Specific accumulation of cholesterol-rich liposomes in the inflammatory tissue of rats with adjuvant arthritis
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Abstract
High performance liquid chromatography has shown that after intravenous injection cholesterol-poor liposomes (100 nm) are unstable and their phospholipid is redistributed. Under identical conditions cholesterol-rich liposomes remain structurally intact within the circulation. When injected intravenously cholesterol-rich liposomes accumulate within the inflamed paws of rats with adjuvant induced arthritis to the same extent as cholesterol-poor liposomes. Uptake in inflamed tissue of three cholesterol-rich liposome preparations was always significantly greater than the uptake noted in normal tissue. The degree of accumulation in inflamed tissue was found to depend on the size of the liposome, with the greatest uptake, 7% of the injected dose, achieved by the smallest vesicle (100 nm). These results indicate that intact liposomes accumulate at inflamed joint tissue sites. Therefore the passive targeting of anti-inflammatory drugs encapsulated within these liposomes could be contemplated.

We have previously shown that liposomes (microscopic phospholipid vesicles), which carry a net negative surface charge, given intravenously specifically accumulate in the inflamed paws of rats with adjuvant induced arthritis.1 Liposomes of identical composition when isotopically labelled have also been shown scintigraphically to image the actively inflamed joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis.2 The aim of these studies was to elucidate a liposome formulation with a high affinity for inflammatory tissue as well as establishing the underlying mechanism(s) responsible for the specific liposome accumulation found in the rat paws and the scintigraphic scans in rheumatoid arthritis. Previous liposome stability studies showed that cholesterol-poor liposomes were relatively unstable in serum, and a redistribution of the liposomal phospholipid was shown to occur.3

It is known that when a high ratio of cholesterol is incorporated into liposomes—for example, equimolar with the other phospholipids, condensed molecular packing occurs within the bilayers, which results in a more stable liposome structure.4 5 We have confirmed this finding and shown that negatively charged, cholesterol-rich liposomes are stable both in serum in vitro and in vivo.4 Investigations have now been undertaken to establish if cholesterol-rich liposomes accumulate within inflammatory tissue to the same extent as cholesterol-poor liposomes, and to examine the effect of liposome size on tissue uptake.

Methods and materials
Egg phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidic acid were purchased from Lipid Products (UK), and cholesterol from BDH (UK). Sodium chromate (99mCr) was obtained from Amersham (UK) as was 99mTcO4– eluted from a sterile 99mTc generator.

Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Tuck and Sons (UK), Mycobacterium butyricum from Difco Laboratories (Detroit), and heavy paraffin oil from Sigma (UK).

LIPOSOme PREPARATION
Negatively charged, cholesterol-poor liposomes were prepared in a molar ratio of 7:2:1 of egg phosphatidylcholine:cholesterol:phosphatidic acid, and cholesterol-rich liposomes in a molar ratio of 7:7:1. The total lipid mass used was 40 mg. The original method of Bangham et al6 was modified as described below.

The lipids were dissolved in chloroform and dried onto a glass vessel wall under a stream of nitrogen gas. Multilamellar vesicles (large liposomes >1 mm) were formed by addition of 2 ml of 0-9% saline to the lipid film and then shaking the mixture. The liposomes were reduced in size by probe sonication with an MSE 150 mW Soniprep with a 10 mm probe. Liposome size was regulated by the length of the sonication time. Titanium fragments from the probe were removed from the liposome preparations by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 10 000 g. The sizes of the cholesterol-rich liposome preparations used in this study were 100 nm, 140 nm, and 200 nm, as determined by photon correlation spectroscopy (Malvern, England).
LIPOSOME RADIOLABELLING TECHNIQUE

Preformed liposomes were radiolabelled with $^{99m}$Tc using the method described by Richardson et al.\textsuperscript{10} and modifications to this method as described by Love et al.\textsuperscript{11}

TISSUE DISTRIBUTION OF $^{99m}$Tc LABELLED, NEGATIVELY CHARGED LIPOSOMES

Control and arthritic rats (three rats in each group) were used for each of the different sized liposome preparations (25 mg/kg, 4 mBq). A small aliquot of each was retained to serve as a standard. The liposomes were injected intravenously into the tail vein. At the same time each animal received 100 µl of a 50% suspension of $^{51}$Cr (370 kBq) labelled rat erythrocytes to serve as a blood pool marker.\textsuperscript{12}

All the rats were bled at regular intervals from the tail vein during the experiment so that the clearance of each of the preparations could be determined. After 80% of each preparation had been cleared from the circulation the rats were killed and the relevant tissues, including all four paws, were removed. The amounts of $^{99m}$Tc and $^{51}$Cr present in the tissues were measured in an LKB CompuGamma counter, with the appropriate corrections made for cross over between the two channels. Specific liposome uptake in various tissues was calculated with the following equation:

\[
\text{Specific liposome uptake} = \frac{\text{$^{99m}$Tc tissue} - \text{$^{51}$Cr tissue}}{\text{$^{51}$Cr blood}} \times \frac{\text{$^{99m}$Tc blood}}{\text{$^{51}$Cr blood}}
\]

ANALYSIS OF LIPOSOME STABILITY

The protocol of Love et al.\textsuperscript{4} was used for the high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of the liposomes. Thus $^{99m}$Tc labelled cholesterol-poor and cholesterol-rich liposome preparations (100 nm) were injected intravenously into normal Sprague-Dawley rats. Plasma obtained from blood samples taken four hours after injection was run on a TSK G5000PW HPLC column (fractionation range $7 \times 10^6$–$5 \times 10^5$ daltons). Fractions were collected and counted for $^{99m}$Tc activity and the profiles obtained in vivo compared with the HPLC profiles of the liposomes which had been incubated separately at 37°C at a 1:100 dilution in rat serum and in saline.

Results

Figure 1 shows the HPLC elution profiles of the cholesterol-poor and cholesterol-rich 100 nm liposomes four hours after intravenous injection into normal rats and after incubation in rat serum and in saline. In vivo and in rat serum the cholesterol-poor liposomes were reduced in size and radioactivity peaks were seen to enter the gel (fig 1A), whereas the cholesterol-rich liposomes were not reduced in size (fig 1B).

Figure 2 shows the clearance of the cholesterol-rich liposomes from the rat circulation. The time taken for an 80% clearance of each liposome preparation was 180 minutes (200 nm), 240 minutes (140 nm), and 300 minutes (100 nm). Included in fig 2 are the respective clearance rates for 100 nm and 200 nm cholesterol-poor liposomes.

Figure 3: Specific uptake of cholesterol-rich liposomes in paws of rats with adjuvant induced arthritis and normal rats. Mean (SD) of three rats in each group. Student's t test shows significant differences between uptake of different sized liposomes in arthritic and normal paws (p<0.001 except for 200 nm v 140 nm in arthritic paws where p<0.05 (n=12-24).
Accumulation of each of the three liposome preparations in arthritic paw tissue was significantly greater than in normal paw tissue (p<0.001). The degree of uptake of the cholesterol-rich liposomes by arthritic paws was proportional to the liposome diameter (Fig 3), with greatest accumulation achieved by the smallest liposomes (0.7-5% per gram of 100 nm liposomes compared with 0.2-20% per gram for the 200 nm liposomes). Although there were significant differences in the amount of uptake between the three cholesterol-rich liposome preparations within normal paws, these did not bear any relation to the liposome size.

Some interesting points became apparent when the uptake of cholesterol-rich liposome by tissue was compared with the distribution of cholesterol-poor liposomes. Figure 4 illustrates the relative accumulation of 100 nm and 200 nm, cholesterol-rich and cholesterol-poor liposomes in arthritic and normal rat paw tissue and Table 1 provides a statistical analysis of the results. Cholesterol-poor and rich liposomes were equally sequestered in inflammatory paw tissue for both 100 nm and 200 nm vesicles (p>0.1). Small but significant differences were observed for normal paw tissue uptake of the cholesterol-poor and cholesterol-rich 100 nm and 200 nm liposomes, however. Table 2 contains the tissue distribution data (expressed as a percentage of the total liposome dose injected) for the cholesterol-poor and cholesterol-rich 100 nm and 200 nm liposomes. Most of each liposome preparation accumulated in the liver and spleen. Cholesterol-rich liposomes of both sizes accumulated in the liver, heart, lungs, and arthritic paws to the same extent as cholesterol-poor liposomes, but considerably greater splenic uptake of cholesterol-rich liposomes was found (p<0.001). The only other differences in distribution were for the 100 nm liposome preparations, for which significantly greater amounts of cholesterol-poor liposomes accumulated in the kidneys and normal paw tissue.

Discussion
Our initial finding from this study was the increased circulation time of the cholesterol-rich liposomes compared with that of the cholesterol-poor vesicles of the same size. This phenomenon has been shown by others.13 14 As cholesterol increases the liposomal bilayer rigidity it is thought to cause a decrease in liposome interaction with both humoral and cellular components, such as serum proteins, other opsonins, and phagocytic cells, all of which act to prolong the circulation time of the cholesterol-rich liposomes. The rate of clearance from the circulation of the three cholesterol-rich liposome preparations tested was directly related to liposome size, with the largest being cleared most rapidly.

The specific accumulation of cholesterol-rich liposomes in inflamed tissue was the most striking feature of this study. The degree of uptake was directly related to liposome size, with greatest accumulation of the smallest liposomes. When these results were compared with those obtained for cholesterol-poor liposomes of the same size (100 nm and 200 nm) there was no significant difference in the amount of uptake of either liposome preparation by arthritic paws (p>0.1).

Table 1: Student's t test of significance for uptake of 100 nm and 200 nm cholesterol-rich (CR) and cholesterol-poor (CP) liposomes by normal and arthritic rat paws (see Fig 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Arthritic paws</th>
<th>Normal paws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 nm v 100 nm</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 nm v 200 nm</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR v CP</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nm v 200 nm CR</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nm v 200 nm</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR, CP, CR, CP*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nm v 200 nm CR</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arthritic paws v normal paws (all sizes and compositions) 

Table 2: Total specific accumulation* of the cholesterol-poor and cholesterol-rich 100 nm and 200 nm liposome preparations in rat tissues after 80% clearance from the circulation. Values are means (SD) with six rats in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liposome</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Heart</th>
<th>Kidneys</th>
<th>Lungs</th>
<th>Arthritic paws</th>
<th>Normal paws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 nm liposomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arthritic paws</td>
<td>Normal paws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol-poor</td>
<td>32 (6-9)</td>
<td>6 (1-2)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.01)</td>
<td>6.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.07)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol-rich</td>
<td>36 (9.6)</td>
<td>17.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.13)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.13)</td>
<td>7.0 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s t test</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>p&gt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 nm liposomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arthritic paws</td>
<td>Normal paws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol-poor</td>
<td>42 (14)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.41)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol-rich</td>
<td>44 (7.9)</td>
<td>12 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.04)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s t test</td>
<td>p&gt;0.5</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>p&gt;0.5</td>
<td>p&gt;0.02</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
<td>p&gt;0.5</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Expressed as a percentage of the total liposome dose injected.
The enhanced stability achieved by incorporation of a high concentration of cholesterol into liposomes is also well recognised,

6 and 17-19 the integrity of the liposome structure in vivo was shown by HPLC. The uptake of the cholesterol-rich liposomes by inflamed paws was an important observation because it indicated that fully intact liposomes can gain access to inflamed tissue sites.

There were two major differences between the fate of cholesterol-rich and cholesterol-poor liposomes in vivo. The first was the relative stability (as assessed by HPLC) of the cholesterol-rich liposomes, whose structure remained unchanged, whereas in cholesterol-poor vesicles phospholipids were redistributed to lower molecular mass moieties. These moieties were found when incubation took place in serum, but they were not present in the profile obtained in vivo, presumably owing to removal from the rat circulation.

The second difference was in the uptake of liposomes by the spleen. It was found that 17-3% and 12-9% of the total dose injected of 100 nm and 200 nm cholesterol-rich liposomes accumulated in the spleen compared with 6-1% and 5-1% for the 100 nm and 200 nm cholesterol-poor liposomes. Roerdink et al and Moghim and Patel found a similar distribution in the spleen and a decrease in the uptake by the liver, and suggested that macrophages within these two organs may require different opsonising factors.15-16 Their observed decrease in liver uptake was due to the fact that the animal groups receiving cholesterol-rich and cholesterol-free liposomes were killed at equivalent times, whereas this study compares liposome distribution after 80% clearance of each liposome preparation.

Over 6% of the 100 nm cholesterol-poor liposomes accumulated in the kidneys—an amount significantly greater (p<0-001) than the 2-5% uptake seen with the 100 nm cholesterol-rich liposomes. This difference in renal uptake was not found with the 200 nm liposomes, so may be attributable to a reduction in the size of the 100 nm liposomes. A similar result was not seen with the 200 nm cholesterol-poor liposomes because of increased stability increasing liposome size.17-18 This finding coupled with the low molecular mass moieties found in vitro may explain the preferential accumulation of the 100 nm cholesterol-poor liposomes in normal paw tissue and the kidneys. The major observation drawn from this study is that cholesterol-rich liposomes accumulate in inflammatory tissue. The cholesterol-rich liposomes have been shown to remain structurally intact in vivo, and when injected intravenously up to 7% of the 100 nm vesicles specifically accumulated within the inflamed rat paws. The relevance of these findings in the rat to the scintigraphic studies carried out in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis remains to be established. If as previously postulated, however, phagocytic cells are a major cause of liposome sequestration within inflamed tissue1 then a decreased uptake of cholesterol-rich liposomes would have been expected because of the inability of cells to fuse or phagocytose these stable liposomes.19-20

Inflamed tissue phagocytes may have selective affinity for different liposome formulations as shown by the relative distribution of cholesterol-rich and cholesterol-poor liposomes within the liver and spleen.15,16 Further studies are being undertaken to clarify this issue.

The results shown here indicate that stable liposomes have a potential for use as a carrier system for a variety of drugs, and would probably have greatest benefit for the passive targeting of the more toxic antiarthritic agents.
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