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Abstract

Objectives—To assess work history and labour force participation among patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in the Netherlands.

Methods—A random sample of 1056 patients with RA aged 16–59 years from 17 rheumatology practices in the Netherlands was examined. Data on disease status and outcome were obtained by a questionnaire including standardised instruments, such as the Rapid Assessment of Disease Activity in Rheumatology (RADAR) and RAND-36 questionnaires. Labour force participation was defined as having a paid job.

Results—Of the study group with a mean disease duration of 12 years, 35.7% held a paid job (men 56.7%; women 27.7%). When standardised for age, sex, and educational level, the labour force participation of patients with RA was 61.2% compared with 65.5% for the general population, which was not statistically significant. Disease duration of six years and more was negatively associated with labour force participation.

Conclusions—After controlling for the confounding effects of age, sex, and education, the labour force participation of patients with RA in the Netherlands is only slightly lower than that of the general population.

Paid employment has an important role in daily life, and this is true also for people with chronic diseases. Work provides not only social status and income but is also valued for its social support and social distraction. Having a paid job can have positive effects on wellbeing and quality of life. On the other hand, maintaining work may require a lot of extra energy for people with chronic diseases, which might have negative effects on their health and work status.

Of the chronic diseases prevalent in those of working age is rheumatoid arthritis (RA). In the Netherlands, estimates of the prevalence indicate that between 0.7% and 0.9% of the adult population is affected by RA, based on the 1958 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria. The prevalence increases with age, and is higher in women than in men; the overall sex ratio is about 2–3 to 1. RA is a systemic autoimmune disorder of unknown cause with features that differ between individual patients but may also vary from time to time within one single patient. Its major distinctive feature is chronic, symmetrical, and erosive synovitis of peripheral joints, including hands and feet. Although the severity of the joint disease may fluctuate over time, the most common outcome of established disease is progressive development of various degrees of joint destruction, deformity, immobility, pain, fatigue, depression, and anxiety.

Rheumatoid arthritis has a multidimensional impact on peoples’ lives, which can result in handicaps and disabilities, such as difficulties in performing activities of daily life, and work loss. Labour force participation (that is, the proportion of people having a paid job) was found to be reduced among patients with RA, even at an early stage of disease, ranging from 40% to 50%.

To assess work history and labour force participation of patients with RA, we conducted a cross sectional study among a representative sample of Dutch patients with RA attending rheumatology outpatient clinics.

Methods

SAMPLE SELECTION

The source study group was derived from the nationwide Standardised Diagnosis Register of Rheumatic Diseases (SDR), a representative database of outpatients visiting a rheumatologist. The SDR database contains information on the patient population of 80% of all rheumatologists working in the Netherlands. In the SDR, diagnoses of visiting patients are recorded every year by rheumatologists. Participating rheumatologists are instructed to apply the 1987 classification criteria of the ACR. The SDR provides an option of registering the seven items of the ACR criteria explicitly, which was done by some of the participants. A geographically representative sample of 35 rheumatologists (37% of all SDR rheumatologists), working in 17 practices (52% of all SDR practices) throughout the Netherlands was included in this study. From these practices, a random sample of patients diagnosed as having RA, aged 16–59 years in 1996 and registered in 1994 in the SDR, was selected. Because RA is more prevalent in women and in older people, a rated sampling procedure was followed to obtain sufficient numbers of male patients and of younger patients. Therefore, the sampling procedure for men was inclusion of all patients aged 16–50, and a random selection of 75% of the patients aged 51–59. For the women all patients aged 16–40 were included, and a random selection of 50% of the patients aged 41–50 and of 40% of those aged 51–59. In total, 1693 patients with RA were selected for our study (635 men, 1058 women).

All patients were sent a letter by their own rheumatologist with information about the study on “Abilities of persons with rheumatoid
Disease activity was assessed by a Dutch translation of the Rapid Assessment of Disease Activity in Rheumatology (RADAR), a brief self administered questionnaire, designed to provide valid, interpretable clinical information of patients with RA.4 The forward and backward translated RADAR questionnaire contains five questions relevant to disease activity (arthritis activity today; arthritis activity over the past six months; arthritis pain today; duration of morning stiffness; and joint tenderness today). From these five questions an index was calculated, the so-called Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index (RADAI). This index was found to be a highly reliable and valid self administered measure of disease activity for clinical, health services, and epidemiological research.15 The scores of RADAI may range from 0 to 10. Higher scores indicate more disease activity.

Patients were also asked about their age at the time RA was diagnosed. To assess disease duration, age at diagnosis of RA was subtracted from the age at time of completing the questionnaire.

**Work history and labour force participation**

Work history was assessed on the basis of two variables. Respondents indicated whether or not they had a paid job at the time of diagnosis, and indicated what their current work status was (whether they had a paid job at the time of the study, they had left the labour force (because of RA or any other reason), or had never had a paid job). Labour force participation was defined as having a paid job at the time of the study.

**Activities in daily life**

To assess functional abilities in daily life a validated Dutch version of the Health Assessment Questionnaire17 was used, containing 20 items addressing eight dimensions of activities in daily life (dressing and grooming; arising; eating; walking; hygiene; reaching; gripping; other household activities). Each item is scored 0–3 (0 = without any difficulty; 1 = with some difficulty; 2 = with much difficulty or with help from another person or with a device; 3 = not able to do). An overall score is calculated as the mean score of the eight scores obtained for the dimensions separately.

**Quality of life**

Information about health related quality of life was obtained by a validated Dutch translation of the RAND-36.17 It is a standardised questionnaire referring to eight dimensions (physical functioning; social functioning; role impairment due to physical functioning; role impairment due to social functioning; mental health; bodily pain; vitality; and general health), and changes in health over the past year. The RAND-36 is quite similar to the internationally widely used SF-36, for which a Dutch translation had not yet been validated at the time of our study. The scores for the separate dimensions of the RAND-36 can vary from 0 to 100. Lower scores indicate worse outcomes.

**DATA COLLECTION**

A self administered questionnaire covering sociodemographics (age, sex, highest attained level of education, type of income), disease characteristics, functional abilities in daily life, general health, and current work situation was completed.

**Disease characteristics**

Disease activity was assessed by a Dutch translation of the Rapid Assessment of Disease Activity in Rheumatology (RADAR), a brief self administered questionnaire, designed to provide valid, interpretable clinical information of patients with RA. The forward and backward translated RADAR questionnaire contains five questions relevant to disease activity (arthritis activity today; arthritis activity over the past six months; arthritis pain today; duration of morning stiffness; and joint tenderness today). From these five questions an index was calculated, the so-called Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index (RADAI). This index was found to be a highly reliable and valid self administered measure of disease activity for clinical, health services, and epidemiological research. The scores of RADAI may range from 0 to 10. Higher scores indicate more disease activity.

Patients were also asked about their age at the time RA was diagnosed. To assess disease duration, age at diagnosis of RA was subtracted from the age at time of completing the questionnaire.

**Work history and labour force participation**

Work history was assessed on the basis of two variables. Respondents indicated whether or not they had a paid job at the time of diagnosis, and indicated what their current work status was (whether they had a paid job at the time of the study, they had left the labour force (because of RA or any other reason), or had never had a paid job). Labour force participation was defined as having a paid job at the time of the study.

**Activities in daily life**

To assess functional abilities in daily life a validated Dutch version of the Health Assessment Questionnaire was used, containing 20 items addressing eight dimensions of activities in daily life (dressing and grooming; arising; eating; walking; hygiene; reaching; gripping; other household activities). Each item is scored 0–3 (0 = without any difficulty; 1 = with some difficulty; 2 = with much difficulty or with help from another person or with a device; 3 = not able to do). An overall score is calculated as the mean score of the eight scores obtained for the dimensions separately.

**Quality of life**

Information about health related quality of life was obtained by a validated Dutch translation of the RAND-36. It is a standardised questionnaire referring to eight dimensions (physical functioning; social functioning; role impairment due to physical functioning; role impairment due to social functioning; mental health; bodily pain; vitality; and general health), and changes in health over the past year. The RAND-36 is quite similar to the internationally widely used SF-36, for which a Dutch translation had not yet been validated at the time of our study. The scores for the separate dimensions of the RAND-36 can vary from 0 to 100. Lower scores indicate worse outcomes.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Work history of the total study group was examined to give an overall impression of the working career of people with RA. Furthermore, patient characteristics of the study group were analysed both for working and non-working patients. Statistical differences in means and proportions were tested with the CSAMPLE module of the statistical package Epi Info, version 6.02. The CSAMPLE module computes means or proportions with standard errors and 95% confidence limits for studies in which the data did not come from a simple random sample.

Next, labour force participation of patients with RA was studied for the whole group with RA and stratified for age, sex, and educational level. The highest attained educational level was separated into three categories: primary, secondary, and vocational colleges/university level. Age, sex, and educational level are well known determinants of labour force participation. Therefore, to enable better comparison...
with reference data of labour force participation for the general Dutch population, we adjusted the age-sex-educational level distribution in the study group to the distribution in the general Dutch population by using the direct standardisation method.20 To study the independent effect of disease duration on the labour force participation, age-sex-educational level specific proportions of having work in our study group were compared with those in the general population by using an indirect method of standardisation (based on the method for obtaining the Standardised Morbidity Ratio and its variance). Therefore, we calculated for our study group ratios of the observed (O) and expected (E) number of working people for evenly spaced five-year categories of disease duration. Because of our study design the first category ranges from two to five years of disease duration. Expected morbidity ratios and their variances. Therefore, we calculated for our study group ratios of the observed (O) and expected (E) number of working people for evenly spaced five-year categories of disease duration. Because of our study design the first category ranges from two to five years of disease duration. To examine statistically significant differences between the group with RA and the general population we obtained the variances of the O/E ratios, and estimated their 95% confidence intervals ($O/E\pm 1.96 \times \text{var}(O/E)$). If a rate ratio of 1.0 is included in the interval, this implies that the estimate is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level.21 These analyses were performed with the statistical package SPSS for Windows, release 6.1.3.22

**Results**

Figure 1 presents the work history of the patients with RA from the year of diagnosis until the time of study in 1996. At the time of diagnosis of RA, the work situation was unknown for 11.6% patients. Overall, 68.2% of the study group had a paid job, at least temporarily, at some time after being diagnosed with RA. In 1996, 35.7% still held a paid job, 54.7% of the total population stopped working, of whom 40% stopped working because of RA (this is 22.7% of the total group with RA), and 9.6% had never had a paid job. The mean disease duration of the population was 11.9 years, varying from two years to 32. The mean duration of working after being diagnosed with RA was 7.2 years, varying from 0 years to 32.

Table 1 presents patient characteristics, disease status, and outcome of the total study group, and of the working and non-working study group.

It was found that on average patients with RA who were younger, male, or more highly educated were more likely to be working ($p<0.05$). In this group of patients RA was more recently diagnosed and disease activity was significantly less than among patients without a paid job. Working patients had significantly fewer disabilities and a better quality of life than patients who did not work— their physical functioning was better and their role impairment was less.

The crude labour force participation of patients with RA was 35.7% (56.7% in men and 27.7% in women) (Table 2). To assess the labour market position of patients with RA in the Netherlands we compared our study group with the general Dutch population of working age (16–59 years). Our study group had a higher mean age and contained more women.

![Figure 1](http://ard.bmj.com/Ann%20Rheum%20Dis%3A%20first%20published%20as%2010.1136%20ard.59.7.549%20on%201%20July%202000. %20Downloaded%20from%20http://ard.bmj.com/)
and fewer educated people. This was to be expected because the peak incidence of RA occurs between the ages of 40 and 60, and because of the higher prevalence of RA in women than in men.

When the overall standardised labour force participation rates for male and female patients with RA were calculated it was shown that the sex-specific labour force participation rates for those with RA were not statistically significantly lower than the same rates for the general Dutch population. Therefore, the overall standardised labour force participation of the group with RA was not significantly different from that of the general Dutch population. The overall standardised labour force participation of RA was 61.2% (95% CI 55.7% to 66.7%) compared with 65.5% for the general Dutch population. However, clear differences were seen when educational levels were taken into account.

For patients with RA with a primary level of education, labour force participation was significantly reduced only for men in the age categories 20–29, 40–49, and 50–59 compared with the general population. For both male and female patients with a secondary school level of education, it was found that labour force participation was only significantly reduced in the highest age category. For patients with RA with a high level of education, labour force participation was significantly reduced only for women in the age categories 40–49 and 50–59.

Figure 2 shows the relation between disease duration and labour force participation in patients with RA as rate ratios and their 95% CI. It shows that patients with RA with disease duration of six years or more have significantly fewer paid jobs than people in the general Dutch population of the same age, sex, and educational level. The proportion of working people in patients with RA with a disease duration of 6–10 years is 1.1 times less than in the general population, 1.3 times less in patients with a disease duration of 11–15 years, 1.6 times less in patients with disease duration of 16–20 years, and 1.8 times less in patients with disease duration of 21 years or more.
Discussion

Our study indicates that the labour force participation of patients with RA in the Netherlands is largely comparable with that of the general population, when confounding effects of age, sex, and educational level are considered. Still, it was found that disease duration of six years and more was negatively associated with labour force participation. In contrast with our study, most other reports on RA and labour force participation deal with groups with a work history and focus merely on early withdrawal from the labour force among patients with recently diagnosed RA, except for one. In this last study, labour force participation was 41% among patients with RA with a mean disease duration of 12 years, which is consistent with our study. Yelin et al studied labour force participation and disease duration and found that 50% of patients with RA with some work experience stopped working within a decade after diagnosis, 60% within 15 years, and 90% within 30 years. In the Netherlands Doeglas et al found that 40% of patients with RA withdrew from the labour force within four years after diagnosis. Our study shows a lower reduction in labour force participation in relation to disease duration. It should be noted, however, that in our study we also considered patients without a work history, because they potentially can enter the labour force. Moreover, we also controlled for common risk factors of labour force participation, such as age, sex, and education. To compare our labour force participation rates with those for patients with RA in other countries, it is also important to consider other work dynamics, such as the demand for labour, which can fluctuate over time and differ between countries, and the differences in social insurance legislation systems.

Our study has a number of limitations. Firstly, selection bias (non-response bias) might have affected the observed results. To reduce selective response of patients with a paid job, we introduced the study to the patients as a survey of abilities of people diagnosed with RA. After sending two reminders the response rate was 62.4%, which, although not high, is an average rate for studies with postal questionnaires in the Netherlands. A randomised trial of various design and mailing routines for questionnaires in an open population showed even lower response rates (40–56%). Few non-participating patients indicated a reason for refusing to participate and because of privacy legislation in the Netherlands we could not perform an extensive non-response analysis. When the SDR was examined we found no significant differences of age, sex, and the registration of ACR criteria between participants and non-participants.

Secondly, we only validated the diagnosis for a randomly selected sample of the participating patients with RA (that is, 20% of the total population). Based on that sample it can be concluded that 15.6% of the population fulfilled the 1987 ACR criteria. This might seem to be drawback of this study. However, ACR criteria are intended for classification and are not suited for diagnosis. Moreover, it is well known that at one moment patients with RA can satisfy the ACR criteria and no longer satisfy them at another time. This is especially the case in patients with early RA, self limited RA, and patients with slowly progressive RA during a period of low disease activity. In addition, all patients were diagnosed as having RA by a rheumatologist, and these patients had difficulties in their social contacts, even when they did not meet the classification criteria. Therefore, we believe that our study group is representative of the clinical RA population in rheumatology practices. On the basis of the SDR, it is estimated that rheumatologists treat 25% of the total group of patients with RA in the Netherlands. It is recalled to note that patients treated by a rheumatologist have worse disease and a poorer outcome than patients not treated by a rheumatologist. Therefore, we conclude that our results are not representative of the total RA population in the Netherlands.

In addition, labour force participation of the total RA population in the Netherlands will be higher than that of the RA population treated by a rheumatologist.

Thirdly, data were collected by a self administered questionnaire, and were not confirmed by objective measures. However, the questionnaire comprised several well validated instruments to assess disease status and outcome. Although the year of diagnosis of RA by a rheumatologist was assessed retrospectively, we believe that this event has such an impact on patients’ lives, that it is unlikely that this was substantially and systematically affected in any direction by recall bias.

In summary, this study shows that labour force participation among patients with RA in the Netherlands is not as strongly reduced as is often assumed. To enable comparability between populations it is important to control for the common risk factors age, sex, and educational level.

It should be noted that holding a paid job does not imply that the work situation (working part time, changing jobs) of working patients with RA is comparable with that of the general Dutch working population. A previous study found that for working Dutch patients with RA there were negative influences on their working capabilities, and also on their social participation and household activities. Other studies have shown that disease related factors, psychosocial, as well as work related (such as physical job demand, job autonomy, type of job, and job satisfaction), contribute also to the work ability of patients with RA.
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