Diastolic abnormalities in systemic sclerosis: evidence for associated defective cardiac functional reserve
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Abstract

Objective—To investigate the pattern of diastolic abnormalities in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) and the relationship between impaired ventricular filling and systolic function.

Methods—Twenty four patients with SSc underwent M-mode and two dimensional echocardiography using echo-Doppler and gated blood pool cardiac angiography, both at rest and after exercise.

Results—An impaired diastolic relaxation of the left ventricle was detected in 10 of the 24 patients with SSc. Left ventricular ejection fraction at rest in these 10 patients with impaired ventricular filling did not differ from that in the remaining 14 patients, but eight of the 10 failed to increase their ejection fraction during exercise, compared with two of the 14 with normal ventricular filling (p = 0.003).

Conclusion—Impaired relaxation of the left ventricle is a recently described feature of scleroderma heart disease. Diastolic dysfunction in SSc could depend on myocardial fibrosis or myocardial ischaemia, or both. It was found to be associated with a defective cardiac functional reserve. However, its prognostic significance remains to be clarified.


Myocardial fibrosis is considered to be a pathological hallmark of myocardial disease in systemic sclerosis (SSc).1-3 Its pathogenesis has not been elucidated completely, but vasoconstriction of small intramyocardial arteries is likely to be a contributing factor.4-8 Both myocardial fibrosis and myocardial ischaemia are known to affect ventricular filling,9-10 and we have observed impaired ventricular filling in a significant percentage of patients with SSc, in the absence of any clinically evident myocardial disease, systolic dysfunction, or other condition known to affect diastolic function.11 Others have reported similar results from either echocardiography or angiography.12 13 However, the clinical significance of impaired ventricular filling remains to be determined.

In the present study, we investigated 24 patients with SSc for diastolic and systolic heart function, using both echocardiography and gated blood pool cardiac scintigraphy.

Subjects and methods

PATIENTS

From 1 January 1993 to 31 December 1994, 75 patients with SSc (67 women and eight men, aged 26 to 73 years) all of whom satisfied the American College of Rheumatology (formerly the American Rheumatology Association) preliminary criteria for the classification of SSc14 were admitted to the Division of Rheumatology of the Second University of Naples. They were all examined carefully in order to define the subset of their disease according to Giordano et al,15 the extent and the degree of skin sclerosis according to Steen et al,16 and the severity of internal organ involvement, which was scored according to Casas et al.17 All the patients underwent chest radiography, electrocardiography (ECG) at rest, M-mode echocardiography, and pulmonary function tests including the evaluation of diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide.

Among the 75 patients, 25 were found to be affected with conditions known to impair ventricular filling: congestive heart failure, pericardial effusions, coronary artery disease, and arterial hypertension with left ventricular hypertrophy. The remaining 50 patients, including four hypertensive patients without increased left ventricular wall thickness (<13 mm) were invited to undergo bicycle exercise and 24 hour Holter ECG, two dimensional echocardiography with Doppler examination, and gated blood pool scintigraphy. Twenty four of them gave their informed consent to participate: 20 had no overt evidence of heart involvement as detected by basal investigations (ECG at rest and M-mode echocardiography), and four had arterial hypertension without left ventricular hypertrophy.

All the patients had been treated with calcium channel blockers, which were withdrawn seven days before the echo-Doppler and radionuclide cardiac angiography studies were performed.

Following our previous study,11 we subdivided the patients with SSc into two groups: those with (group A; n = 10) and those without (group B; n = 14) altered ventricular filling as defined by inversion of the transmitral echo-Doppler flow profile (E:A ratio).
CONTROLS
During the same period, patients admitted to the clinic with either osteoarthritis or fibrositis were invited to take part in the study. Thirty-four gave their informed consent, and 24 of these showed no evidence of cardiovascular disease as detected by history, clinical examination, ECG at rest, or echocardiography, and were recruited to the study. Because the 10 group A patients with SSc and an inverted E:A ratio proved to be older than the 14 group B patients with normal ventricular filling (55 (SD 9) years compared with 42 (7) years; p < 0.05), we subdivided the control subjects into two subsets strictly matched with the patients for gender and age: subset I (n = 10) aged 54 (7) years, and subset II (n = 14) aged 42 (8) years.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC AND DOPPLER EXAMINATION
M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiographic examinations were performed with the patient in a partial left lateral decubitus position, during a quiet and expiratory breath holding state, in a standard manner by means of an HP 770 20 AC ultrasound imager, using a 3.5 or 2.5 MHz transducer. The parasternal short axis at the mid-ventricular level was used to derive left ventricular end-diastolic dimensions and left ventricular wall thickness, according to the American Society of Echocardiography recommendations. Ejection fraction (ellipse biplane method) was calculated as an index of left ventricular systolic pump function. In addition, a complete pulsed and continuous wave Doppler examination was performed in a standard manner. The following indices of left ventricular filling were considered: peak flow velocity at early (peak E) and late (peak A) diastole, their ratio (E:A), deceleration time (DT) (defined as the time interval required for the E velocity to decrease from its peak to the baseline), and isovolumic relaxation time (IRT) (time elapsing from aortic valve closure to the beginning of mitral flow). Echocardiographic images were stored on videotape; all measurements were analysed manually and results were expressed as the mean of at least three consecutive cardiac cycles.

Intraobserver variability in the assessment of the Doppler echocardiographic measurements was determined by measuring 10 cardiac cycles on the ventricular inflow velocity trace before and after the radionuclide study. The determination of interobserver variability was based on analysis of the same sets of cardiac cycles by an independent observer. The intraobserver and interobserver coefficients of variation were less than 4.0% and 4.9%, respectively.

GATED BLOOD POOL CARDIAC SCINTIGRAPHY
Radionuclide cineangiography was performed at rest with the patient in the supine position, using a standard technique. High temporal resolution (10–20 ms/frame) left ventricular time activity curves were generated from the cardiac image sequence by computer based ECG gating in order to assess diastolic function accurately. Left ventricular time-activity curves were generated from the cardiac image sequence, after background correction with a single fixed left ventricular region of interest, without spatial or temporal smoothing. Indices of global left ventricular function were derived by computer analysis of the background corrected time-activity curve. Ejection fraction and peak ejection rate (PER) were evaluated as measures of left ventricular systolic function. Peak filling rate (PFR) and the contribution of atrial systole to left ventricular filling volume (LVV) were evaluated to investigate left ventricular filling. PFR was normalised for both end-diastolic counts and stroke counts, the latter in order to avoid any influence of ejection fraction variation on PFR. LVV was determined as the ratio between the contribution of atrial systole to left ventricular filling and the diastolic volume. Finally, the PFR-PER ratio (F:E ratio) was evaluated.

Studies were conducted at rest and during maximal supine exercise performed with a bicycle ergometer. Exercise loads were increased by 25 W every two minutes until the development of fatigue, limiting dyspnoea, angina, or ventricular arrhythmias. Heart rate and blood pressure were monitored during exercise. Radionuclide normal resting left ventricular function was defined by an ejection fraction value within a range defined by ±2SD from the mean of the 24 controls (mean 55 (SD 7–5)%). A normal response to exercise was defined as an increase in ejection fraction of 5% or more with respect to the baseline value.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
All values are expressed as mean (SD). Student's t test, Mann-Whitney U test, and Fisher's exact test were used to analyse the data.

Results
Among the 24 patients (22 women and two men, aged 32–73 years (median 45-5)), 11 were affected with limited cutaneous SSc (lcSSc) (scleroderma confined to the fingers), four had intermediate cutaneous SSc (icSSc) (scleroderma involving the limbs and face), and nine had diffuse cutaneous SSc (dcSSc) (scleroderma involving the trunk). Their disease duration was from two to 39 years (median 10.5).

Four patients were affected with arterial hypertension that was controlled by angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. In two of them the onset of arterial hypertension had occurred before the first symptom of SSC; in the third, arterial hypertension had appeared three years after the onset of SSC, but was not associated with any finding of altered renal function. The fourth patient had survived a scleroderma renal crisis two years before the time of the study. In one of the four, coronary
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Table 1 Main anatomical parameters and ejection fraction (EF) values detected by echocardiography in 24 patients with SSc and 24 controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SSc patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVIDd (mm)</td>
<td>46.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>47.9 (8)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVSs (mm)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.7)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.1)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWTd (mm)</td>
<td>9.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>9.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD (mm)</td>
<td>33.1 (4)</td>
<td>32.5 (3.4)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF (%)</td>
<td>55.1 (4.2)</td>
<td>57.5 (3.5)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). LVIDd = Left ventricular internal diameter in diastole; IVSs = Interventricular septal thickness; PWTd = Posterior wall thickness; LAD = Left atrium diameter. No significant differences between groups (Student’s t-test).

Table 2 Resting echo-Doppler and radionuclide indexes of left ventricular filling in 24 patients with SSc and 24 gender and age matched controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SSc patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak E (cm/s)</td>
<td>59.2 (15.7)</td>
<td>65.3 (8)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F:A ratio</td>
<td>56.2 (9.9)</td>
<td>36.7 (4.9)</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRT (ms)</td>
<td>96.2 (24.1)</td>
<td>71.7 (6.2)</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT (ms)</td>
<td>162.7 (34)</td>
<td>148.5 (9.8)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFRF (SVs)</td>
<td>9.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVVa (%)</td>
<td>9.5 (4.6)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F:E ratio</td>
<td>1.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). Peak E, Peak A = peak flow velocity at early (E) and late (A) diastole; IRT = isovolumic relaxation time; DT = deceleration time; PFRF = peak filling rate corrected for stroke volume; LVVa = contribution of atrial systole to left ventricular filling volume; F:E ratio = ratio of peak filling rate to peak ejection rate.

Artery disease was also detected by exercise ECG.

Table 3 Echo-Doppler and radionuclide indexes of left ventricular filling in patients with SSc, with (group A) and without (group B) inverted E:A ratio, and in their respective gender and age matched controls (subset I and subset II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Subset I</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Subset II</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>(n = 10)</td>
<td>SSc patients</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>(n = 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak E (cm/s)</td>
<td>50.5 (14.7)</td>
<td>67.1 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>65.3 (13.7)</td>
<td>63.9 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak A (cm/s)</td>
<td>69 (24.1)</td>
<td>36.8 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>47.1 (9.1)</td>
<td>36.7 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRT (ms)</td>
<td>105 (30.5)</td>
<td>71.2 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>83.7 (26.3)</td>
<td>72.1 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT (ms)</td>
<td>164 (41.5)</td>
<td>148 (8.2)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>161.4 (27.5)</td>
<td>148.9 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFRF (SVs)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVVa (%)</td>
<td>13.3 (3.9)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>6.8 (2.6)</td>
<td>4.5 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F:E ratio</td>
<td>0.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). Peak E, Peak A = peak flow velocity at early (E) and late (A) diastole; IRT = isovolumic relaxation time; DT = deceleration time; PFRF = peak filling rate corrected for stroke volume; LVVa = contribution of atrial systole to left ventricular filling volume; F:E ratio = ratio of peak filling rate to peak ejection rate.

Table 4 Echo-Doppler and radionuclide indexes of left ventricular filling in six normotensive patients with SSc with inverted E:A ratio, and in their respective age matched controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SSc patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak E (cm/s)</td>
<td>46.8 (11.8)</td>
<td>67.5 (9.6)</td>
<td>&gt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak A (cm/s)</td>
<td>61.2 (14.9)</td>
<td>55.6 (5.6)</td>
<td>&gt;0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRT (ms)</td>
<td>90.8 (16.8)</td>
<td>70.6 (6.7)</td>
<td>&gt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT (ms)</td>
<td>158.9 (15.6)</td>
<td>145.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFRF (SVs)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>&gt;0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVVa (%)</td>
<td>13.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>&gt;0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F:E ratio</td>
<td>0.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). Peak E, Peak A = peak flow velocity at early (E) and late (A) diastole; IRT = isovolumic relaxation time; DT = deceleration time; PFRF = peak filling rate corrected for stroke volume; LVVa = contribution of atrial systole to left ventricular filling volume; F:E ratio = ratio of peak filling rate to peak ejection rate.

It is worth noting that ejection fraction was assessed using a single left ventricular area of interest, accounting for ejection fraction values smaller than those detected using a variable region of interest.

EXERCISE RADIONUCLEIDE ANGIOGRAPHY

In patients studied during maximal supine exercise, exercise was terminated in every patient because of fatigue. No dyspnoea or angina occurred. Ischaemic ST changes on the
Correlations emerged between the results obtained with either Doppler echocardiography or angiography and those obtained with cardiac catheterisation. An inverted E:A ratio was found in 10 of the 24 patients with SSc who were studied (41%).

The 10 patients with diastolic dysfunction were older than those without such an abnormality. Older age is known to be associated with abnormal ventricular filling, but in SSc it may be no more than a contributing factor, as a significant difference in diastolic parameters was also detected between the 10 patients with SSc who had diastolic abnormalities and their 10 strictly age matched control counterparts (table 3).

Four of the patients with diastolic abnormalities had arterial hypertension without left ventricular hypertrophy. An inverted E:A ratio has been reported in patients with arterial hypertension, even in the absence of cardiac hypertrophy. Nevertheless, when we compared the six normotensive group A patients with SSc with their respective matched controls, significant differences were still detected in peak E, peak A, IRT, and LVPW (table 4). Thus, when present, hypertension, in common with age, seems simply to be a contributing factor in inducing diastolic abnormalities in SSc.

The abnormal ventricular filling pattern found in SSc (in the 10 patients with an inverted E:A ratio) was characterised by a longer IRT, a reduced early diastolic filling, and an increased atrial filling velocity on echodoppler, and by a reduced PFR corrected for stroke volume (PFRsv) and an increased LVPW on radionuclide angiography (table 3). That pattern is considered to indicate an impaired diastolic relaxation and has been reported as an early finding in disorders such as primary and secondary left ventricular hypertrophy (including aortic stenosis and hypertensive heart disease) and coronary artery disease. It can arise as the consequence of myocardial ischaemia or myocardial fibrosis. In fact, myocardial fibrosis, and myocardial ischaemia, are responsible for asynchronous relaxation by inducing a regional heterogeneity in the timing and magnitude of systolic shortening that affects the synchrony and extent of myocardial relaxation, and by producing non-uniform loading conditions throughout the left ventricle at the onset of relaxation.

It is worth noting that patients with SSc without an inverted E:A ratio (group B) were also found to differ statistically from controls with regard to peak A, IRT, and LVPW. Such results seem to indicate that changes in left ventricular filling also occur in patients with SSc who have a normal diastolic function as defined by the value of the E:A ratio. Further studies are required to ascertain whether diastolic abnormalities in SSc reflect the presence of myocardial ischaemia, myocardial fibrosis, or both.

SSc myocardial disease has been reported to be more severe in patients with dcSSc. As far as diastolic abnormalities are concerned, no difference emerged among SSc subsets in our
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series, and there was no correlation between altered ventricular filling and any other clinical or epidemiological aspect except for older age.

When we examined myocardial pump function at rest, we found echocardiographic ejection fraction to be normal in almost all patients with SSc, except two in whom borderline ejection fraction values were measured. However, the prevailing distribution of the values was towards the lower end of the normal range, consistent with the finding of radionuclide ejection fraction values that were also lower in the patients with SSc than the corresponding values registered in controls.

Patients with SSc both with and without abnormal ventricular filling were found to show similar systolic pump function indices at rest (ejection fraction values 44 ± 1 (6-7)% compared with 44 ± 1 (6-7)%, respectively). However, when systolic function was studied during exercise, a notable difference emerged, the group of patients with SSc who had an abnormal diastolic filling pattern being more frequently unable to increase ejection fraction during exercise than were the remaining patients (p = 0.003). It should be noted that the exercise time, work load, and RPP were not found to be different, though a trend toward a small increase in the last parameter was detected in the group of patients with resting filling abnormalities.

When analysing the pathophysiological and clinical significance of changes in ventricular filling, it is necessary to differentiate the truly diastolic abnormalities from those resulting from an altered systolic function. This is particularly true for subjects such as our patients with SSc, who were found to present with a relatively lower radionuclide ejection fraction, even though the method used (a single region of interest as opposed to a variable region of interest) may have contributed to that finding of a low ejection fraction. In this regard, it is worth emphasising that no difference emerged in resting ejection fraction values between patients with SSc with and without altered ventricular filling. Nonetheless, only the former group failed to increase their ejection fraction normally during exercise. We also corrected PFR values for stroke volume, thus excluding any variation of PFR as a result of changes in ejection fraction. Finally, the similar work load capacity exhibited by patients in the two groups allows us to exclude any influence of a different work load capacity on the abnormal ejection function response to exercise.

In 1984, Siegel et al. investigated 10 patients with SSc who had congestive heart failure and detected normal (n = 5) to increased (n = 5) resting ejection fraction. These authors argued that a reduced left ventricular compliance and the consequent altered ventricular filling were responsible for congestive heart failure in their patients. The detection of diastolic abnormalities in patients with SSc by echo-Doppler or angiographic study, or both, might make it possible to identify those at risk of developing diastolic failure. This could have important implications for treatment, as inotropic agents and diuretics may be detrimental in patients with congestive heart failure as a result of alterations in diastolic function.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that impaired ventricular relaxation is frequent in patients with SSc and is significantly associated with a defective cardiac functional reserve. However, its prognostic significance remains to be clarified.