Evolution of osteoporosis

Professor Purdie's article on the influence of ethnicity on osteoporosis was fascinating. However, we cannot support the argument that evolution has determined racial differences in bone mass and thus a low prevalence of osteoporosis in certain racial groups.

As the article points out, there are differences in bone mass and fracture incidence between white and black women in North America. The data on male fracture incidence are more contentious and the references cited relate to studies of black residents of mental institutions and hip fractures in a small population in Charlottesville, USA, with an incidence of fewer than 25 fractures per annum. However, Farmer reports no difference in fracture incidence in North America between black men, black women, or white men. In Africa, many women have multiple pregnancies, prolonged lactation periods, and low dietary calcium intakes. Despite these factors, fracture incidence is comparatively low in both sexes from black African communities. Vitamin D receptor alleles may offer some insights into geographical variation in osteoporosis. Recent results from The Gambia have shown a higher prevalence of the potentially protective bb genotype than in white women or black women from Boston, USA.

A strong argument against an evolutionary aetiology for advantageous bone mineral density (BMD) in black populations is that Africans fail to show high bone mass comparable to black people in North America. We have recently shown in rural West African women from The Gambia that BMD is lower at the mid-shaft of the radius, wrist, hip, and lumbar spine than in white women. Low BMD may not be a unifying risk factor for fracture. Frequency of falling is as important a determinant of fracture risk in elderly communities. Maintenance of physical independence, satisfactory vitamin D status, and racially determined differences in anatomy and bone architecture are all potentially important in explaining the low fracture incidence in Africans. Despite evidence that bone mass may be critically low in rural Africans, the incidence of fractures is low due to some other factor or factors, as yet unknown.

Author's reply

Tereen Aspray and colleagues make helpful observations on the complex problem of racial differences in bone fracture rates. Indeed, it is likely that the observed differences between black and white populations are due to a raft of factors of which mineral density and gross bone geometry are but two. The most interesting data offered by Aspray et al from the Gambia do not necessarily confound the hypothesis of an evolutionary component to Caucasian osteoporosis. We would not accept that postulating pregnancy and lactation risk-tagged the African, since the overall net effect of the reproductive cycle upon bone mass is broadly neutral, with Caucasian–Bantu differences negligible and independent of the reproductive cycle upon bone mass. Aspray et al have indicated that, in N America, age adjusted female rates of femoral neck fracture per 105 population were 214 for blacks and 968 for whites. If this difference is not all environmental and, if it is accepted that Africa is the homeland, then a degree of evolutionary disadvantage for whites remains likely. The original hypothesis proposed an evolutionary link between bone resilience and human geohistory. Like all hypotheses, it sought to explain observed phenomena through a synthesis of available data. Like all hypotheses, it awaits rigorous trial.