Tarsal tunnel syndrome and peripheral neuropathy in rheumatoid disease
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SUMMARY Thirty patients with classical or definite rheumatoid disease (RD) with foot pain and radiologically demonstrated erosions were studied electrodiagnostically to ascertain the frequency of the tarsal tunnel syndrome and peripheral neuropathy. Four patients (13.3%) had evidence of the tarsal tunnel syndrome. The electrical abnormalities were mild and unassociated with specific clinical features. Two patients (6.6%) had evidence of sensory peripheral neuropathy. There may be an appreciable frequency of clinically unsuspected tarsal tunnel syndrome in RD.

The principal neuropathies of rheumatoid disease (RD) include nerve compression, a mild distal sensory impairment, and a severe fulminating sensorimotor disorder. Unlike the sensorimotor disorder, which is easily recognised, the compression and distal sensory neuropathies may be manifest only by paraesthesiae, dysesthesiae, and burning sensations, with few objective signs.

Median nerve entrapment in the carpal tunnel is common in RD and has been well documented clinically and electrodiagnostically. However, while entrapment at the tarsal tunnel has been implicated as contributing to foot pain in RD, the importance of this complication is unknown owing to the lack of systematic electrodiagnostic studies. Recently other investigators using these techniques reported a 25% frequency of tarsal tunnel syndrome in RD, but the failure to correct for temperature variations in their patients could have given a falsely high result.

The frequency of distal sensory neuropathy in RD is undetermined, although estimates have put it as high as 35–40%. The relevance of most previous studies of peripheral polynynepathy in RD is in doubt, as most have examined only motor conduction, often in the upper limbs or in nerves that can themselves be the subject of entrapment. However, acquired peripheral polynynepathies in adults are almost invariably more severe in the lower limbs and usually affect sensory conduction more than motor. There has been no systematic study of sensory conduction in the lower limbs of patients with RD.

We investigated 30 patients with classical or definite RD who had both pain and erosions in the feet, and we sought clinical and electrical evidence of peripheral polynynepathy or tarsal tunnel syndrome in order to assess their frequency and to determine whether they contribute to the foot pain of RD.

Patients and methods

PATIENTS Thirty patients with classical or definite RD were studied. All were seropositive to the rheumatoid factor and had foot pain and radiologically demonstrated erosions in the feet. Patients who had undergone foot surgery or who were over 65 years of age were excluded. None of the patients were diabetic, but 5 consumed more than 100 g of alcohol per day. The mean age of the selected group was 50–9 years (range 24–65). The mean disease duration was 9–9 years (range 1–5–26–0). In 12 patients the disease was active. All 30 patients were taking nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents. Six patients were on prednisone and 24 were on a slow-acting disease suppressive agent.

METHODS A detailed history and clinical examination including neurological examination was performed on each patient. The compound muscle action potentials of the abductor hallucis muscle (medial plantar nerve) and the abductor digiti quinti muscle (lateral plantar nerve) were evoked by stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve behind the medial malleolus and were recorded with pairs of surface electrodes fixed over the muscles in standard positions, as described by...
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Johnson and Ortiz and Fu et al. (Fig. 1). The stimulating electrodes were secured to the limb with the cathode distal, either 80 mm or 100 mm from the active recording electrode on the motor point of abductor hallucis. The amplitude of the negative phase of each evoked compound action potential and the latency of onset of the negative phase were calculated. Skin temperatures were measured with a thermistor, as described by Fu et al., and the motor latencies were then compared with established normal values for conduction distance (80 mm or 100 mm) and skin temperature. The conduction velocity of motor fibres innervating abductor hallucis for the popliteal fossa-ankle segment was determined by standard techniques (Fig. 1), the lower limit of normal for this laboratory being 40 m/s.

Sensory conduction was studied in the medial plantar nerve and in 2 segments of the sural nerve by surface-stimulating and recording techniques (Fig. 2). The orthodromic sensory action potential of the medial plantar nerve was recorded at ankle level by a pair of Ag/AgCl electrodes 40 mm apart, after stimulation of the digital nerves of the hallux by ring electrodes. Similar pairs of recording electrodes at the lateral malleolus and at the fifth metatarsal recorded the antidromic sensory action potential set up in the sural nerve by stimulation of the nerve at mid-calf level. The segments of the sural nerve thus studied were calf-ankle and ankle-fifth metatarsal. The laboratory lower limits of normal are 6 μV and 40 m/s for the proximal segment (calf-ankle) of the sural nerve, and 35 m/s for the distal segment (ankle-fifth metatarsal) and for the medial plantar nerve.

Appropriate measurements of skin temperature were made with a thermistor. Conduction velocities were corrected to 32°C by factors of 1.5 m/s/°C for proximal nerve segments and 2.2 m/s/°C for distal sensory nerve segments.

**Criteria for Diagnosis**

The tarsal tunnel syndrome was diagnosed if the distal motor latencies to abductor hallucis (medial plantar nerve) or to abductor digiti quinti (lateral plantar nerve) or both were prolonged beyond 2 standard
deviations (SD) from the normal mean for temperature and conduction distance. Abnormalities of muscle action potential amplitude and of medial plantar sensory conduction were considered supportive evidence for this diagnosis.

Sensory polyneuropathy was diagnosed if the temperature-corrected sensory conduction velocities were below the laboratory’s lower limit of normal (see above) or if the sensory action potential of the proximal segment of the sural nerve was below 6 μV.

Results

Clinical

Although all patients complained of pain in the feet, often of a burning character, only 3 gave a history of numbness or paraesthesiae. One of these patients had no clinical abnormality. The second had demonstrable sensory loss confined to an area over the base of the third toe on the left foot. The third had hyporesponsive ankle jerks.

Electrical

Four patients (3 males, 1 female) satisfied the criteria for the tarsal tunnel syndrome. Of these one showed slowing of the medial plantar nerve latency only, one slowing of the lateral plantar nerve latency only, and 2 slowing of both (Table 1). In addition all 4 had no demonstrable medial plantar sensory potential, which supports the diagnosis of tarsal tunnel syndrome (Table 2). There was no relationship to disease activity. The electrical abnormalities were not gross, with no motor latency delayed beyond 3 standard deviations from the mean. In none of the 30 patients were the amplitudes of either compound muscle action potential more than 2 standard deviations below the normal mean. In 3 of the 4 patients with tarsal tunnel syndrome the appropriate muscle action potentials were between 1 and 2 standard deviations below the normal mean, and were the lowest-amplitude potentials recorded in this study. In all 30 patients the motor conduction velocity of the posterior tibial nerve was within normal limits.

Two patients, both males, had evidence of peripheral sensory polyneuropathy. The abnormalities in these 2 patients were pathologically low sensory potentials in the proximal segment of the sural nerve (4 μV in both cases), absent medial plantar sensory potentials, and absent or abnormal distal sural sensory potentials. In both patients proximal sural and posterior tibial conduction velocities were within normal range in both cases), absent medial plantar sensory potentials, and absent or abnormal distal sural sensory potentials. In both patients proximal sural and posterior tibial conduction velocities were within normal range. Only one of these 2 patients had any clinical abnormality, described above as hyporesponsive ankle jerks. Apart from those patients with the tarsal tunnel syndrome and sensory polyneuropathy there were 3 others in whom a medial plantar sensory potential was unobtainable. These patients had no other electrical or clinical abnormality. Their ages were 59, 60, and 60 years. In this age range normal controls may not have a demonstrable medial plantar sensory potential.

A normal distal sural sensory potential could be obtained in 23 (77%) patients. In them it was a further indication that there was no significant sensory polyneuropathy. Two of the remaining 7 patients had proximal sural abnormalities as described above: in one no distal sural potential could be recorded; in the other the potential was of low amplitude (0.5 μV) and slow conduction velocity (34.4 m/s after temperature correction). The remaining 5 patients had no other evidence of disease. The distal sural sensory potential is often difficult to

Table 1 Motor latencies across tarsal tunnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Number of latencies obtained</th>
<th>Uncorrected latencies range (ms)</th>
<th>Temperature range (°C)</th>
<th>Corrected latencies &gt;2 SD from mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medial plantar</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.5-5.9</td>
<td>25-33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral plantar</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.4-7.5</td>
<td>24-33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Results of peripheral nerve conduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Number recorded</th>
<th>Amplitude μV</th>
<th>Uncorrected conduction velocity (ms)</th>
<th>Temperature corrected conduction velocity (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENSORY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial plantar</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.3-2.8</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sural (proximal segment)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4.0-41.0</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sural (distal segment)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5-6.2</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior tibial</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11·4x10^4</td>
<td>3.5x10^4 to 24.6x10^4</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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