Immunohistology of rheumatoid nodules and rheumatoid synovium
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Summary The immunohistological features of rheumatoid nodules and rheumatoid synovium were examined using monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies raised against macrophages, HLA-DR, leucocyte common antigen, and immunoglobulin components. The palisading cells surrounding the necrotic centre of the rheumatoid nodule were shown to be HLA-DR positive leucocytes, mostly histiocytes. The inflammatory infiltrate associated with rheumatoid nodules showed many immunohistochemical similarities to that of rheumatoid synovium, including a preponderance of IgG positive plasma cells, and a similar number and microanatomical pattern of distribution of HLA-DR positive cells. The significance of these findings for the cellular immunopathology and aetiology of the rheumatoid lesion is discussed.
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Rheumatoid nodules (RNs) are the most characteristic single histopathological lesion associated with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).1 2 They are particularly associated with seropositive RA and have been found in the synovium of 7-6% cases of seropositive RA.1 They have also been described in many extra-articular locations, being most commonly found in the subcutaneous tissue overlying bony prominences.2 3 Histologically, RNs consist of a central irregular area of necrosis rimmed by a palisade of radially arranged, elongated cells, which are themselves surrounded by highly vascularised connective tissue containing a chronic inflammatory infiltrate.2 3

The pathogenesis of RN formation and the relation of this granulomatous reaction to the inflammation and occasional necrosis seen in rheumatoid synovium (RA synovium) is unknown.1-3 Even the nature of cells present in the inflammatory reaction associated with RNs is uncertain. In particular, the elongated cells which form a corona around the central necrotic zone of the RN have been variously classified on the basis of histochemical, immunohistochemical, and ultrastructural evidence, as fibroblasts,3 4 histiocytes,2-4 or proliferating vascular parietal cells or angioblasts.3

In this study we examined the cellular immunopathology of RNs and compared these findings with those found in RA synovium. We used immunohistochemical techniques with monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies directed against leucocytes, including several antimacrophage markers. Our findings showed that almost all the palisading cells of RNs are leucocytes, mostly histiocytes. We also found several interesting immunohistochemical similarities between RNs and RA synovium.

Materials and methods

Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded blocks of cases of RA synovium and RNs were retrieved from the files of the John Radcliffe Hospital and the Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre. These included samples of synovial membrane obtained at synovectomy or joint replacement from 13 patients with seropositive RA and biopsy specimens of RNs from 12 patients with seropositive RA. Five of the RNs were located around the elbow, five around the thumb or fingers, and two in RA affected synovium. In these last two specimens the immunohistochemical features of both the inflamed synovium and the RNs were independently assessed.
Table 1  Monoclonal and polyclonal* antibodies used in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reference No</th>
<th>Antigen/cell specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD7/26</td>
<td>D Y Mason</td>
<td>Leucocyte common antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B11</td>
<td>D Y Mason</td>
<td>Leucocyte common antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR3/43</td>
<td>D Y Mason</td>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac 387</td>
<td>D Y Mason</td>
<td>Macrophages, some granulocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-α1-antitrypsin*</td>
<td>Behring</td>
<td>α1 Antitrypsin: macrophages, granulocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antilysozyme*</td>
<td>Behring</td>
<td>Lysozyme: macrophages, granulocytes, some epithelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-immunoglobulin (Ig)*</td>
<td>Dako (UK)</td>
<td>IgG, IgM, IgA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy chain</td>
<td></td>
<td>x, λ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light chain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY AND EXAMINATION OF HISTOLOGICAL SECTIONS**

Serial 5 μm sections were mounted on Multispot slides (Hendley, Essex). These were stained by an indirect immunoperoxidase technique as previously described.\(^8\) Negative control sections consisted of the additions of trometamol (TRIS) buffer alone without primary antibody. Table 1 shows the monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies used in this study. Sections were digested for 30 minutes by 0.1% trypsin (Sigma, T: 8128) in 0.1% calcium chloride at pH 7.8 before immunostaining with CR3/43, anti-heavy and light chain immunoglobulin, and Mac 387.

**Fig. 1a** Immunoperoxidase staining of RN with PD7/26 for leucocyte common antigen (LCA). (a) RN surrounded by LCA positive palisading cells. There is an intense inflammatory infiltrate surrounding the nodule. (b) Higher magnification of Fig. 1a with membrane staining of palisading cells around the necrotic centre (left). (c) Giant cell amongst the palisading cells of an RN with membrane staining for LCA.
The percentage of cells reported to react with a particular monoclonal or polyclonal antibody was evaluated after counting 300 palisading cells and 300 synovial lining cells in serial sections of RNs and RA synovium respectively. The same area of each serial section was also assessed with an eyepiece graticule to reduce errors due to differences in sampling and differences related to the degree of inflammation and age of the lesion. The relative proportions of IgG and IgM reacting cells in RNs and RA synovium were similarly assessed after counting 50 light chain positive cells.

Results

**Immunohistochemistry of Rheumatoid Nodules (RNs)**

Immunohistochemical staining of RNs showed that most of the cells which form a palisade around the necrotic centre of the nodule (palisading cells) were strongly positive for both leucocyte common antigen (LCA) (87–96%) (Figs 1a and b) and HLA-DR (80–95%) (Figs 2a and b). Most of these palisading cells were also strongly positive for α1 antitrypsin (32–60%) and lysozyme (37–56%) as well as Mac 387 positive (62–84%) (Fig. 3). In most cases the palisading cells that stained for LCA, lysozyme, and Mac 387 were mononuclear leucocytes, but in two cases identifiable polymorphs were present among the palisading cells and stained by these three antibodies. In all cases scattered plasma cells, identified morphologically and by staining for light and heavy immunoglobin chains, were also found among the palisading cells. Plasma cells and other mononuclear cells containing immunoglobin were clearly a minority (less than 5%) of the population of the palisading cells and were most prominent at the margins of the RN. There were more IgG (56–86%) than IgM (4–38%) or IgA (4–28%) reacting cells in the palisade layer. Giant cells were also present among the palisading cells of several RNs. These strongly reacted for LCA (Fig. 1c) and
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**Fig. 2a** Immunoperoxidase staining of RN with CR3/43 (anti-HLA-DR). (a) RN with HLA-DR positive palisading cells and HLA-DR positive mononuclear cells disposed around a small blood vessel (arrow). (b) Higher magnification of Fig. 2a with HLA-DR positive staining of palisading cells around necrotic centre.
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**Fig. 2b**
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**Fig. 3** Immunoperoxidase staining of the centre of an RN showing strong cytoplasmic staining of palisading cells with Mac 387 (antimacrophage).
HLA-DR, and many were also Mac 387 positive.

There were abundant HLA-DR (72–87%) positive mononuclear leucocytes (LCA positive) in the inflammatory infiltrate present in the loose connective tissue surrounding the RN. These HLA-DR positive cells were histiocyte-like cells of irregular, round or spindle-shaped outline with a vesicular nucleus. HLA-DR positive cells were also present around small and large blood vessels (Fig. 2a). Many, but not all, of the HLA-DR positive mononuclear cells in the inflammatory infiltrate were also strongly positive with Mac 387 (54–86%), and weakly positive with anti-α1 antitrypsin (37–64%) and antilysozyme (35–55%). In addition, occasional endothelial cells of small blood vessels were weakly HLA-DR positive. Immunoglobulin containing cells (mostly IgG positive) were also present in the inflamed connective tissue surrounding RNs.

**IMMUNOHISTOLOGY OF RHEUMATOID SYNViUM**

The synovial intimal cells in rheumatoid synovium reacted strongly for LCA (88–97%) and HLA-DR (90–95%) (Fig. 4a) and were strongly Mac 387 (67–86%) positive. In synovium where the intimal cells were of normal thickness (one or two cell layers) or greatly thickened and hyperplastic (more than two cell layers) these antibodies produced almost uniform staining of synovial lining cells. These cells reacted weakly and less consistently with α1 antitrypsin (22–32%) and lysozyme (21–25%).

There was a heavy, largely mononuclear cell inflammatory infiltrate in the loose subintimal synovial stromal connective tissue. These cells stained strongly for LCA, and many (83–90%) were also positive for HLA-DR. In addition, in serial sections, 57–74% of these cells also stained with Mac 387, and 46–80% for α1 antitrypsin and lysozyme. The HLA-DR positive cells were disposed around large and small blood vessels in a similar fashion to that seen in RN biopsy specimens. In addition, occasional HLA-DR positive endothelial cells were seen. Staining for light and heavy chain immunoglobulin components confirmed that abundant plasma cells were present in the inflamed synovium. IgG reacting cells (46–85%) outnumbered those reacting for IgM (6–44%) or IgA (4–32%).

Classical RNs and hemigranulomas (i.e., RNs opening onto the synovial surface), which were noted in synovial specimens from two patients, showed the same immunohistological features as RNs in subcutaneous tissue described above. Giant cells in the rheumatoid synovium, which were unassociated with classical RNs or hemigranulomas, showed a similar pattern of reactivity to that of synovial lining cells (Fig. 4b).

**Discussion**

In this study we showed that the palisading mononuclear cells which form a corona around the necrotic centre of the RN are positive for LCA, HLA-DR, and several monocyte-macrophage markers (Mac 387, α1 antitrypsin, lysozyme). Cells containing immunoglobulin were also scattered among the palisading cells, particularly at the margin of the nodule, and polymorphs were also
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have shown that studies macrophages. tissue phenotypically heterogeneous cells are identified in a, antitrypsin. Giant cells lining have the morphology as phenotype to in layer5 positive RNs. This is not regarded identical antigenic phenotype as a 'positive phagocytes. clear cell types palisading both intimal to be of origin.24 25 These cells are identical.22 Our finding that both intimal cells of the hyperplastic RA synovium and palisading cells of the RN are positive with LCA and macrophage markers supports the origin of both these cell types from the haemopoietic stem cell and is in keeping with their derivation from mononuclear phagocytes. It is not certain whether the palisading cells and the synovial intimal cells are identical. Their presence, however, in a similar immunopathological background (see below) would support this hypothesis.

Other features of the inflammatory reaction associated with RNs and RA synovium also showed immunohistological similarities. These included the preponderance of IgG reacting plasma cells and the abundance and similar histological distribution of HLA-DR positive cells in the inflammatory infiltrate of both lesions.23 HLA-DR is strongly expressed by several cell types that are known to be involved in antigen presentation and processing, including B cells, activated T cells, interdigitating reticulum cells, and macrophages.24 The immunohistological analysis of RNs by Duke et al showed that in the inflammatory infiltrate around RNs there were only a minority of T cells (0–30%) which were also HLA-DR positive;6 B cells and plasma cells were also a very minor component of the inflammatory infiltrate, a feature confirmed by this study. In combination with our results of staining of serial sections with Mac 387, this strongly suggests that most HLA-DR positive cells in the inflammatory infiltrate are macrophages or interdigitating reticulum cells. Previous immunohistochemical studies of RA synovium have shown that the HLA-DR reacting cells in RA synovium include both endothelial cells and a population of macrophage-like dendritic cells which resemble interdigitating reticulum cells found in the paracortical T cell areas of normal lymph nodes.16 24 25 These synovial dendritic cells were scattered around blood vessels where they are closely associated with T helper cells, the predominant cell type found in RA synovium.16 24 25 T cells are also the main lymphocyte type in the inflammatory infiltrate around RNs, though the exact proportion of T cells of helper phenotype is not certain.5 6 The similar histological distribution of strongly staining HLA-DR positive cells around blood vessels and the weak HLA-DR staining of endothelial cells which we have noted in biopsy specimens of both RA synovium and RNs are consistent with the above findings. It also suggests that many of the perivascular HLA-DR positive cells found in RNs are identical to the dendritic cells found in RA synovium.

The range of monoclonal antibodies used in this study does not permit firm conclusions regarding the pathogenesis of RNs. In common with previous studies,5–7 we have noted only small amounts of immunoglobulin in these lesions and have found no evidence of immunoglobulin deposition in vessel walls, a feature which argues against vasculitis as a primary mechanism in RN formation. Our findings do suggest, however, that there are distinct similarities in the cellular immunopathology of both RNs and RA synovium. If this is true then a similar antigenic factor may be responsible for the pathological changes seen in both RNs and RA synovium. The reason why this antigenic factor leads to the formation of RNs in some but not all patients with seropositive RA may be accounted for by the variable host immune response which, in RA, is known to be strongly associated with genetic factors.26
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