Improved method of isolating bacteria from joint fluids by the use of blood culture bottles

ROBERT VON ESSEN AND AIRA HÖLTÄ

From the Rheumatism Foundation Hospital, Heinola, Finland

SUMMARY An analysis of 47 episodes of bacterial arthritis showed that applying a blood culture procedure to the culture of joint fluids gave positive results in 10 cases (21%) that were negative by conventional methods. When follow up samples taken during antibiotic treatment were considered the proportion of false negatives eliminated rose to 40%. The respective advantages of using a large volume of inoculum and a large volume of medium are that very low numbers of viable bacteria in infected fluid can be detected, and that growth inhibitors are diluted.

Key words: synovial fluid, bacterial arthritis, septic arthritis, suppurative arthritis, purulent arthritis.

The details of synovial fluid (SF) culture are scarcely addressed in diagnostic microbiology texts. Neither is the subject developed beyond standard instructions for pus specimens in a recent handbook dealing specifically with diagnostic tests on joint fluid.¹ Several years ago we became aware of the occasionally very sparse growth on plates inoculated with SF from bacterial arthritis. In most of these instances growth was confined to the area originally covered by the drop of SF, suggesting a low number of viable bacteria. Occasionally the opposite applied: growth was seen only immediately outside the primary area or along its very edge, suggesting some inhibitory factor in SF but not always related to antibiotic treatment. Postulating that some positive specimens might be missed altogether, we started to inoculate SF in bulk into blood culture bottles, in addition to the plates. We have previously published two unusual isolations accomplished only by this method.^{2,3} Here we show that this technique is of importance also in the isolation of common pathogens.

Materials and methods

SF culture results for the 10 year period 1975-84 were reviewed. One thousand, four hundred and thirty nine specimens from slightly smaller numbers

of joints and patients had been cultured. Routinely, a drop of SF was inoculated on a sheep blood agar and a chocolate agar plate, incubated in air/CO₂ at 35°C, and on a brucella agar plate incubated in an anaerobic jar. All plates were held for a minimum of 48 h. Specimens that had been regarded by the clinician with enough suspicion to state a reason for his/her culture request, or were considered suspicious looking by the laboratory staff, were in addition inoculated into blood culture bottles (BCB), 0.1-5 ml/bottle, whenever sufficient SF was available. The bottles contained 45 ml supplemented brain heart infusion broth, with polyanetholsulphonate as anticoagulant and β-lactamase and *p*-aminobenzoic acid as anti-antibiotics, in 20% CO₂/air and 20% CO₂/nitrogen respectively (Hemobact Aerobe and Hemobact Anaerobe, Orion Diagnostica, Espoo, Finland). Occasionally, for example at night, the bottles were injected at the bedside and later brought to the laboratory. The bottles were subcultured the day after inoculation and twice more at weekly intervals. Subcultures were performed as described for primary isolation on plates. Two hundred and one of the specimens were processed in BCB. If there was material left after culture the suspect fluids were Gram stained. Recently they have been first stained with acridine orange⁴ and then restained if indicated.

Results

Positive isolations were obtained from 47 episodes of bacterial arthritis in 40 patients (16 male, 24

Accepted for publication 21 November 1985.

Correspondence to Dr Robert von Essen, Rheumatism Foundation Hospital, SF-18120 Heinola, Finland.

Table 1 Type of infection, diagnosis* and joints involved.

Joint	Early postoperative	Late postoperative	No surgery	Total
Hip prosthesis	1	7		8
Knee prosthesis	9†	4		13
Knee	4‡	0	11§	15
Ankle	0	0	3	3
Shoulder	0	0	2	2
Elbow	0	0	4 ^b	4
Wrist	1	0	0	1
Metacarpophalangeal	0	0	1	1
No of infections	15	11	21	47
No of patients	13	9	18	40

*All RA patients unless otherwise indicated.

†One patient with OA.

‡One patient with OA and one with JRA.

§One patient with SLE, one with JRA, and one primary.

^bOne primary.

female). Three patients were children, aged 4, 9, and 13 years. The last two of these had juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. The age range of the adults was 24–76 years ($\bar{x} \pm SD = 54 \pm 12.3$). Only two patients had no underlying disease; two had osteoarthritis, one had systemic lupus erythematosus, the rest had rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Although rheumatoid patients do have a modestly increased incidence of bacterial arthritis,⁵ the numbers mainly reflect our hospital's primary role as a centre for inflammatory

rheumatic disease. Table 1 shows the joints involved and the clinical backgrounds of the patients. No patient had involvement of more than one joint during each episode of infection.

Table 2 shows the detection rate of the BCB and of the conventional technique for the initial isolates. Follow up samples were available in 33 instances. Of these, follow up cultures were negative in six and reisolation of the offending pathogen was successful in the other 27: once in 17, twice in eight, seven times in one instance (*Salm typhimurium*), and eight times in another (*Staph aureus*). The positive reisolation results are detailed according to organism and isolation technique in Table 3. The *Staph epidermidis* isolates accepted as pathogens were either seen on direct staining, isolated repeatedly, or came from prosthetic joints. Thirty three (70%) of the initial and 36 (75%) of the follow up specimens from the positive cases were inoculated into BCB. Occasionally only a drop of fluid was available; those were mostly follow up samples. A more common reason why BCB were not used, however, was that the infection was either clinically unapparent or that at least word of the opposite failed to reach the laboratory.

Specimens positive by BCB only, often failed to show turbidity the next day. The second subculture was positive, though the first had been negative in three instances, one of which has been previously described.³ The other two, which grew *Staph aureus*, came from patients on antibiotic therapy. No

Table 2 Bacteriological findings: initial isolation

Bacterium	Type of infection			Direct smear positive	Blood culture bottles/solid media					
	Early postop.	Late postop.	No surgery		+/-		+/+	ND+/+	Total	
					No	%			No	%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	10	6	12	11	2 [‡]	7	16	10 [‡]	28	60
<i>Staph epidermidis</i>	2	1	2	1	3	60	1	1	5	11
β-Haemolytic streptococcus, group A			1	1				1	1	2
β-Haemolytic streptococcus, group B		1			1	100			1	2
β-Haemolytic streptococcus, group G			2		1	50		1	2	4
Enterococcus*	2				1	50		1 [‡]	2	4
Pneumococcus			2	1	1 [‡]	50	1		2	4
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>		1		1			1		1	2
<i>Escherichia coli</i>		2		1			2		2	4
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>			1	1			1		1	2
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> *	1						1		1	2
<i>Kingella kingae</i> ¹⁹			1		1	100			1	2
Total	15	11	21	17	10	21	23	14	47	100

* = Double infection.

†ND = not done.

‡ = One patient on antibiotics.

Table 3 Bacteriological findings: follow up specimens

Bacterium	Type of infection			Blood culture bottles/solid media						
	Early postop.	Late postop.	No surgery	+/-		+/+	-/+	+/ND	ND/+	Total
				No	%					
<i>Staph aureus</i>	6	3	7	8*	29	6	1	3	10	28
<i>Staph epidemidis</i>	1		2	3†	60	1			1*	5
β-Haemolytic streptococcus, group G			1						1	1
Enterococcus	1					1				1
Pneumococcus			1			1				1
<i>H influenzae</i>		1		2	100					2
<i>E coli</i>		1				1				1
<i>Salm typhimurium</i>			1	4	57	3				7
<i>P aeruginosa</i>	2			2	100					2
Total	10	5	12	19	40	13	1	3	12	48

*One patient not on antibiotics.

†Two patients not on antibiotics.

case with growth delayed until the third subculture was included in the series, and only once was this pattern observed. (The bacterium was identified as *Propionibacterium acnes*. Its pathogenetic significance was considered unlikely, despite a recent report to the contrary.⁶)

Staining was carried out on 38 of the initial SF samples and was positive in 16 instances (42%). Follow up samples were rarely positive by staining. Bacteria in general, and intracellular Gram negative ones in particular, were easier to detect in acridine orange than in Gram stained smears. Specimens containing sufficient bacteria to be positive by direct staining, i.e., $\approx 10^5/\text{ml}$ ($10^8/\text{l}$), generally contained enough viable organisms for direct sensitivity testing by the paper disc method to produce useful results overnight.

Discussion

Rapid aetiological diagnosis is crucial for the success of the treatment of bacterial arthritis,⁷ and it is not a particularly rare disease. In 1977, 224 cases of bacterial arthritis, as compared with 135 cases of acute osteomyelitis, 305 cases of bacterial meningitis, and 1104 cases of septicaemia, were treated in the Finnish hospitals, i.e., about five/ 10^5 population.⁸ In a Texan study bacterial arthritis was shown to be the most common and the second most common cause respectively of new cases of arthritis in a series from a general and a VA hospital.⁹ The figures hardly justify the prevailing lack of interest in SF culture, compared with the continuing development of, for example, blood culture procedures.¹⁰ In that field the importance of using a large inoculum volume was established long ago,¹¹

and more recently the need for a corresponding large medium volume to dilute natural and therapy related inhibiting factors has been realised.¹² Undoubtedly the various techniques under current development for removing antibiotics from blood more efficiently may be adaptable to other biological fluids too.

A possible reason for the lack of interest is that apart from the well known difficulty in growing *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* from SF¹³ the problem is insufficiently recognised.¹⁴ Most papers on the subject deal with bacterial arthritis, with the aetiology issue, by definition, settled in advance. A few mostly paediatric reports, have addressed instead purulent arthritis, and disclosed rates of undiscovered aetiology from 19 to 60%.¹⁵⁻²² In 1966 Nelson and Koontz actually proposed a method on the BCB type in order to improve the isolation rate, which they considered inadequate.¹⁵ Apparently they did not apply it, and subsequently the idea seems to have been forgotten.

The need to pursue an active culture policy for joint fluids, particularly in rheumatoid patients, in whom the usual clinical and laboratory signs of infection are obscured by the underlying disease, has been convincingly stressed.²³ Ideally, we believe the protocol for all SF specimens, or at least for inflammatory ones, should include BCB inoculation. As 14 (30%) of our isolations were made with plates only, we obviously do not practise our belief, considering the cost in labour and materials to be too high. Since the method of plates only seems only 80% effective a couple of isolations were probably missed due to this omission. For economy the BCB protocol could be modified, apparently without loss, by eliminating the last subculture. Although it might

seem that the anaerobe part could also be left out, we would not recommend that. Anaerobe joint infections, though not common, do occur from time to time,²⁴ and may be particularly important in prosthetic joints.²⁵ We have seen *Bacteroides sepsis* in connection with ulcerating rheumatoid nodules and also isolated this genus from joint fluid after the present series was closed. Moreover, parallel cultures help in distinguishing contaminants, particularly *Staph epidermidis*, from genuine infections.

We attribute our failure to isolate *N gonorrhoeae*, the most common cause of bacterial arthritis in the general population,^{13 14} to the age group and life-style of our patients. Although the blood culture medium we used does not support growth of gonococci on its own, probably because of the inhibitory effect of polyanetholesulphonate,²⁶ the addition of 5 ml of blood, serum, or SF in place of inoculum will reverse that effect (T Raines, Orion Diagnostica, personal communication). For optimal isolation of gonococci from SF the use of a hypertonic sucrose medium has, however, been advanced.²⁷

It might be argued that the advantage of the BCB method is peculiar to rheumatoid patients, their inflammatory defence mechanisms being as it were premobilised. This view would gain credibility if RA patients possessed increased resistance to bacterial arthritis, or if some unique pathogen were a major aetiological factor. RA patients, however, actually have a somewhat increased susceptibility to bacterial arthritis, and the aetiological agents, apart from *N gonorrhoeae*, are the usual ones.⁵ In fact it seems possible that the diagnostic advantage of the BCB method could gain in importance when applied to previously healthy patients capable of a vigorous antibacterial response.

References

- Gatter R A. *A practical handbook of joint fluid analysis*. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1984: 51-4.
- Haapasaari J, von Essen R, Kahanpää A, Kostiala A A I, Holmberg K, Ahlqvist J. Fungal arthritis simulating juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Br Med J* 1982; **285**: 923-4.
- Salminen I, von Essen R, Koota K, Nissinen A. A pitfall in purulent arthritis brought out in *Kingella kingae* infection of the knee. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1984; **43**: 656-7.
- Kronvall G, Myhre E. Differential staining of bacteria in clinical specimens using acridine orange buffered at low pH. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand [B]* 1977; **85**: 249-54.
- von Essen R, Leirisalo-Repo M, Hämäläinen M J. Infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with special reference to rheumatoid surgery. *Ann Chir Gynaecol* 1985; **74** (suppl 198): 110-7.
- Yocum R C, McArthur J, Petty B G, Diehl A M, Moench T R. Septic arthritis caused by *Propionibacterium acnes*. *JAMA* 1982; **248**: 1740-1.
- Ho G, Su E Y. Therapy for septic arthritis. *JAMA* 1982; **247**: 797-800.
- Virtanen M, Peltola H. Bacteremia and purulent meningitis in Finland. An analysis of positive blood and cerebrospinal fluid cultures in 1976-80. *Duodecim* 1982; **98**: 1315-25.
- Sharp J T, Lidsky M D, Duffy J, Duncan M W. Infectious arthritis. *Arch Intern Med* 1979; **139**: 1125-30.
- Balows A, Tilton R C, eds. Body fluids and infectious diseases. Clinical and microbiologic advances. *Am J Med* 1983; **75** (Suppl 1B).
- Pulvertaft R J V. Bacterial blood cultures. *Lancet* 1930; **i**: 821-2.
- Beebe J L, Bourne K A, Ellner P D. Effect of dilution on recovery of bacteria from blood. *J Clin Microbiol* 1977; **5**: 448-52.
- Eisenstein B I, Masi A T. Disseminated gonococcal infection (DGI) and gonococcal arthritis (GCA). I. Bacteriology, epidemiology, host factors, pathogen factors, and pathology. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1981; **10**: 155-72.
- Goldenberg D L, Reed J I. Bacterial arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 1985; **312**: 764-71.
- Nelson J D, Koontz W C. Septic arthritis in infants and children: a review of 117 cases. *Pediatrics* 1966; **38**: 966-71.
- Gustilo R B, Amren D P. Acute suppurative arthritis in children. *Minn Med* 1969; **2**: 219-25.
- Almquist E E. The changing epidemiology of septic arthritis in children. *Clin Orthop* 1970; **68**: 96-9.
- Kolawole T M, Bohrer S P. Acute septic arthritis in Nigeria. A review of 65 cases involving the hip and shoulder joints. *Trop Geogr Med* 1972; **24**: 327-38.
- Nade S, Robertson F W, Taylor T K F. Antibiotics in the treatment of acute osteomyelitis and acute septic arthritis in children. *Med J Aust* 1974; **2**: 703-5.
- Morrey B F, Bianco A J, Rhodes K H. Septic arthritis in children. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1975; **6**: 923-34.
- Wiley J J, Fraser G A. Septic arthritis in childhood. *Can J Surg* 1979; **4**: 326-30.
- Peltola H, Vahvanen V. A comparative study of osteomyelitis and purulent arthritis with special reference to aetiology and recovery. *Infection* 1984; **12**: 75-9.
- Anonymous. Septic arthritis in rheumatoid disease [Editorial]. *Br Med J* 1976; **ii**: 1089-90.
- Nakata M M, Lewis R P. Anaerobic bacteria in bone and joint infections. *Rev Infect Dis* 1984; **6** (suppl 1): 165-70S.
- Kamme C, Lindberg L. Aerobic and anaerobic bacteria in deep infections after total hip arthroplasty. Differential diagnosis between infectious and non-infectious loosening. *Clin Orthop* 1981; **154**: 201-7.
- Stanek J L, Vincent S. Inhibition of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* by sodium polyanetholesulfonate. *J Clin Microbiol* 1981; **13**: 463-7.
- Holmes K K, Gutman L T, Belding M E, Turck M. Recovery of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* from 'sterile' synovial fluid in gonococcal arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 1971; **284**: 318-20.