (yes) and without (no) carotid lesions. Only significant results are reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Plaque</th>
<th>Thickened intima</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Yes (n = 13)</td>
<td>No (n = 65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>45.7 (9.9)</td>
<td>35.3 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>25.0 (3.3)</td>
<td>23.2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>138 (16.7)</td>
<td>124.5 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>86.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>80.3 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD not adjusted (g)</td>
<td>46.2 (24.2)</td>
<td>27.9 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD Adjusted (g)</td>
<td>45.2 (5.0)</td>
<td>28.1 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For all modifiable risk factors we considered the mean values of the measurements obtained at each evaluation during follow up (see methods). **For age, BMI, systolic BP, diastolic BP, smoking, and hypercholesterolaemia.
The recent hypothesis on the inflammatory and immunological nature of atherosclerosis has opened new frontiers of research and prompted us to test some new risk factors of atherosclerosis.

Among the inflammation markers, CRP has recently been shown to be a reliable predictor of cardiovascular events in populations without SLE. \(^1\) \(^7\) In the study of Manzi et al,\(^7\) CRP was associated with plaque in the univariate analysis, but it was not entered into the multivariate model. CRP is not a suitable inflammatory marker in SLE\(^3\) \(^2\) and it is not surprising that CRP levels were not associated with carotid abnormalities in our patients.

Several studies have shown that oxidation of LDL has an important role in the development of atherosclerosis. Raised titres of anti-oxLDL have been found in SLE, \(^9\) \(^3\) but their association with CVD is debated. \(^9\) \(^3\) OxPAPC has been shown to be an important antigenic epitope of oxLDL. \(^2\) \(^6\) OxPAPC and oxLDL have similar bioactivities. \(^3\) \(^4\) However, oxPAPC as an antigen is more stable than oxLDL during preparation and storage, \(^2\) \(^7\) and therefore the anti-oxPAPC test is more reliable and readily standardised than the anti-oxLDL test. A raised concentration of anti-oxPAPC antibodies was significantly associated with atherosclerotic manifestations. \(^2\) \(^7\) We observed a significant reduction of anti-oxPAPC antibody levels

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Renal disease at T1</th>
<th>ECLAM &gt;2 at T1</th>
<th>PDN &gt;40 g</th>
<th>Azathioprine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque (%)</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickened intima (%)</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>* 22.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-IMT (mean (SD))</td>
<td>0.92 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-IMT (mean (SD))</td>
<td>0.63 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1, baseline; ECLAM, European Consensus Lupus Activity Measurement score; PDN, prednisone cumulative dose; M-IMT, maximum IMT; m-IMT, mean IMT.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: carotid plaque (McFaden’s (r^2 = 0.412; \text{p}&lt;0.0005))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.07 to 1.29</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDN cumulative dose (g)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.03 to 1.16</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-oxPAPC (U/ml) at T2</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.98 to 1.15</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: thickened intima (McFaden’s (r^2 = 0.260; \text{p}&lt;0.0005))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.02 to 1.17</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDN cumulative dose (g)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.99 to 1.06</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-oxPAPC (U/ml) at T2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.97 to 1.14</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of hypertension</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.24 to 0.98</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDN, prednisone; anti-oxPAPC, anti-oxidised palmitoyl arachidonoyl phosphocholine antibody; T2, end of follow up.

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Standard regression coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: M-IMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-oxPAPC (U/ml) at T2</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDN cumulative dose (g)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease at baseline</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R^2 = 0.408</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: m-IMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-oxPAPC (U/ml) at T2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R^2 = 0.483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R^2, multiple coefficient of determination; M-IMT, maximum IMT; m-IMT, mean IMT; anti-oxPAPC, anti-oxidised palmitoyl arachidonoyl phosphocholine antibody; T2, second evaluation, at the time of carotid ultrasound; PDN, prednisone.
throughout the follow up, probably as the result of treatment. Anti-oxPAPC levels were not associated with carotid abnormalities in multivariate logistic analysis either at baseline or at the time of ultrasound examination. However, anti-oxPAPC levels at T2 were correlated with increased M-IMT and m-IMT in the multivariate linear regression model. Another antibody system which plays a part in atherosclerosis is the anti-HSP65. High titres of anti-HSP60/65 antibodies were associated with atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid35 as well as in the coronary vessels36 37 in populations without SLE. As for the anti-oxPAPC antibodies, we noted a reduction of anti-HSP65 titre throughout the follow up, but in contrast with anti-oxPAPC, we did not find any relationship between anti-HSP65 titre and carotid abnormalities in patients with SLE. Possibly, in patients with SLE, disease treatment (especially immunosuppressant drugs) reduces the potential atherogenic role of anti-HSP65 antibodies.

Antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) are associated with arterial and venous thrombosis and are detected in the serum of about 50% of patients with SLE.15 Their role in predicting CVD is controversial.16 Nevertheless, it has been recently postulated that aPL, such as anti-β2-GPI, may contribute to the formation of atherosclerotic lesions.17 In our study, anti-β2-GPI as well as aCl and LA did not seem to predispose to the development of carotid lesions. This result, in keeping with data observed by others,7b 18 may be due to the wide use of low dose aspirin or antiagulants in patients with high risk for aPL manifestations or with definite antiphospholipid syndrome.

Taking into account all the data for the new immunological and inflammatory parameters, we suggest that in SLE they do not have the same predictive value as seen in other populations as they may be masked by some disease related features.

In conclusion, we identified some non-traditional predictors for atherosclerosis in patients with SLE. These features are indicative of a more severe disease like prednisone cumulative intake, renal disease, and active disease at baseline. Moreover, we confirmed the role of some traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis in patients with SLE, such as age and hypertension. The new immunological and inflammatory markers do not seem to have a role in predicting atherosclerosis in SLE.

Therefore, maximum effort should be made to treat lupus glomerulonephritis adequately using the lowest possible dosage of corticosteroids associated with corticosteroid sparing therapy and at the same time to manage renal complications, first of all hypertension, as quickly as possible.
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