REFERENCES:

- [1] Levinson W, Kallewaard M, Bhatia RS, Wolfson D, Shortt S, Kerr EA. 'Choosing Wisely': a growing international campaign. BMJ Qual Saf. 2015;24(2):167-74.
- Abeles AM. Abeles M. The clinical utility of a positive antinuclear antibody test result. The American journal of medicine. 2013;126(4):342-8.

Disclosure of Interests: Ai Li Yeo: None declared, Jason Ong: None declared, Kathryn Connelly: None declared, Suong Le: None declared, Ronnie Ptasznik: None declared, Jane Ross: None declared, Eric F. Morand Grant/research support from: AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Janssen, Merck Serono, and UCB, Consultant for: AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Janssen, and Merck Serono, Speakers bureau: AstraZeneca. Michelle Leech: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.4517

OP0021

PREDICTING SEVERE INFECTION IN REPEAT CYCLES OF RITUXIMAB AND EFFECTS OF HYPOGAMMAGLOBULINAEMIA FOR THE TREATMENT OF RHEUMATIC AND MUSCULOSKELETAL DISEASES

Md Yuzaiful Md Yusof^{1,2}, Edward Vital^{1,2}, Damien M. Mcelvenny³, Elizabeth Hensor^{1,2}, Sudipto Das^{1,2}, Shouvik Dass¹, Andy C. Rawstron⁴, Maya Buch^{1,2}, Paul Emery^{1,2}, Sinisa Savic^{1,2}. ¹University of Leeds, Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, Leeds, United Kingdom; ²Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, United Kingdom; ³University of Manchester, Institute of Population Health, Manchester, United Kingdom; ⁴Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Haematological Malignancy Diagnostic Service, Leeds, United Kingdom

Background: Rituximab (RTX) is effective in treating various rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs). Repeat cycles are often required for disease control but may lead to hypogammaglobulinaemia. Low IgG at baseline has been associated with increased risk of severe infection event (SIE) post-RTX. However, there are limited data on predictors of SIEs in repeat cycles including immunoglolevels and B-cell numbers as well as outcomes hypogammaglobulinaemia.

Objectives: To assess predictors of SIEs in repeat RTX cycles and effects of hypogammaglobulinaemia in terms of SIEs rates, humoral response and its persistence post-cessation of RTX.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted in the first 700 consecutive ARD patients treated with at least a cycle of RTX in Leeds. IgM, IgA and IgG levels were measured at baseline and 4-6 months after each cycle. For cycles 2-4 (C2-4), predictors for SIEs were analysed using mixed-effects logistic regression analysis.

Results: 550 patients were female, mean(SD) age 56(16) years and median (IQR) disease duration 7.9(3.4-15.0) years. 507(72%) had RA, 94(13%) SLE, 49 (7%) AAV, 14(2%) inflammatory myopathies, 9(1%) pSS, 5(1%) APS, 6(1%) SSc and 16(3%) other CTDs. 364(52%) were biologic-naïve and 514(73%) were on concomitant DMARDs. Total follow-up: 2880 patient-years (PY). 281 SIEs were recorded in 176 patients (9.8/100 PY). In C1, we had validated that low IgG was predictive of SIE within 12 months of C1. For cycles 2-4, in multivariable analysis, non-RTX-specific comorbidities [chronic lung OR (95% CI) 2.4 (1.3-4.4), diabetes 2.9 (1.2-6.9), heart failure 6.3 (1.4-28.1), previous cancer 3.0 (1.3-6.7) and severe infection 6.3 (3.0-13.4)] and RTX-specific variables [higher corticosteroid dose 1.08 (1.02-1.14), higher IgM 1.3 (1-1.7) and longer retreatment time 1.01 (1-1.02)] were associated with increased odds of SIEs, but not B-cell numbers or depletion status. Higher IgG reduced the risk 0.88 (0.8-0.96). Of 103 patients with low IgG for at least 4 months duration, SIEs rates were higher in those with low baseline IgG (16.4 PY) or acquired it during/post-RTX (21.3 PY) versus those with normal IgG (9.7 PY), 5/8(64%) had impaired humoral response to pneumococcal and haemophilus following vaccination challenge and only 4/11(36%) had IgG normalised after switching therapies. Overall, 7(1%) of the patients required Ig replacement based on recurrent sino-pulmonary SIEs and/or low IgG.

Conclusion: Immunoglobulin should be monitored at baseline and before each RTX cycle to identify patients at risk of SIEs. Vigilance is needed for those with lower IgG as this is a consistent predictor of SIE and may affect infection outcomes when patients are switched to a different bDMARD. For those at risk of SIEs, reduction of corticosteroid dose could reduce risk. Low B-cell numbers were not predictive of SIEs.

Acknowledgement: This research was supported by Octapharma and NIHR (DRF-2014-07-155). The views expressed are those of the author(s) & not necessarily the NHS, NIHR or DOH.

Disclosure of Interests: Md Yuzaiful Md Yusof: None declared, Edward Vital Grant/research support from: He has received honoraria and research grant support from Roche, GSK and AstraZeneca., Damien M McElvenny: None declared, Elizabeth Hensor: None declared, Sudipto Das: None declared, Shouvik Dass Grant/research support from: Roche and GSK,

Andy C Rawstron: None declared, Maya Buch Grant/research support from: Pfizer LTD, UCB, Consultant for: AbbVie, Eli Lilly, EMD Serono, Pfizer Ltd., Sanofi, Paul Emery Grant/research support from: Pfizer, MSD, AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Consultant for: Pfizer, MSD, AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, UCB, Roche, Novartis, Gilead, Samsung, Sandoz and Lilly, Sinisa Savic Grant/research support from: Novartis and Sobi DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7573

OP0022

DO MRI-DETECTED EROSIONS IN PATIENTS WITH CLINICALLY SUSPECT ARTHRALGIA PREDICT PROGRESSION TO RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS? A LONGITUDINAL STUDY

<u>Fenne Wouters</u>¹, Xanthe Matthijssen¹, Debbie Boeters¹, Robin Ten Brinck¹, Annette van der Helm - van Mil^{1,2}, Ellis Niemantsverdriet¹. ¹Leiden University Medical Centre, Rheumatology, Leiden, Netherlands; ²Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rheumatology, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Background: Radiographic joint erosions are a hallmark of Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA). MRI is more sensitive than radiographs in detecting erosions. It is unknown if MRI-detected erosions are predictive for RA-development in patients with Clinically Suspect Arthralgia (CSA).

Objectives: We investigated the prognostic value of MRI-detected erosions (any MRI-erosion, or MRI-erosion characteristics that were recently identified as specific for BA) in CSA

Methods: Patients presenting with CSA (n=491) underwent contrast-enhanced 1.5T MRI of the wrist, metacarpophalangeal (MCP) and metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joints at baseline. MRIs were scored according to RAMRIS. Presence of any MRI-erosion (erosion score ≥1) and RA-specific erosion characteristics as identified previously (grade ≥2 erosions, erosions in MTP5, erosions in MTP1 if aged <40) were studied with clinically apparent inflammatory arthritis development as outcome (median follow-up 17 months). Analyses were corrected for age, CRP. ACPA and MRI-detected inflammation.

Results: Erosions were present in 20.6% of patients. Presence of erosions was not associated with arthritis development (HR multivariable analysis 0.85 (95% CI 0.52-1.40)). Also the different erosion characteristics were not predictive in CSApatients (grade \geq 2 HR 1.29 (95% CI 0.40-4.14), erosions in MTP5 HR 0.89 (95% CI 0.38-2.09) and MTP1 if aged <40 HR 0.98 (95% CI 0.23-4.21)). MRI-erosions were more prevalent in ACPA-positive than in ACPA-negative patients (32.3% versus 18.8%, p=0.02). However, no association with arthritis development was observed in both subgroups.

Conclusion: MRI-detected erosions in hands and feet of patients with CSA were not predictive for arthritis development. These data warn against overinterpretation of MRI-detected erosions in CSA.

Disclosure of Interests: Fenne Wouters: None declared, Xanthe Matthijssen: None declared, Debbie Boeters: None declared, Robin ten Brinck: None declared, Annette van der Helm - van Mil Grant/research support from: The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Starting grant, agreement No 714312) and from the Dutch Arthritis

The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of the study., Ellis Niemantsverdriet: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.2991

LB0001

EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF FILGOTINIB FOR PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS WITH **INADEQUATE RESPONSE TO METHOTREXATE:** FINCH1 PRIMARY OUTCOME RESULTS

Bernard Combe¹, Alan Kivitz², Yoshiya Tanaka³, Désirée van der Heijde⁴ Franziska Matzkies⁵, Beatrix Bartok⁵, Lei Ye⁵, Ying Guo⁵, Chantal Tasset⁶, John Sundy⁵, Neelufar Mozaffarian⁵, Robert B.M. Landewé⁷, Sang-Cheol Bae⁸, Edward C. Keystone^{9,10}, Peter Nash¹¹. ¹CHU Montpellier, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France; ²Altoona Center for Clinical Research, Duncansville, United States of America; ³University of Occupational and Environmental Health Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan; ⁴Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands; ⁵Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, United States of America; ⁶Galapagos NV, Mechelen, Belgium; ⁷Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ⁸Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Seoul, Korea, Rep. of (South Korea); 9Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada; 10University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; 11 University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia

Background: Filgotinib (FIL) is an orally administered, potent and selective inhibitor of Janus kinase 1 (JAK1) that has shown good efficacy and was well tolerated for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Objectives: To evaluate efficacy and safety of FIL treatment in patients with RA who have had an inadequate response to methotrexate (MTX).

Methods: This phase 3, double-blind, active- and placebo (PBO)-controlled study randomized patients with active RA (3:3:2:3) to FIL 200 mg, FIL 100 mg, active comparator (adalimumab [ADA] 40mg every 2 weeks), or PBO daily for up to 52 weeks; results through week 24 are presented. Patients were also receiving MTX for ≥12 weeks with a stable dose of MTX for ≥4 weeks before initiation of study drug. Primary efficacy endpoint was proportion of patients achieving ACR20 response at week 12; additional clinical assessments were ACR50 and ACR70 responses, DAS28-CRP score ≤3.2 and <2.6, van der Heijde modified total Sharp score (mTSS), and patient-reported outcomes were HAQ-DI, SF-36 PCS, and FACIT-Fatigue. Safety endpoints included types and rates of adverse events. Logistic regression adjusting for stratification factors with nonresponder imputation was used for superiority test of FIL vs PBO for ACR response and other binary endpoints. Mixed-effect model adjusting for baseline value, stratification factors, treatment, visit, and treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects with observed cases was used for continuous endpoints. Non-inferiority test of FIL to ADA (preserving >50% of ADA response) was performed for DAS28-CRP ≤3.2 and <2.6. Results: Of 1,759 patients randomized, 1,755 received study drug and were analyzed, with 475 FIL 200mg; 480 FIL 100mg; 325 ADA; and 475 PBO, of which 89.5%, 90.4%, 88.9%, and 81.3%, respectively, completed week 24 study drug. Most patients (81.8%) were female, mean (standard deviation [SD]) duration of RA was 7.8 (7.6) years, and mean (SD) DAS28-CRP was 5.7 (0.9). At week 12, significantly more patients in the FIL 200mg and 100mg arms achieved an ACR20 response compared to PBO (Table 1). Similarly, compared to PBO, more patients receiving FIL achieved ACR50 and ACR70 responses, DAS28-CRP scores ≤3.2 and <2.6, had lower radiographic progression, and reported improvements in HAQ-DI, SF-36 PCS, and FACIT-Fatigue scores (Table 1). Non-inferiority of FIL 200mg to ADA was met based on DAS28-CRP <3.2. The FIL safety profile was consistent with prior studies through week 24 (Table 2).

	FIL 200 mg (N = 475)		FIL 100 mg (N = 480)		ADA 40 mg Q2W (N = 325)		PBO (N = 475)	
	Week 12	Week 24	Week 12	Week 24	Week 12	Week 24	Week 12	Week 24
ACR20, %	76.6***	78.1	69.8***	77.7	70.8	74.5	49.9	59.2
ACR50, %	47.2***	57.9	36.3****	52.7	35.1	52.6	19.8	33.3
ACR70, %	26.3****	36.2	18.5***	29.4	14.2	29.5	6.7	14.9
DAS28-CRP ≤ 3.2, %	49.7***\$	60.6	38.8***	53.1	43.4	50.5	23.4	33.7
DAS28-CRP < 2.6, %	33.9****	48.4	23.8***g#	35.2	23.7	35.7	9.3	16.2
mTSS, mean change from BL	0.08	0.13***	0.11	0.17***	0.13	0.16	0.25	0.38
HAQ-DI, mean change from BL	-0.69***	-0.82	-0.56***	-0.75	-0.61	-0.78	-0.42	-0.62
SF-36 PCS, mean change from BL	9.2***	10.4	8.5***	10.3	8.4	10.4	5.8	7.7
FACE Fallows								

ange from BL 9.2**** 10.5 9.1**** 10.8 8.8 10.3 6.8 8.4
mized and received at least 1 dose of study drug were included in efficacy analyses. P-values are shown only for primary time points (all at week 12

Table 2. Safety Events of Interest through Week 24

		ADA 40 mg				
	FIL 200 mg	FIL 100 mg	Q2W	PBO		
Patient with event, n (%)	(N = 475)	(N = 480)	(N = 325)	(N = 475)		
Serious AEs	21 (4.4)	24 (5.0)	14 (4.3)	20 (4.2)		
Serious infections	8 (1.7)	8 (1.7)	8 (2.5)	4 (0.8)		
Herpes zoster	2 (0.4)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.6)	2 (0.4)		
Adjudicated MACEs	0	1 (0.2)	1 (0.3)	2 (0.4)		
Venous thrombotic events	1 (0.2)	0	0	2 (0.4)		
Malignancies	0	1 (0.2)	1 (0.3)	3 (0.6)		
Deaths	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	0	2 (0.4)		

AE, adverse event; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event

Conclusions: The selective JAK1 inhibitor FIL, at doses of 200mg and 100mg led to significant improvement in signs and symptoms of RA, prevented radiographic progression, and improved physical function compared to PBO, and was well tolerated among patients with RA with prior inadequate response to MTX. Efficacy of FIL 200mg was non-inferior to ADA based on DAS28-CRP ≤3.2.

Disclosure of Interests: Bernard Combe Consultant for: Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Janssen, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche-Chugai, Sanofi, UCB, Alan Kivitz Shareholder of: Novartis, Consultant for: Abbvie, Janssen, Pfizer, UCB, Genzyme, Sanofi, Regeneron, Boehringer Ingelheim, Sun Pharma Advanced Research, Flexion., Paid instructor for: Celgene, Horizon, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Genzyme, Sanofi, Regeneron, Speakers bureau: Celgene, Horizon, Merck and Genetech, Flexion, Yoshiya Tanaka Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Astellas, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eisai, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, MSD, Ono, Taisho-Toyama, Takeda, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Asahikasei, Astellas, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Eisai, Glaxo-Smithkline, Janssen, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Novartis, Pfizer Japan Inc, Sanofi, Takeda, UCB, YL Biologics, Désirée van der Heijde Consultant for: Abb-Vie, Amgen, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Daiichi, Eli-Lilly, Galapagos, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, Union Chimique Belge,

Franziska Matzkies Shareholder of: Gilead, Employee of: Gilead, Beatrix Bartok Shareholder of: Gilead, Employee of: Gilead, Lei Ye Shareholder of: Gilead, Employee of: Gilead, Ying Guo Shareholder of: Gilead, Employee of: Gilead, Chantal Tasset Shareholder of: Warrants from Galapagos, Employee of: Galapagos, John Sundy Shareholder of: Gilead Sciences, Inc., Employee of: Gilead Sciences, Inc., Neelufar Mozaffarian Shareholder of: Gilead, Employee of: Gilead (former employee), Robert B.M. Landewé: None declared, Sang-Cheol Bae: None declared, Edward C. Keystone Grant/research support from: AbbVie, Amgen, Gilead Sciences, Lilly Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, PuraPharm, Sanofi, Consultant for: AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca Pharma, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celltrion, Crescendo Bioscience, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Inc, Genentech Inc, Gilead, Janssen Inc, Lilly Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, Sandoz, Sanofi-Genzyme, Samsung Bioepsis, Speakers bureau: Amgen, AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Inc., Janssen Inc., Merck, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi Genzyme, UCB, Peter Nash Grant/research support from: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche, Sanofi, UCB, MSD, Celgene, Gilead, Consultant for: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche, Sanofi, UCB, MSD, Celgene, Gilead, Speakers bureau: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche, Sanofi, UCB, MSD, Celgene Gilead

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.8676

LB0002

RANDOMISED, DOUBLE-BLIND, PLACEBO-CONTROLLED, MULTIPLE-DOSE, PHASE 2B STUDY TO DEMONSTRATE THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF TILDRAKIZUMAB, A HIGH-AFFINITY ANTI-INTERLEUKIN-23P19 MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY, IN PATIENTS WITH ACTIVE PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS

Philip J Mease¹, Saima Chohan², Ferran J García Fructuoso³, Richard C Chou⁴, Kristine E Nograles⁵, Alan M Mendelsohn⁵, Michael E Luggen^{6,7}. ¹Swedish Medical Center/Providence St. Joseph Health and University of Washington, Seattle, United States of America; ²Arizona Arthritis & Rheumatology Research, PLLC, Arizona, United States of America; ³Hospital CIMA Sanitas, Barcelona, Spain; ⁴University at Buffalo School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Buffalo, United States of America; ⁵Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc., Princeton, United States of America; 6 Cincinnati Rheumatic Disease Study Group, Inc., Cincinnati, United States of America; ⁷University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, United States of America

Background: Tildrakizumab (TIL), a high-affinity anti-interleukin-23p19 monoclonal antibody, is approved for moderate-to-severe plague psoriasis treatment and is under investigation for psoriatic arthritis (PsA).

Objectives: To evaluate the 24-week efficacy and safety results from the randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multiple-dose, phase 2b TIL study in patients with active PsA (NCT02980692)

Methods: Patients with active PsA were randomised 1:1:1:1:1 to receive TIL (200 mg once every 4 weeks [Q4W] [n = 78], 200 mg every 12 weeks [Q12W] [n = 79], 100 mg Q12W [n = 77], 20 mg Q12W to week 24 [n = 78]), or placebo (PBO) Q4W to week 24 (n = 79). Stable concomitant methotrexate or leflunomide use was permitted but not mandated. The primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of patients who achieved a 20% reduction from baseline in American College of Rheumatology response criteria (ACR20) at week 24. Other outcome measurements included proportion of patients achieving ACR50/70 response and Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) 75, PASI 90, and changes in swollen and

Table 1. Demographics and baseline disease characteristics

	TIL 200 mg Q4W (N = 78)	TIL 200 mg Q12W (N = 79)	TIL 100 mg Q12W (N = 77)	TIL 20 mg Q12W (N = 78)	PBO (N = 79)
Patient demographics		, ,			
Age, years, median	50.0	49.0	50.0	47.5	47.0
Female, n (%)	46 (59.0)	37 (46.8)	47 (61.0)	41 (52.6)	44 (55.7)
White, n (%)	76 (97.4)	78 (98.7)	75 (97.4)	75 (96.2)	74 (93.7)
BMI, kg/m², median	30.0	29.3	27.8	28.9	27.8
Baseline disease charac	teristics				
Swollen joint count,					
median (range)	8.0 (3.0-35)	7.0 (3.0-45)	8.0 (0-38)	8.0 (3.0-38)	8.0 (3.0-42)
Tender joint count,					
median (range)	13.5 (3.0-64)	15.0 (4.0-63)	19.0 (3.0-59)	14.0 (4.0-54)	15.0 (3.0-64)
BSA ≥3%, n (%)	53 (67.9)	44 (55.7)	54 (70.1)	41 (52.6)	42 (53.2)
Physician GADA, mean					
± SD	54.0 ± 16.1	55.4 ± 16.2	57.3 ± 17.3	59.4 ± 14.4	59.5 ± 15.6
Patient GADA, mean ±					
SD	57.8 ± 18.3	61.1 ± 20.7	60.3 ± 20.2	61.9 ± 17.4	65.2 ± 18.1
Patient's pain					
assessment, mean ±					
SD	55.4 ± 19.1	59.6 ± 23.5	59.2 ± 22.1	60.9 ± 19.7	64.2 ± 20.4

BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; GADA, global assessment of disease activity; Q-WV, every 4 weeks; Q12W, every 12 weeks; PBO, placebo; SD, standard deviation; TIL, tildrakizumab.