CONCISE REPORT

Apoptosis of peripheral blood lymphocytes in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis

E Smolewska, H Brozik, P Smolewski, M Biernacka-Zielinska, Z Darzynkiewicz, J Stanczyk

Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:761–763

Background: Recent data suggested that abnormalities in mechanisms regulating apoptosis may have a role in the development of the rheumatoid process.

Objective: To evaluate different aspects of apoptosis in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA).

Methods: The frequency of TUNEL positive peripheral blood (PB) lymphocytes (apoptotic index (AI)), as well as serum CD95 (APO1/Fas) antigen expression and serum levels of sFas and interleukin 15 (IL15), were examined in 44 cases of JIA. Results were correlated with type of onset, activity of JIA, and acute phase indicators.

Results: The AI of lymphocytes was significantly higher in patients with JIA than in controls ($p=0.020$). The mean AI of lymphocytes was increased in JIA with systemic type of onset and high activity ($p=0.001$). Moreover, IL15 levels in systemic disease were higher than in controls ($p=0.012$). An increased AI correlated with raised IL15 ($p=0.046$), erythrocyte sedimentation rate ($p=0.005$) and C reactive protein (CRP; $p=0.017$). Additionally, correlation was found between IL15 and CRP levels ($p=0.039$). CD95 and sFas levels were unchanged compared with controls.

Conclusion: PB lymphocytes of children with JIA have an increased tendency to undergo apoptosis. The degree of apoptosis depends on the type of onset and activity of JIA and correlates with serum levels of IL15. Further studies are needed to explain whether this is an epiphenomenon of the disease activity or is related to the pathogenesis of JIA.

Figure 1 Clinical characteristics of children with JIA. Three subgroups with different onset of disease were established: polyarthritis, oligoarthritis and systemic disease. Three stages of JIA activity were also distinguished, based on clinical and laboratory criteria: low activity (joint movement limited, without pain or swelling, no extra-articular symptoms, ESR <20 mm/1st h, CRP <10 mg/l); moderate activity (moderate intensity of arthritis, and/or slight temperature, ESR 20–60 mm/1st h, CRP 10–30 mg/l); and high activity (morning stiffness, pain and/or swelling of joints, and/or hepatosplenomegaly, fever, rash, and raised values for laboratory tests ESR >60 mm/1st h, CRP >30 mg/l).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Forty four children with JIA, aged 3–17 years (mean (SD) 11.6 (4.2)) were examined. A diagnosis of JIA was established according to Durban's criteria.⁷ None of the children was given second line treatment before the study. Only non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were used as a basic treatment, and these were stopped at least three days before collection of blood samples. The mean (SD) time interval from the first symptoms of JIA and enrolment in the study was 1.2 (1.8) years, reflecting a delayed diagnosis. Based on the type of onset, three subgroups were established: polyarthritis, oligoarthritis, and systemic disease (fig 1). Furthermore, based on clinical and laboratory criteria three stages of JIA activity were stated: low, moderate, and high activity of the disease (fig 1). The mean (SD) values of routine laboratory tests in the children with JIA were: leucocytes $9.4 (3.8) \times 10^9/l$, lymphocytes $2.7 (2.8) \times 10^9/l$, haemoglobin 125 (1.6) g/l, platelets $445.2 (158.6) \times 10^9/l$, C reactive protein (CRP) 56 (16.3) mg/l, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) 46.7 (35.4) mm/1st h.

The controls comprised 30 healthy children, 22 girls and 8 boys, aged 4–17 years (mean (SD) 12.2 (2.9)).

Abbreviations: AI, apoptotic index; CRP, C reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; IL, interleukin; JIA, juvenile idiopathic arthritis; PB, peripheral blood; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TUNEL, TdT mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labelling

Recent studies suggest that abnormalities in regulation of apoptosis may have a role in the pathogenesis of autoimmune disorders, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). Inhibition of apoptosis, with higher expression of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein in synoviocytes, was found in patients with RA and JIA.^{1,2} Additionally, raised serum levels of the soluble form of Fas, anti-apoptotic sFas, were detected in RA.³ Proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor α , interleukin (IL)1, IL6, IL12, or IL15 are also believed to have a role in the development of the rheumatoid process.^{4,5}

The clinical course of JIA differs from that of RA, with more frequent systemic manifestations in children. A differentiation defect of T lymphocytes and their local activation in synovial tissue is considered to be the key event in both types of rheumatoid process.^{6,7} Less is known about homeostasis maintained by equilibrium between cell proliferation and death among circulating immune cells. This study aimed at evaluating the incidence of apoptosis of lymphocytes in peripheral blood (PB) of children with JIA and correlating it with CD95 (APO1/Fas) antigen expression or serum levels of sFas and IL15, in different types of onset and activity of the disease.

Table 1 The rate of apoptosis (TUNEL positive) of lymphocytes, expression of CD95, and serum levels of sFas and IL15 in children with JIA according to the type of onset and activity of the disease. Correlation between examined parameters and acute phase indicators

Examined groups	No	TUNEL (% cells) Mean (SD)	CD95 (% cells) Mean (SD)	sFas (pg/ml) Mean (SD)	IL15 (pg/ml) Mean (SD)
Controls	30	2.3 (2.9)	9.8 (5.5)	5024.5 (2184.5)	1.7 (0.6)
JIA - whole group	44	6.5 (7.4)	12.1 (7.3)	5761.3 (2856.1)	1.9 (1.3)
Type of onset					
Oligoarthritis	10	3.7 (3.9)	11.2 (9.4)	6308.0 (3512.1)	1.0 (0.4)
Polyarthritis	24	4.8 (7.1)	13.1 (7.2)	5661.0 (2427.2)	1.1 (0.6)
Systemic	10	13.5 (7.1)	9.7 (3.8)	5502.9 (3557.6)	3.6 (2.1)
Activity of the disease					
Low	11	2.7 (2.5)	13.2 (13.2)	6894.0 (2736.1)	1.6 (0.1)
Moderate	15	4.9 (8.1)	10.9 (6.9)	5492.5 (2345.1)	1.8 (1.4)
High	18	9.2 (8.0)	12.6 (5.5)	5455.0 (3196.0)	2.3 (1.6)
Statistics (p values)					
Control v JIA		0.020*	0.331	0.424	0.825
Control v oligoarthritis		0.381	0.859	0.351	0.046*
Control v polyarthritis		0.123	0.206	0.411	0.950
Control v systemic disease		0.001*	0.780	0.867	0.012*
Control v low activity		0.437	0.938	0.071	0.612
Control v moderate activity		0.517	0.596	0.496	0.517
Control v high activity		0.001*	0.195	0.878	0.203
Acute phase indicators	v TdT		v CD95	v sFas	v IL15
Lymphocyte count	0.098, $r_s=0.313$		0.368, $r_s=-0.196$	0.038*, $r_s=-0.313$	0.867, $r_s=-0.035$
Platelet count	0.495, $r_s=-0.137$		0.068, $r_s=0.404$	0.438, $r_s=-0.122$	0.543, $r_s=-0.133$
CRP	0.017*, $r_s=0.460$		0.469, $r_s=-0.166$	0.172, $r_s=-0.225$	0.039*, $r_s=0.442$
ESR	0.005*, $r_s=0.502$		0.867, $r_s=0.036$	0.114, $r_s=-0.241$	0.089, $r_s=0.347$

*Significant.

CRP, C reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; r_s , Spearman rank coefficient.

Cell isolation

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from heparinised blood by centrifugation on Histopaque 1077 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). Cells were then washed twice in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer (Sigma) and resuspended in 0.5 ml of mixture of PBS/human serum albumin (1:1). Fifty microlitres of cell suspension, at a concentration of 5×10^5 cells/ml, was cytocentrifuged. Slides were fixed in 1% methanol-free formaldehyde (15 min/0°C), then in 70% ethanol (30 min/0°C). Simultaneously, serum was collected and stored at -80°C.

Apoptosis evaluation

Apoptosis was assessed by an in situ TdT mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labelling (TUNEL) of DNA strand breaks assay. Slides with fixed PBMC were washed in PBS subjected to the TUNEL assay using the APO-DIRECT kit provided by Phoenix Flow Systems, Inc (San Diego, CA, USA) and counterstained with propidium iodide in the presence of RNase. The intensity of cell fluorescence was measured by a laser scanning cytometer (CompuCyte, Cambridge, MA, USA); about 10 000 cells were measured for each sample. Taking advantage of the morphometric capabilities of laser scanning cytometry,⁸ we identified lymphocytes from other PBMC, based on the differences in area/red integral and red maximal pixel of DNA fluorescence. The percentage of TUNEL positive cells thus represents the apoptotic index (AI) of lymphocytes. The relocation feature of laser scanning cytometry allowed us to confirm the apoptotic mode of cell death by morphological criteria, as well as by the "sub-G1" DNA content based on cell staining with propidium iodide.⁸

CD95 antigen

Expression of CD95 on cytospin preparations was examined immunohistochemically in an ABC system using anti-CD95 antibody (DAKO, Denmark), and assessed by light microscope ($\times 40$ and $\times 100$ magnification) as the frequency of CD95 positive lymphocytes.

Serum sFas and IL15 levels

Serum concentrations of sFas and IL15 (both R&D Systems, UK) were measured by an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Other laboratory parameters

In all cases, in parallel with the evaluation of apoptosis, the PB, ESR, and CRP concentrations were estimated by standard methods.

Statistical analysis

Mean and standard deviations were calculated and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Correlations between variables were evaluated by the Spearman r_s test. Results were considered significant at p values <0.05.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows a detailed comparison of results.

Apoptotic index

The mean values of all parameters evaluated (AI, CD95+ lymphocyte rate, levels of sFas, and IL15) were higher in children with JIA than in healthy controls. However, significant differences were only found for AI ($p=0.020$). The AI correlated positively with IL15 levels ($p=0.046$, $r_s=0.402$). No correlation between AI and CD95 expression, serum sFas, or IL15 levels was apparent.

Type of onset and apoptosis

The mean AI of lymphocytes was significantly higher in systemic JIA than in controls ($p=0.001$).

No significant correlation was found between either the frequency of CD95+ lymphocytes v serum sFas levels or v type of onset of JIA.

The mean serum IL15 level in systemic disease was increased ($p=0.012$), whereas in oligoarthritis it was decreased ($p=0.046$) compared with controls. IL15 levels in systemic JIA were higher than those in oligoarthritis ($p=0.009$) and polyarthritis ($p=0.006$).

Activity of JIA

There were significant differences between the AI in high activity JIA and low ($p=0.040$) or moderate ($p=0.025$) activity of the disease, as well as in healthy controls ($p=0.001$).

Acute phase indicators

The AI of lymphocytes correlated with the CRP concentration and the ESR ($p=0.017$ and $p=0.005$, respectively). Additionally, there was significant correlation between serum levels of IL15 and CRP ($p=0.039$). Serum sFas correlated inversely with lymphocyte count ($p=0.038$).

DISCUSSION

The results of our study provide evidence for enhanced apoptosis of PB lymphocytes in children with JIA who were not treated with immunosuppressive drugs. The highest AI rate was seen in systemic and in highly active disease.

A search of published reports produced only one related to apoptosis of PB lymphocytes in JIA. In that *in vitro* study Pignatti *et al* evaluated anti-Fas monoclonal antibodies induced apoptosis, in CD3 and IL2 stimulated PBMC from patients with systemic and pauciarticular JIA.⁹ The authors found no significant differences in the frequency of apoptosis triggered by the Fas dependent pathway between children with JIA and controls, whereas distinctly increased cell death of PBMC induced by treatment was seen in children with JIA. Our study was the first attempt to evaluate the frequency, not induced by treatment, of *in vivo* apoptosis of PB lymphocytes in this disease; therefore it is difficult to compare these data directly. Our findings may reflect mechanisms which play a part in the development of the rheumatoid process. In an experimental animal model of RA, enhancement of apoptosis in synovial lining cells was seen at an early stage of the arthritis progression, followed by its inhibition in the prolonged phase of inflammation.¹⁰ A similar course of events may take place in PB lymphocytes.

For RA, the data are also fragmentary. Decreased catecholamine induced cell death of RA B lymphocytes was reported by Wahle *et al*.¹¹ Courtney *et al* found an increased rate of apoptotic PB lymphocytes in patients with RA compared with controls, without correlation with serum sFas levels,³ similar to our JIA data.

Haas *et al* showed different Fas/sFas in PBMC, serum, and synovial fluid in oligoarthritis JIA, suggesting a role for Fas expression in dysregulation of apoptosis in this disease, which may take place not only in affected joints but also in circulating immune system cells.¹² In our study, expression of CD95 antigen on PB lymphocytes, as well as serum sFas levels, was not significantly higher in JIA than in healthy children.

Scola *et al* are the only authors who reported IL15 in children with JIA.¹³ They found raised IL15 levels in synovial fluid, with a population of IL15 positive cells located predominantly within perivascular aggregates. In our patients we found increased serum IL15 levels in children with systemic disease, correlating with the CRP concentration, which may reflect an association between IL15 and joint tissue alterations. Harada *et al* found raised levels of IL15 mRNA in the synovium of patients with RA,¹⁴ probably responsible for local activation of T lymphocytes. IL15 may cause the proliferation of fibroblast-like synoviocytes and inhibit their apoptosis, leading to uncontrolled hyperplasia of the synovium.¹⁵

In conclusion, we found an increased tendency for PB lymphocytes to undergo apoptosis in children with JIA. The intensity of this phenomenon depended on the type of onset and activity of the disease and corresponded with raised serum levels of IL15, but not with CD95 expression or serum concentration of sFas. Both the intensity of apoptosis and

serum IL15 correlated with the acute phase indicator CRP levels. It is unclear, at present, whether the observed changes represent an epiphenomenon of disease activity or are related to the pathogenesis of JIA. To answer this question, further studies, including a detailed analysis of the mechanisms of apoptosis modulation during the rheumatoid process, are needed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study was supported by the Grant of Medical University of Lodz, Poland, No 502-11-672.

Authors' affiliations

E Smolewska, H Brozik, M Biernacka-Zielinska, J Stanczyk, Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Institute of Paediatrics, Medical University of Lodz, Poland
P Smolewski, Department of Haematology, Medical University of Lodz, Poland
P Smolewski, Z Darzynkiewicz, Brander Cancer Research Institute, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York, USA

Correspondence to: Dr E Smolewska, Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Institute of Paediatrics, Medical University of Lodz, Sporna 36/50, 91-738 Lodz, Poland; piotr_smolewski@wp.pl

Accepted 13 November 2002

REFERENCES

- Ceponis A, Hietanen J, Tamulaitiene M, Partsch G, Patiala H, Kontinen YT. A comparative quantitative morphometric study of cell apoptosis in synovial membranes in psoriatic, reactive and rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 1999;38:431-40.
- Harjacek M, Diaz-Cano S, De Miguel M, Wolfe H, Maldonado CA, Rabinovich GA. Expression of galectins-1 and -3 correlates with defective mononuclear cell apoptosis in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:1914-22.
- Courtney PA, Crockard AD, Williamson K, McConnell J, Kennedy RJ, Bell AL. Lymphocyte apoptosis in systemic lupus erythematosus: relationships with Fas expression, serum soluble Fas and disease activity. *Lupus* 1999;8:508-13.
- Yilmaz M, Kendirli SG, Altintas D, Bingol G, Antmen B. Cytokine levels in serum of patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2001;20:30-5.
- Kliimiuk PA, Yang H, Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Production of cytokines and metalloproteinases in rheumatoid synovitis is T cell dependent. *Clin Immunol* 1999;90:65-78.
- Thompson SD, Luyrink LK, Graham TB, Tsoras M, Ryan M, Passo MH, *et al*. Chemokine receptor CCR4 on CD4+ T cells in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis synovial fluid defines a subset of cells with increased IL-4:IFN-gamma mRNA ratios. *J Immunol* 2001;166:6899-906.
- Petty RE, Southwood TR, Baum J, Bhettag E, Glass DN, Manners P, *et al*. Revision of the proposed classification criteria for juvenile idiopathic arthritis: Durban, 1997. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25:1991-4.
- Darzynkiewicz Z, Smolewski P, Bedner E. Use of flow and laser scanning cytometry to study mechanisms regulating cell cycle and controlling cell death. *Clin Lab Med* 2001;21:857-73.
- Pignatti P, Massa M, Travaglini P, Meazza C, Martini A, De Benedetti F. Activation-induced cell death and Fas-induced apoptosis in patients with systemic or pauciarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2001;19:339-44.
- Morita I, Matsuno H, Sakai K, Nezuka T, Tsuji H, Shirai H, *et al*. Time course of apoptosis in collagen-induced arthritis. *Int J Tissue React* 1998;20:37-43.
- Wahle M, Pierer M, Krause A, Kolker S, Baerwald CG. Decreased catecholamine-induced cell death in B lymphocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2002;966:425-8.
- Haas JP, Frank C, Haefner R, Herrmann M, Spath H, Ruder H. Inverted ratio of m-fas/s-fas expression in early onset pauciarticular juvenile chronic arthritis. *Eur J Immunogenet* 1999;26:325-9.
- Scola MP, Thompson SD, Brunner HI, Tsoras MK, Witte D, Van Dijk MA, *et al*. Interferon-gamma: interleukin 4 ratios and associated type 1 cytokine expression in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis synovial tissue. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:369-78.
- Harada S, Yamamura M, Okamoto H, Morita Y, Kawashima M, Aita T, *et al*. Production of interleukin-7 and interleukin-15 by fibroblast-like synoviocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:1508-16.
- Kurowska M, Rudnicka W, Kontny E, Janicka I, Chorazy M, Kowalczywski J, *et al*. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes from rheumatoid arthritis patients express functional IL-15 receptor complex: endogenous IL-15 in autocrine fashion enhances cell proliferation and expression of Bcl-2. *J Immunol* 2002;169:1760-7.

PostScript

MATTERS ARISING

If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in the *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, why not make use of our "rapid response" option?

Log on to our website (www.annrheumdis.com), find the paper that interests you, and send your response via email by clicking on "eLetters" option in the box at the top right hand corner.

Providing it isn't libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on "read eLetters" on our homepage.

The editor will decide as before whether also to publish it in a future paper issue.

Cyclosporin and methotrexate therapy

We read with interest the report by Gerard *et al* on the efficacy of cyclosporin monotherapy compared with methotrexate and cyclosporin combination therapy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis.¹ It is pleasing to see the increasing trend of publications looking at appropriate management strategies in early disease. We have previously reported a study comparing combination methotrexate, cyclosporin A, and intra-articular corticosteroids with sulfasalazine in a similar patient group.²

In our 48 week study there was no difference in American College of Rheumatology response, remission rates, or radiographic progression between the two groups at 48 weeks. The current cohort is similar in age though with shorter disease duration and a higher proportion of rheumatoid factor positive patients. Our study did show significantly fewer withdrawals due to lack of efficacy in the combination group than in the sulfasalazine monotherapy group (1/40 v 10/42), adding weight to the suggestion of the current study which demonstrated more effective retardation of radiographic progression in the combination treated group. These data suggest that the combination may be more effective in a larger study group.

However, combinations involving cyclosporin must be considered in the light of its significant toxicity. Both the current study and our own had significant periods of modestly raised serum creatinine and episodes of hypertension.

The difference in radiographic progression in the Gerards' study compared with our own is interesting. The mean doses of cyclosporin and methotrexate in the combination therapy group at 48 weeks were similar in both studies, and it tempting to speculate that the difference in outcomes between the two studies reflects the difference in the comparator treatment—namely, sulfasalazine versus cyclosporin monotherapy. It appears that monotherapy with sulfasalazine is more effective than cyclosporin at retarding disease

progression measured by radiographic erosion progression rate. We note that the corticosteroid dose in the Gerards' trial is not reported, although it was presumably low judged by the number of injections given. Thus it would appear reasonable to conclude that although cyclosporin (as suggested by its mode of action) is effective in early disease, the benefits are insufficient compared with its toxicity to warrant routine use as first line treatment, either as monotherapy or in combination.

P G Conaghan, P Emery

Academic Unit of Musculoskeletal Disease, University of Leeds, UK

Correspondence to: Professor Paul Emery, Department of Rheumatology Old Nurses Home, Great George Street, Leeds LS1 3EX, UK; p.emery@leeds.ac.uk

References

- Gerards AH, Landewé RB, Prins APA, Bruyn GAW, Goei Thè HS, Laan RFJM, *et al*. Cyclosporin A monotherapy versus cyclosporin A and methotrexate combination therapy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: a double blind randomised placebo controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:291-6.
- Proudman SM, Conaghan PG, Richardson C, Griffiths B, Green MJ, McGonagle D, *et al*. Treatment of poor-prognosis early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized study of treatment with methotrexate, cyclosporin A, and intraarticular corticosteroids compared with sulfasalazine alone. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:1809-19.

Authors' reply

With interest we read the remarks of Conaghan and Emery concerning the differences between our report and the study of Proudman *et al*.¹

The Proudman study compared the combination of methotrexate, cyclosporin, and intra-articular injections with sulfasalazine monotherapy in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Like in our study, Proudman *et al* noticed fewer withdrawals due to inefficacy in the combination therapy group, which underlines the importance of testing combination therapy in early disease.

Although tempting, it is difficult to compare outcome measures in Proudman's study and our study because of the differences in the study group and the lack of randomisation. We think that erosion scores in the two studies should not be compared when the interobserver differences are not known. We do not know if sulfasalazine or cyclosporin is better at retarding radiological progression, on the basis of the information from these two studies.

Conaghan and Emery conclude that cyclosporin cannot be used as a first line treatment in early RA, either as monotherapy or in combination therapy. We do not share that view. Cyclosporin toxicity was well controlled in a earlier study in early RA.² The issue of nephrotoxicity with any treatment including cyclosporin is not resolved, although the guidelines state that toxicity is acceptable when dosage rules are closely guarded.³⁻⁶ We did not advocate the combination of methotrexate and cyclosporin as first line treatment

in early RA because the data on efficacy were not sufficient. On the other hand, there is no evidence that the combination cannot be used because of toxicity.

A H Gerards, B A C Dijkmans

VU Medical Centre and Jan van Breemen Instituut, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

R B M Landewé

University Hospital Maastricht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Dr A H Gerards, Department of Rheumatology, Vlietland Ziekenhuis, 3116 BA Schiedam, The Netherlands; agerards@ssv.nl

References

- Proudman SM, Conaghan PG, Richardson C, Griffiths B, Green MJ, McGonagle D, *et al*. Treatment of poor-prognosis early rheumatoid arthritis. A randomized study of treatment with methotrexate, cyclosporin A, and intraarticular corticosteroids compared with sulfasalazine alone. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:1809-19.
- Landewé RB, Goei Thè HS, van Rijnhoven AW, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. A randomized, double-blind, 24-week controlled study of low-dose cyclosporine versus chloroquine for early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37:637-43.
- van den Borne BE, Landewé RB, Goei Thè HS, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. CyclosporinA therapy in rheumatoid arthritis: only strict application of the guidelines for safe use can prevent irreversible renal function loss. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 1999;38:254-9.
- Landewé RB, Goei Thè HS, van Rijnhoven AW, Rietveld JR, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. Cyclosporine in common clinical practice: an estimation of the benefit/risk ratio in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1994;21:1631-6.
- Rodriguez F, Krayenbuhl JC, Harrison WB, Forre O, Dijkmans BA, Tugwell P, *et al*. Renal biopsy findings and followup of renal function in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with cyclosporin A. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:1491-8.
- Tugwell P, Baker P. Guidelines for the use of cyclosporine in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 1995;14(suppl 2):37-41.

Cyclosporin A in rheumatoid arthritis

We read the paper by Gerard *et al* with interest.¹ The authors are to be commended for the modest claims they make about the results of their study. They show that a combination of methotrexate and cyclosporin better retards radiographically visible progression than cyclosporin alone after one year in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis (RA). It raises the question whether cyclosporin A still has a place in the early treatment of this disease. One shortcoming of this study as stated in the paper is the lack of a methotrexate only arm. Furthermore, the study did not use optimal doses of methotrexate in the combined arm. Therefore, the possibility that the additional beneficial effects achieved in the combined arm at least in part might have been seen with methotrexate given in monotherapy cannot be excluded. The authors cite a number of studies supporting a retarding effect of cyclosporin, but fail to cite evidence that cyclosporin is not better

than sodium aurothiomalate (Myocrisin) in this respect.² This study stratified for the use of corticosteroids, in contrast with another often cited paper which claims that cyclosporin is better than a number of comparative disease modifying antirheumatic drugs, including chloroquine.³ The three year follow up of the stratified study still showed no difference in radiographic progression between the arms. Despite strict adherence to safety rules about dosing of cyclosporin, adverse renal effects were seen, which were not completely reversible.⁴

The safety issue is, however, unsettled, and the main purpose of our comment. Cyclosporin is an indispensable drug in transplantation medicine and of unquestionable value in the treatment of unresponsive patients with conditions such as vasculitis and uveitis. A prospective biopsy study in patients with psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis showed that all of around 30 patients developed interstitial fibrosis and arteriolar wall thickening characteristic of cyclosporin damage.⁵ A similar study in patients with RA has not been published. A study published in 1996 stated: "Long term continuous treatment of RA with low dose cyclosporin does not result in more structural nephropathy than the disease process itself, in spite of substantial and persistent deterioration of the renal function".⁶ This study compared renal biopsy results from 11 patients with RA treated for 24 months with 22 necropsy specimens. Although no morphological differences were apparent, creatinine clearance had diminished by 26% in the patients. The accompanying editorial pointed out the weaknesses of the study, based on small size, lack of pretreatment biopsies, and uncertainty about the control group.⁷

A registry based study was published in 1996,⁸ consisting of 60 patients in all. It was not stated how the patients were selected for biopsy. The authors concluded that the low doses that had been given to 22 of the patients had not caused any renal damage. A more recent analysis performed in 1998 of cyclosporin induced nephrotoxicity in autoimmune diseases concluded, however, that the treatment even with doses of 5 mg/kg/day or lower was not without risks, and that renal biopsies should be seriously considered in patients who develop even slight renal function impairment.⁹ This view is based on the slowly progressive interstitial fibrosis and arterial wall thickening characteristic of cyclosporin toxicity. A review published in 1999 examines the subject of renal toxicity and long term treatment with cyclosporin of autoimmune disease.¹⁰ It concludes that even strict adherence to recommended rules carries a substantial risk for irreversible changes after two years' treatment, and emphasises the need for rigorous risk-benefit analysis in each patient. In view of the lack of long term safety data based inter alia on systematic prospective biopsy results we feel that one should not use cyclosporin in patients with RA until other possible treatments have failed.

After the initial submission of this letter Fox *et al* published a report showing that cyclosporin A when given to patients with RA also treated with methotrexate, inhibits the oxidation of methotrexate to an inactive metabolite and thereby potentiates the effect of methotrexate. This will thus lead to a potentiation of the methotrexate effect and increased risks of adverse reactions when the drugs are combined.

T Saxne, F A Wollheim

Department of Rheumatology, Lund University Hospital, S-221 85 Lund, Sweden

Correspondence to: Professor F A Wollheim; Frank.Wollheim@reum.lu.se

References

- Gerards AH, Landewe RB, Prins AP, Bruyn GA, Goei TH, Laan RF, *et al*. Cyclosporin A monotherapy versus cyclosporin A and methotrexate combination therapy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: a double blind randomised placebo controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:291-6.
- Zeidler HK, Kvien TK, Hannonen P, Wollheim FA, Forre O, Geidel H, *et al*. Progression of joint damage in early active severe rheumatoid arthritis during 18 months of treatment: comparison of low-dose cyclosporin and parenteral gold. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;**37**:874-82.
- Pasero G, Priolo F, Marubini E, Fantini F, Ferraccioli G, Magaro M, *et al*. Slow progression of joint damage in early rheumatoid arthritis treated with cyclosporin A. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;**39**:1006-15.
- Kvien TK, Zeidler HK, Hannonen P, Wollheim FA, Forre O, Hofstrom I, *et al*. Long term efficacy and safety of cyclosporin versus parenteral gold in early rheumatoid arthritis: a three year study of radiographic progression, renal function, and arterial hypertension. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;**61**:511-16.
- Zachariae H, Kragballe K, Hansen HE, Marcussen N, Olsen S. Renal biopsy findings in long-term cyclosporin treatment of psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol* 1997;**136**:531-5.
- Landewe RB, Dijkmans BA, van der Woude FJ, Breedveld FC, Mihatsch MJ, Bruijn JA. Longterm low dose cyclosporine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: renal function loss without structural nephropathy. *J Rheumatol* 1996;**23**:61-4.
- Pei Y. Chronic cyclosporine nephrotoxicity in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1996;**23**:4-5.
- Rodriguez F, Kravensbuhl JC, Harrison WB, Forre O, Dijkmans BA, Tugwell P, *et al*. Renal biopsy findings and followup of renal function in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with cyclosporin A. An update from the International Kidney Biopsy Registry. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;**39**:1491-8.
- Vercauteren SB, Bosmans JL, Elseviers MM, Verpoeten GA, De Broe ME. A meta-analysis and morphological review of cyclosporine-induced nephrotoxicity in autoimmune diseases. *Kidney Int* 1998;**54**:536-45.
- Zachariae H. Renal toxicity of long-term cyclosporin. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1999;**28**:65-8.
- Fox RI, Morgan SL, Smith HT, Robbins BA, Choc MG, Baggott JE. Combined oral cyclosporin and methotrexate therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis elevates methotrexate levels and reduces 7-hydroxymethotrexate levels when compared with methotrexate alone. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2003;**42**:989-94.

Authors' reply

We thank Saxne and Wollheim for their kind remarks. Indeed, we were interested in whether the beneficial effects in the combination therapy group should be ascribed to the concerted action of the combining drugs rather than to the action of methotrexate alone. To test this hypothesis we selected a sample of 41 patients out of a cohort of 411 patients who all had participated in the methotrexate/folate supplementation study which was published recently.¹ These 41 patients were matched for age, sex, disease duration, and clinical disease activity. All 41 patients had early rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and were treated with methotrexate as their first disease modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD; median dose 15 mg/week). Of these 41 patients, 19 (47%) had an

American College of Rheumatology (ACR)20 response after one year of treatment, 9 (22%) had an ACR50 response, and 3 (8%) had an ACR70 response. The proportions of patients who had responded to methotrexate monotherapy were in the same range as the proportions of patients who had responded to cyclosporin monotherapy, and substantially lower than the proportions who responded to cyclosporin plus methotrexate combination therapy in our study.

These results give an indication that the effects seen in the combination therapy arm cannot be ascribed to methotrexate alone. Recently, Marchesoni *et al* published the results of a study showing that the combination of cyclosporin and methotrexate is more effective in retarding radiological progression than methotrexate alone.^{1a}

The subject of nephrotoxicity of cyclosporin remains highly controversial.

We agree with Saxne and Wollheim that structural damage to the kidney is not clearly demonstrated in patients with RA treated with cyclosporin. Reports in other autoimmune diseases cannot be extrapolated to RA but warrant a careful approach. Most reports on cyclosporin in RA state that impairment of the renal function is reversible if dosage guidelines are strictly followed.²⁻⁵ The study of Boers *et al* showed that nephrotoxicity is reversible.⁶ The study of Kvien *et al*⁷ is an extension of the study of Zeidler *et al*.⁸ In the study of Zeidler dose reduction of cyclosporin was required if serum creatinine rose to >50% above the baseline, while guidelines recommend 30%. In the study of Kvien it is clear that it was mainly patients who had a rise in creatinine >50% during cyclosporin treatment who were at risk of creatinine remaining high after discontinuation of cyclosporin. This again underlines the importance of the guidelines. We advocate the use of creatinine clearance measurement or calculation before starting cyclosporin treatment, to select patients at risk.

Data on renal function should be viewed from the point of view that renal function loss is common in patients with RA.⁹ It is not clear whether the patients in the study of Zeidler and Kvien who were treated on the basis of the cyclosporin guidelines (a rise in creatinine no more than 30% is acceptable) were subjected to a greater renal function loss than other patients with RA. Unfortunately, studies from Zachariae (on psoriasis and with higher cyclosporin dosages) and Vercauteren (not concerning patients with RA) do not shed light on this topic. Our conclusion is that on the basis of current knowledge on toxicity there is no reason to withhold cyclosporin from all patients with RA. However, questions about efficacy still have to be answered.

A H Gerards, B A C Dijkmans

VU Medical Centre and Jan van Breemen Instituut, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

R B M Landewe

University Hospital Maastricht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Dr A H Gerards, Department of Rheumatology, Vlietland Ziekenhuis, 3116 BA Schiedam, The Netherlands; agerards@ssvz.nl

References

- van Ede AE, Laan RF, Rood MJ, Huizinga TW, van de Laar MA, van Denderen CJ, *et al*. Effect of folic or folinic acid supplementation on the toxicity and efficacy of methotrexate in rheumatoid

- arthritis: a forty-eight week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;**44**:1515–24.
- 1a **Marchesoni A**, Battafarano N, Arreghini M, Panni B, Gallazzi M, Tosi S. Radiographic progression in early rheumatoid arthritis: a 12-month randomized controlled study comparing the combination of cyclosporin and methotrexate with methotrexate alone. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2003. (Epub ahead of print.)
 - 2 **van den Borne BE**, Landewe RB, Goei The HS, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. Cyclosporin A therapy in rheumatoid arthritis: only strict application of the guidelines for safe use can prevent irreversible renal function loss. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 1999;**38**:254–9.
 - 3 **Landewe RB**, Goei The HS, van Rijnhoven AW, Rietveld JR, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. Cyclosporine in common clinical practice: an estimation of the benefit/risk ratio in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1994;**21**:1631–6.
 - 4 **Rodriguez F**, Krayenbuhl JC, Harrison WB, Forre O, Dijkmans BA, Tugwell P, et al. Renal biopsy findings and followup of renal function in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with cyclosporin A. An update from the International Kidney Biopsy Registry. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;**39**:1491–8.
 - 5 **Tugwell P**, Baker P. Guidelines for the use of cyclosporine in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 1995;**14**(suppl 2):37–41.
 - 6 **Boers M**, Dijkmans BAC, van Rijnhoven AWAM, Goei The HS, Cats A. Reversible nephrotoxicity of cyclosporine in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1990;**17**:38–42.
 - 7 **Kvien TK**, Zeidler HK, Hannonen P, Wollheim FA, Forre O, Halstrom I, et al. Long term efficacy and safety of cyclosporin versus parenteral gold in early rheumatoid arthritis: a three year study of radiographic progression, renal function, and arterial hypertension. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;**61**:511–16.
 - 8 **Zeidler HK**, Kvien TK, Hannonen P, Wollheim FA, Forre O, Geidel H, et al. Progression of joint damage in early active severe rheumatoid arthritis during 18 months of treatment: comparison of low-dose cyclosporin and parenteral gold. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;**37**:874–2.
 - 9 **Boers M**. Renal disorders in rheumatoid arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1990;**20**:57–68.

Is methotrexate osteopathy a form of bone idiosyncrasy?

I read the letter about low dose methotrexate (MTX) osteopathy with mixed feelings.¹ On the one hand, it is not unusual for a woman to develop insufficiency bone fracture after 25 years of prednisone treatment. Longstanding inflammatory joint disease also affects bone. The patient had an active disease that is associated with osteoclast activation mediated by tumour necrosis factor-osteoprotegerin. However, the authors

underestimated other possible factors which might have had an influence on bone density. Menstrual cycle status was not discussed. Results of bone density assessment were not described despite long term steroid treatment. Risk factors such as family history, smoking, diet, and physical activity were not analysed.

Of note, besides pelvic fracture, increased technetium-99m uptake was seen in joint areas with normal standard radiographs. This may be due to active arthritis and enthesopathy. We can draw no conclusions about the duration of the bone scan findings. Data about previous scans are absent. MTX in vitro does not affect the proliferation and further maturation of osteoblasts.² No adverse effect of low dose MTX (<30 mg/week) on bone formation in RA has been found.³ Studies have shown that low dose MTX treatment did not cause a decrease of bone density and was similar to that of the control groups.^{4–6} Summarising previous studies we can state that most patients have no increased risk of MTX osteopathy. Osteopathy resulting from high dose MTX treatment in children with malignancy occurs only in 9% of patients.⁷

On the other hand, however, this young woman developed pelvic spontaneous fracture three months after the onset of MTX treatment. Severe leg pains increased by weight bearing and relieved by rest followed after four months of treatment. Such a rapid occurrence suggests hypersensitivity of the delayed type with targeting to bones. Bone targeted drug idiosyncrasy may also be considered. Very delayed drug induced hypersensitivity affecting fat tissue of the abdomen has been reported previously.⁸ Other tissues may also be affected. Drug sensitivity tests may be helpful.

High and low dose MTX osteopathy have similar signs and symptoms, including a triad of severe low extremity pain (distal tibia), osteoporosis, and compression bone fractures occurring spontaneously or after minimal trauma. Both may develop even over a short period of time after the onset of MTX treatment.^{1,9} In both osteoporosis dosage groups scurvy-like lines may be seen on x ray examination, which may be normal at the start. Because the multiple controls receiving the same treatment in both groups do not have signs of such severe osteoporosis, it is assumed that an as yet unknown cause may be responsible.¹⁰ We propose hypersensitivity reaction or idiosyncrasy, rapidly affecting bone tissue, may be such causes. There have been comparable reported rates of high and low dose (different by 70–100 fold) MTX

osteopathy, independent of cumulative doses,⁷ pointing to the possible role of idiopathic or hypersensitivity aetiologies (table 1). Bone pain diminished within one month after stopping MTX treatment in both groups, and x ray findings returned to normal 5–7 months later.^{7,9} Proposed bone hypersensitivity in MTX osteopathy may be compared with hypersensitivity lung or liver disease due to MTX treatment. These serious complications of MTX treatment may follow any cumulative dose of the drug. Recognising the phenomenon of MTX bone idiosyncrasy or hypersensitivity may prevent the unnecessary or harmful proposal that MTX treatment is a risk factor for osteoporosis and should be relatively contraindicated in patients with multiple risk factors for osteoporosis.

A P Rozin

Bat Galim, Rambam Medical Centre, PO Box 9602, Haifa, Israel

Correspondence to: Dr A P Rozin, B Shine Department of Rheumatology, Rambam Medical Centre, PO Box 9602, Haifa 31096, Israel; a_rozin@rambam.health.gov.il

References

- 1 **Rudler M**, Pouchot J, Paycha F, Gentelle S, Grasland A, Vinceneux P. Low dose methotrexate osteopathy in a patient with polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:588–9.
- 2 **Minaur NJ**, Jefferiss C, Bhalla AK, Beresford JN. Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. I. In vitro effects on cells of the osteoblast lineage. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:735–40.
- 3 **Minaur NJ**, Kounali D, Vedi S, Compston JE, Beresford JN, Bhalla AK. Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. II. In vivo effects on bone mineral density. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:741–9.
- 4 **Kita K**, Sierakowski S. The effect of low dose methotrexate treatment on bone mineral density in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Pol Merkuriusz Lek* 2002;**12**:122–5.
- 5 **Cranney AB**, McKendry RJ, Wells GA, Ooi DS, Kanigsberg ND, Kraag GR, et al. The effect of low dose methotrexate on bone density. *J Rheumatol* 2001;**28**:2395–9.
- 6 **Mazzantini M**, Di Munno O, Incerti-Vecchi L, Pasero G. Vertebral bone mineral density changes in female rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with low-dose methotrexate. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000;**18**:327–31.
- 7 **Ecklund K**, Laor T, Goorim AM, Connolly LP, Jaramillo D. Methotrexate osteopathy in patients with osteosarcoma. *Radiology* 1997;**202**:543–7.
- 8 **Rozin A**, Bishara B, Ben-Izhak O, Fischer D, Carter A, Edoute Y. Fibrosing omental panniculitis and polyserositis associated with long-term treatment by paroxetine. *IMAJ* 2000;**2**:714–16.
- 9 **Zonneveld IM**, Bakker WK, Dijkstra PF, Bos JD, van Soesbergen RM, Dinant HJ. Methotrexate osteopathy in long-term, low-dose methotrexate treatment for psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis. *Arch Dermatol* 1996;**132**:184–7.
- 10 **Kooller A**, Fill H, Kurz R, Riccabona G, Haas H. Osteopathy due to methotrexate. *Osterr Kneipp Mag* 1976;**3**:63–9.

Low dose methotrexate osteopathy in a patient with polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis

We read with some surprise the article by Rudler and colleagues proposing a case of a 36 year old woman with methotrexate (MTX) osteopathy.¹ The authors report insufficiency fractures after low dose MTX treatment for

Table 1 Publications on high dose and low dose MTX osteopathy since the first report in 1970

High dose Cumulative dose: 7.5–144 g/m ² Onset: 4–11 months	Low dose Cumulative dose: 97.5 mg–3.5 g/m ² Onset: 3 months–8.5 years
Ragab <i>et al</i> , 1970	Preston SJ <i>et al</i> , 1993
Newman <i>et al</i> , 1973	Shapira D <i>et al</i> , 1995
O'Regan <i>et al</i> , 1973	Maenaut <i>et al</i> , 1996
Koller <i>et al</i> , 1976	Zonneveld <i>et al</i> , 1996
Stanisavljevic <i>et al</i> , 1977	Bologna <i>et al</i> , 1996
Jaffe <i>et al</i> , 1987	Singwe M <i>et al</i> , 1998
Vassilopoulou-Sellin <i>et al</i> , 1992	Stevens <i>et al</i> , 2001
Meister <i>et al</i> , 1994	Wijnands <i>et al</i> , 2001
Exclund <i>et al</i> , 1997	Rudler <i>et al</i> , 2003
Warner <i>et al</i> , 1999	

three months and further fractures two months later.

They suggest that MTX osteopathy may be more common than expected in patients treated with low dose methotrexate, yet all the evidence suggests the opposite. MTX is now the most commonly prescribed disease modifying antirheumatic drug for rheumatoid arthritis in America and parts of Europe.^{2,3} We conservatively estimate that 120 000 patients receive low dose MTX in the UK alone, with historically a greater proportion of patients in America receiving the drug. Yet cases of proposed MTX osteopathy with low dose treatment are vanishingly rare (six reported cases in adults). Moreover, recent data suggest that low dose MTX has no effect on bone turnover at all.

In this case only a low dose of MTX was used and is the suggested cause of the fractures. Data from paediatric cases suggest that extremely high doses of MTX (20 g/m², 80 g/m², and 135 g/m²) are associated with MTX osteopathy.⁴ Smaller cumulative doses have been implicated in adults, but in the only other published case with short duration (nine months) the patient received almost fivefold more MTX.⁵ It is surprising that the authors do not comment on the role of the high doses of prednisolone treatment (estimated cumulative dose of 92 g) or the presence of inflammatory disease over 27 years, both important risk factors for insufficiency fractures.

There is a growing body of evidence to refute the fact that MTX has any clinically significant effect on bone mineral density (BMD) or a significant impact on the osteoblast lineage. Patel *et al* carried out a prospective study of patients with psoriasis and low dose MTX treatment, and reported no significant change in markers of bone turnover or BMD after 21 months' follow up.⁵ Minaur *et al* found that the proliferation and maturation of cells of the osteoblast lineage were not affected by MTX.⁶ In a study of 116 patients, no direct association of MTX with BMD loss or bone turnover markers was found, and in a small subset, no impact on bone formation was shown by biopsy.⁷

There appears to be sufficient evidence to doubt the pathogenic role of MTX in this case. Further information about the treatment of the patient in the study of Rudler *et al*, her BMD, parathyroid hormone levels, and long term outcome are necessary. Did she receive any treatment at all after her initial fractures? In the last paragraph the authors refer to stress fractures. Are they implying that undue stress or activity contributed to the clinical picture? We believe they should be described as insufficiency fractures. The former are fractures occurring in otherwise normal bones by an abnormally applied mechanical load and the latter are due to abnormal bone.

Currently, it is thought that the possibility of a detrimental impact of MTX on the skeleton, even with concomitant corticosteroids, is low. It is important to emphasise that MTX has had a major impact in improving the health and bones (through corticosteroid sparing) of patients with inflammatory arthritis as well as other inflammatory conditions, which greatly outweighs any possible detrimental effects.

M A Quinn, M J Green, A K S Gough
Harrogate District Hospital, UK

Correspondence to: Dr A K S Gough, Harrogate District Hospital; andrew.gough@hnc-tr.northy.nhs.uk

References

- 1 Rudler M, Pouchot J, Paycha F, Gentelle S, Grasland A, Vinceneux P. Low dose methotrexate osteopathy in a patient with polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:588–9.
- 2 Mikuls TR, O'Dell J. The changing face of rheumatoid arthritis therapy: results of serial surveys. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;**43**:464–5.
- 3 Klauka T, Kaarela K. Methotrexate is the leading DMARD in Finland. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:494.
- 4 Meister B, Gassner I, Streif W, Dengg K, Fink FM. Methotrexate osteopathy in infants with tumors of the central nervous system. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1994;**23**:493–6.
- 5 Zonneveld IM, Bakker WK, Dijkstra PF, Bos JD, van Soesbergen RM, Dinant HJ. Methotrexate osteopathy in long-term, low-dose methotrexate treatment for psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis. *Arch Dermatol* 1996;**132**:184–7.
- 6 Patel S, Patel G, Johnson D, Ogunremi I, Barron J. Effect of low dose weekly methotrexate on bone mineral density and bone turnover. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:186–7.
- 7 Minaur NJ, Kounali D, Vedei S, Compston JE, Beresford JN, Bhalla AK. Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. II. In vivo effects on bone mineral density. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:741–9.
- 8 Minaur NJ, Jefferiss C, Bhalla AK, Beresford JN. Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. I. In vitro effects on cells of the osteoblast lineage. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:735–40.

Authors' reply to Rozin and Quinn *et al*

We read with interest the comments by Rozin and by Quinn and colleagues about our recent publication on low dose methotrexate (MTX) osteopathy in a patient with polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Our report was not intended to suggest that MTX osteopathy may be more common than expected, and we agree that reported cases of low dose MTX osteopathy are exceedingly rare compared with the number of patients treated with MTX. Certainly, at a first glance it might not be very surprising that this patient developed serial insufficiency bone fractures after 25 years of prednisone treatment. However, the temporal association with the introduction of MTX and the multiplicity of fractures was striking.

We acknowledge that we did not provide further information about other possible factors that might have influenced the risk fracture in this patient. This 35 year old woman was not menopausal, did not smoke, and had a normal diet, and her physical activity was markedly restricted as her polyarticular joint involvement was severe. Unfortunately, family history of osteoporosis and bone mineral density were not assessed.

We disagree with Rozin about his interpretation of the technetium-99m diphosphonate bone survey. The multiple areas of increased uptake are asymmetric, which would be unlikely for a flare of polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Moreover, the enhanced uptake which was localised to the femoral condyles and right calcaneum is not compatible with joint involvement. The increased uptake is certainly too marked and too diffuse to be related to multiple enthesopathies, which would also be very unusual clinical features in this type of

inflammatory rheumatism. In a scintigraphic study of the cruciate deficiency model of knee arthritis in dog, the uptake ratio (unstable knee/contralateral knee) did not exceed 2.0 (controls value: 1.0 to 0.10).¹ Conversely, in a semiquantitative ("scintimetric") ^{99m}Tc diphosphonate scintigraphic follow up study of patients with peripheral fractures, the uptake ratio (fracture/normal reference site) was much higher (5.0 to 8.0).² In our patient the uptake ratio was 5.5 and 3.7 for the left knee/right knee and right calcaneum/left calcaneum, respectively, which is further evidence for the diagnosis of multiple fractures.

Data for the in vitro effect of MTX on osteoblasts are conflicting, but we agree with Rozin and Quinn and colleagues that the in vivo effect assessed on bone mineral density is reassuring in most studies.^{3–6} Moreover, better control of the inflammatory arthritis should allow an increase of physical activity, which in turn may improve osteoporosis. The hypothesis of bone hypersensitivity or idiosyncrasy to MTX that is discussed by Rozin is only speculative, but appealing. Finally, we obviously concur with both comments and agree that such an exceptional observation of MTX osteopathy should certainly not deter from the use of MTX in idiopathic juvenile arthritis or other inflammatory arthritides when it is indicated.

J Pouchot, M Rudler, S Gentelle, A Grasland, P Vinceneux

Service de Médecine Interne, Hôpital Louis Mourier, Faculté Xavier Bichat, Paris VII, France

F Paycha

Médecine Nucléaire, Hôpital Louis Mourier, Faculté Xavier Bichat, Paris VII, France

Correspondence to: Dr J Pouchot, Service de Médecine Interne, Hôpital Louis Mourier, 178, rue des Renouillers, 92700 Colombes, France; jacques.pouchot@lmr.ap-hop-paris.fr

References

- 1 Brandt KD, Schauwecker DS, Dansereau S, Meyer J, O'Connor B, Meyers SL. Bone scintigraphy in the canine cruciate deficiency model of osteoarthritis. Comparison of the unstable and contralateral knee. *J Rheumatol* 1997;**24**:140–5.
- 2 Spitz J, Lauer I, Tittel K, Weigand H. Scintimetric evaluation of remodelling after bone fractures in man. *J Nucl Med* 1993;**34**:1403–9.
- 3 Uehara R, Suzuki Y, Ichikawa Y. Methotrexate (MTX) inhibits osteoblastic differentiation in vitro: possible mechanism of MTX osteopathy. *J Rheumatol* 2001;**28**:251–6.
- 4 Minaur NJ, Jefferiss C, Bhalla AK, Beresford JN. Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. I. In vitro effects on cells of the osteoblast lineage. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:735–40.
- 5 Minaur NJ, Kounali D, Vedei S, Compston JE, Beresford JN, Bhalla AK. Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. II. In vivo effects on bone mineral density. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:741–9.
- 6 Buckley LM, Leib ES, Cartularo KS, Vacek PM, Cooper SM. Effects of low dose methotrexate on the bone mineral density of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1997;**24**:1489–94.

Clinical comparisons of RA between different populations: are they feasible?

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the most common chronic inflammatory disease,

affecting about 1% of the white population, particularly female patients, and has considerable physical, psychological, and social repercussions.¹

In a paper published previously in the *Annals*, Dadonienė *et al* described and compared two cohorts of patients with RA from Vilnius (Lithuania) and Oslo (Norway).² There were no significant differences in sex, age, extra-articular manifestations, education, or family history of RA between the groups. None the less, there were important differences in disease activity, disability, pain, emotional, mental and general health, with patients in the Vilnius group having the worst scores. The number of patients who had never used a disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) was similar in both groups. Vilnius patients had more commonly used azathioprine, sulfasalazine, and antimalarial drugs, whereas Oslo patients had used methotrexate, gold salts, cyclosporin, and D-penicillamine. Surgery was more common in the Oslo patients. That study was developed to compare the evolution and outcomes of two different populations with RA and was the first to include health related quality of life. The authors attributed the differences between these groups to differences in economic status, medical care, drugs used and, to a lesser extent, genetic differences.

During the past years the HLA system has been gaining an increasingly important role in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. HLA polymorphism has multiple effects on the immune system.³

HLA-DRB1 alleles have been associated with RA in a number of populations. In the third hypervariable region of their DRβ1 chain, they share a sequence of amino acids named "the shared epitope" (SE).⁴

In a mestizo Colombian population we found that the SE ⁷⁰QKRRRA⁷⁴ in DRB1*04 alleles had the strongest association with RA.⁵ However, we did not find any significant association between HLA and RA in African Colombians, emphasising the importance of genetic differences even among populations living within the same country.⁶

There have been different findings from one area to another. In Latin America, the differences are important. In Chilean patients the most common HLA-DRB1 alleles were DRB1*0404 and *0408 and the SE influenced the radiographic evolution of hands erosions.^{7,8} In the Argentinian population the DRB1*0404 was also important but only DRB1*1001 was related to RA severity.⁹ In the Peruvian population an association between DRB1*0401 and RA in the Latin American population.

These findings suggest that SE inheritance and genetic influence may vary depending on the genetic background of the studied population even in apparently closely located countries. The previous study comparing the Norwegian and Lithuanian populations without inclusion of genetic typing may be misleading. Furthermore, not only may the HLA system play a part in the disease outcome and disease progression of these patients but pharmacogenetics may also be at least as important. The efficacy of methotrexate, sulfasalazine, and other DMARDs in reducing the radiological progression of RA erosions has been proved; however, their

efficacy and tolerability may be influenced by mutations in their metabolic pathways or in their cellular targets.^{11,12}

Epidemiology of autoimmune diseases is becoming more complex as our knowledge of HLA and genetics becomes more complete. The time is coming when diseases will be defined not only by their symptomatology but also by the genetic background of their hosts.

J Cadena

Corporación para Investigaciones Biológicas (CIB),
Medellín, Colombia

J-M Anaya

School of Medicine, Universidad Pontificia
Bolívariana, Medellín, Colombia

Correspondence to: Dr J-M Anaya, Unidad de
Reumatología CIB, Cra. 72-A No 78-B-141, Medellín,
Colombia; jmanaya@cib.org.co

References

- 1 Wolfe F, Pincus T. *Rheumatoid arthritis: pathogenesis, assessment, outcome, and treatment*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1994.
- 2 Dadonienė J, Uhlig T, Stropuviene S, Venalis A, Boonen A, Kvien TK. Disease activity and health status in rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control comparison between Norway and Lithuania. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:231–5.
- 3 Klein J, Sato A. The HLA system—second of two parts. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**343**:782–6.
- 4 Gregersen PK, Silver J, Winchester RJ. The shared epitope hypothesis: an approach to understanding the molecular genetics of susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1987;**30**:1205–13.
- 5 Anaya JM, Correa PA, Mantilla RD, Arcos-Burgos M. Rheumatoid arthritis association in Colombian population is restricted to HLA-DRB1*04 QRRAA alleles. *Genes Immunity* 2002;**3**:56–8.
- 6 Anaya JM, Correa PA, Mantilla RD, Jimenez F, Kuffner T, McNicholl J. Rheumatoid arthritis in African Colombians from Quibdó. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2001;**31**:191–8.
- 7 Gonzalez A, Nicovani S, Massardo L, Aguirre V, Cervilla V, Lanchbury JS, *et al*. Influence of the HLA-DRB on susceptibility to and clinical expression of rheumatoid arthritis in Chilean patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1997;**56**:191–3.
- 8 Massardo L, Gareca N, Cartes A, Cervilla V, Gonzalez A, Jacobelli S. Possession of HLA-DRB1 correlates with erosive disease in Chilean patients with RA. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;**41**:153–6.
- 9 Citera G, Padulo LA, Fernandez G, Lazaro MA, Rossemfet MG, Maldonado JA. Influence of HLA-DR alleles on rheumatoid arthritis: susceptibility and severity in Argentine patients. *J Rheumatol* 2001;**28**:1486–91.
- 10 Castro F, Acevedo E, Ciusani E, Angulo JM, Wollheim FA, Sandberg-Wollheim M. Tumor necrosis factor microsatellites and HLA-DRB1*, HLA-DQA1*, and HLA-DQB1* alleles in Peruvian patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;**60**:791–5.
- 11 Ranganathan P, Eisen S, Yokoyama WM, McLeod HL. Will pharmacogenetics allow better prediction of methotrexate toxicity and efficacy in patients with RA? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:4–9.
- 12 Taniguchi A, Urano W, Tanaka E, Afama H, Yamanaka H, Kamatani N. Pharmacogenetics of disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs [abstract]. *Nippon Rinsho* 2002;**60**:2339–44.

Authors' reply

We thank Drs Cadena and Anaya for their important and interesting comments on our paper reporting differences in disease activity and health status between matched patients in Norway and Lithuania.¹

Cadena and Anaya focus on the difference in the genetics of the HLA system or pharmacogenetic differences as a potential explanation for our findings. They refer to several studies, mainly from their own region of the world, where genetic markers have been associated with disease severity and progression. We agree that rheumatoid arthritis is associated with genes, mainly in the region encoding the major histocompatibility complex genes.² However, the relative importance of genes is controversial also because low disease concordance has been found in studies of monozygotic twins. Some of the genetic studies indicate only a limited influence of genetic factors on disease susceptibility and progression, and this may suggest a relatively stronger importance of environmental factors.³

However, we completely agree with the comments of the authors that genetic factors, ideally, should have been examined in both populations. However, blood samples were not available for such analyses, but our results would have been stronger if data on the genetic background of the populations had also been available.

T K Kvien, J Dadonienė

The Institute of Experimental and Clinical Medicine of
Vilnius University Zygimantū -9, Vilnius, Lithuania LT-
2600; jolanta.dadonienė@ekmi.vu.lt

References

- 1 Dadonienė J, Uhlig T, Stropuviene S, Venalis A, Boonen A, Kvien TK. Disease activity and health status in rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control comparison between Norway and Lithuania. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**:231–5.
- 2 Jawaheer D, Gregersen PK. Rheumatoid arthritis. The genetic components. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2002;**28**:1–15.
- 3 Harney S, Wordsworth BP. Genetic epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis. *Tissue Antigens* 2002;**60**:465–73.

FORTHCOMING EVENTS

American Back Society: Advanced Diagnosis and Treatment for Neck and Back Pain 2004

13–15 November 2003; Las Vegas, Nevada
24 CME category I units
Tel: +1 510 536 9929
Fax: +1 510 536 1812
Email: info@americanbacksoc.org
Website: http://www.americanbacksoc.org

Fourth International Symposium on Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis

14–17 November 2003; Nice, France
Contact: Organisation Secretariat, YP
Communication, 108 boulevard G Kleyer,
4000 Liège, Belgium
Tel: +32 (4) 254 12 25
Fax: +32 (4) 254 12 90
Email: yoland@piettecommunication.com
Website: http://nice.piettecommunication.com

2nd International Forum on Geronto-Rheumatology

27–29 November 2003; Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Contact: Erna Kleinjan, project manager Mark Two Communications, PO Box 358, 3830 AK Leusden
Tel: + 31 33 434 5730
Fax: + 31 33 434 5720
Email: ekleinjan@marktwn.nl
Website: www.marktwo.nl

International Congress on SLE and Related Conditions

9–13 May 2004, New York, New York, USA
Contact: The Oakley Group, 2014 Broadway, Suite 250, Nashville, Tennessee 37203, USA
Tel: +1 615 322 2785
Fax: +1 615 322 2784
Email: Lupus2004@theoakleygroup.com
Website: <http://www.lupus2004.org>

IOF World Congress on Osteoporosis

14–18 May 2004; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Abstract deadline 14 November 2003
IOF awards are available for scientists:
IOF Claus Christiansen Research Fellowship: 45 000
IOF Servier Young Investigator Fellowship: 40 000
Contact: Congress Secretariat at info@osteofound.org
Website: www.osteofound.org

International Society for the Study of the Lumbar Spine

31 May–5 June 2004; Porto, Portugal
Deadline for abstracts 15 November 2003
Contact: International Society for the Study of the Lumbar Spine, 2075 Bayview Avenue, Room MG 323, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4N 3M5
Tel: 00 1 416 480 4833
Fax: 00 1 416 480 6055
Email: shirley.fitzgerald@sw.ca

XIth International Conference on Behçet's Disease

27–31 October 2004; Antalya, Turkey
Contact: Congress Secretariat, Figur Congress and Organization Services Ltd. STI, Ayazmaderesi Cad. Karadut Sok. No: 7 80888 Dikilitas, Istanbul, Turkey
Tel: +90 (0212) 258 6020
Fax: +90 (0212) 258 6078
Email: behcet2004@figur.net
Website: www.behcet2004.org

4th International Congress on Autoimmunity

3–7 November, 2004; Budapest, Hungary
Deadline for receipt of abstracts: 20 June 2004

Contact: 4th International Congress on Autoimmunity, Kenes International—Global Congress Organisers and Association Management Services, 17 rue du Cendrier, PO Box 1726, CH-1211 Geneva 1, Switzerland
Tel: +41 22 908 0488
Fax: +41 22 732 2850
Email: autoim04@kenes.com
Website: www.kenes.com/autoim2004

VIIth European Lupus Meeting

3–5 March 2005; Royal College of Physicians, London, UK

Contact: Julia Kermode, Conference organiser of the British Society of Rheumatology
Email: Julia@Rheumatology.org.uk

Future EULAR congresses

9–12 June 2004; EULAR 2004; Berlin, Germany
8–11 June 2005; EULAR 2005; Vienna, Austria
21–24 June 2006; EULAR 2006; Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Future ACR meetings

24–28 October 2003; 67th Annual Scientific Meeting; Orlando, Florida
16–21 October 2004; 68th Annual Scientific Meeting; San Antonio, Texas

CORRECTIONS

Corrections printed in the journal also appear on the Annals website
www.annrheumdis.com
and are linked to the original publication.

Apoptosis of peripheral blood lymphocytes in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (Smolewska E, Brozik H, Smolewski P, Biernacka-Zielinska M, Darzynkiewicz Z, Stanczyk J. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:761–3.)

In fig 1 of this article the numbers of patients were corrected but the size of

the boxes was not corrected at the same time. The correct figure is shown below.

Cyclosporin A monotherapy versus cyclosporin A and methotrexate combination therapy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: a double blind randomised placebo controlled trial (Gerards A H, Landewé R B M, Prins A P A, Bruyn G A W, Goei Thè H S, Laan R F J M, Dijkmans B A C. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:291–6.)

One of the authors names was supplied incorrectly. The correct authors are as follows: Gerards A H, Landewé R B M, Prins A P A, **Bruyn G A W**, Goei Thè H S, Laan R F J M, Dijkmans B A C.

Figure 1 Clinical characteristics of children with JIA.