Acute arthritis after intra-articular hyaluronate injection: onset of effusions without crystal
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Abstract
Side effects of intra-articular hyaluronate injection include aseptic acute arthritis, which develops within hours after injection. Based on standard crystal analysis, calcium crystal shedding has been postulated to explain this complication. However, it is not known whetherapatite crystals or low amounts of calcium pyrophosphate dihydrate (CPPD) crystals are also involved as to determine this requires a complete synovial fluid (SF) analysis. Two cases of such an acute arthritis are reported in patients after receiving a second Hylan GF-20 intra-articular injection. The SF did not contain CPPD, monosodium urate, or calcium apatite microcrystals as examined by microscopic analysis (using a compensated polarised light microscope) after alizarin red staining. Further studies are required to investigate possible direct proinflammatory effects of hyaluronic acid degradation products.

Hyaluronic acid (HA), which can act as a lubricant, has recently been used in symptomatic treatment for knee pain due to osteoarthritis. It is marketed as Hylan GF-20 (Synvisc, Biomatrix Inc) and given as weekly intra-articular injections for three weeks. A few cases of arthritis after HA injection, possibly related to crystal formation, have been reported. Furthermore, it has been postulated that HA injection might cause calcium pyrophosphate dihydrate (CPPD) crystals to shed from the synovium and the cartilage. However, in some cases, such crystals could not be detected under a conventional light microscope. As the mechanism of this arthritis has not been fully understood, a more thorough examination of the possible presence of crystals in the synovial fluid (SF) should be performed. Here we report two cases of acute arthritis after intra-articular HA injection with no detection of CPPD, monosodium urate, or calcium apatite crystals, and discuss their mechanism.

Methods for SF analysis
Joint fluid samples without anticoagulant were analysed within two hours after arthrocentesis.

Table 1 White cell count in synovial fluids (×10^6/l)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Before injection 1</th>
<th>Before injection 2</th>
<th>After injection 2</th>
<th>Before injection 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>80 000</td>
<td>15 750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>32 600</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = not done.
than 1 ml of SF was aspirated and examined (table 1). After the first injection, the knee had a small effusion and swelling within four days and improved spontaneously. At the second injection, a SF examination again yielded a low cell count (table 1), with sterile cultures, without crystals. Two hours after the second injection, she presented with severe knee pain, swelling, and effusion. Knee aspiration yielded 50 ml of inflammatory SF. The white cell count was 32 600×10^6/l with 87% granulocytes, and the red cell count was 2600×10^6/l. The SF was sterile in culture and no crystals were found. The arthritis resolved within seven days after treatment with paracetamol alone. The patient declined a third Hylan injection. Nevertheless, her knee has been significantly improved for one year.

Discussion

Local acute reactions after HA injection are not rare and represent up to 11% of injected knees as reported by Puttick et al.4 Henderson et al reported that 47% of patients developed local adverse events and discontinued the treatment in 11% of cases. However, the presence of microcrystals in the SF was not examined in these studies. Gout and pseudogout after HA injection have been reported in several case reports.2-5

Moreover, a report of arthritis without crystal detection after an HA injection has been recently published. Puttick et al reported six cases of acute synovitis in a total of 88 Hylan injections.7 The SF was analysed in four of the six cases and light microscopic crystal analysis was negative in all. However, alizarin red staining was not performed in this study and thus, calcium apatite or a small amount of CPPD microcrystals might have been misdiagnosed. More recently, Schumacher et al reported 10 cases of acute arthritis after Hylan injections, without crystal deposition under complete SF examination.5 Combined with our study, 12 cases of arthritis without crystal detection have been collected so far. Electron microscopy is the best method of finding microcrystals in the SF, and was not used in our study. Nevertheless, false negative results with the polarised microscope and alizarin red S staining are rare.8

The mechanism of acute arthritis after HA injection is not clearly understood. There are different HA preparations used in the treatment of osteoarthritis, depending on the molecular weight of the product (from 750 kDa for sodium hyaluronate (Hyalgan) to 6000 kDa for Synvisc). Another difference between Hylan and Synvisc is that an anti-inflammatory effect has only been described with the former.9 Both low and high molecular weight HA produced the same local side effect. Pain and knee swelling was reported in 21/45 patients after Hylan in Henderson’s study.7 Puttick et al reported six local reactions in 22 patients injected with Synvisc.4

The relation between CPPD crystals in SF and arthritis after HA injection is not clear. Osteoarthritis and chondrocalcinosis are common in middle aged people and they coexist frequently. Nevertheless, arthritis after HA injection is not as common as chondrocalcinosis. In a recent study Daumen-Legré et al injected with Hylan GF-20 the osteoarthritic knees of two groups of 30 patients. CPPD crystal deposition was detected in one group, as judged by the knee radiographs.11 After the Hylan GF-20 injection, no adverse event was seen in either group. Therefore, the presence of CPPD crystals in arthritis after HA injection may be just a coincidence, or might have been shed from the cartilage or the synovial membrane.

Lussier et al noticed significantly more adverse events with the straight medial injection technique as compared with the straight lateral one.12 Therefore, they suggested that extra-articular injection might contribute to the onset of local reaction. Technical conditions for injection have not been seen in any other studies. In our two cases, all injections were done into a straight knee by the lateral approach and SF was obtained in each case.

Finally, it is interesting to consider the mechanism by which HA interacts with its receptor CD44, an adhesion molecule involved in leucocyte migration during inflammation.13 Degradation of HA may have a pathogenic role by producing proinflammatory agents.14 Therefore, HA alone might also trigger such an acute inflammatory process.

In conclusion, patients who developed arthritis after HA injection should be fully evaluated, including an SF analysis, to rule out infection and crystal induced inflammation. Red polarised light microscopy and even alizarin red staining for crystal analysis should be performed in these cases. Patients should be warned of the occurrence of such an event. Overall, as seen in our cases, acute arthritis after HA injection does not seem to influence a patient’s response to HA.
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