Anti-TNF antibody treatment of Crohn’s disease

S J H van Deventer

Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis are the two idiopathic chronic inflammatory bowel diseases. Although these diseases may show substantial phenotypic overlap, it is widely accepted that these represent distinct pathogenic entities. The cause of neither ulcerative colitis nor Crohn’s disease is known, and genetic as well as environmental factors have been implicated. Previous reports implicating single microbial pathogens (Mycobacterium paratuberculosis, measles) in Crohn’s disease are controversial and have not been confirmed.1–11 On the other hand, evidence that antigen driven ill controlled activation of mucosal T lymphocytes is a major disease mechanism has accumulated in recent years, and this has led to novel therapeutic strategies. I here review the clinical results of anti-TNF antibody treatment against the background of current knowledge of the regulation of mucosal immune activation.

Current treatment of Crohn’s disease is insufficient

The incidence of Crohn’s disease is increasing in Western Europe and the USA, and is now 6–10/100 000 inhabitants.12 13 Because Crohn’s disease is a lifetime disorder, the prevalence is at least 20-fold higher. The clinical symptoms and signs of Crohn’s disease can be rather non-specific, including abdominal pain, weight loss, fatigue and (bloody) diarrhoea, but most patients with active disease have an increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) or raised circulating C reactive protein concentrations. Although commonly known as “terminal ileitis”, only about 30% of patients have disease restricted to the terminal ileum, and most patients have isolated large bowel, or combined small and large bowel involvement. The disease may also involve the oral cavity, oesophagus and stomach, and can occur outside the intestinal tract, in particular in the perineal area (histologically characterised by granulomatous lymphangitis) or located in surgical wounds. About 20% of patients have perianal fistulas, and the presence of such lesions is a substantial risk factor for eventual complete loss of the large bowel and construction of a permanent ileostoma.14 Lifestyle factors may have an important impact on disease activity, and smoking of cigarettes worsens disease activity and leads to frequent relapses (interestingly, in ulcerative colitis smoking is protective).15–17

Overall survival of Crohn’s disease patients is not different from controls, but about 70% of all patients undergo one or more surgical procedures in the course of disease, 25% of patients with large bowel involvement eventually end with a permanent ileostoma, and despite current medical treatment, a cross sectional population based study indicated that 30% of patients have active disease.18–19 Medical treatment of Crohn’s disease consists of administration of high dose mesalazine in mild cases, corticosteroids in moderate to severe disease, and immunosuppressives, in particular azathioprine and methotrexate for patients with corticosteroid dependent or corticosteroid refractory disease.20 21 After initial enthusiasm, several studies have failed to confirm a treatment benefit of oral cyclosporine, and the use of this drug is now restricted to complicated fistulas or extra-intestinal disease.22–23 None of the aforementioned drugs is the ideal therapeutic reagent. Mesalazine is relatively non-toxic and well tolerated, but the ability to induce remissions is limited and a recent meta analysis failed to demonstrate a maintenance effect.24 Corticosteroids are effective and continue to be the mainstay of treatment for patients with active Crohn’s disease, but are associated with many side effects. Moreover, corticosteroid treatment fails to induce remissions in 20–30% of patients, and a substantial percentage of patients become corticosteroid dependent.25 Budesonide is a glucocorticoid with a very high affinity for the glucocorticoid receptor, and is effective in inducing remissions while have significantly reduced systemic side effects as a result of effective first-pass liver metabolism.26–28 However, no formulation has been demonstrated to effectively deliver budesonide to the large bowel, and no corticosteroid, including budesonide, effectively maintains remissions.31–32 Azathioprine has long been used in Crohn’s disease and is relatively safe: about 7% of patients develop side effects and another 7% infectious complications (in particular viral infections).33–36 The main place in the therapeutic arsenal is treatment of corticosteroid refractory or corticosteroid dependent patients, and treatment of fistulas. Although azathioprine has an important place in the therapeutic repertoire in Crohn’s disease, the response to treatment is slow, a significant percentage of patients do not respond to treatment, and five to six patients need to be treated to prevent a single relapse.37 Methotrexate is widely used in Crohn’s disease (at rather high doses: 15–25 mg/week), and has been shown to be effective in allowing discontinuation of corticosteroid treatment in refractory patients.38–40 However, the long term efficacy of methotrexate in Crohn’s disease is not known, and therapeutic efficacy tends to decrease during prolonged administration.

One of the major problems with current medical treatment is the inability to change the natural course of disease. After successful medical induction of remission, 60–70% of patients experience a relapse within 12 months.31–32 Large bowel resections are also
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lymphocyte function (reviewed in references 35–49). In addition, T lymphocyte transfer models form Balb/C into SCID mice have yielded pivotal results that will be briefly summarised here. When CD4+ T lymphocytes are transferred from normal Balb/C mice into SCID mice, various organs including the small and large bowel mucosa become repopulated with donor cells, without initially causing disease, but when only a subpopulation of naïve cells (CD4+CD45RBhigh) is transferred, the recipient mice develop inflammatory disease of the large bowel (and of the stomach). This disease is characterised by Th1 biased production of lamina propria (T lymphocyte) cytokines. Interestingly, co-transfer of the CD4+CD45RBhigh population prevents development of disease, and disease does not occur in germ free animals.50–51 Moreover, it has been demonstrated that a high IL10 producing T cell population (called Tr1) was able to prevent CD4+CD45RBhigh transfer colitis.52 53 Hence, in this model, disease can be caused by a subpopulation of CD4+ T lymphocytes, which are controlled by another CD4+ subpopulation.54 55 IL10 seems to be one of the important regulatory cytokines in this model, and the initiation of disease is antigen dependent. Therefore, the key players in immune mediated inflammation in the gut mucosa are intestinal (bacterial) antigens, reactive T lymphocytes, and regulatory T lymphocytes.

Initial studies on mucosal cytokine (IL1β, IL6, IL8) expression did not clearly distinguish Crohn’s disease from ulcerative colitis or infectious colitis, but more recent data allow the conclusion that Crohn’s disease is a Th1 biased condition. As compared with various controls (normal subjects, ulcerative colitis patients) increased expression of IL12 and IL18 by lamina propria mononuclear cells has been reported in Crohn’s disease, and lamina propria T lymphocytes produce more IFNγ.56–58 Increased TNFá expression occurs both in Crohn’s disease and in ulcerative colitis, but the distribution of the source cells differs: only in Crohn’s disease cells located in the deep lamina propria and submucosa produced TNFá.59 60 In addition, lamina pro-

pria T lymphocytes from Crohn’s disease patients show increased IL2 dependent proliferation, and are relatively resistant to apoptosis induced by CD2 activation, IL2 depletion or engagement of Fas.59 60 This phenomenon is in part explained by an increased ratio of intracellular expression of the proteins Bax (pro-apoptotic) and Bcl-2 (anti-apoptotic; see below). It should be noted that apoptosis resistance is not caused by mucosal inflammation in itself because it is not observed in ulcerative colitis.52

How can these results be translated in clinically effective treatment strategies? Theoretically, multiple strategies would be expected to be effective, including (1) a reduction of the antigenic pressure within the gut lumen, (2) interference with proliferation of activated T lymphocytes, (3) interference with transcription of the gene encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines, prevention of release of cytokines by inflammatory cells, or neutralisation of released cytokines, (4) administration of counter-regulatory cytokines. Indeed there is evidence that conventional treatment modalities owe their efficacy to interference with these mechanisms. For example, reduction of the gut luminal antigenic pressure by surgical faecal diversion, or administration of antibiotics is known to decrease the activity of Crohn’s disease and re-introduction of faeces in the diverted bowel loop frequently leads to an exacerbation of disease.53 64 Azathioprine, methotrexate and mycophenolate mofetil are though to owe their beneficial effects to inhibition of lymphocyte
proliferation, and prednisone interferes with cytokine transcription. More importantly, a wide range of novel intervention strategies has been based on these principles, including inhibitors of cytokine gene transcription, inhibitors of cytokine releasing enzymes, administration of recombinant human IL10, and neutralisation of pro-inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{65–73} I will here focus on TNF\textsubscript{a} neutralising strategies, with particular emphasis on their mode of action.

**TNF\textsubscript{a} blocking strategies**

The production of TNF\textsubscript{a} is tightly regulated at the transcriptional, translational and post-translational levels, providing many opportunities for therapeutic intervention. Increase of the intracellular cAMP concentration reduces the TNF transcription rate, and this is the mechanism by which noradrenaline (norepinephrine), pentoxifylline and, in part, thalidomide reduce TNF\textsubscript{a} transcription.\textsuperscript{74–80} Another approach is to inhibit the nuclear translocation of the transcription factor NF\textsubscript{kB} that is important for the transcription of multiple cytokine genes including TNF\textsubscript{a}. After translation, the TNF\textsubscript{a} protein needs to be proteolytically cleaved at the cell membrane, to be released as the homotrimeric soluble mature TNF\textsubscript{a}. Un-cleaved TNF remains membrane bound, and is biologically active by engaging the p75 TNF receptor in cell to cell interactions. Cleavage of TNF\textsubscript{a} is caused by a specific metalloprotease inhibitor (TNF\textsubscript{a} converting enzyme—TACE) and can be inhibited by specific metalloproteinase inhibitors.\textsuperscript{81, 82} Finally, antibodies and soluble TNF receptor proteins can bind and neutralise soluble TNF\textsubscript{a}, and some also recognise membrane bound TNF\textsubscript{a}. It should be noted that important differences exist in the biological effects as well as the clinical efficacy (as far as has been tested) of these different approaches. Pentoxifylline (a pentoxifylline analogue) did not change the activity of Crohn’s disease although it reduced the production of TNF\textsubscript{a} by ex vivo stimulated monocytes, indicating that either targeting of membrane bound TNF\textsubscript{a} is of pivotal importance or that TNF\textsubscript{a} production by cells other than monocytes (that is, T lymphocytes) should be targeted.\textsuperscript{83, 84} Various inhibitors of NF\textsubscript{kB} are currently being evaluated in animal models of inflammatory bowel disease, and some have shown efficacy.\textsuperscript{85, 86} However, inhibition of NF\textsubscript{kB} is not synonymous with TNF\textsubscript{a} inhibition, and may have complex effects on TNF\textsubscript{a} induced biological effects, such as apoptosis. Known NF\textsubscript{kB} inhibitors, such as aspirin, do not reduce disease activity of Crohn’s disease, but indeed may induce severe flares. TACE inhibitors may effectively reduce TNF\textsubscript{a} by monocytes and lymphocytes, in vitro as well as in vivo, but do not change the expression of membrane bound TNF\textsubscript{a} that is important in interactions of immune cells. Finally, even monoclonal antibodies and TNF receptor constructs may have different biological activities: monoclonal anti-TNF\textsubscript{a} antibodies are specific for TNF\textsubscript{a}, but designer molecules using the p75 TNF receptor also bind lymphotoxin, which is importantly involved in humoral immune responses.

In conclusion, the biological effects of various TNF\textsubscript{a} inhibitory strategies importantly differ, and results obtained with a certain (class of) inhibitors cannot be simply extrapolated to other reagents. The determinants of the clinical efficacy of TNF inhibiting strategies are only partly known, and need to be studied in more detail.

**TNF\textsubscript{a} antibodies in Crohn’s disease**

The first Crohn’s disease patient to be treated with anti-TNF\textsubscript{a} antibody (infliximab) was a young girl with severe Crohn’s colitis, refractory to treatment including prednisone and azathioprine.\textsuperscript{86} She received two infusions of the antibody at a dose of 10 mg/kg, within two weeks, and the results were remarkable: within a few days stool consistency and frequency normalised, the ESR decreased, and the extensive intestinal ulceration healed. Eventually she relapsed, and after undergoing several surgical procedures now has a permanent ileostoma. Encouraged by this initial result, a small uncontrolled pilot study in 10 patients with treatment refractory Crohn’s disease was performed, and eight of nine evaluable patients (one patient underwent surgery rapidly after the infusion) showed a dramatic response after infusion of a single infliximab dose of 10 (eight patients) or 20 (two patients) mg/kg.\textsuperscript{87} The effects of treatment were rapid (within days), increased serum C reactive protein and secreted phospholipase A\textsubscript{2} (sPLA\textsubscript{2}) concentrations rapidly reduced in all patients and intestinal ulcerations healed.\textsuperscript{88–89} This latter observation was remarkable, because no other drug treatment had been demonstrated to effectively heal the primary lesion (the mucosal ulcer) of Crohn’s disease. The first controlled clinical trial using infliximab included 108 patients who were randomised to receive infliximab (5 or 10 mg/kg) or placebo.\textsuperscript{90} Non-responders were offered an open label infusion of infliximab (10 mg/kg) and all eventual responders were re-randomised to receive infliximab (10 mg/kg) or placebo every eight weeks. The results of this study showed that infliximab treatment induced significantly more therapeutic responses and complete clinical remissions as compared with placebo (infliximab 5 mg/kg: 81%; placebo 17%) and that these therapeutic responses could be maintained by repeated administration of the antibody during the 44 week follow up period.\textsuperscript{90} Endoscopies performed in a subgroup of patients in this study demonstrated a clear mucosal healing effect, which correlated with the reduction of the clinical disease severity score.\textsuperscript{91} Some patients with perianal fistulas experienced remarkable healing in the course of the study, and this prompted initiation of a separate trial to investigate the efficacy of infliximab for this indication. Patients with fistulas secondary to Crohn’s disease are commonly treated with immunosuppressive drugs (azathioprine and cyclosporine) and antibiotics, but apart from anecdotal reports, no medical treatment had been evaluated in a
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controlled clinical trial. Few reliable data on the efficacy of surgery for fistulas are available, but the results are so disappointing that most surgeons refrain from curative surgery and only perform drainage procedures. Ninety-four patients were included in the controlled clinical infliximab trial for perianal fistulas and were infused with infliximab (5 or 10 mg/kg) or placebo at 0, 2 and 6 weeks. The primary end point was healing (no spontaneous or induced drainage from the external fistula opening at two or more visits) of 50% or more of the fistulas. In significantly more infliximab treated patients drainage from the fistulas stopped, and in some patients external openings disappeared completely (infliximab 5 mg: 68%; placebo 26%). Moreover, the time to reach the end point was significantly shorter in infliximab treated patients than in the placebo (that is, conventionally treated) group (14 versus 40 days). Infliximab has also been used to treat a conventionally treated group (14 versus 40 treated patients than in the placebo (that is, conventionally treated) group (14 versus 40 days). Infliximab has also been used to treat a small number of patients with extra-intestinal—“metastatic”—Crohn’s disease. In two young women extensive and debilitating intestinal infections (data on file, Centocor). It should be noted that the incidence of infectious complications in infliximab treated patients does not seem to be higher as compared with placebo infused patients, with the exception of a slightly higher rate of upper respiratory infections (data on file, Centocor). Finally, anti-dsDNA antibodies were found in a small percentage of infliximab treated Crohn’s disease patients. These antibodies tend to be of low titre, disappear in most patients, and are not associated with symptoms of autoimmune disease. It is possible that induction of anti-dsDNA antibodies is a direct result of the biological activity of infliximab (see below) and therefore should be regarded as an “effect” rather than a “side effect.”

The clinical experience with other TNFα binding molecules in Crohn’s disease is less extensive. A humanised antibody, CDP571, was found to have short-term clinical efficacy in patients with active Crohn’s disease, and follow up clinical studies are ongoing. Completely human TNF binding antibodies, and p55 receptor molecules are currently in (pre)clinical development for Crohn’s disease.

How do anti-TNFα antibodies work?

TNFα is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine that is able to induce a wide range of secondary inflammatory cascades in humans. Many of these biological effects, including the induction of cytokines, chemokines and adhesion molecules, activation of the coagulation and complement cascades, and induction of HLA-class II molecules on the intestinal epithelium are important for mucosal inflammation in Crohn’s disease (for review see van Deventer95). On the other hand, many other potent pro-inflammatory cytokines (IFNγ, IL1β) are induced in the inflamed intestinal mucosa, and it is somewhat surprising that neutralisation of TNFα alone has such potent clinical effects. Clinical observations indicate that infliximab acts very rapidly (decreases of C reactive protein concentration are already observed within days after infusion), and the effects of a clinical infusion are sustained for 10–12 weeks in most patients. In part, these prolonged clinical effects may be explained by the pharmacokinetics of the antibody, but data on infliximab tissue concentrations are not available, precluding a definitive conclusion. In view of the pivotal role of CD4 T lymphocytes in Crohn’s disease, we have focused on the effects of infliximab on T lymphocyte function. Peripheral control of T lymphocyte activation and proliferation is largely dependent on cytokines (IL10, TGFβ) secreted by regulatory T lymphocytes, and on induction of apoptosis (review in van Parijs and Abbás96). T lymphocyte apoptosis results from the activation of death receptors that in turn activate intracellular caspases. Some apoptotic signals are transduced through alteration of the mitochondrial adenine nucleotide translocator (and hence mitochondrial permeability), which is controlled by the ratio of two Bcl-2 family proteins (that is, Bax and Bcl-2), and this pathway has been shown to be particularly important in T lymphocytes. Two studies
have recently demonstrated that mucosal T lymphocytes in Crohn’s disease are resistant to induction of apoptosis by various signals and this is related to alteration of the Bax/Bcl2 ratio.118 We have investigated the effects of infliximab on apoptosis in CD3/CD28 stimulated Jurkat T lymphocytes, and observed a significant increase of the ratio of Bax (pro-apoptotic) and Bcl-2 (anti-apoptotic). As expected, this resulted in increased apoptosis of infliximab treated Jurkat cells, but only when the cells were previously activated.100 Hence, although the precise mechanism of apoptosis induction remains to be elucidated, these data suggested that infliximab, in addition to its anti-inflammatory activities, may function as an “immunotoxin” that specifically targets activated T lymphocytes. Indeed, in infliximab treated patients with active Crohn’s disease we found a rapid increase (24 hours after the infusion) of the number of apoptotic CD3+ lamina propria cells, without detectable changes of peripheral blood T lymphocyte phenotype or of markers of apoptosis.100 These observations would predict potential synergism with known inducers of T lymphocyte apoptosis (methotrexate), but antagonism with anti-inflammatory immunosuppressive drugs (for example, cyclosporine). Hence, further chary effects, may function as an “immunotoxin” on T lymphocyte function may be helpful in designing immunosuppressive combination treatments.

Conclusions

TNFα targeting treatments in Crohn’s disease have been tremendously boosted by the remarkable clinical efficacy of infliximab. However, different TNFα targeting approaches have diverse biological activities, precluding extrapolation of the effects obtained with one reagent to other indications. The precise mechanism of action of infliximab in Crohn’s disease remains to be elucidated, but in addition to the expected anti-inflammatory activities, recent data strongly suggest involvement of potent effects on cytokine expression of T lymphocyte function. Further unravelling of these mechanisms may lead to novel therapeutic approaches and will aid in designing rational combination treatments. Finally, many questions remain to be answered, including the efficacy of repeated infusions, corticosteroid sparing effects, synergism or antagonism with other immunosuppressive drugs and long term disease modifying effects. Several of these questions are currently investigated in clinical trials.


