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Abstract
Objectives—To further evaluate the association of anti-entactin antibodies with clinical manifestations in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and related disorders.

Methods—Sera were analysed for anti-entactin antibodies from 79 patients with SLE, 25 patients with systemic vasculitides, 25 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, 20 patients with progressive systemic sclerosis and five with Behçet’s syndrome. Sera from 150 healthy blood donors and 20 patients with pneumonia were analysed as controls. To study the association of anti-entactin antibodies with severity and activity in SLE, 30 patients were assigned into three groups with 10 patients in each: (1) with mild manifestations; (2) severe disease without renal involvement and (3) frank lupus nephritis. Two blood samples from each patient were analysed, one from the active and the other from the inactive phase of the disease. Additionally, serial sera from 12 patients with SLE were also analysed.

Results—Thirty one patients with SLE (39%) had IgG, IgM or both anti-entactin antibodies. Twenty three of these patients (29%) had biopsy verified glomerulonephritis and 12 (50%) were positive for anti-entactin antibodies. Of the remaining 56 patients without apparent renal involvement, 18 (36%) were positive for anti-entactin antibodies. (chi squared = 2.77, p > 0.05). With the exception of rheumatoid arthritis where six patients (24%) had IgM anti-entactin antibodies, the antibodies were present much less frequently in other diseases (two patients with systemic vasculitis whilst none of the patients with PSS or Behçet’s syndrome). Only one patient with pneumonia and none of the 150 sera from healthy blood donors had anti-entactin antibodies. Among Group 1, three (30%) were positive for IgG or IgM anti-entactin antibodies. Six (60%) patients in group 2, and five patients (50%) in group 3 were positive for anti-entactin antibodies. However, the difference between the presence of anti-entactin antibodies between group 1 and 2 or between group 1 and 3 was not significant (p = 0.15 and 0.19 respectively). There was no significant correlation between the titres of anti-entactin antibodies and total serum concentration of IgG (r = 0.141, p > 0.10) and IgM. (r = 0.130, p > 0.10).

Furthermore, no significant correlation was observed between SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI) scores and the titres of IgG (r = 0.067, p > 0.10) or IgM (r = 0.033, p > 0.10) anti-entactin antibodies.

Conclusions—The study demonstrates that anti-entactin antibodies are present in a significant number of patients with SLE and tend to be more common in those with severe disease, with or without nephritis, than in patients with mild disease manifestations. There is no correlation between the titre of anti-entactin antibodies and severity or activity of SLE.

The glomerular basement membrane (GBM) is an extracellular matrix sandwiched between the glomerular capillary endothelial cells and the visceral epithelial cells (podocytes) of the Bowman’s capsule.1 The backbone of GBM is formed by the so called ‘Chicken wire network’ of type IV collagen.2 Additionally, there are certain attachment proteins like laminin and entactin that bind the type IV collagen to the adjacent cell layers.3 4 Entactin, or nidogen, a 150 kD dumbbell shaped, non collagenous glycoprotein, is a ubiquitous component of basement membranes.5 8 Recently, we observed that two distinct groups of patients with glomerulonephritis (GN) possess circulating antibodies to entactin.6 10 One group comprised young patients with primary proliferative GN whereas the other consisted of middle aged patients with GN secondary to systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and SLE like collagen vascular diseases.9 10

SLE is a multi-system autoimmune disorder characterised by the presence of a number of circulating auto-antibodies. Some of these auto-antibodies are associated with certain distinct clinical features of SLE. One example is anti-cardiolipin antibodies that are associated with arterial thrombosis in SLE.11-13 Similarly, anti ds-DNA antibodies correlate with the severity and flares of SLE.14 These antibodies have also been associated with lupus nephritis.14 However, the pathogenic role of anti-DNA antibodies or DNA-anti DNA immune complex in lupus nephritis is widely disputed.15-19 On the other hand, anti-GBM
(anti-laminin) antibodies have been observed in kidney eluates in experimental models of lupus nephritis, suggesting a possible pathogenic significance. Thus, our finding of anti-GBM (anti-entactin) antibodies in patients with GN secondary to SLE hinted at a possible association and a pathogenic significance of these auto-antibodies in lupus nephritis. However, only a small number of patients with biopsy verified lupus nephritis were included in that study. Consequently, the prevalence and significance of anti-entactin antibodies in patients with SLE and related diseases without renal involvement remained unknown.

The objective of the present study, was to analyse anti-entactin antibodies in a large number of patients with SLE and other related rheumatic diseases with or without kidney involvement to determine the association and significance of anti-entactin antibodies in these disorders.

Materials and methods
Definitions
The diagnosis of SLE was made, according to Fries and Holman, as the presence of typical multisystemic disease in combination with immunological abnormality and the absence of better alternative diagnosis explaining the symptoms. Furthermore, the diagnosis of all patients was continuously re-evaluated, thus excluding patients with evidence of other connective tissue diseases. The modified American Rheumatism Association (ARA) criteria were not used in diagnosis, but only for the classification of SLE. The disease activity of SLE was objectively assessed by systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index (SLEDAI). Primary systemic vasculitides were classified according to the American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria. Rheumatoid arthritis was defined according to the 1987 ARA criteria.

Patients with SLE
(1) Epidemiological Study
In January 1981 a prospective study was started in Southern Sweden with the purpose of identifying and monitoring all adult cases of SLE within a defined population. Methods of retrieval of incidence cases were developed and validated. By December 1986, 86 patients had been included and sera were available from 79 of these patients, 13 of whom were men. The median age was 50 years, (range 24–78 years). All patients had a multisystemic disease and all but two patients fulfilled the ARA criteria for the classification of SLE. The serum sample obtained at the patients first visit at the SLE clinic were used for the present study. Most patients (78%) has a stable disease without active organ manifestation at the time of sampling. The cumulative organ manifestations of the patients are shown in table 1.

These manifestations are not ARA criteria for classification of SLE but are cumulative organ manifestations.

(2) Selected SLE patients
We also examined sera from 30 patients with SLE, prospectively followed at the SLE clinic for 10–42 months. All of these patients had developed one or more flare ups of disease activity during the observation period. Sera were sampled during exacerbation, at the point of maximal disease activity before treatment was started, and during quiescent disease (at the time of maximal clinical improvement and clinical stability). The first flare up was selected for the study in patients with more than one flare up during the observation period. The interval between the two occasions selected for investigation varied from four to 12 months.

The patients were assigned to three different groups according to manifestations presented at flare up during the observation period. Major and minor SLE manifestations were distinguished essentially as described by Lightfoot and Hughes. Group 1 consisted of 10 women with mild extrarenal disease restricted to the skin and to musculoskeletal system. Group 2 and 3 consisted of two men and eight women in each, all with major manifestations. Group 2 patients all showed extrarenal symptoms only and in Group 3, evidence of significant renal involvement was present in all patients. Active renal involvement was shown by urinalysis and repeated measurement of renal functions: blood pressure, serum creatinine, BUN and glomerular filtration rate determined by Cr-EDTA clearance. Renal disease was further documented by biopsy in all patients with group III. Light microscopy showed proliferative glomerulonephritis in six patients, membranous in one and unclassifiable glomerulonephritis in three patients. Three patients in group 2 and nine in group 3 were treated with cytostatic drugs. In six of group three patients, plasmapheresis was performed for short periods (1–2 weeks) as an adjunct to immunosuppression. Age of entry varied between 16 and 60 years with a median of 38 years in groups 1 and 2 and of 32 years in group 3. Duration of disease from the time of diagnosis was 0–22 years, with a median of two years in each group.

(3) Serial analysis of anti-entactin antibodies
We also analysed sequential sera from 12 patients with SLE seen at two months interval for one year. Sera were obtained during the active phase of the disease at the time of initial visit and then at two months interval for one year following the start of treatment. Two...
patients belonged to Group 1, seven to Group 2 while three had Group 3 manifestations. Titres of anti-entactin antibodies were compared with the SLEDAI scores.

**Patients with other diseases**

In addition to the patients with SLE, sera from other systemic connective tissue diseases were studied.

Thirty-eight patients with primary systemic vasculitis, and 25 with rheumatoid factor positive rheumatoid arthritis, and 20 with progressive systemic sclerosis and five with Behçet’s syndrome.

All patients with systemic vasculitis had crescentic glomerulonephritis on renal biopsy. None of the patients with rheumatoid arthritis, progressive systemic sclerosis and Behçet’s syndrome had renal disease.

**Control Group**

The control group consisted of: (1) Twenty patients with bronchopneumonia. In only three could causative organisms be detected (two had pneumococci and one had H influenzae). None had any apparent features of renal involvement; (2) One hundred and fifty healthy blood donors.

**ANALYSIS OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES**

(a) **Isolation of entactin**

Entactin was isolated essentially as in our earlier study. Briefly, glomeruli were isolated from bovine kidneys by sieving procedures as described elsewhere. Isolated glomeruli were sonicated to remove the cellular debris and obtain GBM. GBM was then briefly homogenised in ten volumes of 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing protease inhibitors as previously described. The extraction was performed overnight at 37°C with continuous stirring. The extract was clarified by centrifugation at 100,000 g for 60 minutes and the extraction procedure was repeated again with the pellets. The two extracts were then pooled. One hundred and fifty ml of the guanidine extract was dialysed against three changes of 10 volumes of 6 M urea, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.4, containing protease inhibitors and passed over a DEAE Sephacel anion exchange column (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) equilibrated with the same buffer. The bound proteins were eluted by a linear salt gradient (0-1 M NaCl). The fractions containing entactin were rechromatographed on a Sephacryl S-300 column to obtain pure entactin. Entactin thus obtained was more than 95% pure.

(b) **Sandwich ELISA**

Antibodies to entactin in sera from various patients were tested by sandwich ELISA as follows: Polystyrene microtitre plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with entactin (145 ng/ml in 0.05 M sodium carbonate, pH 9.5; 200 μl in each well) and incubated overnight at room temperature. Plates were washed three times with wash buffer (0.9% NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) between each steps. Before adding the sera, plates were blocked with 5% BSA in PBS overnight at room temperature and rinsed briefly with wash buffer. One serum sample (200 μl), diluted 1:50 in PBS-Tween-BSA (0.05 M sodium phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.05% NaN₃, pH 7.5, 0.05% Tween-20, 2 mg BSA/ml) was then added in triplicate and incubated at room temperature for one hour. Alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-human IgG/IgM secondary antibodies (Orion, Esbo, Finland) were then added (diluted 1:1000 in PBS-Tween-BSA; 200 μl in each well) and plates were again incubated for one hour. Alkaline phosphatase activity was determined by using p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) at 1 mg/ml in 1 M diethanolamine buffer, pH 9.5 as the substrate (200 μl in each well). The absorbance was read at zero time and after 30 minutes in a Multiscan microtitre plate reader (Flow, Lugano, Switzerland). The zero value was subtracted from the final readings. Absorbance readings >2 SD above the average absorbance of the normal sera analysed in the same way were considered positive. In addition to patients’ sera, three normal sera and a reference serum [serum from a patient with high titres of anti-entactin antibodies in our previous study] were also incubated concurrently in each ELISA plate. The end point of the assay was determined by measuring the absorbance value of the reference serum (0.320–0.326 for IgG and 0.525–0.550 for IgM anti-entactin antibodies). We did not measure the background binding at 30 minutes since we have a blank and a standard positive and negative control on each plate. We also know the background values of sera from blood donors and other patients. The reading at time 0 was to correct for irregularities of the plate and substrate.

(c) **Characterisation of the assay for anti-entactin antibodies**

Anti-entactin antibodies were analysed by an ELISA using purified bovine glomerular entactin as the coating antigen. The specificity of this assay for anti-entactin antibodies has been shown in our previous study. Using an ELISA inhibition experiment, we showed that whereas preincubation of anti-entactin positive sera with entactin resulted in complete
inhibition of binding, no inhibition was observed by previous incubation of the sera with another bovine GBM protein, NC1 domain of type IV collagen.\textsuperscript{34} This indicates that the antibodies against entactin are not non-specifically directed against bovine proteins. Addition of BSA in the buffer used for dilution of sera further reduces the possibility of non-specific reaction against bovine proteins.

Proteins from bovine origin may possess carbohydrate epitope, Gal α 1,3 Gal. This epitope is not found in humans although most humans possess natural IgG antibodies against this epitope.\textsuperscript{35} In our assay, we used bovine entactin as the coating antigen. It is thus important to ascertain that antibodies that we analyse in patients' sera are actually anti-entactin antibodies and not anti-Gal α 1,3 Gal antibodies. By using ELISA inhibition, we observed that Gal α 1,3 Gal was able to block anti-entactin antibodies or entactin was able to block anti-Gal α 1,3 Gal antibodies as described in our previous study.\textsuperscript{9} Thus anti-entactin and anti-Gal α 1,3 Gal antibodies are two different antibodies.

SEROLOGICAL TESTS

Anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA) were analysed by indirect immunofluorescence using rat liver substrate while antibodies to native DNA (anti-dsDNA) were detected by the Crithidia luciliae test.\textsuperscript{36} Haemagglutination of RNase-resistant and RNase sensitive extractable nuclear antigen (ENA) coated red blood cells were used to assay anti-RNP and anti-Sm antibodies.\textsuperscript{37} Anti-SSA and anti-SSB antibodies were detected by Western blotting as previously described\textsuperscript{38} and anti-cardiolipin antibodies by ELISA.\textsuperscript{12} (Analysis of antibodies to ribonucleoprotein (RNP), Smith antigen (Sm), SSA, SSB and cardiolipin were kindly performed by Professor Renee Norberg at the Department of Immunology, National Bacteriological Laboratory, Stockholm). Rheumatoid factor analysis was done by the Rose-Waaler test, using a WHO standard as reference.\textsuperscript{39} The component complements, C1q, C3 and C4, were measured by electro-immunoassay, values being given in percentage of concentrations in a pooled reference serum (normal values of C1q 78–130%, C3 70–130% and C4 53–207%).\textsuperscript{40}

ANA, anti-dsDNA and complement concentrations were measured regularly during the prospective study period while other serological tests were done only at the time of entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Anti-entactin antibodies in patients with SLE and other control groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>Total cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic vasculitis</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behçet's syndrome</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal sera</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STATISTICAL METHODS

Statistical analysis of data was done using Spearman rank correlation, Mann-Whitney U test, Chi square test and Fisher's exact probability test.\textsuperscript{41} Due to multiple statistical testings only p values less than 0.01 were considered significant.

Results

PRESENCE OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES IN SLE AND RELATED DISEASES

Of the initial 79 SLE patients, recruited from a defined geographical area, 31 (39%) had IgG, IgM or both anti-entactin antibodies, irrespective of the severity, activity or clinical features of the disease (table 2). Twenty three of these patients had glomerulonephritis verified at biopsy and 12 (50%) had anti-entactin antibodies. Of the remaining 56 patients without apparent renal involvement, 18 (36%) were positive for anti-entactin antibodies. Thus, anti-entactin antibodies tended to be more common in patients with renal involvement (Chi square = 2.77, p > 0.05).

Anti-entactin antibodies were present much less frequently in other systemic rheumatic diseases (two patients with systemic vasculitis while none of the patients with PSS or Behçet's syndrome had anti-entactin antibodies) (table 2). One exception was rheumatoid arthritis where six of 25 patients possessed IgM anti-entactin antibodies. Five of these patients had severe disease manifestations including vasculitis characterised by mononeuritis multiplex, gangrene of the extremities or gastrointestinal bleeding. None of these patients had apparent renal involvement. Only one patient with pneumonia had anti-entactin antibodies while none of the 150 sera from healthy blood donors had anti-entactin antibodies.

CORRELATION BETWEEN SERUM IgG AND IgM AND THE CORRESPONDING CLASS OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES

Polyclonal B cell activation in SLE can non-specifically raise serum immunoglobulin levels. Thus to ascertain whether the results observed with IgG and IgM anti-entactin antibodies reflect a polyclonal increase of IgG and IgM, we correlated serum IgG and IgM levels with the titres of the respective class of anti-entactin antibodies. We observed that high titres of anti-entactin antibodies were associated with both high as well as low serum concentrations of the corresponding class of Ig and vice versa. Thus no significant correlation was observed between the titres of anti-entactin antibodies and the total serum concentration of IgG and IgM. (For IgG, r = 0.141, p > 0.10; for IgM, r = 0.130, p > 0.10).

CORRELATION OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES WITH SEVERITY OF SLE

Among patients with mild to moderate disease (Group 1), three (30%) were positive for IgG or IgM anti-entactin antibodies. Six (60%) of the patients in group 2, while five patients...
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Table 3 Anti-entactin antibodies in active and inactive phases of the three different groups of patients with SLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Active phase</th>
<th>Inactive phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-entactin positive cases</td>
<td>Anti-entactin positive cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM IgG Both Total</td>
<td>IgM IgG Both Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (n=10)</td>
<td>1 2 0 3</td>
<td>Group 1 (n=10) 1 2 0 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n=10)</td>
<td>4 2 0 6</td>
<td>Group 2 (n=10) 2 2 0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (n=10)</td>
<td>1 4 0 4</td>
<td>Group 3 (n=10) 0 4 0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=30)</td>
<td>6 8 0 14</td>
<td>Total (n=30) 3 8 0 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(50%) in group 3 were positive for IgG or IgM anti-entactin antibodies (table 3). Although anti-entactin antibodies were present more frequently in group 2 and 3 compared with group 1, the difference between the presence of the antibodies between group 1 or 2 or between group 1 and 3 was not significant (p = 0.15 and 0.19 respectively). This difference was not significant when the data from patients with severe SLE (group 2 and 3) was pooled together and compared with that of group 1 (mild SLE) (p = 0.13). These results suggest that anti-entactin antibodies tend to be more common in patients with severe SLE manifestations in the active phase of the disease.

CORRELATION OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES WITH ACTIVITY OF SLE
The activity of disease was assessed clinically and scored by SLEDAI. The average SLEDAI score in group 1 was 3-2 (range 0-8), that in group 2, 3-2 (range 0-8), while the score in group 3 was 13-62 with a range of 0-26. In some patients, however, the index did not match the clinical manifestations. For instance, one patient had haemolytic anaemia and another had myelitis. Both of these manifestations are not included in SLEDAI. Furthermore, another patient had a long standing nephritic syndrome and was not given a score in SLEDAI. Nevertheless, no significant correlation was observed between the SLEDAI scores and the titres of IgG (r = 0.067, p > 0.10) and IgM (r = 0.033, p > 0.10) anti-entactin antibodies (fig 1).

Furthermore, pooled data from the three different groups of patients with SLE showed that 14 (50%) of patients were positive for IgG or IgM anti-entactin antibodies in the active phase while 11 (40%) patients were positive in the inactive phase of the disease (table 3). This difference was not significant (p > 0.05). Thus the presence of anti-entactin antibodies does not correlate with the activity of SLE.

CORRELATION OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES WITH RENAL INVOLVEMENT IN SLE
As described above, three patients (30%) with mild SLE (Group 1), six (60%) with group 2 while five (50%) with significant renal involvement (Group 3) were positive for IgG or IgM anti-entactin antibodies in the active phase (table 3). The difference between the presence of anti-entactin antibodies between group 1 and 2, group 1 and 3 or between group 2 and 3 was not significant (p > 0.05 in each case). Similarly, in the initial 79 sera examined, the difference in the presence of anti-entactin antibodies between cases with glomerulonephritis and those without renal lesions was not significant (Chi square = 2.77, p > 0.05). Thus no significant difference was observed between the presence of anti-entactin antibodies and renal involvement in SLE.

LONGITUDINAL ANALYSIS OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES
To further assess the relationship of anti-entactin antibodies with disease activity and response to therapy we analysed anti-entactin antibodies in serial samples of patients with SLE. Twelve sera were available for the study. Of these, five (none from group 1 while 3 from group 2 and 2 from group 3) were positive for IgG anti-entactin antibodies. In two patients, the titres of anti-entactin antibodies declined rapidly to normal following treatment whereas in the remaining three the titres remained high even after the disease became inactive following treatment (fig 2).

CORRELATION OF ANTI-ENTACTIN ANTIBODIES WITH OTHER AUTO-ANTIBODIES
SLE is a multi-system autoimmune disorder characterised by the presence of a number of
While these with previously demonstrated the of proportion presented with ENA, anti-SSA, anti-entactin antibodies in patients with SLE: there was no significant correlation between the titre of the disease activity and the titre of the antibodies during the follow-up period.

Discussion

Our results clearly demonstrate that anti-entactin antibodies are present in a significant number of patients (40%) with SLE. We have previously demonstrated the presence of these antibodies in a small group of patients with lupus nephritis verified at biopsy. The present study was conducted to discover whether anti-entactin antibodies are specifically associated with renal lesions in SLE. The results show that the patients with anti-entactin antibodies are associated with renal lesions in SLE. The absorbance at 405 nm was determined for each sample in a spectrophotometer. The titre of the antibodies was measured in arbitrary units (AU).

Figure 2: Longitudinal analysis of anti-entactin antibodies in patients with SLE: there was no significant correlation between the disease activity and the titres of the antibodies during the follow-up period.

Table 4: Correlation between anti-entactin and other auto-antibodies in 79 patients of SLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgG anti-entactin antibodies</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-DNA</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-ENA</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>0.8951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-SSA</td>
<td>-0.0076</td>
<td>0.9488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Sm</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.7798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-RNP</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>0.8708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Correlation between anti-entactin and other auto-antibodies in 79 patients of SLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgM anti-entactin antibodies</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
<td>0.9137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-DNA</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.8800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-ENA</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>0.5700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-SSA</td>
<td>0.0408</td>
<td>0.7307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Sm</td>
<td>-0.1458</td>
<td>0.2206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-RNP</td>
<td>-0.2128</td>
<td>0.0636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Correlation between anti-entactin and other auto-antibodies in 79 patients of SLE

They were not solely restricted to this particular group. Further analysis showed that anti-entactin antibodies tended to be present more frequently in severe disease but the levels of the antibodies did not vary with disease activity or with presence or absence of renal involvement. It is unclear whether anti-entactin antibodies have any pathogenic significance. Entactin is a ubiquitous component of basement membranes. It is therefore conceivable that the presence of anti-entactin antibodies could lead to widespread connective tissue damage, thus resulting in severe disease manifestations.

Conversely, it is possible that extensive tissue injury in severe SLE could expose entactin to blood leading to the formation of anti-entactin antibodies. If the latter is true, then anti-entactin antibodies should be present in different conditions with extensive tissue damage. However, anti-entactin antibodies were not present in systemic vasculitis like Wegener’s granulomatosis and polyarteritis nodosa, which are associated with extensive tissue damage. Moreover, with the exception of rheumatoid arthritis where 24% of patients with extensive tissue damage had IgM anti-entactin antibodies, they were not detected in connective tissue diseases like Behçet’s syndrome and progressive systemic sclerosis and inflammatory conditions like pneumonia (table 2). This may indicate that there is probably a fundamental difference in the pattern of tissue damage in different inflammatory conditions that leads to exposure of extracellular matrix proteins and formation of auto-antibodies against them. However, rheumatoid factor effect in patients with rheumatoid arthritis possessing IgM anti-entactin antibodies cannot be ruled out. It is also possible that anti-entactin antibodies may arise from a process other than tissue injury. Polyclonal B cell stimulation, which is a well-known phenomenon occurring in SLE, could be the genesis for these antibodies. Such mechanism generates anti-laminin antibodies in murine models of graft versus host disease. However, a negative correlation between the levels of serum IgG and IgM and the titre of the corresponding class of anti-entactin antibodies makes it an unlikely mechanism in our patients.

To summarise, our study demonstrates that: (1) Anti-entactin antibodies are present in a significant number of patients with SLE and rheumatoid arthritis but not in other systemic rheumatic diseases; (2) Among patients with SLE, anti-entactin antibodies tend to be more common in those with severe disease with or without nephritis than in patients with mild disease manifestations; (3) There is no significant correlation between the titre of anti-entactin antibodies and the severity or the activity of SLE.
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