Effect of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine on neutrophil superoxide production: role of cytosolic free calcium
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Abstract
As the neutrophil granulocyte plays an important part in rheumatoid inflammation the effect of sulphasalazine on neutrophil function was studied. The results show that sulphasalazine, and its metabolite sulphapyridine, inhibit neutrophil superoxide production elicited by the receptor mediated stimulus N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP) and by the calcium ionophore A23187. This effect seems to be dependent on inhibition of intracellular Ca"* increase as both substances reduce this increase upon cell activation with fMLP and A23187. Sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine do not inhibit superoxide production after stimulation with the ester phorbol myristate acetate, a stimulus response coupling which is independent of intracellular Ca"* increase. The reported inhibition of superoxide generation may explain, at least partly, the antirheumatic property of sulphasalazine.

Sulphasalazine is now widely accepted as a disease modifying drug in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.1-3 The mechanisms by which sulphasalazine exerts its antirheumatic properties are largely unknown, however, and it is also unclear which part of the molecule is active.

Less than 30% of ingested sulphasalazine is absorbed in the small intestine.6 7 The remaining drug is cleaved by colonic bacteria into sulphapyridine and 5-aminosalicylic acid.6 8 9 Sulphapyridine is almost totally absorbed whereas 5-aminosalicylic acid largely is retained in the colon and can only be detected in serum in very small amounts.7-10 In the colon 5-aminosalicylic acid has been in high concentrations and has been considered to be the therapeutic moiety of sulphasalazine in ulcerative colitis.11 12

In rheumatoid arthritis, on the other hand, it has during the last years been proposed that sulphapyridine or sulphasalazine, or both, are responsible for the antirheumatic activity.13 14 Suggested explanations for this activity include antibacterial effect in the colon15 or immunosuppressive activity.16-19 Sulphasalazine also interferes with prostaglandin metabolism.20 21 A further proposal is that sulphasalazine or sulphapyridine affects neutrophil function.

Neutrophils play an important part in the pathogenesis of the inflammatory process in rheumatoid arthritis. Thus upon activation these cells release lysosomal enzymes and reactive oxygen radicals,22-24 which can provoke tissue destruction and mediate abnormal immune responses.25 26 It has been shown that neutrophil superoxide production as well as chemotaxis elicited by the synthetic tripeptide N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP) were reduced by sulphasalazine,27 28 and that this reduction might depend on sulphasalazine inhibiting the binding of fMLP to its receptors on neutrophils.27 Furthermore, sulphasalazine or sulphapyridine decreased superoxide production and lysosomal enzyme release in response to serum coated zymosan and immune complexes.28-30 Whether these last effects were due to inhibition of particle binding has not been studied. Consequently, it is unclear if sulphasalazine affects neutrophil functions merely by inhibiting binding of fMLP and particles to its receptors or if sulphasalazine also affects intrinsic neutrophil properties. It was thus of interest to investigate further the way in which sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine affect neutrophil function. For that purpose neutrophil superoxide production was stimulated, by, in addition to fMLP, the calcium ionophore A23187 and the tumour promoting ester phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), agents with different triggering mechanisms for stimulation of neutrophil NADPH-oxidase.31 32 The results are discussed in relation to the initiating mechanisms of the respiratory burst, especially with reference to the noted effects of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine on intracellular Ca"* mobilisation.

Materials and methods

NEUTROPHIL ISOLATION
Peripheral blood neutrophils from normal human subjects were isolated by Percoll discontinuous gradient centrifugation33 and resuspended in Hanks's balanced salt solution (HBSS) without albumin. This procedure yielded preparations containing >95% of neutrophils.

NEUTROPHIL FUNCTION ASSAYS
Where indicated, neutrophils were preincubated with sulphasalazine or sulphapyridine dissolved in HBSS, at concentrations of 1 mmol/l to 10 mmol/l for 10 minutes at 37°C. At these concentrations sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine did not affect cell viability measured by trypan blue exclusion. Absences transcribed tissue have shown that the inhibitory effect of sulphasalazine on neutrophil function occurs rapidly and is almost maximal after 10 minutes' incubation,34 this incubation time was chosen.

Superoxide generation
Superoxide production by neutrophils was
determined spectrophotometrically by monitoring superoxide dismutase inhibitable reduction of cytochrome c as described previously. Briefly, to neutrophils (2.5×10⁶ cells/ml) in 2 ml HBSS was added 50 μM ferricytochrome c before stimulation with 0.1 μM fMLP, 5 μM A23187, or 10 μM PMA, and the change in absorbance at 550 nm was measured continuously at 37°C in a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 7 spectrophotometer. Sulphasalazine or sulphasalazine alone was present during stimulation or cells were first incubated with sulphasalazine/sulphapyridine and then washed with HBSS to control for donor to donor the effect of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine is expressed as the percentage of superoxide produced by control neutrophils incubated without sulphasalazine or sulphapyridine.

**Cytosolic free Ca²⁺ concentration**

To measure cytosolic free Ca²⁺ concentration the fluorescent probe fura-2 was used because of its brighter fluorescence and improved selectivity to Ca²⁺ over other divalent cations, compared with—for example, Quin-2. Neutrophils (5×10⁶ cells/ml) in HBSS supplemented with 20 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'2-ethanesulphonic acid (HEPES) pH 7.4 were loaded with 0.5 μM fura-2AM (fura-2-tetra-acetoxy ester) for 30 minutes at 37°C. The cells were then washed twice and resuspended in HBSS with HEPES in a concentration of 2.5×10⁶ cells/ml before incubation with sulphasalazine/sulphapyridine or buffer for 10 minutes. Subsequently, fMLP 0.1 μM or ionomycin 2 μM was added as stimulus. Ionomycin was used instead of A23187 as the intrinsic fluorescence of the latter interferes with the emission spectra of the fura-2 complex. Fluorescence measurements were performed in a Hitachi model F-3000 spectrofluorometer with quartz cuvettes at a constant temperature of 37°C and with continuous stirring of cells. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 340 and 510 nm respectively. The system was controlled by addition of EGTA, TRIS buffer, Triton X-100, and CaCl₂. The calculated concentration of cytosolic free Ca²⁺ was: [Ca²⁺] = kF(F₀/Fₘₐₓ), where F₀ is the measured fluorescence, Fₘₐₓ the fluorescence after lysing the cells with Triton X-100, and Fₘₐₓ the fluorescence from calcium saturated dye. The kₐₐ₇ value is 224 nmol/L.

**Results**

**SULPHASALAZINE CONCENTRATION (μM/L)**

**Figure 1:** Effects of various concentrations of sulphasalazine on neutrophil superoxide production elicited by fMLP 0.1 μM, isoformose A23187 5 μM, and PMA 10 μM. Results are means and SEM of three to five experiments (different donors) and expressed as a percentage of control cells not exposed to any drug. fMLP = N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine; PMA = phorbol myristate acetate.

**SULPHAPYRIDINE CONCENTRATION (μM/L)**

**Figure 2:** Effects of various concentrations of sulphapyridine on neutrophil superoxide production elicited by fMLP 0.1 μM, isoformose A23187 5 μM, and PMA 10 μM. Results are means and SEM of three to five experiments (different donors) and expressed as a percentage of control cells not exposed to any drug. fMLP = N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine; PMA = phorbol myristate acetate.

**SULPHAPYRIDINE CONCENTRATION (μM/L)**

**Figure 3:** Effects of various concentrations of sulphapyridine on neutrophil superoxide production elicited by fMLP 0.1 μM, isoformose A23187 5 μM, and PMA 10 μM. Results are means and SEM of three to five experiments (different donors) and expressed as a percentage of control cells not exposed to any drug. fMLP = N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine; PMA = phorbol myristate acetate.

To study possible inhibitory effects of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine the experiments were performed with optimal concentrations of the stimuli (fMLP 0.1 μM, A23187 5 μM, and PMA 10 μM/l), thereby achieving maximal response of neutrophil superoxide generation. Reduction of cytochrome c stimulated by fMLP started immediately after addition of stimulus and ended within four to five minutes. Superoxide production elicited by A23187 also started immediately after addition of stimulus but the reaction lasted longer than for fMLP and often did not end until after 10 minutes. Superoxide production stimulated by PMA started after a lag period of approximately 1-5 minutes and the response was protracted.

When neutrophils were incubated with sulphasalazine, 10 μM for 0-5 minutes, there was a significant reduction of superoxide production stimulated by fMLP and A23187. Sulphasalazine did not inhibit superoxide production when PMA was used as stimulus, however (fig 1).

Similarly, sulphapyridine inhibited fMLP and A23187 elicited superoxide production, but had no effect on PMA induced superoxide production (fig 2).

When neutrophils incubated with sulphasalazine for 10 minutes were washed free from sulphasalazine before stimulation with fMLP and A23187 inhibition of superoxide production was no longer observed. The inhibition by sulphapyridine was, however, partially irrever-
sible. Thus cells incubated with 0.5 mM sulphapyridine after washing generated 64±1 (SEM 7±2)% superoxide upon fMLP stimulation and upon A23187 stimulation 58±1 (10±2)% superoxide compared with control cells.

Sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine had no effect on basal cytochrome c reduction. In addition, neither inhibited superoxide production by a cell free generating system of xanthine plus xanthine oxidase (data not shown). Therefore sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine did not exert their inhibitory effects on oxidative metabolism by scavenging superoxide anion directly.

**INCREASE OF CYTOSOLIC Ca**++ **CONCENTRATION**

As superoxide production elicited by both fMLP and ionophore was inhibited by sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine we next studied a common signal transduction event essential for ligand and calcium ionophore but not for PMA elicited neutrophil function. Consequently, we measured the effect of sulphasalazine or sulphapyridine on the ability of fMLP and of a calcium ionophore, ionomycin, to induce changes in neutrophil free Ca++ . We used neutrophils loaded with fura-2, a dye that forms a fluorescent complex with Ca++. An increase in fluorescence upon stimulation of cells is considered to correlate with an increase of cytosolic free Ca++. Both fMLP 0.1 μmol/l and ionomycin 2 μmol/l rapidly increased the fluorescence and, thus, the cytosolic Ca++ concentration. Neutrophils at rest had a cytosolic Ca++ concentration of 70 (SEM 9) nmol/l, which upon cell activation with fMLP increased to 401 (15) nmol/l and upon activation with ionomycin to 422 (38) nmol/l. The intention was to see if sulphasalazine 100 μmol/l and sulphapyridine 100 μmol/l, which significantly inhibited superoxide production, inhibited this Ca++ increase. Sulphasalazine 100 μmol/l had a slightly yellow colour, however, which interfered with the light emission. We instead used sulphasalazine 10 μmol/l as it was not feasible to wash sulphasalazine away because of the shown reversible effect (see above). Sulphasalazine 100 μmol/l also interfered with the fluorescence, but as the effect on superoxide production was only partially reversible the cells were washed after incubation with sulphapyridine 100 μmol/l and thereafter stimulated with fMLP and ionophore.

The results showed that sulphasalazine 10 μmol/l significantly inhibited both fMLP and ionomycin induced increase of cytosolic free Ca++. Sulphapyridine 100 μmol/l also inhibited both responses when cells were washed after incubation and before stimulation (fig 3).

**Discussion**

In this study we have shown that sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine inhibit superoxide production elicited by the tripeptide fMLP and the calcium ionophore A23187 in a dose dependent manner, and that they also inhibit mobilisation of cytosolic free Ca++ induced by the same stimuli. Sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine do not, however, affect PMA elicited superoxide generation, a stimulus response coupling that is independent of increase of cytosolic free Ca++ .

Neutrophils can be triggered to generate superoxide anions by a variety of stimuli, including the tripeptide fMLP, calcium ionophores, and the tumour promoter PMA. The signal transduction pathways by which these stimuli activate the membrane oxidase are different, however (fig 4).

The inhibition of fMLP elicited superoxide generation by sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine

---

**Figure 3:** Effects of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine on neutrophil intracellular Ca++ increase elicited by fMLP 0.1 μmol/l or ionomycin 2 μmol/l. Neutrophils were either incubated with sulphasalazine 10 μmol/l before stimulation or incubated with sulphapyridine 100 μmol/l and then washed before addition of stimulus. Results are means and SEM of four experiments and expressed as a percentage of control cells not exposed to any drug but otherwise similarly treated. fMLP=N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine.

**Figure 4:** Schematic illustration of neutrophil activation: fMLP binds to a specific surface membrane receptor, which by guanine nucleotide binding stimulates phospholipase C dependent phosphoinositide hydrolysis to generate inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate and diacylglycerol. Inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate causes release of Ca++ from intracellular stores, whereas diacylglycerol activates protein kinase C, which are both suggested to be second messengers for eliciting functional responses—that is, activating the oxidase enzyme. Phorbol myristate acetate binds to cytosolic receptors, which are equivalent to protein kinase C, the occupancy of which activates the membrane oxidase, thereby eliciting superoxide production. fMLP=N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine; LTB4=leukotriene B4; PIP2=phosphoinositide diphosphate; DAG=diacylglycerol; PMA=phorbol myristate acetate; IP3=inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate.
shown here might theoretically be located at any step in the stimulus response coupling. One possibility is that the drugs inhibit binding of fMLP to its receptor, which has been reported earlier for sulphasalazine.\textsuperscript{57} The inhibition of superoxide generation may, however, not solely be attributed to inhibition of fMLP binding. Other mechanisms are probable because sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine also reduced superoxide release by A23187. The similar dose-response curves for the effect of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine on superoxide generation elicited by fMLP and A23187 suggest there may be a common block.

Calcium ionophores increase cytosolic free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} directly by transporting calcium into the cell, thus bypassing the initial steps for fMLP activation. The findings reported here that sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine inhibit increase of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} induced by both fMLP and the calcium ionophore ionomycin indicate that they interfere with calcium mobilisation. According to earlier work, such a block of calcium redistribution may well explain the reduced oxidase activation.\textsuperscript{30, 41}

Further support for the theory that sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine act by interfering with calcium mobilisation is that the calcium metabolism substances are common to both sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine.\textsuperscript{58} They may, however, be seen as free radicals.\textsuperscript{42} This implies that the effect of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine may be one mechanism by which these substances reduce the inflammatory reaction in that disease.

The effect of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine on arachidonic acid metabolism has been investigated previously by a soybean lipoxygenase assay.\textsuperscript{51, 52} which indicated that sulphasalazine, but not sulphapyridine, inhibits the lipoxygenase enzyme. In a study with human neutrophils sulphasalazine, and to a lesser extent sulphapyridine, inhibited leukotriene B\textsubscript{4} and 5-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid biosynthesis when cells were exposed to extracellular arachidonic acid and stimulated by A23187.\textsuperscript{53} Also, when arachidonic acid was incorporated into neutrophils before stimulation with A23187, sulphasalazine inhibited production of the two main 5-lipoxygenase products of arachidonic acid, leukotriene B\textsubscript{4} and 5-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid.\textsuperscript{34} The last two reports\textsuperscript{54, 55} are difficult to interpret, as the reduced synthesis of lipoxygenase products was noted after stimulation with the calcium ionophore A23187. Our finding that sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine inhibit the very first step in calcium ionophore activation, the increase of cytosolic free Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, makes it likely that the following steps in the activation pathway also—that is, lipoxygenase activation, are inhibited. The reduced synthesis of lipoxygenase products might thus follow inhibition of calcium mobilisation, and not need to indicate a direct inhibitory effect on the enzyme activity.

Further biochemical assays, however, indicate that sulphasalazine, but not sulphapyridine, inhibits lipoxygenase activity.\textsuperscript{51, 52}

It can thus be stated that sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine inhibit neutrophil superoxide generation induced by fMLP and A23187, an effect that probably depends mainly on inhibition of calcium mobilisation. Earlier reported inhibition of lipoxygenase activity may, however, contribute to the reduced neutrophil activity. Current knowledge indicates that superoxide radicals are associated with the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. The reported inhibition of superoxide production by sulphasalazine, however, implies that the effect of sulphasalazine and sulphapyridine may be one mechanism by which these substances reduce the inflammatory reaction in that disease.
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