REVIEW

Intra-articular treatments in osteoarthritis: from the symptomatic to the structure modifying

L Gossec, M Dougados

Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:478–482. doi: 10.1136/ard.2003.013771

The symptomatic and structural efficacy of intra-articular (IA) injections is reviewed. IA corticosteroid injections are rapidly effective, but their symptomatic benefit is short lived. Hyaluronan has delayed onset of efficacy but its benefit for pain may be longer lasting. Lavage and debridement are probably not as efficacious as once thought in osteoarthritis.

Intra-articular (IA) treatments for osteoarthritis (OA) have become standard because of their symptomatic effect. We examine whether some of them may have a structural effect—either deleterious as has been proposed for repeated corticosteroid injections, or beneficial as has been proposed for hyaluronan acid injections.

We also examine the symptomatic effects of the main IA treatments and ask, “Do they work?”, “When does the effect start and how long is it maintained?”, and “Are there known predisposing factors of efficacy?”.

Owing to lack of space, the techniques and risks of IA treatments are not discussed.

SYMPTOMATIC EFFECTS OF IA TREATMENTS

Intra-articular corticosteroid treatment in OA

Intra-articular steroids are recommended in several guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral joint OA.^{1,2} They are widely used; a survey of rheumatologists in the United States suggested that more than 95% use them *at least sometimes* and 53% *frequently*.³

Level of evidence of symptomatic efficacy

Table 1 summarises the evidence for the efficacy of IA steroids in OA, which is mostly confined to the knee.^{4,5} Kirwan and Rankin reviewed 10 clinical trials, and found that the IA injection of glucocorticoids into the knee (one trial used four injections, the others used single injections) provided some additional pain relief compared with placebo treatment.¹⁷ However, there were no significant differences between treatment groups after more than 1 week of follow up. Ayrar reviewed eight clinical trials published before October 2001, assessing IA steroids versus placebo.³ Except for the two earliest studies, patients received a single corticosteroid injection. The steroids studied were hydrocortisone, prednisolone, triamcinolone hexacetonide, methylprednisolone, and cortivazol. These drugs have

not been compared with one another. The clinical assessment of efficacy was pain relief, and there was conclusive evidence that IA steroid injections are effective, but that their benefit over placebo may be relatively short lived, lasting only from 1 to 4 weeks. Finally, a third article reviewed controlled studies of treatments in knee OA published before 1995 ($n = 5$).¹⁸ When a quality rating system was used, critical analysis showed that none of the studies achieved a score for design of more than 3 out of a possible 8. The review concluded that IA steroids were better than placebo in short term efficacy (<1 month). Very recently, Raynauld *et al* evaluated repeated IA steroid injections in 68 patients with OA.¹⁶ Patients received IA triamcinolone hexacetonide 40 mg (34 patients) or saline (34 patients) in the target knee every 3 months for up to 2 years. The knees injected with steroid showed only a trend towards greater symptom improvement at 1 year for the WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index) pain subscale, night pain, and range of motion. Area under the curve analyses were used to show that knee pain and stiffness were significantly improved throughout the 2 year study by the steroid injections.

To conclude, evidence of the efficacy of IA steroids in OA is based on somewhat contradictory data and results are difficult to interpret, in particular because of a powerful response to placebo. In one study, for example, both placebo and treated groups showed a significant decrease in pain from week 1 to the final assessment at week 8.⁹ The effect of simple aspiration of the joint (a procedure coupled with IA steroid injections in all studies) is unknown.

“The efficacy of IA steroids is difficult to determine because patients also respond well to placebo”

Data on joints outside the knee are limited. Small studies have indicated efficacy in rhizarthrosis (trapezometacarpal joint). A prospective, open study of hip IA steroid and local anaesthetic injection (80 mg methylprednisolone and 4 ml 1% lignocaine) in 45 patients, 27 of whom had OA, found a significant reduction in pain at 2 and 12 weeks, although the effect was lost by 26 weeks.¹⁹

Abbreviations: HA, hyaluronic acid; IA, intra-articular; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OA, osteoarthritis; RCT, randomised controlled trial; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr L Gossec, 27 rue du
Fbg, St Jacques, Paris
75014, France;
laure.gossec@
cch.ap-hop-paris.fr

Accepted
24 September 2003

Table 1 Controlled trials of IA steroids in OA: symptomatic effect

Author	Intervention	No	Design	Duration (weeks)	Efficacy
Miller 1958 ⁶	HC 50 mg v PCB or novocaine or lactic acid or feigned injection	202	Parallel	24	Equal at weeks 6 and 24
Wright 1960 ⁷	HC 25 mg v PCB or HC.TBA	25	Crossover	4	Week 2: HC = PCB, HC.TBA > PCB; week 4: all equal
Cederlof 1966 ⁸	Prednisolone 25 mg v PCB	44	Parallel	8	Equal at weeks 1, 3, 8
Friedman 1980 ⁹	TH 20 mg v PCB	34	Parallel	8	TH > PCB at week 1 only
Dieppe 1980 ¹⁰	TH 20 mg v PCB	12+16	Parallel/cross-over	6 and 2	TH > PCB at 2 weeks only
Valtonen 1981 ¹¹	TH 20 mg v betamethasone 6 mg	42	Parallel	24	TH > betamethasone
Sambrook 1989 ¹²	MP 80 mg v 80 mg peripatellar	38	Parallel	12	Equal
Gaffney 1995 ¹³	TH 20 mg v PCB	84	Parallel	6	TH > PCB at week 1 only
Jones 1996 ¹⁴	MP 40 mg v PCB	59	Crossover	8	MP > PCB at 3 weeks only
Ravaud 1999 ¹⁵	Cortivazol 3.75 mg v PCB, lavage or lavage+cortivazol	98	Parallel, 2×2 factorial	24	Cortivazol > PCB at week 4 only
Raynauld 2003 ¹⁶	TH 40 mg v PCB: repeated injections every 3 months for 2 years	68	Parallel	96	Trend towards greater symptom improvement at 1 year, for the WOMAC pain subscale, night pain, and range of motion

HC, hydrocortisone; HC.TBA, hydrocortisone tertiary butylacetate; TH, triamcinolone hexacetonide; MP, methylprednisolone; PCB, placebo.

Time to onset of efficacy and carryover effect

Onset of efficacy is rapid: 24–48 hours. Maximal efficacy is reached in less than 1 week but lasts only from 1 to 4 weeks.

Predisposing factors of response

There is some discordance between the modest and short lived benefits over placebo seen in controlled studies and the clinical experience of most rheumatologists that some patients achieve a significant and sustained response. Is it possible to predict those subjects who will respond?

The presence of effusion, whether detected clinically or by ability to aspirate fluid at the time of injection, has been reported by Gaffney *et al* in a single blind trial (n = 84) to predict greater benefit from steroids.¹³ One trial in 147 patients with rheumatoid arthritis found a significantly reduced relapse in the group treated with complete synovial fluid aspiration before triamcinolone hexacetonide injection compared with the group without aspiration.²⁰ A reason why joint effusion may correlate with better response to IA steroids might be simply a truly IA injection: Jones *et al* reported in a contrast radiography study that one third of knee injections were extra-articular or uncertain and that aspiration of synovial fluid was associated with improved accuracy.²¹

By contrast an analysis by Jones and Doherty, examining a range of factors including function, psychosocial and disease related features using logistic regression, failed to relate patient response to IA steroids to any of the baseline variables, including the presence of a joint effusion.¹⁴ However, in that study, a significant predictor might have been missed owing to the small sample size (only 59 patients with knee OA were included). Friedman and Moore also found that the efficacy of steroids was not related to the presence or absence of knee effusion.⁹

“Knee effusion may indicate inflammatory synovitis and justifies IA injection of steroids”

There is limited evidence that the presence of hyarthrodial effusion is a predisposing factor for a better response to the IA injection of steroids. However, in our opinion, knee effusion may reflect the presence of inflammatory synovitis, justifying the IA injection of steroids.

Radiographic severity, duration of symptoms,¹³ presence of crystals, and raised synovial fluid cell count⁹ do not predict response in the knee joint. At the hip, it has been suggested

that patients with an atrophic pattern respond less well than those with a hypertrophic or mixed type pattern.¹⁹

Hyaluronic acid injections

Viscosupplementation refers to the IA injection of hyaluronic acid (HA), a high molecular weight polysaccharide which is a major component of synovial fluid and cartilage, in order to relieve pain and improve function. In OA, the molecular weight and concentration of HA are diminished. The concept of viscosupplementation is based on the hypothesis that IA injections of HA could help restore the viscoelasticity of the synovial fluid and promote the endogenous synthesis of a higher molecular weight and possibly more functional hyaluronan, thereby improving mobility and articular function, and reducing pain. Several HA preparations are currently available, in two categories: low molecular weight (0.5–2 MDa) and high molecular weight (crosslinked HA, 6–7 MDa).

Level of evidence of symptomatic efficacy

Hyaluronan versus placebo

Published reports include three systematic reviews and one additional randomised controlled trial (RCT) comparing hyaluronan preparations with placebo.^{5 17 18} Most studies in humans have been carried out in patients with knee OA. The first review identified 10 RCTs of hyaluronan in the knee joint and found slightly greater benefit versus placebo for 1 to 6 months after treatment.¹⁷ The second review (nine RCTs) concluded that biological agents were better than placebo and well tolerated over a mean follow up of 48 weeks.¹⁸ The third review evaluated separately the different hyaluronan preparations.⁵ Hyalgan was found to be more effective than placebo for pain in eight of nine RCTs, but also for function in three RCTs, and for reducing the need for IA steroid injections in a 1 year trial. The three RCTs assessing Synvisc also found that IA steroid injections were better than placebo. The results for the efficacy of Artz compared with placebo are more contrasted. Since publication of the previous reviews, one further RCT by Brandt *et al* has evaluated Orthovisc compared with physiological saline in 226 patients²² and concluded that Orthovisc was well tolerated and more effective than control in patients with a mild to moderate pain at baseline.

Hyaluronan versus corticosteroid injections

In a systematic review comparing Hyalgan with various steroids, Ayral found five RCTs reporting similar benefits of

HA and steroids at one month, but with superiority of Hyalgan after a few months.⁵

Hyaluronan versus non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

Three RCTs (reviewed by Ayral²³) comparing hyaluronan with NSAIDs found that the benefit obtained with hyaluronan was similar to that of NSAIDs for pain, and with fewer gastrointestinal adverse effects.

Joints other than the knee

Three studies, one evaluating IA HA in the shoulder and two in the hip, have reported efficacy on symptoms and duration of improvement comparable with that described in the knee.^{23–25}

Time to onset of efficacy and carryover effect

Most studies agree that onset of efficacy is delayed by 2–5 weeks, the plateau of efficacy is reached in 1–2 months, and efficacy is maintained for 4–12 months.

Predisposing factors of response

Clinical predictive factors of hyaluronan efficacy have not been specifically evaluated in prospective clinical trials. In a subgroup analysis of a Hyalgan study, Altman and Moskowitz found that neither age nor level of pain were associated with the level of response to HA.²⁶ A Canadian retrospective study of 336 patients with knee OA found that the efficacy of Hylan GF-20 was reduced in patients with effusion before the first Hylan injection, in that percentages of “better” patients were the same (70%), but more patients were “much worse” (7.3% *v* 1.1% without initial effusion).²⁷ Radiographic predictive factors of hyaluronan efficacy have been evaluated in one prospective clinical trial, indicating that clinical improvement after IA viscosupplementation (in pain, stiffness, WOMAC, and overall improvement) was only significant for those patients presenting only minor loss of medial and lateral joint space.²⁸

Arthroscopic lavage and surgery

In theory, lavage of the joint (through a large needle or by arthroscopy) removes debris such as microscopic or macroscopic fragments of cartilage or calcium phosphate crystals that may induce synovitis, a likely source of pain. Tidal irrigation is a similar process but using only one entry site.

Arthroscopic debridement consists of smoothing rough, fibrillated articular and meniscal surfaces, shaving tibial-spine osteophytes that interfere with the motion of the joint, and removing inflamed synovium. A study showed that needle lavage is comparable with arthroscopic debridement with lavage, except in patients with meniscal tears.²⁹

Level of evidence of symptomatic efficacy

In RCTs without sham treatment in the control group, those who underwent lavage reported greater improvement in knee pain than those who received usual medical management alone.³⁰ However, possibly, the benefits were due to placebo effect. Three trials in which needles were inserted into control knees evaluated lavage. One compared lavage with a large or small volume of saline: the authors reported no significant improvement in pain in either group.³¹ The second trial by Ravaud *et al* compared joint lavage, IA steroid injection, and IA placebo, alone and in combination, in patients with symptomatic knee OA.¹⁵ Compared with placebo, both treatments significantly relieved pain: cortivazol provided short term relief (up to week 4), and lavage provided persistent relief (up to week 24). Neither treatment improved functional impairment. An additive effect of lavage and IA steroid injection was observed. The third publication, a recent double blind trial by Bradley *et al*, compared the effectiveness

of lavage (1 litre) with a sham irrigation procedure on 180 subjects, with a 12 month follow up.³² Although the study groups were otherwise comparable, the baseline WOMAC pain and physical functioning scores were higher (worse) in the placebo group. After adjustment for baseline, no differences between the effects of lavage and sham irrigation were found.

The efficacy of arthroscopy is not much better documented. Recently, Moseley *et al* reported a randomised, placebo controlled, double blind trial of arthroscopy in OA of the knee.³³ Patients with OA of the knee (*n* = 180) were randomly assigned to receive arthroscopic debridement, arthroscopic lavage (10 litres of fluid), or placebo surgery (consisting of skin incisions and a simulated debridement without insertion of the arthroscope). Outcomes (three scales for pain, two for function, and one objective test of walking and stair climbing) were evaluated at multiple points over 24 months. At no point did either of the intervention groups report less pain or better function than the placebo group.

Time to onset of efficacy and carryover effect

Onset of efficacy is rapid: less than 1 week. In those trials showing a positive effect of lavage or arthroscopy, efficacy is maintained for up to 6 months.

Predisposing factors of response

For lavage, patient selection may have a significant effect on the response. Those patients with evidence of calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease have variously been described as being either more or less responsive to joint irrigation.^{31–34–35} High degrees of radiographic severity of OA have been associated with a poorer response to lavage,³⁰ and a larger volume of removed debris has been associated with better response.³⁶

For the subgroup of knees with loose bodies or flaps of meniscus or cartilage that are causing mechanical symptoms, especially locking, catching, or the joint to give way, there is a consensus that arthroscopic removal of these unstable tissues improves joint function and alleviates symptoms. On the other hand, meniscal tears are extremely prevalent in knees with OA, and their relation to knee pain is questionable at best. In joints without specific mechanical symptoms, repairing stable meniscal tears is probably not indicated.

Other IA treatments

Synoviorthesis

Radioactive injections (isotope synoviorthesis) and osmic acid (chemical synoviorthesis) have been used for many years in inflammatory diseases. Their place is probably limited in OA, and there are no RCTs evaluating them in this indication. One open study reported results for 54 patients (76 knees treated with yttrium-90 and 16 with osmic acid).³⁷ At 6 months 22–34% of results were satisfactory or very satisfactory.

Experimental treatments

IA methotrexate or rifampicin

One study of 82 patients compared 20 mg IA triamcinolone hexacetonide alone with its combination with 50 mg IA methotrexate or 600 mg IA rifampicin.³⁸ Pain (as measured by area under the curve and duration of improved pain scores) was significantly better in the triamcinolone+rifampicin group, but 11 of those 28 patients developed a flare of pain after injection.

IA anti-tumour necrosis factor or interleukin 1 inhibitor injections

Studies are being conducted in these fields in inflammatory diseases but are still very experimental.

STRUCTURAL EFFECTS OF IA TREATMENTS

Intra-articular corticosteroids

The effect of steroids on cartilage metabolism and progression of OA has been studied in a number of animal models, with conflicting results. In some models a chondroprotective effect was found, but others showed a possibly deleterious effect on cartilage. In a recent placebo controlled trial of repeated IA steroid injections every 3 months for up to 2 years, the primary outcome variable was radiological progression of joint space narrowing.¹⁶ After 2 years, no difference in loss of joint space over time was noted between the steroid and the placebo groups. Thus, no deleterious effects of the long term administration of IA steroids on the anatomical structure of the knee were noted.

Overall, there is little evidence of a deleterious effect on cartilage, and reports of a Charcot-like accelerated joint destruction after steroid injection in human hip OA may reflect the disease itself rather than the treatment. Any effect on bone metabolism, as judged by biochemical markers, appears to be transient and mild.³⁹ As for in vivo and in vitro animal models, which suggest a possible disease modifying effect, they must be viewed with caution because of the limitations of comparing animals with humans, and inflammatory and non-inflammatory disease.

Hyaluronic acid injections

Potential structure modifying effects of HA in OA have been suggested in animal models, but with conflicting results.⁴⁰ Limited studies in humans have been performed. Listrat *et al* reported in a pilot controlled, randomised study of 36 patients with knee medial OA that those who received three series of three Hyalgan injections at 3 month intervals showed less progression of the disease 1 year later, as judged by arthroscopy, than controls who received conventional treatment but no hyaluronan injections.⁴¹ The HA group also scored higher for quality of life and reduced their use of NSAIDs during the study period. However, the patient numbers were small. Recently, 408 patients assigned randomly to receive three courses of three IA injections of Hyalgan or placebo were evaluated radiologically after one year.⁴² Analysis showed no difference in medial femorotibial joint space width narrowing between the two groups in the entire population, but among the patients with less severe disease (baseline joint space width ≥ 4.6 mm), the subgroup treated with Hyalgan showed significantly less narrowing.

CONCLUSION

Intra-articular corticosteroids

Intra-articular corticosteroids are a fast acting symptomatic drug in OA. There is evidence that they do not induce long term deleterious effects on cartilage. Owing to the short lived effect on pain of IA steroids (1–4 weeks), the frequent need for repeated injections limits the usefulness of this agent in long term management of OA. Thus, their use should be logically focused on short term treatment of disease flares—that is, exacerbation of pain, nocturnal pain, and effusion.

Hyaluronan

Hyaluronan acts as a symptomatic slow acting drug with a delayed onset of efficacy of 2–5 weeks and a long lived benefit (4–12 months) on pain and function. To date there are insufficient data to support the hypothesis that hyaluronan might be a structure modifying agent. Hyaluronan treatment seems particularly indicated in painful knee OA, radiologically moderate, with no or mild effusion.

The planned formal meta-analysis of both IA corticosteroids and viscosupplementation for knee OA by the Cochrane Collaboration will clarify the level of evidence supporting the symptomatic efficacy of these IA treatments.

Lavage and debridement

For most patients with knee OA, lavage and debridement are probably not as efficient a treatment as once was hoped. The exact place of lavage in knee OA remains to be established; we reserve arthroscopy for patients who present specific mechanical symptoms related to unstable meniscus flaps.

Up to now, IA treatments in OA were prescribed for their symptomatic efficacy—that is, these treatments were performed on patients with painful knees. If the structural effects of IA treatments are demonstrated, the aim will then be to treat preventively asymptomatic patients. That decision will certainly be much harder to take and will have to be discussed with the patient after clear information is given about the objectives and available means.

Authors' affiliations

L Gossec, M Dougados, René Descartes University, Cochin Hospital, Rheumatology B Department, Paris, France

REFERENCES

- 1 ACR. American College of Rheumatology subcommittee on osteoarthritis guidelines. Recommendations for the medical management of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee: 2000 update. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;**43**:1905–15.
- 2 Pendleton A, Arden N, Dougados M, Doherty M, Bannwarth B, Bijlsma JW, *et al*. EULAR recommendations for the management of knee osteoarthritis: report of a task force of the Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutic Trials (ESCSIT). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000;**59**:936–44.
- 3 Hochberg MC, Perlmuter DL, Hudson JL, Altman RD. Preferences in the management of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee: results of a survey of community based rheumatologists in the United States. *Arthritis Care Res* 1996;**9**:170–6.
- 4 Creamer P. Intra-articular corticosteroid treatment in osteoarthritis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 1999;**11**:417–21.
- 5 Ayral X. Injections in the treatment of osteoarthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2001;**15**:609–26.
- 6 Miller JH, White J, Norton TH. The value of intra-articular injections in osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1958;**40B**:636–43.
- 7 Wright V, Chandler GN, Morison RA, Hartfall SJ. Intra-articular therapy in osteoarthritis. Comparison of hydrocortisone acetate and hydrocortisone tertiary-butylacetate. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1960;**19**:257–61.
- 8 Cederlof S, Jonson G. Intra-articular prednisolone injection for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Acta Chir Scand* 1966;**132**:532–6.
- 9 Friedman DM, Moore ME. The efficacy of intra-articular steroids in osteoarthritis: a double blind study. *J Rheumatol* 1980;**7**:850–6.
- 10 Dieppe PA, Sathapayavongs B, Jones HE, Bacon PA, Ring EFJ. Intra-articular steroids in osteoarthritis. *Rheumatol Rehabil* 1980;**19**:212–17.
- 11 Valtonen E. Clinical comparison of triamcinolone hexacetonide and bethamethasone in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee joint. *Scand J Rheumatol Suppl* 1981;**41**:1–7.
- 12 Sambrook PN, Champion GD, Browne CD, Cairns D, Cohen ML, Day RO. Corticosteroid injection for osteoarthritis of the knee: peripatellar compared to intra-articular route. *Clin Rheumatol* 1989;**7**:609–13.
- 13 Gaffney K, Ledingham J, Perry JD. Intra-articular triamcinolone hexacetonide in knee osteoarthritis: factors influencing the clinical response. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1995;**54**:379–81.
- 14 Jones A, Doherty M. Intra-articular corticosteroids are effective in osteoarthritis but there are no clinical predictors of response. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1996;**55**:829–32.
- 15 Ravaud P, Moulinier L, Giraudeau B, Ayral X, Guerin C, Noel E, *et al*. Effects of joint lavage and steroid injection in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: results of a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;**42**:475–82.
- 16 Raynaud JP, Buckland-Wright C, Ward R, Choquette D, Haraoui B, Martel-Pelletier J, *et al*. Safety and efficacy of long-term intraarticular steroid injections in osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;**48**:370–7.
- 17 Kirwan JR, Rankin E. Intra-articular therapy in osteoarthritis. *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol* 1997;**11**:769–94.
- 18 Towheed TE, Hochberg MC. A systematic review of randomized, controlled trials of pharmacological therapy in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1997;**26**:755–70.
- 19 Plant MJ, Borg AA, Dziedzic K, Saklatvala J, Dawes PT. Radiographic patterns and response to corticosteroid hip injection. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1997;**56**:476–80.
- 20 Weitoft T, Uddenfeldt P. Importance of synovial fluid aspiration when injecting intra-articular corticosteroids. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000;**59**:233–5.
- 21 Jones A, Regan M, Ledingham J, Patrick M, Manhire A, Doherty M. Importance of placement of intra-articular steroid injections. *BMJ* 1993;**307**:1329–30.
- 22 Brandt KD, Block JA, Michalski JP, Moreland LW, Caldwell JR, Lavin PT. Efficacy and safety of intraarticular sodium hyaluronate in knee osteoarthritis. Orthovisc Study Group. *Clin Orthop* 2001;**385**:130–43.

- 23 **Leardini G**, Perbellini A, Franceschini M, Mattara L. Intra-articular injections of hyaluronic acid in the treatment of painful shoulder. *Clin Ther* 1988;**10**:521-6.
- 24 **Brocq O**, Tran G, Breuil V, Grisot C, Flory P, Euler-Ziegler L. Hip osteoarthritis: short-term efficacy and safety of viscosupplementation by hylan G-F 20. An open-label study in 22 patients. *Joint Bone Spine* 2002;**69**:388-91.
- 25 **Migliore A**, Martin LS, Alimonti A, Valente C, Tormenta S. Efficacy and safety of viscosupplementation by ultrasound-guided intra-articular injection in osteoarthritis of the hip. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;**11**:305-6.
- 26 **Altman RD**, Moskowitz R. Intraarticular sodium hyaluronate (Hyalgan) in the treatment of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized clinical trial. Hyalgan Study Group. *J Rheumatol* 1998;**25**:2203-12.
- 27 **Lussier A**, Cividino AA, McFarlane CA, Olszynski WP, Potashner WJ, De Medicis R. Viscosupplementation with hylan for the treatment of osteoarthritis: findings from clinical practice in Canada. *J Rheumatol* 1996;**23**:1579-85.
- 28 **Toh EM**, Prasad PS, Teanby D. Correlating the efficacy of knee viscosupplementation with osteoarthritic changes on roentgenological examination. *Knee* 2002;**9**:321-30.
- 29 **Chang RW**, Falconer J, Stulberg SD, Arnold WJ, Manheim LM, Dyer AR. A randomized, controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery versus closed-needle joint lavage for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993;**36**:289-96.
- 30 **Ike RW**, Arnold WJ, Rothschild EW, Shaw HL. Tidal irrigation versus conservative medical management in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a prospective randomized study. Tidal Irrigation Cooperating Group. *J Rheumatol* 1992;**19**:772-9.
- 31 **Kalunian KC**, Moreland LW, Klashman DJ, Brion PH, Concoff AL, Myers S, et al. Visually-guided irrigation in patients with early knee osteoarthritis: a multicenter randomized, controlled trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2000;**8**:412-18.
- 32 **Bradley JD**, Heilman DK, Katz BP, Gsell P, Wallick JE, Brandt KD. Tidal irrigation as treatment for knee osteoarthritis: a sham-controlled, randomized, double-blinded evaluation. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;**46**:100-8.
- 33 **Moseley JB**, O'Malley K, Petersen NJ, Menke TJ, Brody BA, Kuykendall DH, et al. A controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery for osteoarthritis of the knee. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**:81-8.
- 34 **O'Connor RL**. The arthroscope in the management of crystal-induced synovitis of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1973;**55**:1443-9.
- 35 **Bennett RM**, Lehr JR, McCarty DJ. Crystal shedding and acute pseudogout. An hypothesis based on a therapeutic failure. *Arthritis Rheum* 1976;**19**:93-7.
- 36 **Rangiwala MA**, Michalska M, Block JA. Pain improvement and quantity of articular debris after arthroscopy for osteoarthritis of the knee [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;**38**(suppl 9):S240.
- 37 **Hilliquin P**, Le Devic P, Menkes CJ. Comparaison de l'efficacité des synoviorthèses et du lavage articulaire dans la gonarthrose avec épanchement. *Rev Rhum (Ed Fr)* 1996;**63**:99-108.
- 38 **Blyth T**, Stirling A, Coote J, Land D, Hunter JA. Injection of the rheumatoid knee: does intra-articular methotrexate or rifampicin add to the benefits of triamcinolone hexacetonide? *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;**37**:770-2.
- 39 **Emkey RD**, Lindsay R, Lyssy J, Weisberg J, Dempster D, Shen V. The systemic effect of intra-articular administration of corticosteroid on markers of bone formation and bone resorption in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;**39**:277-82.
- 40 **Brandt KD**, Smith GN, Simon LS. Intraarticular injection of hyaluronan as treatment for knee osteoarthritis. What is the evidence? *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;**43**:1192-203.
- 41 **Listrat V**, Ayral X, Patarnello F, Bonvarlet JP, Simonnet J, Amor B, et al. Arthroscopic evaluation of potential structure modifying activity of hyaluronan (Hyalgan) in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1997;**5**:153-60.
- 42 **Jubb RW**, Piva S, Beinat L, Dacre J, Gishen P, on behalf of the UK Hyalgan Study Group. Structure modification in osteoarthritis with intra-articular sodium hyaluronate of mon. 500-730 kDa[abstract]. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;**60**(suppl 1):46.