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Over the past five years, several immunotherapy trials have been performed in autoimmune diseases by targeting interleukin 1β (IL1β) (IL1 receptor antagonist (IL1-ra), IL-1 type I receptor), tumour necrosis factor (TNFα) (mAb anti-TNFα, soluble receptor RTNFp55 or p75 fusion protein, TNF binding protein), interleukin 6 (IL6) or Th2 cytokines like recombinant human interleukin 10 (rhuIL10) (table 1). One limitation of attempts to target a single cytokine is the overlap of the immune effects of IL1, TNFα, and IL6. However, the clinical trials indicate that inhibition of a single cytokine, despite the complexity of the network, is efficient. Moreover, the inhibition of major cytokines involved in host defence was found to be relatively well tolerated in the short-term, and few infections were reported. Paradoxically, very few data are available concerning effects of systemic delivery of rhuIL10 or cytokine antagonist on the immune system. This review analyses the available data on in vivo modification of the immune response after targeting a single cytokine (IL1β, TNFα, IL6 or IL10) in RA, and to extrapolate on combined biotherapeutic regimens.

The immunopathology of RA synovitis is now better understood, and mediators of joint inflammation to be targeted have been identified. Whatever the initial antigenic stimulation, the proinflammatory cytokines IL1β, TNFα and IL6 play a pivotal part in all phases of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) disorder. The autoimmune process in RA may be arbitrarily subdivided into three chronological phases: the early inflammation phase, synovial proliferation and pannus formation in the chronic phase, cartilage degradation and repair in the last phase. At the early phase, memory CD45Ro T cells are attracted into the joint through a cascade of adhesion molecules induced by IL1β/TNFα and activated locally. IL6 and TNFα induce monocyte differentiation into dendritic cells CD14- CD83+ (DC). Macrophages and DC have a key role in the immune process and contribute to T cell activation as antigen presenting cells. Interleukin 10 inhibits in vitro the differentiation of monocytes to DC and promotes macrophage maturation. In the chronic phase of the disease, synovial monocytes produce large amounts of cytokines, angiogenic growth factors and chemoattractive molecules inducing synovial cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and pannus formation and mononuclear cell infiltration. During this phase, type B synovial cells proliferate independently of T cells as they lose their apoptotic properties. In the last phase of the disease, the release of large amounts of proinflammatory cytokines and metalloproteases by synoviocytes and monocytes (collagenase, stromelysine, gelatinase) contributes to cartilage degradation. Despite the production of Th2 cytokines (IL4, IL10) and TGFβ, the cytokine balance in the cartilage tissue favours cartilage matrix resorption, synovial inflammation and bone cyst formation. Most proinflammatory cytokines are produced simultaneously by multiple cell types. They have pleiotropic biological effects with substantial overlap and synergise. These proinflammatory cytokines might be optimal therapeutic target for RA, but the consequences on the immune system (host defence, lymphocytes subset and Th1/Th2 phenotype, blood monocyte activity) remains unexplored.

Treatment with recombinant human IL10 (rhuIL10)

IL10 is a 35 kDa peptide initially described as “cytokine synthesis inhibitory factor” because of its ability to suppress cytokine synthesis in Th1 cells. In vitro, IL10 inhibits proinflammatory secretion of cytokines such as IL1β, TNFα, IL6, GM-CSF. Moreover, IL10 reduces MHC class II cell expression on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Biotherapy</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>mAb antiTNFα</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chimeric CA2 (REMICADE®)</td>
<td>Centocor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>humanised DCP71</td>
<td>Cell tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>humanised D2E7</td>
<td>Knoll AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>soluble TNFR-fusion protein</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sTNFRp55IgG (Ro52081, LENERECEPT®)</td>
<td>Hoffmann-La Roche inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFβp75Fc fusion protein (ENBREL®)</td>
<td>Amgen inc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rhuTNF binding protein pegylated dimer (TNFbeta)</td>
<td>Synergen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1</td>
<td>IL1-ra</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>soluble IL1-R type I</td>
<td>Immune corp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL10</td>
<td>rhuIL10 (TENOVIL®)</td>
<td>Scherring-Plough corp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>mAb antiIL6 (BE8)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
monocytes, induces T cell energy and decreases the expression of adhesion molecules. Finally, IL10 inhibits metalloprotease secretion and induces the metalloprotease inhibitor TIMP-1 on monocytes. On in vitro RA synovial tissue, endogenous IL10 downregulates TNFα and IL1β production. When stimulation of synovial cells with interferon gamma is undertaken, IL1β markedly increased the expression of costimulatory molecules and cytokine production. Both spontaneous and upregulated costimulatory molecule expression and cytokine production were significantly suppressed by the addition of rhuIL10.

Preclinical studies in murine collagen induced arthritis with recombinant murine IL10 in the early phase of the disease were shown to inhibit foot pad swelling and the number of affected paws. A protective effect on cartilage degradation was observed in the treated mice. This protection is in part linked to the suppression of both TNFα and IL1 production, and to upregulation of IL1ra. Systemic IL10 gene transfer in murine CIA immediately after the onset of the disease resulted in decrease in the paw swelling and the clinical score. An increase in the IgG2a/IgG1 ratio of anti collagen II antibody suggests that IL10 therapy induced a Th2 shift. The invasive properties of human RA synovial tissue in cartilage engrafted in SCID mice was inhibited by persistent IL10 secretion obtained either by intrasynovial rhuIL10 injection or by cytokine gene transfer.

In humans, systemic administration of rhuIL10 resulted in marked monocyte depression. Seventeen healthy volunteers received 1, 10 or 25 µg/kg rhuIL10. An increase in the blood monocyte count was observed three hours after infusion and persisted for 24 hours in the high dose group. Endotoxin induced IL1 and TNFα production by monocytes was inhibited in all treated patients independent of the IL10 dose. Three patients with psoriasis were treated with rhuIL10 (8 µg/kg). In contrast with the first study, no significant increase in the absolute number of monocytes was noted, but a suppression of DR expression on monocytes as well as a decrease in IL12 p40 subunit plasma concentrations in the patients indicated immunosuppression of blood monocytes. Two clinical conditions (sepsis and brain injury) are associated with high serum concentrations of IL10 and have highlighted the immunosuppressive effects on blood monocytes. In these cases of intense inflammation, endogenous IL10 is produced to counterbalance the excessive immune response. In sepsis, the human plasma contains up to 19 000 pg/ml IL10, and this cytokine was shown to be responsible for inhibition of monocyte production of TNFα and IL6 ex vivo (0% and 4% respectively compared with 100% in controls). Serum IL10 increased up to 200 pg/ml in cases of brain injury with high intracranial pressure. In these patients, a decrease in DR expression by monocytes was observed (26±4% decrease from baseline). DR expression was restored ex vivo by a IL10 neutralising monoclonal antibody (mAb). Moreover, in the same pathological conditions, TNFα secretion by monocytes after endotoxin stimulation decreased by 50% (from 2.98±1 to 1.47±1 ng/ml).

Recombinant human IL10 is currently being tested in human autoimmune diseases (RA, Crohn’s disease, multiple sclerosis). Seventy two patients with RA have been treated with rhuIL10 doses ranging from 1 to 20 µg/kg/day. The treatment tolerance was acceptable, the major side effect was a moderate central thrombopenia in less than 5% of patients in the high dose group. Clinical improvement of RA was observed in the 5 µg/kg group, and not in the 20 µg/kg/day group. This difference might be explained either by a paradoxical immunostimulant effect of IL10 on CD8 cells as previously described, or by a down regulation of IL10-R expression by target cells. Few immunological data are available in rhu-treated RA patients. A trend towards decreased production of ex vivo induced proinflammatory cytokines (TNFα, IL1β) and increased circulating serum concentrations of soluble TNFα p55/p75 receptors was noted in all rhuIL10 treated patients. A decrease in HLA DR expression by monocytes was observed at day 28 after rhuIL10 treatments (8 µg/kg), without changing the number of CD14 cells. Cherinoff et al showed a 50% decrease in both CD4 and CD8 subtype after a single rhuIL10 infusion. The absolute number of blood T cells was normalised within 24 hours. No details concerning their functional activity were described. An antigen specific immunotherapy in allergic people allows the demonstration of IL10 on T cell function. In bee venom allergic patients, specific immunotherapy resulted in IL10 production by blood T cells and monocytes in vivo. The number of IL10 producing monocytes increased from 1.2% to 42% 28 days after desensitisation. T cell energy was induced in vivo, and could be re-established by neutralisation of endogenous IL10 ex vivo. Moreover, a shift in the Th1/Th2 profile was observed after specific immunotherapy, and correlated with an increase in IL10 producing T cells. These results were confirmed in psoriasis patients treated with daily rIL10 after Th1/Th2 profile evaluation. The percentage of IL4 and IL10 producing T cells increased in all patients after day 2, and persisted to day 24. The ratio of IFNγ/IL4 producing T cells decreased during the four week observation period.

The most important immune consequences in vivo after daily rIL10 are the shift in the Th1/Th2 T cell phenotype and the inhibition of blood monocytes and T cell energy (table 2).

---

**Table 2 Immune consequence of cytokine/anticytokine treatment in human trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IL10</th>
<th>TNFα inhibition</th>
<th>IL4 inhibition</th>
<th>IL6 inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lymphocytes count</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4/CD8 ratio</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cell response</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th1/Th2 shift</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
<td>(%) (transient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monocytes count</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monocytes activity</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood monocytes DR expression</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
<td>no change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Immunotherapy targeting TNFα

TNFα is a key cytokine in RA, with pleitropic properties relevant to the pathogenesis of the disease. These include induction of synovial production of other inflammatory cytokines (such as IL1β, IL6), expression of adhesion molecules and activation of endothelial cells (reviewed by Maini et al20). Transgenic mice containing human TNFα 3’ modified gene expressed high levels of huTNFα in vivo and spontaneously developed arthritis, beginning at 4 weeks of age. TNFα blockade has been extensively studied in murine CIA. The disease was prevented by administration of mAb to huTNFα before arthritis onset. Moreover, collagen induced arthritis was significantly improved and tissue destruction decreased when hamster mAb antimurine TNFα (TN3.19.2) was administered over a 10 day period immediately after disease onset. IgG anticollegen antibodies was not modified, and TNFα inhibition resulted in a decrease in IL1 and IL6 production. These results have prompted initiation of clinical trials in RA.

Neutralising TNFα was initially achieved through chimeric monoclonal antibodies. Doubleblind multicentre randomised placebo controlled trials performed with the chimeric mAb (CA2) in patients with active RA have been completed, and provided evidence that specific TNFα blockade was indeed effective. The duration of the clinical response is often no greater than a few weeks and, although repeated treatments appear efficient, immunisation to CA2 may ultimately limit the use of the mAb. Alternative treatments combining CA2 and methotrexate have been proposed showing a synergistic clinical response with a decrease in immunisation against CA2. Fully humanised monoclonal antibodies are now available and seem to be as effective. One hundred and twenty RA patients have been included in a multicentre trial with dimeric TNFα receptor (D2E7). After one intravenous infusion, 78% of the patients in the high dose group had a significant clinical response. To optimise TNFα neutralisation, engineered molecules have been designed to bind two TNFα molecules. A pegylated dimeric TNFα binding protein have been evaluated in a phase II/III study and seemed to be safe. Two dimeric soluble TNF-receptors combined with the Fc of a human immunoglobulin have been developed: sTNF α1p55:IgG (Ro452081, Lenercept) and TNFRp75:Fc fusion protein (Enbrel), this last molecule being approved by the FDA for the treatment of RA. The results of a multicentre phase III clinical trial including 234 RA patients with TNFRp75:Fc confirmed the efficiency of this molecule. In the group treated with 25 mg subcutaneously twice weekly, 62 of 78 achieved the ACR20 response criteria, these results are impressive when compared with classic anti-rheumatic drugs.

In septic shock, TNFα blockade through a mAb anti-TNFα or TNFRp55:Fc fusion protein did not demonstrate significant clinical benefit. A total of 408 patients with sepsis were randomised to receive a single infusion of p55-IgG (0.083/0.042/0.008 mg/kg or placebo). A trend toward a reduced mortality was noted day 28 (36% reduction relative to controls). After the infusion of 7.5, 15 mg/kg anti-TNFα mAb or placebo in 478 patients with septic shock, the mortality was 37.7, 37.8 or 45.5% respectively. Infusion of TNFα in healthy volunteers rapidly causes transient neutropenia. An increase in the neutrophils would be expected as consequence of TNFα inhibition. However, in the two latter studies in sepsis, no modification in the white cell count was observed and no immunological data are available, because of the unpredictable and acute onset of this clinical situation.

Trials in RA patients are more informative concerning the immune effects of anti-TNFα treatment. The most potent immunological effect observed in vivo after anti-TNFα treatment in RA patients was a decrease in the number of blood monocytes. The immunological effect of TNFα blockade was evaluated at seven days after injection of 1 mg/kg (n=6) or 10 mg/kg (n=6) in RA patients. A decrease in the absolute number of blood monocytes was induced independently of the dose, but their was no significant modification of HLA-DR or CD25 expression by circulating monocytes. In this study, the concentration of IL1β, IL6, and soluble CD14 (a marker of monocytes activity) decreased in the treated patients after seven days. Maini et al reported a dramatic decrease in the serum IL6 concentration after anti-TNFα infusion (from mean concentration of 60 pg/ml at baseline to 10 pg/ml after 28 days). This decrease in IL6 resulted in a dramatic decrease in serum CRP. Paleolog et al treated 21 patients with 10 mg/kg of anti-TNFα (CA2) and observed a median decrease of 29% in the monocyte count. In parallel, serum soluble inter cellular adhesion molecule (sICAM-1) decreased by 29% at week 4 but serum soluble vascular cell adhesion molecules (sVCAM-1) remained unchanged. Interestingly, these monocyte count changes were correlated with the clinical response.

After TNFα inhibition, the number of CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes increased the first day in the 1 mg/kg group, but not in the higher dose group. The number of CD3+ T cells peaked on day 3 (maximum increase 53%) and persisted for four weeks (median increase compared with baseline 36%). Before treatment, T-cell response to mitogens was reduced in RA. The hyporesponsiveness of circulating immune cells to the CD28/PMA signal was normalised after CA2 infusion. This change was explained by an increase in the number of activated T cells in treated patients, which might be related to the inhibition of ICAM-1 expression in the synovial tissue. In the phase III Enbrel trial, DTH skin testing and immune cell subtype alteration were monitored in 49 patients at baseline and after two weeks, three months, and six months of treatment. No change were observed in the number of circulating monocytes, or in the CD3 blood lymphocytes. The activation markers (IL2-R expression) and adhesion molecules expression was not modified by TNFRp75:Fc...
administration. No functional change in the immune response was observed in vitro (cons stimulation) nor in vivo (DTH skin testing) after six months of treatment. Indeed, in the combination regimen of methotrexate and CA2 mAb in RA, the number of infections requiring antibiotics were not statistically increased compared with the methotrexate group (32.2% vs 21.4%, NS).21

Emergence of anti-dsDNA antibodies in RA patients have been reported after anti-TNF treatment. In the combination study with CA2 mAb, 8% of the patients developed IgM anti-dsDNA without clinical symptoms. Only one patient presented systemic lupus erythematosus (pleuropericarditis, fever and high titre IgG anti-dsDNA) three weeks after the last CA2 infusion.22 In contrast, only 2.9% (6 of 204) of RA patients in the Lenerecept trial developed anti-dsDNA antibodies, while three of eight patients initially positive for anti-DNA became negative after treatment.31 The emergence of clinical lupus as a side effect has suggested that TNF inhibition might favour a Th2 profile. An indirect release of endogenous IL10 may stimulate B cells and favour plasmocytes differentiation and result in auto-antibodies secretion. However, a shift in the Th1/Th2 CD3 cells have not been reported in TNF neutralising studies in RA.

Immunohistological analysis of synovial biopsy specimens in 14 patients with RA was studied both before and four weeks after anti-TNF treatment.32 The patients either received a placebo (n = 2), or were given intravenous doses of CA2 at 10 mg/kg (n = 5) or 20 mg/kg (n = 7). A significant reduction in mean scores for T cells, adhesion molecules, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and E-selectin, was observed in synovial tissue relative to baseline after CA2 treatment. The reduced expression of adhesion molecules and the decreased cellularity of rheumatoid synovium after CA2 administration supports the hypothesis that the anti-inflammatory effect of anti-TNF treatment could be partly explained by the down regulation of cytokine inducible vascular adhesion molecules in synovium, with a consequent reduction of immune cell traffic into joints.33 Thus TNF neutralisation inhibits joint inflammation, decreased blood monocytes, and increased T cell number and activity. This therapeutic approach seems to be safe, but long term studies are needed.

Immune consequence of IL1 blockade in vivo

Evidence suggests that IL1 is an important mediator of inflammation and joint damage through cartilage resorption in experimental arthritis.34 IL1β induces prostaglandin (PGE2) release by synovial cells and regulates the production of numerous cytokines involved in synovitis, such as IL2, IL4, IL10, IL5, IFNγ, and TNFα. IL1 amplifies the T cell activation by inducing IL2 and IL2-receptor gene expression, but is not required for T cell proliferation. IL1 plays a central part in the Th1/Th2 shift. In IL1-receptor type 1 deficient mice, splenocytes and lymphode cells produce increased amount of IL4 and IL10 after antigen stimulation (fourfold and 10-fold increases respectively).35 These data demonstrate that IL1 negatively regulates IL4 and IL10 expression, and favours the Th1 response. Moreover, IL1 is a known activator of collagenase and stromelysin, an important step in cartilage breakdown. The effects of IL1 are counterbalanced by the natural antagonist IL1ra, membrane bound type II IL1 receptor and soluble IL1 receptors I and II.36 These two distinct receptors bind IL1β with distinct affinity and effects: the type 2 receptor does not induce intracellular signal transduction (decoy receptor), in contrast with the type 1 involved in IL1 biological effects. The soluble forms of both IL1 receptors contribute to the negative control of the immune response by trapping free IL1, but the type I receptor has a higher affinity for endogenous IL1ra thus exacerbating the inflammatory response.

Although IL1 and TNFα have overlapping properties, each has distinct biological effects relevant to the pathogenesis of RA. In animal models of arthritis, blocking TNFα or IL1 resulted in distinct effects.37 Anti-TNFα treatment showed efficacy on inflammation shortly after onset of the disease, but had little effect on fully established collagen induced arthritis. Anti-IL1α treatment improved both early and full blown CIA. This clear suppression of established arthritis was confirmed by administration of high doses of IL-1ra.38 Dose response experiments showed that a continuous supply of 1 mg/day was needed for optimal suppression. Histological analysis showed markedly reduced cartilage destruction both in the knee and ankle joints. In this experimental work, autoradiographs with S'-sulphate demonstrated the recovery of chondrocyte synthesis function of articular cartilage after IL1 inhibition. Profound suppression of CIA was observed with anti-IL1β, although elimination of both IL1α and IL1β still gave better protection.

Both IL1ra and sIL1 receptor type I have been proposed to suppress IL1 mediated inflammation in sepsis and RA. A randomised trial of 893 patients with sepsis were randomly assigned to receive one of two dose regimens of rhIL-1ra (1.0 or 2.0 mg/kg per hour or placebo).39 During the treatment phase, all patients received an intravenous loading dose of rhIL-1ra (100 mg) or vehicle. Infusion were well tolerated, but no clinical benefit was obtained in the treatment group: 34% mortality (102 deaths out of 302 recipients of placebo) compared with 31% in the 1.0 mg/kg/h rhIL-1-ra treated patients and 29% among the 2.0 mg/kg/h group. In this latter study no data on immune cells were available. In RA, 175 patients were randomised to receive 20, 70 or 200 mg IL-1-ra daily, three times a week or weekly.40 Overall tolerance was acceptable despite the fact that four patients developed infections. The lymphocyte CD4/CD8 subsets were assessed by FACS analysis, and no modifications were reported. Moreover, no functional modifications of T cells were observed after mitogen stimulation experiments ex vivo.41 The efficiency of IL1ra to slow cartilage degradation in RA was confirmed in...
Immune consequence of IL6 inhibition in RA

IL6 is a 26 kDa cytokine with shares most inflammatory effect with IL1 or TNFα and synergise with these cytokines to amplify the immune response. However, IL6 differs in its failure to stimulate PGE2 production by synoviocytes. Cumulative evidence have shown that IL6 is an important mediator for RA disease. IL6 increases osteoclasts activity in vitro and contributes significantly to bone resorption. IL6, in the presence of the agonistic receptor, induces synoviocytes proliferation. Recently, IL6 deficient mice IL6-/- were shown to be protected against antigen induced arthritis.1Most interestingly, articular cartilage was preserved in IL6-/- mice despite a similar expression of IL1 and TNFα mRNA expression in the murine joints. These results indicate that IL6 might play a crucial part in cartilage resorption in experimental arthritis. The immune consequence of IL6 deficiency was evaluated in the animals. The CD4/CD8 ratio was not modified but a shift toward Th2 phenotype was observed: after Con A stimulation, a fivefold increase IL4 and IL10 production by lymph node cells was observed.

Five RA patients with seropositive, active disease were included in 1992 in an open pilot study with a mAb antiIL6 (BE8, IgG1). The patients received 10 mg/day for 10 days.46 An effect on haematopoiesis could be expected as recombinant IL1 administration for 3–5 days (0.001–1 µg/kg) increased the neutrophil count and the platelets after five days of treatment.42 Indeed, IL1 inhibition resulted in significant neutropaenia in 2% of the patients.43 Inhibition of IL1 may also be achieved through soluble type I or type II receptor. Intra-articular injection of sIL1 type I receptor have been performed in active knee arthritis, without clinical improvement.44 The absolute count of CD14 positive monocytes did not change during treatment, and CD25, DR and ICAM-1 expression was not modified after in vivo IL1 inhibition suggesting no change in the blood monocytes. The absolute CD3 cell count remained unchanged, but the T cell response to mitogens ex vivo was markedly inhibited after 28 days IL1 inhibition in the high dose group. No data concerning Th1/Th2 balance were available in this latter study. These trials confirm the efficiency of systemic IL1β or TNFα blockade in a chronic autoimmune disease, with distinct effects on the immune system.
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