LETTER

Disturbance of the menstrual pattern after local injection with triamcinolone acetonide

Local injections of corticosteroids are often used in the treatment of various locomotor disorders. A notable part of injected corticosteroids is absorbed into the circulation. This may cause systemic effects. In our practice, a few patients have complained of abandonment of menstruation after local injection of corticosteroids. A MEDLINE literature search was carried out for the period 1966–1996. Evidence was found that triamcinolone acetonide (TA) influenced menstruation after intramuscular application.11–14 but no literature was found about disturbance of the menstrual pattern after intra-articular or periarticular corticosteroid injections and because knowledge of the possible side effect is important for medical practice it was decided to investigate this phenomenon.

Seventy seven premenopausal women (mean age 34.6 (SD 9.0) years) were included who were about to be given a first local injection of TA; 46 intra-articular, 24 in soft tissue (bursa, tendon, tendon sheath), seven epidural. The mean (SD) TA dose was 24.0 (16.5) mg. The lowest dose was 1 mg.

Patients were asked to pay attention to the appearance of flushing and any abnormality of the menstrual pattern. No patients were lost for follow up. Median time for follow up was six weeks.

Disturbance in menstruation was noticed by 39 of the 77 women (50.6%). The onset of the next menstruation was later than expected in 10 and earlier in 16 patients (table 1). The delay ranged from 1–28 (median 7) days and the acceleration from 1–20 (median 9) days. Reduced loss of blood and/or shorter duration of the menstruation was reported by four patients and more loss of blood and/or longer duration of the menstruation by 18. Twenty two (28.6%) noted flushing.

Abnormalities of menstruation were significantly less frequent in patients using oral contraceptives (p < 0.05). No relation was found between disturbance in the menstruation and age, the occurrence of flushing, dose or site of the injection.

Interpretation of the results must be made with caution because an element of over-reporting may be expected when patients are specifically asked to report on flushing and abnormalities in their menstrual pattern. On the other hand, it may be assumed that a part of the influence on the menses was not detected if the menstrual pattern was inconstant before the corticosteroid injection.

### Table 1. Menstrual pattern of 77 premenopausal women after one injection with triamcinolone acetonide in relation to use of oral contraceptives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No oral contraceptives (n=43)</th>
<th>Using oral contraceptives (n=34)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Menses later than expected</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menses earlier than expected</td>
<td>13 (30.2)</td>
<td>3 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced loss of blood and/or shorter duration of the menstruation</td>
<td>3 (7.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More loss of blood and/or longer duration of the menstruation</td>
<td>14 (32.6)</td>
<td>4 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menses normal</td>
<td>14 (32.6)</td>
<td>24 (70.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages are shown in parentheses. Differences significant p<0.05.
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