Guidelines for the treatment of osteoarthritis

Guidelines for the treatment of osteoarthritis (OA) have several purposes, but a major one relates to its use by regulatory or medical insurance agencies that use it to help guide their response to requests for drugs to treat patients. Data have recently been published which record the efficacy of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) over paracetamol (acetaminophen) and report patients’ preferences for NSAIDs rather than paracetamol in the treatment of OA. Furthermore, inflammation is a common accompaniment of moderate to severe OA. Therefore it would seem appropriate to consider the use of NSAIDs as the primary treatment in the group of patients with OA who have moderate to severe pain or lack of inflammation as part of their disease. The EULAR Guidelines state that paracetamol is the first line of treatment for all patients with OA, despite the recent evidence that NSAIDs are more efficacious than paracetamol and that paracetamol is associated with more gastrointestinal toxicity than was previously thought.

Would it not be more appropriate to suggest that patients have moderate to severe pain and/or OA with inflammatory components be given NSAIDs as the first line of treatment, leaving paracetamol for symptomatic use in those patients with lesser degrees of pain or lack of overt inflammation?
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Authors’ response

The pro’s and con’s of oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and paracetamol are certainly of interest and relevance to the management of osteoarthritis (OA). However, the following points are relevant to the suggestions contained in Dr Furst’s letter:

1. The EULAR recommendations reflect an evidence based approach to key clinical questions. They clearly specify the end of 1998 as the time up until which published evidence was included for review. The recommendations will be revised in the light of more recent publications in the future and will then include the studies referenced by Dr Furst. It is clearly impractical regularly to change individual recommendations in response to every new publication—certain time points need to be taken to “close the door” on data while the formal review process takes place.

2. (2) Again it is clearly stated in the EULAR document that the package of care offered to a patient with knee OA must take into account not only efficacy and side effects of individual interventions but also patient attitudes and preferences, comorbidity, concurrent drug treatment, costs, and availability. It will indeed be interesting to see if the EULAR Task Force do change their recommendations in the light of these recent data in the way suggested by Dr Furst. For example:

- In the recent study by Pincus it is noteworthy that although 57% of patients thought that Arthrotec was better than paracetamol, 20% thought that paracetamol was better and 22% reported no difference. In other words almost half the patients found no perceived benefit from Arthrotec. Side effects were significantly more common with Arthrotec. Furthermore, this was a short term study of six weeks. The few long term studies show that many patients continue to use NSAID—in one study only 15–20% of patients with OA continued their NSAID for one year.

- Traditional oral NSAIDs indeed kill a significant number of patients each year from gastrointestinal bleeding/perforation. Over half of the patients with symptomatic OA are in the high risk category for NSAID associated morbidity (elderly, with comorbidity). Although there are now data that paracetamol may have a gastrointestinal risk, it is less than that caused by traditional NSAIDs. There are no renal or cardiovascular side effects from paracetamol, but there are from NSAIDs.

- NSAIDs remain expensive compared with paracetamol.

- Data show that NSAIDs (at least indometacin) may hasten cartilage and bone attrition—there are no data that paracetamol is such a negator of “DMOAD”.

It is for reasons such as this that most doctors keep oral NSAIDs in reserve for patients in whom the safer and cheaper drug, paracetamol, has failed.

(3) It is perhaps unfortunate that many of us get hung up on the order of drug selection. In practice, of course, most patients receive a package of care, not a single treatment. Many patients take both NSAIDs and paracetamol (often as self medication of over the counter drugs)—hopefully in addition to exercise, weight reduction, pacing of activities, etc. The argument about “first use” is therefore irrelevant to most patients. However, few studies use a factorial design to better inform us of effect sizes of combined treatments. Few also examine predictors of response. These points are again made in the EULAR document.

(4) We would take issue with the suggestion that the major purpose of “first use” is how it might be used by regulatory or insurance agencies. This may be true in America but is not a rationale for producing recommendations in Europe. The objectives of the EULAR recommendations are clearly listed. They summarise evidence to help directly (not indirectly through agencies) guide decision making by...
practitioners and patients. They also highlight gaps in our knowledge and inform the future research agenda.
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Salmonella arthritis
I was interested to read the “Lesson of the month” on salmonella arthritis in a 70 year old Afro-Caribbean man.1 The discussion mentioned that male sex and pre-existing atherosclerosis are risk factors for salmonella arthritis and that the condition is extremely uncommon below the age of 50.

No mention was made of the possibility of sickle cell disease in this man. Sickle cell disease may be complicated by salmonella infection, rarely producing an osteomyelitis, and I should be interested to know if haemoglobin electrophoresis carried out during his illness and this showed a normal adult haemoglobin pattern and no evidence of haemoglobinopa-
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It is a valid point that sickle cell disease can be complicated by salmonella infection and as a sequel salmonella osteomyelitis. This usually follows a painful crisis, which is more common in male than female patients and has an increased incidence between the ages of 15 and 25. An episode of painful crisis lasting for more than two weeks and associated with a temperature of 38°C or more should raise the suspicion of osteomyelitis, and the most common organism is salmonella followed by Staphylococcus aureus. Radiological changes are often only apparent up to four weeks after the onset of illness. Salmonella infection is also recorded in young children with sickle cell disease and episodes of vascular occlusion affecting the bones of the hand and feet, leading to dactylitis. Blood cultures are therefore always indicated in dactylitis when associated with sickle cell disease, and salmonella infection as a complication is well documented.2

Our patient did in fact have a haemoglobin electrophoresis carried out during his illness and this showed a normal adult haemoglobin pattern and no evidence of haemoglobinopa-
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Rheumatic pneumonia
I read recently in the Annals a letter by Fuente et al on rheumatic pneumonia.3 Despite the authors stating that they had completely reviewed Spanish and English publications, an article by us published in 1995 was not included.

Our article would have added to the information contained in the letter by Fuente et al because it described the case of a 3 year old girl (an uncommon age for the onset of rheumatic disease), in whom arthritis and carditis occurred together, with valvular sequelae (mitral insufficiency) that required surgical intervention a year later.

In Pernambuco, in the northeast of Brazil, which has serious socioeconomic problems, rheumatic fever is endemic among the poor, particularly in children and adolescents, causing early damage to mitral and aortic valves. Rheumatic pneumonia, though uncommon, can be seen in about 2% of our acute cases, mostly recurrent, with severe concomitant valvulitis.
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We thank Dr Saraiva for his letter and think that the case reported is very interesting as they describe rheumatic pneumonia associated with mitral insufficiency at an unusually early age of onset in a region were rheumatic fever continues to be prevalent. This emphasises even more the rarity of this complication. Unfortunately, we were unable to include the article in our review because it was not indexed in the bibliographic sources we used (Medline, Medline plus, and PubMed of the United States National Library of Medicine databases) and it was not referred to in the bibliography of papers reviewed in our article.
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Conquering rheumatoid arthritis. The latest breakthroughs and treatments


Thomas Lee is a professor of microbiology and biotechnology and is also a patient with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). He has studied and read about rheumatic diseases, mainly about RA, and felt the need for a comprehensive work to explain to patients with RA more about the mechanism of their disease and current and future treatments. It is not meant for, and offers little new to, the professional reader. On the other hand, it may be too complicated for a patient without a medical background. Those targeted are also clearly American patients: prices are always given in US dollars, references are only to USA regulations and, moreover, the major part of research quoted was done in the USA.

The author first gives some definitions of rheumatic diseases, followed by chapters about immunology and the mechanisms of disease. A lot of attention is given to genes and their role in RA. Much seems to be expected from the human genome project and from genetic targeted treatments. A chapter is dedicated to bone marrow and stem cell transplantation. Though the author mentions the side effect of these treatments, he still considers them a “miracle”, giving, I fear, undue hope to patients. The next chapter gives a clear overview of the current treatments for RA and is followed by chapters about new treatments, clinical trials, and drugs in the pipeline. At the end useful websites are listed.

My main criticism is that it is too easy for professionals, but too difficult for patients without a medical background. While some chapters are clear, for instance those about treatments, others, or part of others, seem to be really difficult to understand for lay people. The information given is sometimes anecdotal, for instance, in the chapter about stem cell transplantation where there are no references. Only websites are given as references. These may be easily accessible, but I think classical referencing allows for easier checking of what is stated. Moreover, I fear that false hopes may be raised by describing some treatments that are still far from being used in practice.
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