

REVIEW

Synovial biopsy in arthritis research: five years of concerted European collaboration

Barry Bresnihan, Paul Peter Tak, Paul Emery, Lars Klareskog, Ferdinand Breedveld

The term rheumatoid arthritis (RA) was first proposed by Garrod in 1859.¹ By 1959, the histopathological features of synovitis, the proliferating pannus, and cartilage degradation in longstanding RA had been well described.² Early histopathological studies were based on tissue samples obtained at surgery or at postmortem examinations. Occasionally, biopsy samples were obtained for analysis from patients with arthritis undergoing open arthroscopy.

Needle biopsy of synovium

The initial interest in developing synovial biopsy techniques was to aid the differential diagnosis of joint diseases. In 1932 Forestier described a technique for obtaining synovial tissue with a dental nerve extractor that was introduced into the joint through a large calibre needle.³ He never published his results. Early experience with needle biopsy of the synovium was described in the 1950s.⁴⁻⁵ It was concluded that if strict aseptic techniques were employed, the procedure was safe and practical for use in both hospital wards and outpatient clinics. However, the biopsy needles tended to cause considerable trauma to the penetrated tissues owing to their wide bore and the requirement for an incision. In 1963 Parker and Pearson developed a simplified 14-gauge biopsy needle that did not require a skin incision.⁶ They described their experience of 125 procedures, almost all from the suprapatellar pouch of the knee joint, of which only five failed to yield adequate tissue for analysis. No serious complications were encountered. The potential of needle biopsy as a research tool in arthritis was highlighted in 1970 by Kinsella *et al* in their study of synovial lining layer cells in RA,⁷ and in 1972 by Schumacher and Kitridou in their clinicopathological study of the early features of synovitis.⁸

Arthroscopic biopsy of the synovium

Arthroscopy was also initially developed as a diagnostic instrument. It was used primarily by orthopaedic surgeons.⁹ In the 1970s and 1980s a number of academic rheumatology groups, notably in London, Stockholm, Paris and Ann Arbor, introduced arthroscopy as a research tool. With the development of small-bore (for example, 2.7 mm) arthroscopes, which can be used in a day-care environment under local

anaesthesia, regional nerve block, or general anaesthesia, it became possible to select tissue samples from various large and small joints and from most regions within the joint, including the cartilage-pannus junction.¹⁰⁻¹² In addition, methods for quantifying intra-articular disease were validated.¹³ These developments were of great interest to rheumatologists as they opened new and exciting opportunities in the field of synovial tissue research. Now, training courses in arthroscopy are regularly organised by EULAR and the ACR. A recently completed international survey of arthroscopy in rheumatology identified 24 academic centres in 10 European countries that regularly use arthroscopic techniques (Kane D, personal communication). Twenty three of these centres have started using arthroscopy since 1990. Arthroscopic biopsy is technically more complicated and more expensive than closed needle biopsy, but it provides larger tissue samples that can be selected under direct vision.

Advances in the analysis of synovial biopsy tissue

Many technological developments in fields that included electron microscopy, cytochemistry, immunohistochemistry, cell culture, and molecular biology have been successfully applied to synovial tissue research. As a result, detailed descriptions of the synovial membrane and pannus architecture have been published.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ In addition, many of the pathophysiological mechanisms associated with chronic synovial inflammation and progressive matrix degradation have been identified.¹⁸⁻²⁸ It is known that the normal synovium at the cartilage-pannus junction contains mainly inactive fibroblasts and macrophages.²⁹ In RA and some other forms of arthritis these cell populations increase in number and become highly activated and transformed. They produce many proinflammatory and destructive mediators, which enable them to invade cartilage and bone.³⁰⁻³¹ In addition, these cells and their products may modulate other cell populations participating in tissue degradation.³²⁻³⁴ At the same time the inflamed synovium demonstrates prominent new blood vessel formation,³⁵ and the accumulation of antigen-presenting cells, T and B lymphocytes, plasma cells, and neutrophils.³⁶⁻³⁸ Another critical element in the inflamed synovium is the dysregulation of normal

Department of
Rheumatology, St
Vincent's University
Hospital, Dublin 4,
Ireland
B Bresnihan

Division of Clinical
Immunology and
Rheumatology,
Academic Medical
Centre, Amsterdam,
The Netherlands
P P Tak

Rheumatology
Research Unit,
University of Leeds,
Leeds, United
Kingdom
P Emery

Rheumatology Unit,
Department of
Medicine, Karolinska
Hospital, Stockholm,
Sweden
L Klareskog

Department of
Rheumatology, Leiden
University Medical
Centre, Leiden,
The Netherlands
F Breedveld

Correspondence to:
Professor Bresnihan
Email: b.bresnihan@svpcpc.ie

Accepted for publication
1 May 2000

apoptosis.³⁹⁻⁴⁰ More understanding of the relative contributions of these many factors to the pathogenesis and resolution of arthritis will be elucidated by relating them to the clinical course and outcome, and by evaluating their susceptibility to therapeutic modulation.

Recent application of synovial biopsy to arthritis research

Some of the early literature emphasised the heterogeneity of histological change in synovial tissue.²⁻⁴¹⁻⁴⁶ This caused concern about interpretation of the histopathological features in small samples obtained blindly from only one location. It was suggested that quantitative analysis of synovial tissue might be unreliable owing to unavoidable sampling error. In addition, some of the earlier studies produced conflicting results when correlations between the synovial membrane appearance and the clinical manifestations of RA, including joint damage, were evaluated. These issues were examined extensively in a series of studies which, when taken together, showed that despite the degree of histological variation, representative measures of synovial tissue inflammation may be obtained by examining a limited area of tissue.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Some measures of synovial tissue inflammation have been consistently correlated with variables of local or systemic disease activity, severity, and outcome.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰⁻⁵³ In addition, the microscopic characteristics of rheumatoid synovitis are present even in joints that have not yet become overtly inflamed.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ Finally, in RA the immunohistological features of synovial inflammation change as the clinical manifestations change in response to conventional disease modifying antirheumatic drugs, pulse methylprednisolone, or intra-articular glucocorticoids.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁸⁻⁶⁴ These observations from many clinicopathological research protocols have provided compelling evidence to support the inclusion of synovial biopsy and tissue analysis in studies of the cause, pathogenesis, prognosis, and effects of treatment.⁶⁵

Thus, by the early 1990s, several independent and disparate research streams had converged. At the same time, new approaches to treatment, including monoclonal antibody treatment and cytokine blockade, which targeted specific pathogenetic factors in the synovium, were being evaluated in clinical trials.

European Synovitis Study Group

This convergence stimulated several groups of European investigators to convene at the EULAR meeting in Amsterdam in June 1995. The concerns that were foremost at the time included: (a) the need to establish acceptable guidelines for training European rheumatologists in arthroscopic techniques and (b) to consider collaboration in resolving issues related to tissue selection and preparation, and the methods used to quantify the immunohistochemical features of synovial inflammation. The process developed informally with biannual meetings, and a useful forum has evolved for discussing research protocols and data that incorporated

synovial biopsy and tissue analysis. The group is now represented on the EULAR Investigative Rheumatology Committee. In addition, the original European focus has been widened by regular collaboration with like-minded investigators from North America and Australia.

GUIDELINES FOR TRAINING IN ARTHROSCOPY

The need to establish acceptable guidelines for training rheumatologists in arthroscopic techniques has been a priority for the group. After considerable discussion, this task has been completed and a document submitted to ILAR for approval and distribution (Reece R, personal communication). The document, which will be published, identifies minimum requirements for the accreditation of trainers and training centres, and outlines a basic curriculum for acquiring the necessary skills in arthroscopy. In addition, procedures for the assessment and accreditation of trainees are proposed.

TISSUE SELECTION

An important practical question was whether arthroscopic synovial biopsy samples, selected under direct vision, were better than needle biopsy specimens in clinicopathological studies. To answer this question the immunohistological features of synovial tissue samples selected at arthroscopy were compared with samples obtained at the same time by needle biopsy from the suprapatellar pouch of the same joint.⁶⁶ The results showed that measurement of most microscopic features of inflammation were similar whether samples were selected under direct vision or obtained blindly by needle biopsy. Moreover, the macroscopic features of inflammation visualised at arthroscopy did not predict the microscopic features. Thus the practical advantages of the closed needle biopsy technique justify its use in many clinicopathological studies. When tissue from specific sites is required or when sample size is important, as in studies which include *in vitro* experiments, cell separation or analysis of gene expression and protein production, arthroscopic biopsy is a better tissue source.

QUANTIFICATION OF INFLAMMATION IN SYNOVIAL TISSUE SAMPLES

Quantifying the microscopic features of inflammation can be tedious and time consuming. Semiquantitative methods would have the advantages of speed and cost. When semiquantitative and quantitative methods were compared, a cross sectional analysis showed close correlations between the two.⁶⁷ However, in some patients with a clinical response to treatment, the semiquantitative method lacked the sensitivity to recognise some biologically relevant changes which were identified by the quantitative method. Therefore, in studies that seek alterations in the immunohistochemical appearance of synovial membrane—for example, during clinical trials, semiquantitative methods may underestimate the degree of change.

Computerised digital image analysis has been applied to aspects of histopathological quantification. It offers possibilities of greater objectivity, reliability, and rapidity than other methods. In an initial study, digital image analysis was successfully applied to the measurement of some features of synovial tissue inflammation, including lining layer thickness and T cell infiltration.⁶⁸ These conclusions were independently confirmed and extended in a separate study, which showed strongly positive correlations between measurements of T cell and macrophage infiltration obtained by digital image analysis and two other methods.⁶⁹ The results of these two studies support the further development and wider application of digital image analysis in quantifying critical pathological events in the synovium, such as adhesion molecule expression, cytokine and protease production, angiogenesis, and apoptosis.

CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL STUDIES

Pathophysiological studies have been a predominant interest, both within individual groups and in collaborative efforts. Thus studies incorporating synovial biopsy which have emanated from the participating groups and their collaborators have analysed several pathophysiological mechanisms. There has been particular emphasis on studying the pathophysiological events in the synovium of patients with early arthritis, when cell adhesion molecules are upregulated⁷⁰ and mononuclear cells, including T and B lymphocytes and macrophages, are diffusely present.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Proinflammatory cytokines, tissue degrading enzymes, and other mediators of synovial inflammation and matrix degradation are also expressed in abundance in very early arthritis.⁷¹⁻⁷⁵ Studies have also examined mechanisms of cell interaction, activation, and apoptosis.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ The observations highlight the need to consider very early introduction of effective treatment that will reduce the tissue damaging effects of persistent synovial pathophysiological activity in several categories of chronic arthritis, particularly in RA.⁷⁹

EVALUATION OF TREATMENT

Another major interest of the group has been the evaluation of pathophysiological changes in synovial tissue obtained from patients receiving new targeted treatment. In some multicentre studies, biopsy samples taken before and after treatment were pooled or exchanged to maximise the numbers studied or to validate results between centres. These studies have facilitated the evaluation of modes of action and the efficacy of several putative therapeutic advances, including treatment with monoclonal anti-T cell antibodies,⁸⁰⁻⁸¹ inhibition of the proinflammatory cytokines, tumour necrosis factor α ⁸²⁻⁸³ and interleukin 1β ,⁸⁴ and the anti-inflammatory cytokine, interferon β .⁸⁵ Initial studies suggest that targeted treatments produce profound effects on cellular infiltration on the synovium,⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴ associated with inhibition of adhesion molecule expression⁸²⁻⁸⁴ and reduced production of cytokines and chemokines.⁸⁵

Treatment with interferon β was also associated with reduced cellular infiltration and collagenase production.⁸⁵

WORK IN PROGRESS

Collaborative protocols that are currently approaching completion are part of the standardisation process for quantifying the features of synovial inflammation, both macroscopically at arthroscopy and microscopically by immunohistochemistry. These protocols involve several centres exchanging video images of inflamed synovium acquired at arthroscopy, and tissue sections for microscopic analysis by conventional methods and, in some centres, by digitalised image analysis. These studies are critical to ensure that optimal technological standards are maintained and to minimise interobserver variation between centres.

Conclusion

Synovial biopsy is now widely practised in arthritis research. Multiple tissue samples can be readily obtained using closed needle biopsy, usually from the suprapatellar pouch. This source may be suitable for many clinicopathological studies. Needle arthroscopy is considerably more expensive, but provides larger samples which can be selected under direct vision. The European Synovitis Study Group was convened five years ago as a forum for discussing, planning, and evaluating studies that may involve arthroscopy, synovial biopsy, or tissue analysis. The group now reports officially to EULAR. The current priorities of the group include studying the pre-erosive phase of destructive arthritis, and evaluating the effects of new treatments on the pathogenetic pathways associated with inflammation and matrix degradation.

- 1 Garrod AB. *The nature and treatment of gout and rheumatic gout*. London: Walton and Maberly, 1859.
- 2 Kulka JP. The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *Journal of Chronic Diseases* 1959;10:388-402.
- 3 Forestier J. Instrumentation pour biopsie medicale. *Comptes Rendus des Seances-Societe de Biologie et de ses Filiales* 1932;110:186-7.
- 4 Polley HF, Bickle WH, Dockerty MB. Experiences with an instrument for punch biopsy of synovial membrane. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1951;26:273-81.
- 5 Zeveley HA, French AJ, Mikkelsen WM, Duff IF. Synovial specimens obtained by knee joint punch biopsy. *Histologic study in joint diseases*. *Am J Med* 1956;20:510-19.
- 6 Parker HR, Pearson CM. A simplified synovial biopsy needle. *Arthritis Rheum* 1963;6:172-6.
- 7 Kinsella TD, Baum J, Ziff M. Studies of isolated synovial lining cells in rheumatoid and non-rheumatoid synovial membranes. *Arthritis Rheum* 1970;13:734-53.
- 8 Schumacher HR, Kitridou RC. Synovitis of recent onset. A clinicopathologic study during the first month of disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 1972;15:465-85.
- 9 O'Rourke KS, Ike RW. Diagnostic arthroscopy in the arthritis patient. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 1994;20:321-42.
- 10 Arnold WJ. Office-based arthroscopy. *Bull Rheum Dis* 1992;41:3-6.
- 11 Chang RW, Sharma L. Why a rheumatologist should be interested in arthroscopy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37:1573-6.
- 12 Reece RJ, Emery P. Needle arthroscopy. *Br J Rheumatol* 1995;34:1102-4.
- 13 Ayrat X, Dougados M, Lustrat V, Bonvarlet J-P, Simonnet J, Amor B. Arthroscopic evaluation of chondropathy. *J Rheumatol* 1996;23:698-706.
- 14 Kobayashi I, Ziff M. Electron microscopic studies of the cartilage-pannus junction in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1975;18:475-83.
- 15 Fassbender HG. Histomorphologic basis of articular cartilage destruction in rheumatoid arthritis. *Collagen and Related Research* 1983;3:141-55.

- 16 Shiozawa S, Shiozawa K, Fujita T. Morphologic observations in the early phase of the cartilage-pannus junction: light and electron microscopic studies of active cellular pannus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1983;26:472-8.
- 17 Iguchi T, Kurosaka M, Ziff M. Electron microscopic study of HLA-DR and monocyte/macrophage staining cells in the rheumatoid synovial membrane. *Arthritis Rheum* 1986;29:600-13.
- 18 Evanson JM, Jeffrey JJ, Krane SM. Human collagenase: identification and characterization of an enzyme from rheumatoid synovium in culture. *Science* 1967;158:499-502.
- 19 Woolley DE, Crossley MJ, Evanson JM. Collagenase at sites of cartilage erosion in the rheumatoid joint. *Arthritis Rheum* 1977;20:1231-9.
- 20 Klareskog L, Forsum U, Kabelitz D, Ploen L, Sundström C, Nillson K, *et al*. Immune functions of human synovial cells. Phenotypic and T cell regulatory properties of macrophage-like cells that express HLA-DR. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982;25:488-501.
- 21 Dayer J-M, Beutler B, Cerami A. Cachectin/tumor necrosis factor stimulates collagenase and prostaglandin E2 production by human synovial cells and dermal fibroblasts. *J Exp Med* 1985;162:2163-8.
- 22 Burmester GR, Jahn B, Rowher P, Zacher J, Winchester RJ, Kalden JR. Differential expression of Ia antigens by rheumatoid synovial cells. *J Clin Invest* 1987;80:595-604.
- 23 Firestein GS, Alvaro-Gracia JM, Maki R. Quantitative analysis of cytokine gene expression in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Immunol* 1990;144:3347-53.
- 24 Koch AE, Kunkel SL, Burrows JC, Evanoff HL, Haines GK, Pope RM, *et al*. Synovial tissue macrophage as a source of the chemotactic cytokine IL-8. *J Immunol* 1991;147:2187-95.
- 25 Chu CQ, Field M, Feldmann M, Maini RN. Localisation of tumor necrosis factor α in synovial tissues at the cartilage-pannus junction in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991;34:1125-32.
- 26 Gravalles EM, Darling JM, Ladd AL, Katz JN, Glimcher LH. In situ hybridization studies of stromelysin and collagenase messenger RNA expression in rheumatoid synovium. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991;34:1076-84.
- 27 Trabandt A, Aicher WK, Gay RE, Sukhatme VP, Fassbender H-G, Gay S. Spontaneous expression of immediate-early response genes c-fos and egr-1 in collagenase-producing rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts. *Rheumatol Int* 1992;12:53-9.
- 28 Wilkinson LS, Pittsillides AA, Worrall JG, Edwards JCW. Light microscopic characterization of the fibroblast-like synovial intimal cell (synoviocyte). *Arthritis Rheum* 1992;35:1179-84.
- 29 Allard SJ, Bayliss MT, Maini RN. The synovium-cartilage junction in the normal knee: implications for joint destruction and repair. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33:1170-9.
- 30 Firestein GS. Invasive fibroblast-like synoviocytes in rheumatoid arthritis: passive responders or transformed aggressors? *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:1781-90.
- 31 Burmester GR, Stuhmüller B, Keyszer G, Kinne RW. Mononuclear phagocytes and rheumatoid synovitis: mastermind or workhorse in arthritis? *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:5-18.
- 32 Tetlow LC, Woolley DE. Mast cells, cytokines, and metalloproteinases at the rheumatoid lesion: dual immunolocalisation studies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1995;54:896-903.
- 33 Zvaifler NJ, Tsai V, Alsalamah S, von Kempis J, Firestein GS, Lotz M. Pannocytes: distinctive cells found in rheumatoid arthritis articular erosions. *Am J Pathol* 1997;150:1125-38.
- 34 Gravalles EN, Harada Y, Wang J-T, Gorn JH, Thornhill TS, Goldring SR. Identification of cell types responsible for bone resorption in rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Am J Pathol* 1998;152:943-51.
- 35 Koch AE. Angiogenesis: implications for rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:951-62.
- 36 Ishikawa H, Ziff M. Immunoreactive cells in the rheumatoid synovial membrane. *Arthritis Rheum* 1976;19:1-14.
- 37 Janossy G, Panayi G, Duke O, Bofill M, Poulter LW, Goldstein G. Rheumatoid arthritis: a disease of T lymphocyte/macrophage immunoregulation. *Lancet* 1981;ii:839-42.
- 38 Thomas R, Lipsky PE. Presentation of self-peptides by dendritic cells: possible implications for the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:183-90.
- 39 Firestein GS, Yeo M, Zvaifler NJ. Apoptosis in rheumatoid arthritis synovium. *J Clin Invest* 1995;96:1631-8.
- 40 Nakajima T, Aono H, Hasunuma T, Yamamoto K, Shirai T, Hirohata K, *et al*. Apoptosis and functional Fas antigen in rheumatoid arthritis synoviocytes. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:485-91.
- 41 Cruikshank B. Interpretation of multiple biopsies of synovial tissue in rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1952;11:137-45.
- 42 Jayson MIV, Dixon ASJ. Arthroscopy of the knee in rheumatoid diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1968;27:503-11.
- 43 Yates DB, Scott JT. Rheumatoid synovitis and joint disease: relationship between arthroscopic and histologic changes. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1975;34:1-6.
- 44 Henderson DRF, Jayson MIV, Tribe CR. Lack of correlation of synovial histology with joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1975;34:7-11.
- 45 Lindblad S, Hedfors E. Intra-articular variation in synovitis. Local macroscopic and microscopic signs of inflammatory activity are significantly correlated. *Arthritis Rheum* 1985;28:977-86.
- 46 Hutton CW, Hinton C, Dieppe P. Intra-articular variation of synovial changes in knee arthritis: biopsy study comparing changes in patellofemoral synovium and the medial tibiofemoral synovium. *Br J Rheumatol* 1987;26:5-8.
- 47 Rooney M, Condell D, Quinlan W, Daly L, Whelan A, Feighery C, *et al*. Analysis of the histologic variation of synovitis in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1988;31:956-63.
- 48 Bresnihan B, Cunnane G, Youssef P, Yanni G, FitzGerald O, Mulherin D. Microscopic measurement of synovial membrane inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis: proposals for the evaluation of tissue samples by quantitative analysis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:636-42.
- 49 Dolhain RJEM, ter Haar NT, de Kuiper R, Nieuwenhuis IG, Zwinderman AH, Breedveld FC, *et al*. Distribution of T cells and signs of T cell activation in the rheumatoid joint: implications for semiquantitative comparative histology. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:324-30.
- 50 Rooney M, Whelan A, Feighery C, Bresnihan B. Changes in lymphocyte infiltration of the synovial membrane and the clinical course of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1989;32:361-9.
- 51 Rooney M, Whelan A, Feighery C, Bresnihan B. The immunohistologic features of synovitis, disease activity and in-vitro IgM rheumatoid factor synthesis by blood mononuclear cells in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1989;16:459-67.
- 52 Mulherin D, FitzGerald O, Bresnihan B. Synovial tissue macrophage populations and articular damage in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:115-24.
- 53 Tak PP, Smeets TJM, Daha MR, Kluin PM, Meijers KAE, Brand R, *et al*. Analysis of the synovial cellular infiltrate in early rheumatoid synovial tissue in relation to local disease activity. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:217-25.
- 54 Soden M, Rooney M, Cullen A, Whelan A, Feighery C, Bresnihan B. Immunohistologic features in the synovium from clinically uninvolved knee joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1989;28:287-92.
- 55 FitzGerald O, Soden M, Yanni G, Robinson R, Bresnihan B. Morphometric analysis of blood vessels in synovial membranes obtained from clinically affected and unaffected knee joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1991;50:792-6.
- 56 Kraan MC, Versendaal H, Jonker M, Bresnihan B, Post W, t'Hart BA, *et al*. Asymptomatic synovitis precedes clinically manifest arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:1481-8.
- 57 Pando JA, Duray P, Yarburo C, Gourley MF, Klippel JH, Schumacher HR. Synovitis occurs in some clinically normal and asymptomatic joints in patients with early arthritis. *J Rheumatol* (in press).
- 58 Walters MT, Smith JL, Moore K, Evans PR, Cawley MID. An investigation of the action of disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs on the rheumatoid synovial membrane: reduction in T lymphocyte subpopulations and HLA-DP and DQ antigen expression after gold or penicillamine therapy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1987;30:1-10.
- 59 Firestein GS, Paine MM, Littman BH. Gene expression (collagenase, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases, complement and HLA-DR) in rheumatoid arthritis synovium. Quantitative analysis and effect of intra-articular corticosteroids. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991;34:1094-105.
- 60 Firestein GS, Paine MM, Boyle DL. Mechanism of methotrexate action in rheumatoid arthritis. Selective decrease in synovial collagenase gene expression. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37:193-200.
- 61 Yanni G, Farahat MNMR, Poston RN, Panayi GS. Intramuscular gold decreases cytokine expression and macrophage numbers in the rheumatoid synovial membrane. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1994;53:315-22.
- 62 Youssef PP, Cormack J, Evill CA, Peter DT, Roberts-Thompson PJ, Ahern MJ, *et al*. Neutrophil trafficking into inflamed joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and the effect of methylprednisolone. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:236-42.
- 63 Youssef PP, Haynes DR, Triantafyllou S, Parker A, Gamble JR, Roberts-Thomson PJ, *et al*. Effects of pulse methylprednisolone on inflammatory mediators in peripheral blood, synovial fluid, and synovial membrane in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:1400-8.
- 64 Dolhain RJEM, Tak PP, Dijkman BAC, de Kuiper R, Breedveld FC, Miltenburg AMM. Methotrexate treatment reduced inflammatory cell numbers, expression of monokines and of adhesion molecules in synovial tissue of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:502-8.
- 65 Bresnihan B, Tak PP. Synovial tissue analysis in rheumatoid arthritis. *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol* 1999;13:645-59.
- 66 Youssef PP, Kraan M, Breedveld F, Bresnihan B, Cassidy N, Cunnane G, *et al*. Quantitative analysis of inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis synovial membrane samples selected at arthroscopy compared with samples obtained blindly by needle biopsy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:663-9.
- 67 Youssef PP, Smeets TJM, Bresnihan B, Cunnane G, FitzGerald O, Breedveld F, *et al*. Microscopic measurement of cellular infiltration in the rheumatoid arthritis synovial membrane: a comparison of semiquantitative and quantitative analysis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:1003-7.
- 68 Cunnane G, Björk L, Ulfgrén A-K, Lindblad S, FitzGerald O, Bresnihan B, *et al*. Quantitative analysis of synovial membrane inflammation: a comparison between automated and conventional microscopic measurements. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1999;58:493-9.

- 69 Kraan MC, Haringman JJ, Ahern MJ, Breedveld FC, Smith MD, Tak PP. Quantification of the cell infiltrate in synovial tissue by digital image analysis. *Rheumatology* 2000;39:43–9.
- 70 Tak PP, Thurkow EW, Daha MR, Kluin PM, Smeets TJM, Meinders AE, et al. Expression of adhesion molecules in early rheumatoid synovial tissue. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* 1995;77:236–42.
- 71 Smeets TJM, Dolhain RJEM, Breedveld FC, Tak PP. Analysis of the cellular infiltrates and expression of cytokines in synovial tissue from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Pathol* 1998;186:75–81.
- 72 Smeets TJM, Dolhain RJEM, Miltenburg AMM, de Kuiper R, Breedveld FC, Tak PP. Poor expression of T cell-derived cytokines and activation and proliferation markers in early rheumatoid synovial tissue. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* 1998;88:84–90.
- 73 Cunnane G, FitzGerald O, Hummel KM, Gay RE, Gay S, Bresnihan B. Collagenase, cathepsin B and cathepsin L gene expression in the synovial membrane of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 1999;38:34–42.
- 74 Ulfgren A-K, Grondal L, Lindblad S, Johnell O, Klareskog L, Andersson U. Patterns of cytokine production vary considerably among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* (in press).
- 75 O'Hara R, Murphy EP, Whitehead AS, FitzGerald O, Bresnihan B. Acute phase serum amyloid A production by rheumatoid arthritis synovial tissue. *Arthritis Research* 2000;2:142–4.
- 76 Tak PP, Kummer A, Hack CE, Daha MR, Smeets TJM, Erkelens GW, et al. Granzyme-positive cytotoxic cells are specifically increased in early rheumatoid synovial tissue. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37:1735–43.
- 77 Hamann J, Wishaupt JO, van Lier RAW, Smeets TJM, Breedveld FC, Tak PP. Expression of the activation antigen CD97 and its ligand CD55 in rheumatoid synovial tissue. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:650–8.
- 78 Tak PP, Smeets TJM, Boyle DL, Kraan MC, Shi Y, Zhuang S, et al. p53 overexpression in synovial tissue from patients with early and longstanding rheumatoid arthritis compared with reactive arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:948–53.
- 79 Emery P. The optimal management of early rheumatoid disease: the key to preventing disability. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994;33:765–8.
- 80 Tak PP, van der Lubbe PA, Cauli A, Daha MR, Smeets TJM, Kluin PM, et al. Reduction of synovial inflammation after monoclonal anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody treatment in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:1457–65.
- 81 Veale DJ, Reece RJ, Parsons W, Radjenovic A, O'Connor PJ, Orgles CS, et al. Intra-articular primatised anti-CD4: efficacy in resistant rheumatoid knees. A study of combined arthroscopy, magnetic resonance imaging, and histology. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1999;58:342–9.
- 82 Tak PP, Taylor PC, Breedveld FC, Smeets TJM, Daha MR, Kluin PM, et al. Decrease in cellularity and expression of adhesion molecules by anti-tumor necrosis factor α monoclonal antibody treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:1077–81.
- 83 Taylor PC, Peters AM, Paleolog E, Chapman PT, Elliott MJ, McCloskey R, et al. Reduction of chemokine levels and leukocyte traffic to joints by tumor necrosis factor α blockade in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:38–47.
- 84 Cunnane G, Madigan A, Murphy E, FitzGerald O, Bresnihan B. The effects of treatment with interleukin-1 receptor antagonist on the inflamed synovial membrane in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* (in press).
- 85 Smeets TJM, Dayer J-M, Kraan MC, Versendaal J, Chicheportiche R, Breedveld FC, et al. The effects of interferon β treatment on synovial inflammation and expression of metalloproteinases in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:270–4.