Biochemical and histological changes in osteoarthritic synovial membrane
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SUMMARY In order to investigate the relationship between the synovial inflammatory response and lysosomal enzyme activity in osteoarthritis, synovial specimens obtained from 19 osteoarthritic patients and control specimens from 10 normal joints were analysed for cathepsin D and acid phosphatase enzyme levels. In estimating enzyme activities methods previously developed for quantitative enzyme determination in cartilage were modified and applied to synovial tissues for the first time. In addition, samples of osteoarthritic synovium were histologically graded according to their degree of inflammation. It was found that in osteoarthritic synovium cathepsin D and acid phosphatase, which is a general marker for lysosomal enzyme activity, were significantly increased compared with normal control synovium. No significant relationship was found between the degree of synovial tissue inflammation and lysosomal enzyme activity.
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In osteoarthritis there is a progressive loss of ground substance, which leads to the destruction of articular cartilage and eventually to joint failure. It has been established that in osteoarthritic cartilage the activity of lysosomal enzymes such as cathepsin D and acid phosphatase is increased, and it has been suggested that these enzymes are partly responsible for cartilage breakdown. 1, 2 Since the cartilage destruction starts at the superficial layers 3 and synovial inflammation of varying degrees is present from the earliest stages of experimental osteoarthritis 4 it has been suggested that synovial tissue may have a role in cartilage destruction. 5 Increased lysosomal enzyme activity has also been shown in synovial tissue. 6-7 and Glynn 8 has postulated that the main cause of cartilage destruction may be due to the lack of enzyme inhibitors. However, there are various conflicting reports in the literature. 3, 7, 9, 10 In this study we have attempted to clarify the role of synovium in cartilage destruction, by determining the relationship between the degree of inflammation in synovium and the lysosomal enzyme activities by quantitative methods of estimation. 2, 11, 12

Materials and methods

Synovial tissues obtained from 19 patients undergoing total hip replacement for osteoarthritis were used in this study. A total of 10 cases of femoral neck fractures treated with prosthetic replacement and limb amputations for various reasons were used to obtain control 'normal' synovial tissue. Eight of the control samples were from the hip and two from the knee. In each case part of the synovial membrane was fixed in 10% formaldehyde, sectioned, and stained with haematoxylin-eosin for histological grading. The remaining fresh tissue was prepared for enzyme studies according to the methods previously described by us. 11-15

Cathepsin D activity was determined by a quantitative method described by Ali and Bayliss 2 which is based on the hydrolysis of haemoglobin denatured with urea. Enzyme activity was expressed as mg tyrosine/g synovial tissue. Acid phosphatase activity was determined under similar conditions by measuring the release of inorganic phosphate from Na β-glycerophosphate and expressed as mg phosphate/g wet weight of synovial tissue. The enzyme levels determined for osteoarthritic synovium and control synovium were compared with each other by the Student's t test.

Histological criteria described by Salvati et al. 7
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Table 1  Groups according to the degree of inflammation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Degree of inflammation</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>0–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>11 or above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistical relationship between these groups and the degree of lysosomal enzyme activity was determined by the Mann-Whitney U test.

were used for evaluation of the inflammatory response of synovial tissue in osteoarthritis: (a) focal chronic inflammation (lymphoid follicles)—1+, 2+, 3+ = 1–2, 3–6, and 7 or more lymphoid follicles/low power field respectively; (b) diffuse chronic inflammation (lymphocytes and plasma cells)—1+, 2+, 3+ = 1–9, 10–49, and 50 or more cells/high power field (×500) respectively; (c) acute inflammation (polymorphonuclear leukocytes)—1+, 2+, 3+ = 1–9, 10–49, and 50 or more cells/high power field (×500) respectively; (d) proliferative hyperplasia of synoviocytes—1+, 2+, 3+ = 2–3, 3–5, and 6 or more synoviocytes of average thickness respectively; (e) papillary or villous folds—1+, 2+, 3+ = villi averaging up to 200, 200–299, and 500 μm in length or more respectively; (f) synovial giant cells—1+, 2+, 3+ = 1–2, 3–6, and 6 or more cells/high power field (×250) respectively; (g) Russells's bodies—1+, 2+, 3+ = 1–2, 3–6, and 6 or more/medium power field (×250) respectively; (h) fibrin (plasma exudation)—1+ = minimal, 2+ = moderate, 3+ = massive; (i) plasma cells embedded in fibrous tissue—1+, 2+, 3+ = 1–5, 6–10, and 10 or more cells/high power field respectively.

Numerical values were assigned to the histological findings according to these criteria, and the cases were divided into three groups based on their histological scores (Table 1).

Results

The numerical values assigned to the inflammatory response and the cathepsin D and acid phosphatase activities in the synovial specimens obtained from 19 osteoarthritic patients and 10 controls are given in Tables 2 and 3 respectively.

Both cathepsin D and acid phosphatase activity were markedly increased in the osteoarthritic synovial tissue as compared with control synovium, and this increase was statistically significant (Tables 4 and 5).

In the synovial tissues from the 19 osteoarthritic patients there was minimal inflammatory response in six cases (group I), medium in 10 (group II), and advanced in three (group III). No statistically significant correlation existed between the degree of...
Table 3  Lysosomal enzyme activities in the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control No</th>
<th>Source of material</th>
<th>Cathepsin D enzyme activities (mg tyrosine/g synovial tissue)</th>
<th>Acid phosphatase enzyme activities (mg phosphate/g wet weight synovial tissue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Femoral neck fracture, right knee</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Amputation material, left knee</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Amputation material, right knee</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Arthroscopy material, left knee</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Amputation material, left knee</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Amputation material, left knee</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Amputation material, left knee</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Arthroscopy material, right knee</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Femoral neck fracture, left hip</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Arthroscopy material, left knee</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

synovial tissue inflammation shown in each group and the corresponding lysosomal enzyme activities (Table 6).

Discussion

The role of the synovial membrane in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis is not clear. Various studies concerning the synovial membrane and its lysosomal enzymes have produced conflicting results. This study was undertaken to try and resolve the controversy by using quantitative methods for determining the enzyme levels that had not previously been applied to synovium. In previous studies homogenisation was used for the extraction of synovial enzymes. However, when we analysed five specimens by the homogenisation method for synovial tissue the enzyme levels measured varied considerably and were one fifth to one tenth of those obtained by the quantitative tissue section method used in this project. We believe that the homogenisation methods for cartilage and synovium are not accurate for the following reasons: (a) Homogenisation of tissue is difficult since particulate residue is always present and may contain significant amounts of enzyme activity. Thermal denaturation of enzymes is also a problem encountered in homogenisation. (b) During homogenisation and separation of particulate debris there may be loss of the cofactors necessary for the hydrolysis of substrates, and an homogenate or extract with insufficient enzymatic activity may result. (c) Enzymatic activity determination with such homogenates results in lower enzymatic activity values per gram of tissue as compared with our method of estimation.

In the method employed in this study
weighed tissue (0.3 g) was diced and sectioned (18 μm) and incubated directly with the substrate in a buffered solution. Thus the hydrolysis of the substrates in the solution could be measured quantitatively under optimum conditions, yielding higher enzyme activity levels. For this reason we believe that our method is more reliable and accurate than those previously used.

The mean cathepsin D activity in the 19 osteoarthritic synovial tissue specimens was found to be 1.651 mg tyrosine/g synovial tissue (Table 4). In the 10 normal synovial tissue specimens comprising the control group it was 0.556 mg tyrosine/g synovial tissue. The significantly increased cathepsin D activity in the osteoarthritic specimens confirms the findings of Kar et al. and Salvati, even though these investigators used homogenisation methods.

In our work there was also a statistically significant increase in the acid phosphatase levels in osteoarthritic synovial tissue and this is in accordance with the findings of Kar. However, Waxman and Salvati did not detect significantly increased levels of this enzyme in their studies. Their inability to detect higher activity levels of this enzyme may be due to loss of enzyme activity in the methods they used. Since acid phosphatase is a marker for lysosomal activity in tissues the findings of Waxman and Salvati that cathepsin D levels were increased in the presence of normal acid phosphatase levels appear somewhat contradictory, but it is possible for the level of one lysosomal enzyme to be raised and not that of the other.

In osteoarthritis conflicting findings have been reported for the relationship between lysosomal enzyme levels and the developing synovitis. In our study a statistically significant relationship between the degree of inflammation in the synovial membrane and the activity levels of both enzymes could not be found (Table 6). Even though the number of cases analysed are few, Kar’s results confirm this finding. For example in Kar’s eight cases cathepsin D activity did not correlate with histological degrees of synovial inflammation. Salvati, however, did find a correlation between the degree of inflammation in the osteoarthritic synovial tissue and cathepsin D activity. As mentioned above the differences between our findings and those of Salvati may be explained by the different techniques employed to detect enzyme activities. It should be mentioned that the poor diagnostic value of synovial biopsy in patients with arthritis is well known and that there is usually a better correlation as regards the findings in synovial fluid. Recent morphological observations by Shiozawa et al. indicate that even in rheumatoid arthritis the cartilage destruction is mediated by fibroblasts and fibroblast derived macrophages at the cartilage-pannus junction. Thus in osteoarthritis too it appears that the synovium derived cells and macrophages may also contribute to the destruction of the cartilage by producing increased amounts of lysosomal degradative enzymes, extracellular proteases, or even catabolin-like factors which would facilitate cartilage breakdown.

Based on our findings we conclude that: (a) in osteoarthritic cathepsin D activity is significantly increased in the synovial tissues; (b) acid phosphatase activity is also increased, and since this enzyme is a marker for lysosomal enzyme activity, this may indicate a general increase in degradative enzymes; (c) no correlation exists between lysosomal enzyme activity and the degree of inflammation in the osteoarthritic synovium.
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