Polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis: a retrospective analysis of prognostic features and different corticosteroid regimens (11 year survey of 210 patients)

G DELECOEUILLERIE, P JOLY, A COHEN DE LARA, AND J B PAOLAGGI

From the Department of Rheumatology, Ambroise Pare Hospital, 9 Av Ch de Gaulle, 92104 Boulogne Cedex, France

SUMMARY The treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) and temporal arteritis (TA) is still controversial. To assess the influence on the course of these diseases of the clinical symptoms at initial presentation and of the starting dosage of corticosteroid (CS) treatment the data for 210 patients, who were diagnosed as having PMR or TA from 1976 to 1986 and were followed up closely, were reviewed. One hundred and thirty two patients were diagnosed as having 'clinically pure' PMR; prednisone starting doses of over 15 mg daily provided more CS related adverse effects without any advantage. The mean duration of treatment was 25.7 months. Nine patients later developed symptoms of TA, and there were no predictive features for this. None experienced visual or neurological complications. Seventy eight patients were diagnosed as having clinical TA. Twenty five patients treated with low starting doses of prednisone, ranging from 10 to 20 mg/d (mean 16.2 mg/d), developed less CS related adverse effects and did not have more visual or neurological complications than 53 patients treated with higher doses. The mean duration of treatment was 30.9 months. Fifteen patients experienced visual or neurological complications and men (10/30) developed these complications more frequently than women (5/48) ($p < 0.02$). These results suggest that (a) clinically pure PMR is a benign disease requiring low doses of CS treatment; (b) low doses of CS seem an adequate treatment for most cases of TA; (c) a worse prognosis seems attached to the male sex in TA.

Key words: giant cell arteritis, temporal artery biopsy.

Temporal arteritis (TA) and polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) were first described as two distinct diseases. It then appeared that TA and PMR frequently occurred together and that histological evidence of giant cell arteritis was found in up to 40% of patients with clinically pure PMR. There is still controversy about the relation between TA and PMR and about the treatment they require. Some authors consider PMR and TA as two expressions of a single disease and recommend treating all patients with high doses of corticosteroids (CS).¹⁻³ Other authors divide the patients according to the results

of temporal artery biopsy, considering patients with clinically pure PMR and histological evidence of giant cell arteritis from temporal artery biopsy to be patients with TA.^{4,5} Other authors maintain the distinction between PMR and TA according to the clinical symptoms at initial presentation regardless of temporal artery biopsy findings,^{6,7} clinically pure PMR being a benign disease which does not require high doses of CS. A few authors even suggested that low doses of CS were adequate treatment for most cases of TA.^{6,8}

Overtreating elderly people with CS if not necessary may be damaging. To find out whether high doses of CS are useful for the treatment of TA and PMR the influence on the course of these diseases of the clinical symptoms at initial presentation and of

Accepted for publication 19 February 1988.

Correspondence to Dr G Delecoeuillierie, Department of Rheumatology, Ambroise Pare Hospital, 9 Av Ch de Gaulle, 92104 Boulogne Cedex, France.

various dosages of CS treatment were retrospectively studied in 210 patients.

Patients and methods

The data for all the patients who were diagnosed as having PMR or TA in our rheumatology department from January 1976 to December 1986 and who were closely followed up (until the last event relating to their disease) were retrospectively reviewed. A detailed description of the initial clinical symptoms was always available. The patients were divided into two groups according to their clinical symptoms at initial presentation, regardless of temporal artery biopsy findings.

GROUP A

Group A consisted of the patients with clinically pure PMR. The following features were all required for inclusion in group A: (a) pain and morning stiffness affecting the shoulder or pelvic girdle, (b) age over 50 years, (c) increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) over 30 mm/1st h; normal ESR was not a criterion of exclusion when orosomucoid and haptoglobin were markedly increased,⁹ (d) absence of clinical, radiological, and laboratory evidence of rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, or muscle disease at initial presentation and at follow up examination, (e) prompt response to CS treatment.

GROUP B

This group consisted of patients with clinical TA and was initially divided into two subgroups: pure TA and mixed TA/PMR. As no significant differences were observed between these two subgroups (see below), all patients with TA (pure or associated with PMR) were put into a single TA group. Four of the following seven features were required for inclusion in the TA group: (a) recent temporal or occipital pain or scalp tenderness, (b) jaw claudication, (c) tender, swollen temporal artery, thickening or diminished temporal pulse, (d) transient or sudden loss of vision, ophthalmoplegia or blurred vision, (e) general symptoms: fever, weight loss, anorexia, (f) over 50 years of age, (g) increased ESR over 30 mm/1st h or markedly increased orosomucoid and haptoglobin.

A temporal artery biopsy was performed on all patients with TA and on some patients with PMR. Histological evidence of giant cell arteritis was established (positive biopsy) when a temporal artery biopsy showed two or three of the following criteria: (a) mononuclear cell infiltrate, (b) fragmentation of the internal elastic membrane, (c) presence of giant cells. The results of the biopsy did not influence the

classification of our patients: a patient with clinical symptoms of pure PMR, even with a positive biopsy, was included in group A and a patient with negative biopsy but clinical evidence of TA was included in group B.

For all patients laboratory investigations included ESR, haemoglobin, white cell count, alkaline phosphatase, plasma proteins (haptoglobin, orosomucoid, serum immunoglobulins), creatine kinase, rheumatoid factor, and antinuclear antibodies. Chest and pelvis radiographs were checked. All patients were promptly treated with CS. The allocation of the starting dosage was not randomised. No criterion determined the choice of the starting dosage, except when visual symptoms existed at initial presentation (all the patients with initial visual symptoms were treated with at least 1 mg/kg/d of prednisone). The patients were further divided into two subgroups as follows according to their starting dose of prednisone: group A—subgroup 1 consisted of patients who received 7–12 mg/d of prednisone; subgroup 2: 15–30 mg/d of prednisone; group B—subgroup I: 10–20 mg/d of prednisone, subgroup II: over 20 and under 60 mg/d of prednisone; subgroup III: 60–90 mg/d of prednisone. The starting dose was considered to be effective when the clinical symptoms disappeared within 48 hours and the ESR promptly decreased. When ineffective, the starting dose was promptly increased (in this case the patients remained in the subgroup determined by the initial starting dosage). CS dosage was then adjusted to the minimal level sufficient to eliminate the clinical symptoms and to keep the ESR under 30 mm/1st h.

Remission was defined as the prolonged absence of symptoms, allowing a withdrawal of CS treatment after progressive decrease of its dosage. Relapse was defined by the recurrence of clinical symptoms after treatment withdrawal, requiring its reinstitution.

Statistical significance of differences between groups of patients was determined with a two tailed Student's *t* test or variance analysis and χ^2 analysis with Yates's correction when necessary.

Results

Of 230 patients diagnosed as having PMR or TA during the study period, 20 were lost to follow up; the study population then consisted of 210 patients: 71 men and 139 women.

A preliminary study showed no significant difference on follow up between 38 patients with pure TA and 40 patients with mixed TA/PMR at initial presentation (Table 1).

One hundred and thirty two patients, 41 men and 91 women, were diagnosed as having pure PMR.

(group A). In this group 98 patients were given a starting dose of prednisone ranging from 7 to 12 mg/d (mean 10.2) (subgroup 1) and 34 patients were given from 15 to 30 mg/d (mean 24.2) (subgroup 2). Seventy eight patients, 30 men and 48 women, were diagnosed as having TA, pure or initially associated with PMR (group B). In this group 25 patients were given a starting dose of prednisone ranging from 10

to 20 mg/d (mean 16.2) (subgroup I), 28 patients were given over 20 and under 60 mg/d (mean 39.1) (subgroup II), 25 patients were given from 60 to 90 mg/d (mean 66.0) (subgroup III).

GROUP A

Table 2 gives the results for the whole group.

Temporal artery biopsy was performed on 56 patients. The evolution of PMR was not significantly different in the eight patients with positive temporal artery biopsy and the 48 patients with negative biopsy (Table 3).

Table 1 Comparison of outcome for patients diagnosed as having pure TA and those diagnosed as having mixed TA/PMR

	Pure TA (n=38)	Mixed TA/PMR (n=40)
Men/women (n)	15/23	15/25**
Positive temporal artery biopsy (n)	30	30**
Age (years)	75.4 (7.1)†	76.8 (7.5)*
ESR (mm/1st h)	107.2 (27.3)	101.9 (24.8)*
Prednisone starting dose (mg/d)	39.7 (18.5)	36.6 (15.8)*
Duration of treatment (months)‡	28.9 (13.1)	32.7 (14.4)*
Number of patients who experienced:		
treatment withdrawal	19	21**
relapse	11	10**
visual or neurological complications of TA	8	7**

*p>0.05 (Student's *t* test); **p>0.05 (χ^2 test).

†Values are mean (SEM).

‡Concerns patients who achieved treatment withdrawal.

Table 3 Comparison of outcome in patients with PMR and a positive or negative temporal artery biopsy

	Positive biopsy (n=8)	Negative biopsy (n=48)
Men/women (n)	2/6	8/40**
Age (years)	75.1 (6.8)†	71.2 (8.7)*
ESR (mm/1st h)	75.1 (6.0)	77.8 (33.2)*
Prednisone starting dose (mg/d)	14.1 (5.2)	14.3 (6.3)*
Duration of treatment (months)‡	25.5 (12.8)	25.1 (10.1)*
Patients (No,%) who experienced:		
treatment withdrawal	4,50	22,46**
relapse	1,13	5,10
further symptoms of TA	0,0	4,8

*p>0.05 (Student's *t* test); **p>0.05 (χ^2 test, Yates's correction).

†Values are mean (SEM).

‡Concerns patients who achieved treatment withdrawal.

Table 2 Comparison of patients diagnosed as having pure PMR (group A) and those diagnosed as having TA (group B)

	Group A (n=132)	Group B (n=78)	p Value
Positive temporal artery biopsy (No,%)	8/56,14	60/78,77	<0.001
Age (years)	71.6 (8.9)†	76.1 (7.4)	NS*
ESR (mm/1st h)	75.6 (30.8)	104.5 (26.4)	<0.001
Prednisone starting dose (mg/d)	13.9 (5.6)	38.1 (17.4)	<0.01
Duration of treatment (months)‡	25.7 (11.9)	30.9 (14.0)	NS*
Patients (No,%) who experienced:			
treatment withdrawal	65,49	40,51	NS
relapse	16,12	21,27	<0.01
steroid related adverse effects	10,8	41,53	<0.001
visual or neurological complications of TA	0,0	15,19	<0.001

*NS=p>0.05 (Student's *t* test).

†Values are mean (SEM).

‡Concerns patients who achieved treatment withdrawal: 65 in group A and 40 in group B.

Subgroups 1 and 2 were comparable for mean age, sex ratio, mean ESR, results of temporal artery biopsy. No significant difference was noticed between these two subgroups as regards the following follow up data: percentage of patients who experienced treatment withdrawal, relapse, further development of TA symptoms, and mean duration of CS treatment. The percentage of patients who experienced CS related adverse effects, however, was significantly higher in subgroup 2 (21%) than in subgroup 1 (3%) ($p<0.01$) (Table 4). Sixty five patients achieved treatment withdrawal after a mean duration of 25.7 (SEM 11.9) months. The mean observation period after the withdrawal of CS treatment was 43.2 (21.5) months. Sixty seven patients were still receiving CS at the time of this study; their mean duration of continuing treatment was 16.2 (12.4) months and their mean dosage of prednisone at the time of the study was 4.1 (1.8) mg/d. Only nine patients with initially pure PMR subsequently developed clinical symptoms of TA, a mean 14.6 (9.4) months after the onset of PMR. A comparison of the nine patients who later developed clinical symptoms of TA and the 123 who did not showed no predictive feature that such a development would take place. In particular, none of the nine patients with further evidence of TA had a positive temporal artery biopsy at initial presentation with pure PMR (when the biopsy had been performed). None of the 132 patients with clinically pure PMR experienced visual or neurological complications of TA.

GROUP B

Table 2 gives the results for the whole group. Temporal artery biopsy was performed on all 78 patients and was positive in 60. Abnormalities on physical examination of temporal arteries were more prevalent in patients with positive biopsy (46/60) than in patients with negative biopsy (8/18)

($p<0.01$). No significant difference on follow up was observed between the patients with positive and those with negative temporal artery biopsy.

Subgroups I, II, and III were comparable for mean age, sex ratio, mean ESR, results of temporal artery biopsy, percentage of patients with headache, jaw claudication, general symptoms, associated symptoms of PMR, physical abnormalities of temporal arteries, and positive biopsy. But the presence in subgroup III of all 12 patients with initial visual symptoms made the three subgroups non-homogeneous.

No significant difference was observed between the three subgroups for the following follow up data: percentage of patients who experienced treatment withdrawal, relapse, further TA complications, and mean duration of treatment. The percentage of patients who experienced CS related adverse effects, however, was higher as the starting dosage of CS increased ($p<0.001$) (Table 5). Table 6 details all the CS related adverse effects. Complications of CS treatment leading to death (one systemic sepsis, two sigmoid perforations) occurred in three patients out of the 53 patients treated with the highest dosages of CS (subgroups II and III). Forty patients achieved treatment withdrawal after a mean duration of 30.9 (14.0) months. The mean observation period after the withdrawal of CS treatment was 32.8 (17.9) months. Thirty eight patients were still receiving CS at the time of this study; their mean duration of continuing treatment was 30.1 (23.5) months and their mean dosage of prednisone at the time of the study was 9.5 (2.1) mg/d. Fifteen patients experienced TA complications: these complications were present at initial presentation in 12 patients and all of them were treated with starting doses of prednisone of at least 60 mg/d. For these 12 patients complications were as follows: (a) three patients experienced further complications of TA despite high doses of CS (one patient with initial loss of

Table 4 Comparison of patients with initially pure PMR (group A) treated with a prednisone starting dose of 7–12 mg/d (subgroup 1) and those treated with 15–30 mg/d (subgroup 2)

	Subgroup 1 (n=98)	Subgroup 2 (n=34)	p Value
Duration of treatment (months)†	25.0 (11.2)‡	28.1 (13.3)	NS*
Patients (No,%) who experienced:			
remission	50,51	15,44	NS**
relapse	13,13	3,9	NS**
further symptoms of TA	7,7	2,6	NS**
corticosteroid related adverse effects	3,3	7,21	<0.01

*NS= $p>0.05$ (Student's *t* test); **NS= $p>0.05$ (χ^2 test, Yates's correction).

†Concerns patients who achieved treatment withdrawal.

‡Values are mean (SEM).

vision of one eye developed contralateral blindness and hemiplegia, one patient with initial diplopia developed hemiplegia, and one patient with initial diplopia died of intracranial arteritis, proved at necropsy). (b) Two patients with initial loss of vision of one eye did not recover normal vision but did not experience further complications. (c) Seven patients (four with initial diplopia and three with blurred

Table 5 Comparison of patients with TA (group B) treated with a prednisone starting dose of 10–20 mg/d (subgroup I), over 20 and under 60 mg/d (subgroup II), and 60–90 mg/d (subgroup III)

	Subgroup I (n=25)	Subgroup II (n=28)	Subgroup III (n=25)	p Value
Duration of treatment (months)*	28.4 (12.5)†	34.4 (15.8)	29.3 (12.9)	NS
Patients (No,%) who experienced:				
remission	14,56	15,54	11,44	NS
relapse	8,32	8,29	5,20	NS
visual or neurological complications of TA (once treatment started)	1,4	1,4	4,16	NS
corticosteroid related adverse effects	3,12	16,57	22,88	<0.001

*Concerns patients who achieved treatment withdrawal.

†Values are mean (SEM).

Table 6 Possible complications of corticosteroid treatment in patients with TA (group B)

	Subgroup I*	Subgroup II*	Subgroup III*	Total
Number of patients who developed corticosteroid related adverse effects	3/25	16/28	22/25	41/78
Symptomatic vertebral osteoporosis	2	7	15	24
Fractured femur	0	1	2	3
Ischaemic necrosis of hip	0	0	2	2
Increased blood pressure	2	5	6	13
Diabetes	1	2	4	7
Cushingoid features	0	2	7	9
Steroid myopathy	0	1	2	3
Complicated ulcer disease	0	2	4	6
Sigmoid perforation	0	1†	1†	2
Systemic sepsis	0	1†	0	1
Tuberculosis	0	0	1	1
Herpes zoster	0	1	0	1
Cataracts	0	1	1	2
Glaucoma	0	1	0	1
Total (No,%)	5,7	25,33	45,60	75,100

*Prednisone starting doses were 10–20 mg/d in subgroup I, over 20 and under 60 mg/d in subgroup II, and 60–90 mg/d in subgroup III.

†Death.

Table 7 Comparison of patients with TA who developed complications and those who did not

	TA with complications (n=15)	TA without complications (n=63)	p Value
Male sex (No,%)	10,67	20,32	<0.02
Patients (No,%) with:			
headache	13,87	56,89	NS**
jaw claudication	8,53	20,32	NS**
general symptoms	10,67	45,71	NS**
associated symptoms of PMR	8,53	32,51	NS**
positive temporal artery biopsy	12,80	48,76	NS**
Age (years)	73.6 (6.4)†	76.7 (7.5)	NS*
ESR (mm/1st h)	100.5 (19.4)	105.5 (27.4)	NS*

*NS=p>0.05 (Student's *t* test); **NS=p>0.05 (χ^2 test).

†Values are mean (SEM).

vision) recovered normal vision. Three of the 66 patients without TA complication at initial presentation developed complications within three months of starting CS treatment: one patient from subgroup I, who against advice stopped taking 20 mg/d of prednisone (though it had provided dramatic improvement), died of intracranial arteritis, proved at necropsy, one patient from subgroup II experienced loss of vision of one eye (a starting dose of 30 mg/d prednisone had been ineffective and had been promptly increased to 60 mg/d; the disease had then appeared to be controlled), and one patient from subgroup III developed hemiplegia (treatment with 60 mg/d of prednisone had at first seemed to be effective). A comparison of the 15 patients who developed TA complications (at initial presentation or once CS treatment started) showed that men developed these complications significantly more frequently than women ($p < 0.02$) (Table 7).

Discussion

Our results suggest that (a) on the basis of the clinical symptoms at initial presentation, and regardless of temporal artery biopsy findings, a clear distinction can be made between a benign disease, PMR, and a more serious one, TA; (b) men seem at risk of experiencing TA complications more than women; (c) whether high doses of CS are useful for the treatment of all cases of TA is uncertain. High doses are of no use whatsoever for the treatment of clinically pure PMR.

If PMR and TA are two expressions of a single disease then patients with PMR might be at risk of experiencing visual complications. We observed that visual or neurological complications were experienced by 15/78 (19%) of our patients with TA, comparable with results of other reports,^{10 11} while none of our 132 patients with pure PMR experienced complications of this kind. Although Jones and Hazleman reported a high complication rate in PMR,¹² most authors have emphasised the absence of complications in pure PMR.^{8 13 14} The difference in prognosis for pure PMR and TA makes it necessary to maintain a clear separation between these two diseases.

Does a patient with pure PMR and histological evidence of giant cell arteritis on temporal artery biopsy have to be regarded as having TA for prognosis and treatment? We did not notice any significant difference during the course of the disease in patients with pure PMR between those with a positive temporal artery biopsy and those with a negative biopsy. As previously reported temporal artery biopsy did not seem to have any prognostic value in PMR.^{8 12} A positive temporal

artery biopsy without any clinical symptom of TA cannot be considered as a valuable criterion for inclusion of a patient with pure PMR into the TA group and should not be taken into account. Neither did we observe any significant difference during the course of the disease in patients with TA between those with a positive temporal artery biopsy and those with a negative biopsy. We thus agree with Vilaseca *et al.*, who judged that there was no point in performing a temporal artery biopsy on patients with pure PMR and on those with clinically certain TA as its results did not influence prognosis and treatment.¹⁵ Its only use is to establish a clinically uncertain diagnosis in few atypical cases of TA, and for pure nosological purposes. An analysis of the clinical symptoms at initial presentation appears to be the most accurate method of distinguishing between pure PMR and TA.

What starting dosage of CS should be used and how long should the patients with PMR and TA be treated?

Some authors recommend prednisone starting doses of over 30 mg daily,²⁻⁴ while others consider that doses of under 15 mg daily are adequate treatment for PMR.^{6-8 14} A few authors have suggested that there is no evidence that CS is better than non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for treatment of PMR,^{16 17} but there has never been a double blind controlled trial of the two methods. All our patients, therefore, were treated with CS as this dramatically improved their condition. We found that prednisone starting doses of over 15 mg/d significantly increased the number of CS related adverse effects ($p < 0.01$) without providing any benefit. Our results (mean duration of treatment, number of patients who experienced relapse or further symptoms of TA) were comparable with those previously reported.^{8 14} A starting dose of 10–15 mg daily of prednisone and a slow decrease of CS dosage, allowing a withdrawal of the treatment about two years later, seems an adequate treatment schedule for pure PMR, and causes very few adverse effects. The generally agreed starting dose of prednisone for treatment of TA ranges from 30 to 60 mg daily as it has been considered that high doses of CS prevent visual and neurological complications.^{18 19} A few authors suggested that lower doses could be adequate in some cases.^{6 8} We showed that 25 patients treated with 10–20 mg/d of prednisone did not experience more TA complications and had significantly less CS related adverse effects ($p < 0.001$) than 53 patients treated with higher doses. The absence of randomised allocation of CS dosages may have been responsible for an important bias. Furthermore, the presence of all the patients with initial visual symptoms in the group of

25 patients treated with the highest dosages has made the groups non-homogeneous (these patients were probably affected with a more severe disease). Nevertheless, high doses were not completely preventative against TA complications as five patients developed such complications despite high starting doses of CS. Similar events had been reported previously.²⁰ Two different categories seem to exist in the TA group. The first category consists of patients who can be adequately treated with low doses of CS, i.e., a starting dose of prednisone of no more than 20 mg/d: higher doses increase the rate of adverse effects without providing any benefit; a slow decrease of CS dosage usually allows a withdrawal of the treatment two to three years later. The second category consists of patients affected with a more severe form of TA, in whom even high doses of CS are not always effective in preventing complications. The difficulty in recognising this second category is worrying. We found that men were more likely to experience TA complications than women ($p < 0.02$). This worse prognosis attached to the male sex in TA has not been previously reported and needs to be confirmed.

The fear that PMR may be an underlying form of TA and the idea that high doses of CS ensured the best prevention against TA complications has led to a probably unjustified increase of CS dosage for treatment of both PMR and TA. This overtreatment is certainly harmful, especially in elderly people. Our study supports the idea that CS dosage can be reduced, but allowance must be made for its retrospective character. Until now, the starting dosage and the duration of CS treatment for PMR and TA were empirically determined. Controlled trials are needed to evaluate the results of low dosages of CS treatment for both PMR and TA.

References

- 1 Hamrin B. Polymyalgia arteritica. *Acta Med Scand [Suppl]* 1972; **533**: 1–131.
- 2 Sørensen P S, Lorenzen I. Giant cell arteritis, temporal arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica. *Acta Med Scand* 1977; **201**: 207–13.
- 3 Bengtsson B A, Malmvall B E. Prognosis of giant cell arteritis including temporal arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica. *Acta Med Scand* 1981; **209**: 337–45.
- 4 Fauchald P, Rygvold O, Øystese B. Temporal arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica: clinical and biopsy findings. *Ann Intern Med* 1972; **77**: 845–52.
- 5 Goodman B W. Temporal arteritis. *Am J Med* 1979; **67**: 839–52.
- 6 Myles A B. Polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis: a seven-year survey. *Rheumatology and Rehabilitation* 1975; **14**: 231–5.
- 7 Spiera H, Davison S. Long term follow-up of polymyalgia rheumatica. *Mt Sinai J Med (NY)* 1978; **45**: 225–9.
- 8 Behn A R, Perera T, Myles A B. Polymyalgia rheumatica and corticosteroids: how much for how long? *Ann Rheum Dis* 1983; **42**: 374–8.
- 9 Paolaggi J B, Chaouat D, Barres D, Hoffman N, Auquier L. Etude des variations comparées de la vitesse de sédimentation, de l'haptoglobine et de l'orosomucoide au cours de l'évolution des pseudopolyarthrites rhizoméliques et des artérites temporales. *Semaine des Hopitaux de Paris* 1983; **59**: 523–8.
- 10 Huston K A, Hunder G G, Lie J T, Kennedy R H, Elveback L R. Temporal arteritis. A 25-year epidemiologic, clinical and pathological study. *Ann Intern Med* 1978; **88**: 162–7.
- 11 Godeau P, Aubert L, Guillevin L. Aspect clinique, évolution et pronostic de la maladie de Horton. *Ann Med Interne (Paris)* 1982; **133**: 393–400.
- 12 Jones J G, Hazleman B L. Prognosis and management of polymyalgia rheumatica. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1981; **40**: 1–5.
- 13 Spiera H, Davison S. Treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982; **25**: 120.
- 14 Ayoub W T, Franklin C M, Torretti D. Polymyalgia rheumatica. Duration of therapy and long term outcome. *Am J Med* 1985; **79**: 309–15.
- 15 Vilaseca J, González A, Cid M C, Lopez-Vivancos J, Ortega A. Clinical usefulness of temporal artery biopsy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1987; **46**: 282–5.
- 16 Chuang T, Hunder G G, Ilstrup D M, Kurland L T. Polymyalgia rheumatica. A 10-year epidemiologic and clinical study. *Ann Intern Med* 1982; **97**: 672–80.
- 17 David-Chausse J, Dehais J, Leman A. Résultats d'une enquête régionale sur le traitement de la pseudopolyarthrite rhizomélisque et de l'artérite temporale. *Rev Rhum Mal Osteoartic* 1983; **50**: 563–71.
- 18 Meadows S P. Giant cell arteritis and blindness. *Br J Hosp Med* 1967; **1**: 835–40.
- 19 Hollenhorst R W, Brown J R, Wagener H, Shick R M. Neurologic aspects of temporal arteritis. *Neurology* 1960; **10**: 490–8.
- 20 Rosenfeld S I, Komorsky G S, Klingele T G, Burde R M, Cohn E M. Treatment of temporal arteritis with ocular involvement. *Am J Med* 1986; **80**: 143–5.