Defective polymorphonuclear leucocyte chemotaxis in rheumatoid arthritis associated with a serum inhibitor
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SUMMARY Cellular and/or serum components of polymorphonuclear leucocyte chemotaxis were assessed in 21 patients with rheumatoid arthritis. No difference in the chemotactic migration of control and patient cells in response to a number of chemotactic solutions could be detected (P = 0.38). Deficient generation of chemotactic activity in patient sera (P = 0.58) as compared to control sera (P = 0.014) after incubation of the sera with Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide, resulted in a significant difference in the chemotactic activity of the control and rheumatoid serum preparations for polymorphonuclear leucocytes (P = 0.0012). This defect was associated with the presence of a serum inhibitor of chemotaxis, the potency of which was inversely correlated with the level of chemotactic activity generated in the rheumatoid sera (r = -0.941, P < 0.001).

Defective leucotaxis associated with serum chemotaxis inhibitors has recently been implicated in the altered immune responses and increased incidence of infection seen in a number of disease states (De Meo and Anderson, 1972; Smith et al., 1972; Van Epps et al., 1974; Ward and Berenberg, 1974; Van Epps et al., 1975; Maderazo et al., 1976; Ward et al., 1976). The demonstration of low levels of chemotactic factor inactivators in normal human serum suggests a regulatory role for these inhibitors in the inflammatory response.

Mowat and Baum (1971) reported decreased migration of the polymorphonuclear (PMN) leucocytes of 24 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). In a subsequent study of neutrophil function in 4 patients with RA Goetzl (1976) found no difference between control and patient cells with respect to random migration, directed migration, and ascorbate enhancement of random and directed migration.

In view of these conflicting results and the pathological and therapeutic potentials of naturally occurring regulators of inflammation a re-examination of PMN leucocyte chemotaxis in RA was undertaken. This study confirms an abnormality of chemotaxis in RA, which, however, is not intrinsic to the PMN leucocyte but is associated with a reduction in the generation of chemotactic activity and the presence of an inhibitor of chemotaxis in the sera of some patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

Materials and methods

Preparation of leucocytes

Heparinised blood (10 units heparin/ml) was mixed with 6% dextran (1 ml/5 ml blood) and the red cells allowed to sediment at 37°C for 30 minutes. The leucocyte-rich supernatant was centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes and the cell button washed twice and resuspended in minimal essential medium (MEM) with HEPES buffer (Gibco-Biocult) at pH 7.3. The suspension was then adjusted to a cell count of 2 × 10⁶ PMN leucocytes/ml.

Chemotactic assay

Chemotactic solutions were prepared from control and patient sera with or without LPS (lipopolysaccharide B, Escherichia coli 026: B6, Difco Laboratories) activation of the complement system. Except where otherwise indicated, 0.1 ml serum was mixed with 0.1 ml MEM or 0.1 ml LPS (100 μg/ml). These test solutions were incubated first at 37°C for 30 minutes, then at 56°C for 30 minutes and finally diluted to a total volume of 1.0 ml.
with MEM. A negative control of MEM alone was used to assess spontaneous migration of cells.

A modification of the Boyden method known as the raft technique was used for the measurement of chemotaxis (Addison and Babbage, 1976). Antibiotic assay discs (Whatman) were placed in the wells of a plastic migration plate (Sterilin) and soaked with 200 µl of the test chemotactic solutions. Filters of pore size 3 µm (Millipore) were placed on the discs and small chambers containing the cell suspensions were inverted on to the filters. After incubation in a moist box at 37°C for 75 minutes, the filters were stained and examined for the 'leading front' of cell migration using a microscope fitted with a micrometer on the fine adjustment (Zigmond and Hirsch, 1973).

In every experiment a control was tested in parallel with 1 or 2 patients. Each cell-chemotactic solution combination was tested in duplicate and each filter examined in 5 random fields. The net chemotactic migration of cells was calculated by subtracting the distance migrated towards MEM (spontaneous migration) from the total distance migrated towards each test chemotactic solution.

OTHER LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS
C3, C4, C9, and C-reactive protein were measured by single radial immunodiffusion using monospecific antisera and levels of circulating immune complexes by a solid phase technique employing Clq (Hay et al., 1976).

PATIENTS
Hospitalised and clinic patients with classical or definite rheumatoid arthritis and without evidence of infection were studied. The control group was drawn from healthy hospital personnel as well as from a non-rheumatoid patient population (cerebrovascular disease (2) and lumbar spondylosis and back pain (3) ). The initial chemotactic assays of cell and serum function were performed on 21 patients and 17 controls. Eleven additional patients and 7 controls were used in subsequent inhibition experiments.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Statistical analysis was carried out by the method of generalised least squares using the computer program GLIM. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used to determine correlations between variables.

Results

CELL FUNCTION
The PMN leucocytes of 15 patients and 11 controls were compared in their ability to migrate towards chemotactic solutions prepared from autologous and homologous sera. No difference between the control and patient cells could be demonstrated (P = 0.38) whether the chemotactic stimulus used was non-activated or activated control or patient serum (Fig. 1).

SERUM COMPONENT OF CHEMOTAXIS
The sera of 15 patients and 11 controls were tested for chemotactic attraction of control normal cells. The chemotactic activity of 10% non-activated patient serum was not significantly different from that of 10% non-activated control serum (P = 0.099). When LPS was added to the sera before use, the directed migration of the PMN leucocytes towards 10% normal serum was significantly greater than towards 10% rheumatoid serum (P = 0.0012) (Fig. 2). This difference was due to deficient generation of effective chemotactic activity in the patient sera. While incubating control serum with LPS significantly increased its chemotactic activity (P = 0.014), there was no difference in the chemotactic activity of control normal cells.

![Fig. 1 A comparison of the chemotactic migration of normal (●) and rheumatoid (○) PMN leucocytes in response to lipopolysaccharide-activated normal and patient sera. There was no difference in the migration of normal and patient cells (P = 0.38) whether the chemotactic stimulus was non-activated or activated 10% normal or patient serum.](http://ard.bmj.com/Ann Rheum Dis: first published as 10.1136/ard.39.1.68 on 1 February 1980. Downloaded from http://ard.bmj.com/ on March 26, 2022 by guest. Protected by copyright.)
Fig. 2 A comparison of the chemotactic response of normal PMN leucocytes to 10% non-activated normal sera (○), 10% non-activated rheumatoid sera (△), 10% activated normal sera (▲), and 10% activated rheumatoid sera (△). There was no difference between the chemotactic activities of (○) and (▲) (P=0·099) or (○) and (△) (P=0·58). There were significant differences between (○) and (▲) (P=0·014) and (▲) and (△) (P=0·0012).

Fig. 3 This figure illustrates the relationship between the chemotactic activities of non-activated (horizontal axis) and activated (vertical axis) sera for both normal (○) and rheumatoid (△) sera. Points lying above the diagonal represent sera in which chemotactic activity has been generated by the addition of lipopolysaccharide. Points below the diagonal are sera in which the chemotactic activity has been reduced following incubation with lipopolysaccharide. Results were not dependent on drug therapy: patients 1 and 2 were each receiving 10 mg prednisolone daily, patient 3 ibuprofen 1600 mg daily, and patient 4 was receiving no drugs.
with the activated control serum was calculated according to the formula:

\[
\text{distance migrated towards mixed activated sera} \times 100
\]

\[
1 - \frac{\text{distance migrated towards 10\% activated control serum}}{\text{distance migrated towards normal serum}}
\]

Five of the patient sera showed an increase in chemotactic activity after incubation with LPS. Three of the activated patient sera manifested no or minimal inhibition of the control (−7 to 12%). The remaining 8 activated patient sera reduced the chemotactic activity of 10% activated control serum by 27−55%. The generated chemotactic activity of the rheumatoid sera showed a strong inverse correlation with the amount of inhibition of activated control serum (r=0.941, P<0.001, Fig. 4).

An identical experiment was performed on serum from 7 normal donors. None of these sera were decreased in chemotactic activity by the addition of LPS and none inhibited the activated control serum.

In order to search for an inhibitor in non-activated patient sera, control serum was incubated with zymosan (1 mg/ml) at 37°C for 30 minutes, centrifuged, and decanted for use in the assay. Mixtures containing final concentrations of 10% activated control serum and 10% non-activated test serum were prepared for 3 patients and 3 normal persons. All 3 normal sera and one patient serum (which had previously shown normal generation of chemotactic activity with LPS) augmented the chemotactic activity of the activated control serum by more than 20%. The other 2 rheumatoid sera (both inhibitory in the activated form) did not augment the chemotactic activity of the activated control serum. This result is consistent with the presence of an inhibitor in these non-activated sera, since adding non-activated serum usually leads to an increase in chemotaxis.

**CHEMOTAXIS AND DISEASE ACTIVITY**

Estimations of disease activity were done by measurement of CRP (McConkey, _et al._ 1972) and C9, thought to be an acute-phase reactant (Adinolfi and Lehner, 1976) and found to be elevated 2−4 fold in RA (Ruddy _et al._, 1971). These two laboratory parameters seemed to be of equal value in our study, since CRP level was strongly correlated with C9 (r=0.936, P<0.001). No relationship could be found between level of CRP or C9 and the chemotactic or inhibitory activity of the patient sera. All 11 patients in the inhibition experiment had normal C3 and C4 levels. There was no correlation between C3, C4, or level of circulating immune complexes and chemotactic activity or inhibition.

Analysis of patients’ records showed that no patient had concurrent infection at the time of the study. Similarly, disease activity as judged clinically by the Ritchie index (Ritchie _et al._, 1968) and the presence of nodules and vasculitis was not related to the ability of the patients’ sera to support or to inhibit chemotaxis. These clinical observations are consistent with the laboratory results already reported which were also unrelated to defective chemotaxis.

Since drugs which are used for the treatment of RA may inhibit neutrophil chemotaxis (Ward, 1966), it is important to consider their role in the observed effects. Two observations are pertinent. First, both the rheumatoid patients and many of the control subjects, who were patients attending the Rheumatology Department for inflammatory musculoskeletal disorders were taking analgesic anti-inflammatory drugs such as indomethacin, aspirin, and ketoprofen. Secondly, the medication of individual patients bore no relationship to outcome in the chemotactic experiments. Thus, in Fig. 3, patients 1 and 2 were taking prednisolone (10 mg daily), but the non-activated serum of both patients showed good chemotactic activity. After activation only the serum from patient 1 generated good chemotactic ability. Furthermore, the sera of patient 3, who was receiving 1600 mg ibuprofen, and of patient 4, who was receiving no drugs at all, supported chemotaxis extremely poorly whether they were activated or not. Treatment with ‘second-line’ drugs such as D-penicillamine or sodium aurothiomalate, also did not influence the generation of serum chemotactic activity.
activity. Similarly, generation of chemotactic-inhibiting activity following endotoxin-activation of patients’ sera was not related to medication.

Discussion

The mean chemotactic migration of PMN leucocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis did not differ significantly from the chemotactic migration of control cells in this study. The finding of normal migration by patient cells in this study is in apparent disagreement with the results of Mowat and Baum (1971) but agrees with those of Goetzl (1976). They described decreased chemotactic migration of the PMN leucocytes of 24 patients with adult onset of RA as compared to the cells of 24 controls using casein to the chemotactic stimulus. The difference may be partly accounted for by technical factors. First, the cell suspensions used in their experiments were prepared by 1:1 dilution of the leucocyte-rich plasma with buffer (Mowat and Baum, 1971; Baum et al., 1971). No washing of the cells was done in the interest of viability. Hence, the observed deficiency in cell motility may have been due to a plasma inhibitor of chemotaxis rather than to an intrinsic cell defect. It is of interest to note that these authors have also implicated a serum factor in the deficient chemotaxis of RA by demonstrating decreased chemotactic migration by normal cells which had been incubated in rheumatoid serum. Secondly, the discrepancies may be partly accounted for by the different method used in the quantitation of chemotaxis. Mowat and Baum used the lower filter surface count method, which may be more liable to errors than the leading front method used by ourselves (Wilkinson, 1974). Thirdly, the rate of neutrophil migration is dependent on the cell concentration placed on the upper filter (Zigmund and Hirsch, 1973), but Mowat and Baum did not control for this. It seems unlikely that the different chemotactic factor generating materials used (casein by them and LPS by ourselves) can account for the different results obtained, as these substances have been shown to produce comparable results (Baum, 1975).

Activation of the complement system with E. coli lipopolysaccharide (LPS) resulted in deficient generation of chemotactic activity in nearly half (15/32) of the patient sera tested. This defect in chemotactic activity was associated with the presence of an inhibitor of chemotaxis, the potency of which was inversely related to the level of chemotactic activity generated in the patient sera. This serum defect has been reported by others (Mowat and Baum, 1971; Leimgruber et al., 1975), but its relationship to the presence of an inhibitor of chemotaxis has not been noted previously. There was no correlation between chemotactic activity or inhibition and disease activity as reflected in the measurement of acute phase reactants (c-reactive protein, C9, erythrocyte sedimentation rate) or the Ritchie index. This has been the experience of others in RA (Mowat and Baum, 1971) and in systemic lupus erythematosus (Clark et al., 1974). Leimgruber and his colleagues (1975) found that deficient chemotaxis was particularly noted during acute phases of the disease. In sequential studies of chemotaxis and anergy in acute systemic illnesses clinical improvement was accompanied by disappearance of inhibitor from the serum and return of skin reactivity (Van Epps et al., 1974).

The relationship between chemotactic sensitivity and disease activity in a chronic relapsing illness such as RA may be more difficult to determine. Similarly, there was no correlation between chemotactic activity or inhibition and plasma concentration of C3, C4, or the presence of soluble immune complexes. Similar conclusions for C3 and C4 have been reported previously (Leimgruber et al., 1975). The low C4 detected in 1 patient should not have affected alternate pathway activation of complement-derived chemotactic factors. Other workers have reported decreased generation of chemotactic activity in the sera of 10 of 23 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus following incubation with immune complexes or E. coli lipopolysaccharide (Clark et al., 1974). Again, no relationship was found with serum C3 or C4 levels.

The hypothesis that decreased chemotactic migration of PMN leucocytes in RA results from prior ingestion of immune complexes (Mowat and Baum, 1971; Roberts-Thomson et al., 1976) is not supported by this study. There was no defect in the migration of patient cells, which had been carefully washed before use in the assay. Furthermore no correlation was found between generated chemotactic activity or inhibitory activity of rheumatoid serum and level of immune complexes measured by a solid phase technique employing C1q. Roberts-Thomson et al. (1976) demonstrated a correlation between their chemotactic index and the monomer:dimer ratio of IgG as assayed by sepharose 6B column chromatography at the 5% level of significance. However, these same authors found no significant correlation between the chemotactic index and level of immune complexes as measured by inhibition of K cell cytotoxicity.

The question of drug therapy is a vexatious one. Analysis of patients’ records showed that poor generation of chemotactic activity was not related to it. Furthermore, any drugs present in the sera would not alter in concentration after activation by LPS. Yet generation of chemotactic inhibitor
was especially noticeable after endotoxin activation of rheumatoid sera. It thus seems unlikely that effects observed here are due to drug therapy.

The ability of activated patient sera to decrease the response of cells to chemotactic factors derived from normal human serum is evidence for an inhibitory activity in these sera. The strong inverse correlation between this inhibition and the chemotactic activity generated in RA sera suggests that the deficiency in chemotactic activity is due not to a lack of chemotactic activity by the inhibitor. Rather it is probably due to counteraction of chemotactic activity by the inhibitor.

The incubation of some patient sera with LPS results in a reversal of chemotactic activity as compared with the non-activated patient sera, implying generation or unmasking of inhibitor. This could be explained by blocking of an inhibitor antagonist by LPS or by a complement fragment. The idea of an inhibitor suppressor is not new. Maderazo et al. (1975) have reported both a cell-directed inhibitor and its antagonist in normal human serum. The existence of a heat-labile antagonist of chemotactic inhibitor has been postulated by Van Epps and Williams (1976) to explain the penetration of the inhibitory activity present in serum after heating to 56°C in acute systemic illnesses.

Serum inhibitors of PMN leucocyte chemotaxis may act indirectly by affecting chemotactic factors or directly by inhibiting the cells. The chemotactic factor inactivator (CFT) found in small quantities in normal human serum (Berenberg and Ward, 1973) is composed of two fractions (Till and Ward, 1976) which directly and irreversibly inhibit bacterial and complement-derived chemotactic factors through an aminopeptidase action (Ward and Ozols, 1976). CFT is heat-labile at 56°C and has been found in increased levels in the serum of patients with Hodgkin's disease (Ward and Bereng, 1974), sarcoidosis (Maderazo et al., 1976), and lepromatous leprosy (Ward et al., 1976). Cell-directed inhibitors of chemotaxis have been found in normal human serum (Maderazo et al., 1975), acute systemic illness associated with anergy (Van Epps et al., 1974; Van Epps and Williams 1976), alcoholic liver disease (Van Epps et al., 1975), and in a child with a recurrent infections (Smith et al., 1972). The inhibitors reported in all these studies were heat-stable at 56°C. While the data presented here do not indicate the site of action of the inhibitory activity in RA serum, the heat stability of the inhibitor suggests it may be identical to one of the reported cell-directed inhibitors.

This is the first description of a chemotactic inhibitor in RA. It is present in some native rheumatoid sera but it may be generated in others by activation of serum with LPS. In those sera with pre-existing inhibitory activity its magnitude is increased by activation. Work is currently in progress which investigates the activity of this inhibitor against other chemotactic stimuli such as casein, bacterial chemotactic factor, and N-formyl methionyl peptides. Attempts are being made to characterise the nature of the inhibitor(s) in RA sera and the site of action (i.e., whether they act on the migrating neutrophil or chemotactic factor itself).
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