Correspondence on 'Efficacy and safety of brodalumab, an anti-IL17RA monoclonal antibody, in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: 16-week results from a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial'

We read with great interest the article 'Efficacy and safety of brodalumab, an anti-IL17RA monoclonal antibody, in patients with axial spondyloar-thritis: 16-week results from a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial' by Wei *et al.*¹ As the first multicentre randomised controlled trial in patients with axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) of brodalumab, it makes a remarkable contribution to treatment of this novel interleukin-17 (IL-17) inhibitor in two disease subtypes, which shows a great remission rate and short-term safety. However, there are some aspects that need to be discussed.

First, regarding the study design, it was mentioned that the dose of 210 mg is based on the therapeutic dose of psoriasis. However, in clinical trials of psoriasis, the drug dose is usually 210 mg every 2 weeks from the beginning to the end, ² instead of once in the first week and the second week, also known as load treatment, the latter has been administered in this study. The rapid ASAS 40/20 response at as early as week 2 in this study might be due to the load treatment at baseline.

Second, for the safety aspects, in the past two phase III clinical trials of brodalumab in patients with plaque psoriasis, one case of depression³ and one case of suicide attempt⁴ were reported, which also led to the termination of both studies. Therefore, it is a breakthrough to add Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale and Patient Health Questionnaire-8 in this study to comprehensively assess the suicidal tendency and depression of patients with axSpA. So far, there is no evidence whether depression or suicidal tendency is caused by brodalumab. In this study, including depression in the exclusion criteria will miss the chance of probing whether these two conditions and brodalumab are indeed related.

Third, there are inconsistent results among clinical trials of different IL-17 inhibitors. Liver injury cases can be seen in the long-term safety studies of ixekizumab⁵ and brodalumab, but not in the long-term safety studies of secukinumab. Studies have shown that IL-17 blockade can protect from liver injury, whereas its administration increases liver damage in mouse models. Furthermore, in this article, there were four (5%) treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE) cases of inflammatory bowel disease in the brodalumab treatment group, which were not seen in previous studies of brodalumab. We suggest that authors discuss on both TEAEs, and more studies of underlying mechanism are required.

Finally, although the ASAS 40 response at week 16 observed in this article is similar to the result of previous clinical trials of secukinumab⁸ and ixekizumab, ¹¹ as the author mentioned in the limitation, the number of participants should generally be greater than 300^{5 6 12} to provide more credible evidence. We look forward to large-scale trials involving more regions and races, and head-to-head trials among brodalumab and other IL-17 blockers in the future.

We appreciate the work of Wei *et al* for proving the effectiveness and safety of brodalumab in patients with axSpA. We believe this comprehensive study will give clinicians one more choice when giving treatment options to patients with axSpA in the future.

Yan Zhao (1), 1 Jin-Xian Huang (1) 2

¹Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China

²Rheumatology Department, The University of Hong Kong – Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Correspondence to Professor Jin-Xian Huang, University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, China; huangjx@hku-szh.org

Contributors YZ set the conceptual design and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. J-XH revised the draft of the manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Zhao Y, Huang J-X. Ann Rheum Dis 2023;82:e168.

Received 11 May 2021 Accepted 14 May 2021 Published Online First 25 June 2021



► http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220788

Ann Rheum Dis 2023;82:e168. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220759

ORCID iDs

Yan Zhao http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4529-1206 Jin-Xian Huang http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5073-1205

REFERENCES

- 1 Wei JC-C, Kim T-H, Kishimoto M, et al. Efficacy and safety of brodalumab, an anti-IL17RA monoclonal antibody, in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: 16-week results from a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2021:80:1014–21.
- 2 Mease PJ, Helliwell PS, Hjuler KF, et al. Brodalumab in psoriatic arthritis: results from the randomised phase III AMVISION-1 and AMVISION-2 trials. Ann Rheum Dis 2021:80:185–93.
- 3 Bausch Health Americas, Inc. "A Phase 3 Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Induction and Maintenance Regimens of Brodalumab Compared With Placebo and Ustekinumab in Subjects With Moderate to Severe Plaque Psoriasis: AMAGINE-3," clinicaltrials.gov, Clinical trial registration study/NCT01708629, 2019. Available: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01708629 [Accessed May 06, 2021].
- 4 Bausch Health Americas, Inc. "A Phase 3 Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Induction and Maintenance Regimens of Brodalumab Compared With Placebo and Ustekinumab in Subjects With Moderate to Severe Plaque Psoriasis: AMAGINE-2," clinicaltrials.gov, Clinical trial registration results/NCT01708603, 2020. Available: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT01708603 [Accessed May 06, 2021].
- 5 Deodhar A, van der Heijde D, Gensler LS, et al. Ixekizumab for patients with nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis (COAST-X): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet 2020;395:53–64.
- 6 Dougados M, Wei JC-C, Landewé R, et al. Efficacy and safety of ixekizumab through 52 weeks in two phase 3, randomised, controlled clinical trials in patients with active radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (COAST-V and COAST-W). Ann Rheum Dis 2020:79:176–85.
- 7 Yamaguchi Y, Takatsu N, Ootaki K, *et al.* Long-term safety of brodalumab in Japanese patients with plaque psoriasis: an open-label extension study. *J Dermatol* 2020:47:569–77
- 8 Tseng J-C, Wei JC-C, Deodhar A, et al. Secukinumab demonstrates sustained efficacy and safety in a Taiwanese subpopulation with active ankylosing spondylitis: four-year results from a phase 3 study, measure 1. Front Immunol 2020;11:561748.
- 9 Marzo-Ortega H, Sieper J, Kivitz A, et al. Secukinumab and sustained improvement in signs and symptoms of patients with active ankylosing spondylitis through two years: results from a phase III study. Arthritis Care Res 2017;69:1020–9.
- 10 Ruiz de Morales JMG, Puig L, Daudén E, et al. Critical role of interleukin (IL)-17 in inflammatory and immune disorders: An updated review of the evidence focusing in controversies. Autoimmun Rev 2020;19:102429.
- 11 van der Heijde D, Cheng-Chung Wei J, Dougados M. Ixekizumab, an interleukin-17A antagonist in the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis or radiographic axial spondyloarthritis in patients previously untreated with biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (COAST-V): 16 week results of a phase 3 randomised, double-blind, active-controlled and placebo-controlled trial, Lancet Lond. Engl 2018:392:2441–51.
- 12 Deodhar A, Blanco R, Dokoupilová E, et al. Improvement of signs and symptoms of Nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis in patients treated with Secukinumab: primary results of a randomized, placebo-controlled phase III study. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021;73:110–20.

