Anti-intermediate filament antibodies, antikeratin antibody, and antiperinuclear factor in rheumatoid arthritis and infectious mononucleosis
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SUMMARY Sera from patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), patients with infectious mononucleosis (IM), and blood donors were tested by indirect immunofluorescence for the presence of antikeratin antibody (AKA), antibody to cytoskeletal intermediate filaments of prekeratin or vimentin type (AIFA) and antiperinuclear factor (APF). In 81-9% of the RA sera and 92.5% of the IM sera AIFA of IgM class was found at titres up to and in some cases exceeding 1/160. In blood donors the incidence of AIFA was 26%, at titres not exceeding 1/20. AKA and APF, always of IgG class, were found in 54.2% and 73.6% of rheumatoid sera. A weak correlation was found in RA between the incidence of AIFA and APF. AKA was not present in either IM or blood donor sera, and APF was found in only 2.5% and 3.2% of IM or blood donor sera, respectively.

Among the non-organ-specific autoantibodies found in the sera of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients there are three directed at different components in epithelial cells. These are antikeratin antibody (AKA), antibodies against intermediate filaments (AIFA), and antiperinuclear factor (APF). All three are shown by indirect immunofluorescence; AKA by reactivity with keratinised cells in rat oesophageal epithelium,3 5 APF by reactivity with keratohyaline granules in buccal mucosal cells,3 4 and AIFA by cytoskeletal staining in cultured cell monolayers.2 6 AIFA in RA sera may have specificity for the intermediate filament proteins vimentin or prekeratin, or both; specificity for prekeratin is shown by staining of the fine cytoplasmic network of filaments which persist in epithelial cells (usually HEp2 cells) that have been cultured in the presence of colchicine. In such cells or in similarly treated fibroblasts, vimentin is stained as perinuclear coils. We report here the incidence of these three autoantibodies in RA patients, in patients with infectious mononucleosis, and in normal subjects.

Materials and methods

SERASera
The 205 serum samples tested included 72 from patients with established rheumatoid arthritis (American Rheumatism Association criteria), attending the Rheumatology Department of the London Hospital, 40 sera giving positive Paul-Bunnell tests, and 93 sera from healthy adult blood donors. All sera were kept at −20°C until tested.

MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY
A mouse IgG monoclonal antibody made against glial fibrillary acidic protein1 and reactive with both prekeratin and vimentin intermediate filaments of the cytoskeleton was used.

ANTI-INTERMEDIATE FILAMENT ANTIBODIES
Anti-intermediate filament antibody was demonstrated as previously described.2 The human laryngeal carcinoma cell line (HEp2) and freshly cultured human fetal skin fibroblasts (HSF) were used as substrate. Cells from stock cultures were trypsinised and grown as monolayers on multipurpose slides for 24–72 hours at 37°C in RPMI 1640 medium.
Autoantibodies in rheumatoid arthritis 447

Glutamine and 100 U penicillin and streptomycin in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator. Twelve hours before their use in tests 0.25 mg/l of colchicine was added to the cultures. The cell monolayers were fixed in absolute methanol at −20°C for 10 minutes and washed in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4. Sera were applied at a dilution of 1:10 for 45 minutes at 37°C, the slides were washed and FITC conjugated sheep antihuman Ig was then applied at previously determined optimal dilution. FITC conjugated sheep antihuman μ, γ and α chain antisera (Wellcome Reagents Ltd) were used to determine the Ig class of antibodies in positive sera.

**Antiperinuclear factor antibody (APF)**

Preparation of substrate was as reported. A donor whose buccal mucosal cells gave clearly recognisable staining of keratoxyline granules with APF positive sera was used. The indirect immunofluorescence method as described by Sonntag-Tschrotts et al. was employed. Sera were applied to the buccal cell smears at a dilution of 1:5 for 90 minutes. The same FITC conjugates were used for fluorescent staining.

**Antikeratin antibody**

Cryostat sections of the middle third of snap-frozen fresh rat oesophagus were used unfixed. The immunofluorescence test was carried out as described by Young et al. Sera were applied to the sections at a dilution of 1:10 and polyspecific and monospecific FITC conjugates were used as above.

**Correlation of the incidence of the three autoantibodies**

Correlations of the incidences of AIFA, APF, and AKA were sought using the non-parametric Kendall’s Tau B test.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Rat oesophagus sections and buccal mucosa cell smears were examined in a Reichert microscope with dark-ground illumination; A 100 W quartz-halogen lamp provided the light source, and Balzer FITC-3 as the primary and Ilford 110 as the secondary filter were incorporated. Cultured cells stained for intermediate filaments were examined on a Zeiss epifluorescence microscope with a 50 W mercury lamp as the source of illumination.

**Results**

**Anti-intermediate filament antibodies**

Table 1 shows the prevalence, immunoglobulin classes, and specificities of AIFA in the patient groups and normal subjects, and Fig. 1 the distribution of titres of positive sera. It will be seen that in both RA and IM patients the incidence and titres of AIFA were markedly higher than in normal subjects. The geometric mean AIFA titre in RA was 1/40, in IM 1/80, and in normals <1/10. In both RA and IM sera AIFA were predominantly of IgM class, and the distribution of specificities for prekeratin and for vimentin were similar in the two conditions. While prekeratin staining was seen only in cultures of HEp₂ (epithelial cells), vimentin staining, in accordance with previous reports, was seen in both cultured fibroblasts and colchicine treated HEp₂ cells; in the latter in a pattern of perinuclear filament coils, distinct from the spread pattern of prekeratin filaments.

**Antiperinuclear factor and antikeratin antibodies**

APF was found predominantly and AKA exclusively in RA sera (Tables 2 and 3). In contrast with AIFA, in all positive sera APF and AKA were exclusively of IgG class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Anti-intermediate filament antibodies in normal and patient’s sera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. tested</td>
<td>No. (%) positive for AIFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious mononucleosis</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood donors</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One prekeratin, one vimentin, two prekeratin/vimentin.
†One prekeratin, one vimentin, one prekeratin/vimentin.
No sera were positive with anti-IgA conjugate.
MOUSE MONOCLONAL AIFA

The monoclonal antibody gave staining characteristic of both prekeratin and vimentin intermediate filaments in HEp₂ cells and of vimentin in HSF cells. It gave no staining of human buccal mucosal cells or of stratified epithelium in rat oesophagus.

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN POSITIVITY FOR AIFA AND AKA

Table 4 shows that a weak but significant association of AIFA and AKA occurred in RA sera.

Discussion

These findings confirm that the AIFA, AKA, and APF, shown in the sera tested are antibodies of different specificities. The failure of the monoclonal anti-AIFA to react with keratohyaline granules or with rat oesophageal epithelium, although reacting strongly with HEp₂ cells and fetal fibroblasts, accords with this.

The prevalence and isotypes of these three antibodies in the RA and normal sera examined here agree with previous reports.⁵ ⁶ ¹⁸ ¹⁹ This study also brings out strikingly, however, the matching high incidence of IgM AIFA in RA and in IM, in both conditions at titres very significantly higher than in normals. In contrast, though AKA and APF were present in a clear majority of the RA sera tested, APF was present in only a small percentage of IM sera, and AKA was never found.

A high incidence of AIFA in IM was reported by Linder et al.⁶ and Mortazavi-Milani,⁷ and we have confirmed elsewhere the high incidence of AIFA in Paul-Bunnell positive glandular fever.⁸ AIFA is one of a number of autoantibodies occurring in acute infectious mononucleosis, and it is possible that these appear at least partly as a result of the polyclonal activation of B lymphocytes by Epstein-Barr virus (EBV). We have described elsewhere the induction of IgM AIFA in lymphocyte cultures infected with EBV⁹ or stimulated with supernatants from Plasmodium falciparum cultures,¹⁰ and also the occurrence of AIFA in sera from other infectious conditions (malaria, trypanosomiasis,¹¹ ¹²) in which the infecting organisms likewise exert a
polyclonal mitogenic effect on the host’s lymphocytes and especially on IgM production. It appears reasonable to surmise that similar action of an unknown polyclonal mitogen may underlie autoantibody production in RA.

Pursuit of the EBV analogy leads to a second possibility. EBV, gaining entry to the body as it supposedly does by initially infecting epithelial cells in the throat, may in the process in some way enhance the immunogenicity of prekeratin intermediate filaments in the cytoskeleton of the implicated epithelial cells. As we have shown here the AIFA activity in both RA and IM sera may be specific for prekeratin in HEP2 cells or for vimentin in fibroblasts and colchicine treated HEP2 cells; or sera may show both patterns of reactivity. Experiments reported by Mortazavi-Milani indicated that in at least some RA sera dual AIFA specificity reflects the presence of two antibodies of different specificities. It cannot be excluded, however, that some IgM AIFA in patients may, like the monoclonal mouse antibody described here, react with epitopes common to the two intermediate filament proteins. The two other autoantibodies that we have included in this study, APF and AKA, are indisputably directed at antigens exclusively present in epithelial cells. It thus seems possible that in RA an infectious agent with an epithelial portal of entry confers enhanced immunogenicity on certain components of implicated epithelial cells, and that this in turn leads to production of the triad of autoantibodies AIFA, APF, and AKA. It is not clear, it must be admitted, how such a hypothesis might explain either the presence of solely antivimentin specific AIFA in a minority of patients’ sera, or the Ig isotype differences we have found between the three antibodies of the triad present in RA sera.

A number of other autoantibodies that are associated with different clinical subsets of connective tissue diseases have recently been shown to be directed at different members of a range of complex cytoplasmic and nuclear antigens of varied nucleic acid-protein composition. It has been postulated that these complex molecules display enhanced autoimmunogenicity because they include a molecular contribution of viral origin. It has moreover been reported that some viruses need intermediate filaments for their intracellular replication. The possible role of viral components or products in enhancing the autoimmunogenicity of cytoskeletal proteins in diseases such as RA seems to merit further investigation.
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