Diflunisal in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee

W. K. ESSIGMAN,¹ M. ANNE CHAMBERLAIN,² AND V. WRIGHT³

From the ¹Lister Hospital, Stevenage, Hertfordshire, the ²General Infirmary, Leeds, and the

³University of Leeds

SUMMARY Diflunisal (750 mg per day) has been compared with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) (300 mg per day) in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee in a double-blind, randomised multicentre, outpatient study. Thirty-one patients entered the diflunisal group and 29 the ASA group. The response of the 2 groups was comparable, but the incidence of side effects was higher in the ASA group. At the end of the 12-week period more patients in the diflunisal group chose to remain in a further, open study.

A wide variety of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs is now available for use in the treatment of chronic arthropathies. It is useful to compare the efficacy of a new compound with a well established therapy. In the present study, diflunisal, a new aspirin derivative, has been assessed against acetylsalicylic acid.

Pharmacology

Diflunisal, or difluoro-phenylsalicylic acid, has been shown to be superior to placebo in the treatment of pain (de Vroey, 1978; Honig, 1978; Wes, 1978) and to possess both analgesic (van Winzum and Rodda, 1977; Barrau, 1978; de Vroey, 1978; Honig, 1978; Wes, 1978) and anti-inflammatory properties (Majerus and Stanford, 1977; Stone *et al.*, 1977). It is well absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, and most of it is bound in its intact form to plasma protein. The peak plasma levels occur approximately 2 hours after oral administration of 50 mg and 500 mg of the drug. The half-life of the 500 mg dose is about 10 hours. The presence of food in the stomach does not significantly delay absorption. 80-85% of 500 mg dose of diflunisal is eliminated in the urine in the form of glucuronide metabolites. A small proportion of metabolite appear in the faeces. In animal experiments no interaction was observed between diflunisal and bishydroxycoumarin in the prothrombin test nor with tolbutamide in the glucose tolerance test.

Patients and methods

Sixty patients between the ages of 35 and 75 years were admitted to the trial. Twelve were male and 48 were female. Twenty-four patients suffered from osteoarthritis of the hip and 36 from osteoarthritis of the knee. The obligatory criteria for admission to the study were local pain aggravated by movement and relieved by rest, limitation of movement in the affected joint, and characteristic radiological changes. The additional criteria considered were night pain and the presence of inactivity stiffness.

Patients with a history of gastrointestinal disease (including definite peptic ulceration within the last 2 years or haemorrhage at any time), renal and cardiovascular disease, other joint diseases (such as rheumatoid arthritis), serious systemic illnesses such as diabetes mellitus, active tuberculosis, and marrow depression, and women of child-bearing potential were excluded.

Patients currently receiving anticoagulants, oral hypoglycaemic agents, digitoxin, and systemic steroid therapy, as well as those who had previously demonstrated hypersensitivity to salicylates, were also excluded.

STUDY DESIGN

The trial was a double-blind study comparing the respective efficacy and safety of diflunisal given twice a day (max. 750 mg/day) and acetylsalicylic acid (max. 3 g/day) given 4 times a day over a course of 12 weeks. Patients were allocated by a random allocation schedule to each of the therapies, that is acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) or diflunisal. Each patient received two bottles, each containing tablets

Accepted for publication 2 June 1978

Correspondence to Dr M. Anne Chamberlain, Rheumatism Research Unit, School of Medicine, 36 Clarendon Road, Leeds LS2 9PJ.

different appearance and labelled A and B so that, with placebos at suitable times, each patient apparently received therapy 4 times daily. If pain was not relieved at the end of the first or second treatment week, the dose was increased from 2 to 4 tablets 4 times daily and maintained for the rest of the trial.

All anti-inflammatory drugs were withdrawn during the 7-day pretreatment period and not allowed for the duration of the study. Physiotherapy was not allowed either.

A full medical examination, electrocardiogram, and examination of the eyes by an ophthalmologist were performed before the patients were admitted to the study and at the end of the 12-week period. Clinical assessment and laboratory tests, which included full blood count, blood urea, serum urate, liver function tests, and examination of urine, were carried out weekly in the initial stages of the trial and then at monthly intervals.

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

Weight bearing pain, night pain, and pain suffered during performance of a specific functional activity such as getting in and out of bed, getting up from a chair, walking a stated distance, or climbing stairs were graded by the patients on a scale ranging from 0=none to 4=very severe. Inactivity stiffness was measured in minutes. Patients were also asked to evaluate their overall response to treatment, and the investigator to assess the therapeutic effect, again using the 0-4 scale. The degree of flexion of the knee in osteoarthritis of the knee and the intramalleolar distance in osteoarthritis of the hip were used by the investigator in evaluation of the limitation of movement. At each visit inquiry was made as to the appearance of new symptoms that could have been attributed to the drugs used in the trial.

Results

The groups were well matched immediately prior to entry as regards the degree of weight bearing pain, night pain, and pain suffered during a specific functional activity (Table 1).

Of the 31 patients in the diflunisal group 24 completed the 12-week study compared with 14 out of 29 in the ASA group. Improvement was considered to have occurred if there was a decrease on the 0-4 pain scale, a 10% improvement in the measurements taken for limitation of movement, and a 10% decrease in the inactivity stiffness time. The final assessment at the end of the 12-week period showed that a considerable proportion of the patients in both groups experienced an improvement in all of the clinical parameters of at least 1 grade, except for the limitation of movement (Table 2). There was no statistical difference in the response to treatment between the 2 groups (Fisher's exact test).

The patients' overall opinion of response and the investigator's assessment of therapeutic effect at the end of the 12-week period did not differ significantly between the 2 groups as shown in Table 3.

Table 2 *Percentage of patients who showed improvement in the clinical parameters after 12 weeks*

Variables	% patients improved	
	Diflunisal	Aspirin
Weight bearing pain	71	64
Night pain	54	79
Functional activity	75	71
Inactivity stiffness	75	50
Range of movement	42	43

Table 3 *Number of patients with each rating for clinician and patient overall assessment after 12 weeks*

Groups	Rating	Investigator assessment	Patient assessment
Diflunisal	0=none	3	3
	1=poor	4	1
	2=fair	5	6
	3=good	5	6
	4=excellent	7	8
Aspirin	0=none	3	1
	1=poor	2	3
	2=fair	2	2
	3=good	3	3
	4=excellent	4	5

Table 1 *Number of patients with each rating for subjective assessment prior to entry*

Rating (Scale 0-4)	Weight bearing pain		Night pain		Function activity pain	
	Diflunisal	Aspirin	Diflunisal	Aspirin	Diflunisal	Aspirin*
0	1	0	7	6	0	1
1	3	3	10	11	5	2
2	10	9	9	8	14	15
3	12	14	4	3	10	7
4	5	3	1	1	2	3

*1 patient was not assessed.

WITHDRAWALS AND SIDE EFFECTS

Side effects were the main reasons for withdrawals in both groups, but the incidence, both in patients completing the trial and in those who were withdrawn, was higher in the ASA group, although this difference was not statistically significant Table 4.

In patients taking diflunisal the side effects which resulted in withdrawal were indigestion (1), diarrhoea (1), constipation (1), and hallucinations (1). The patient who developed hallucinations had been on concomitant nitrazepam therapy. Symptoms were severe and disturbing, but subsided completely within 24 hours of discontinuing the diflunisal. It is interesting to note that another patient who had been taking nitrazepam simultaneously with diflunisal developed lethargy and drowsiness. This lasted a couple of days but improved spontaneously without alteration to therapy.

The side effects in the diflunisal group, both in patients who completed the trial and in those who had to be withdrawn, were predominantly gastrointestinal, namely, indigestion (5) (although only 1 was withdrawn from the trial for this reason), nausea (2), flatulence (1), diarrhoea (1), and constipation (1). In 1 patient the platelet count dropped to 90 000 as recorded at week 12. A week later the count was still low at 92 000, and the drug was discontinued. However, full recovery followed within 2 weeks. There was no change in any other laboratory measured parameters except for a significant fall in serum uric acid. This confirmed the original findings of Tempero *et al.* (1976) (Table 5).

Similarly in the ASA group the side effects were also mainly gastrointestinal, namely, indigestion (8), though only 2 were withdrawn from the trial because of this, nausea (5), diarrhoea (2), constipation (1), rash on face (1), and ankle swelling (1). In 1 patient there was a rise in blood urea and AST. Other adverse laboratory findings were raised serum uric acid in 1 patient and increased excretion of white blood cells in the urine of another.

Discussion

Acetylsalicylic acid was known as a herbal remedy to

Table 4 Numbers of patients withdrawn during the study and incidence of adverse reactions

	Diflunisal	Aspirin	P value (2-tailed)
Total entry	31	29	
Unrelated withdrawals	1	5	$P > 0.1$
Related withdrawals (%)	6 (20)	10 (34)	$P > 0.1$
Lack of response	2	0	$P > 0.1$
Side effects	4	9	$0.05 < P < 0.1$
Adverse Lab.	0	1	$P > 0.1$
Adverse reactions (%) (lab. and side effects)	13 (42)	21 (72)	$0.05 < P < 0.1$

Table 5 Diflunisal group—Laboratory tests

		Start of trial (week 0)	End of trial (week 12)	Significance
Haemoglobin	mean	14.4	15.9	
Male	SD	1.88	1.94	NS
Haemoglobin	mean	13.6	14.2	
Female	SD	0.98	0.97	NS
Haematocrit	mean	40.4	42.1	
	SD	3.78	3.89	NS
Leucocytes	mean	7.2	7.0	
	SD	2.08	2.21	NS
Platelets	mean	190 000	205 000	NS
	SD	81	62	
Creatinine	mean	84.0	91.2	
	SD	8.31	8.26	NS
Blood urea	mean	5.6	6.4	
	SD	1.27	1.32	NS
Uric acid	mean	0.31	0.23	$P < .001$
	SD	0.062	0.065	(<i>t</i> test)
Bilirubin	mean	12.3	11.4	
	SD	3.17	4.96	NS
Alk. Phos.	mean	169.6	160.4	
	SD	53.3	36.6	NS
AST	mean	16.0	14.73	
	SD	3.96	4.22	NS
Urine	No abnormality			

SD = Standard deviation. NS = Not significant.

Hippocrates, who recognised the therapeutic properties of the willow tree bark, and has been proved over the years to be a drug of unquestionable analgesic and anti-inflammatory actions. In its various forms it is still used extensively in the treatment of many rheumatic disorders and other painful conditions, although the incidence of side effects is often high and a proportion of patients cannot tolerate the drug.

The aspirin used in this study was formulated as either film coated tablets (visually identical to placebo) or in peach coloured capsules identical to diflunisal so that patients took apparently identical doses on the same schedules. For convenience in the preparation of these tablets 250 mg aspirin was incorporated into each.

Diflunisal was thus compared with the basic traditional therapy, aspirin, for its analgesic property, safety, and acceptability. Twelve weeks was considered long enough for the placebo effect of a new therapy to have declined markedly.

The 2 drugs were of comparable efficacy in relieving pain, stiffness, and immobility. However, side effects were less frequent with diflunisal than with aspirin and were less disturbing. All withdrawals related to drug administration in the acetylsalicylic group were due to side effects, of which there were more with aspirin than with diflunisal.

No serious side effects were encountered with diflunisal. It should be noted, however, that patients taking nitrazepam at the same time

developed symptoms suggestive of central nervous system disturbance. Nitrazepam itself is known to produce unpleasant dreams and hallucinations in some patients, but the number in this trial is too small to suggest any definite conclusions as to the synergistic effect which may occur between these 2 drugs. A transient fall in platelets count was encountered in 1 patient, but recovery was spontaneous and full when the drug was discontinued.

At the end of the double-blind 12-week study more patients taking diflunisal (21) than acetylsalicylic acid (8) chose to remain in the open study. We hope to present the results of this study in a further communication.

This trial showed that diflunisal is a useful drug in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. The incidence of side effects is acceptable and twice daily dosage is a definite advantage (Wright and Hopkins, 1976).

We acknowledge with pleasure the help of the following: Mrs P. Leatham, our research nurse, Mrs J. Packter for secretarial help, Mr C. Hetherington of the General Infirmary at Leeds and their Pharmaceutical Department, Mrs D. Burdon, Dr A. Rhymmer, Dr R. Roylance, and Dr B. Boeree of Merck, Sharpe and Dohme.

References

- Barrau, G. (1978). Double-blind comparison of the efficacy and tolerance of diflunisal and oxyphenbutazone in the treatment of sprain and strain. *Clinical Therapeutics*, **1**, Suppl. A, 43-48.
- De Vroey, P. (1978). The treatment of postoperative pain with a single dose of diflunisal. *Clinical Therapeutics*, **1**, Suppl. A, 30-33.
- Honig, W. J. (1978). The use of diflunisal following meniscectomy. *Diflunisal in Clinical Practice*, p. 105. Edited by K. Kiehlke. Futura Press.
- Majerus, P. W., and Stanford, N. (1977). Comparative effects of aspirin and diflunisal on prostaglandin synthetase from human platelets and sheep seminal vesicles. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, **4**, 15S-18S.
- Stone, C. A., van Arman, C. G., Lotti, V. J., Minsker, D. H., Risley, E. A., Bagdon, W. J., Bokelman, D. L., Jensen, R. D., Mendlowski, B., Tate, C. L., Peck, H. M., Zwickey, R. E., and McKinney, S. E. (1977). Pharmacology and toxicology of diflunisal. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, **4**, 19S-29S.
- Tempero, K. F., Franklin, J., Reger, B., and Kappas, A. (1976). The influence of diflunisal, a novel analgesic on serum uric acid and uric acid clearance. *Clinical Research*, **24**, 258A.
- Van Winzum, C., and Rodda, B. (1977). Diflunisal efficacy in postoperative pain. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, **4**, 39S-43S.
- Wes, B. J. (1978). Diflunisal in oral surgery. *Clinical Therapeutics*, **1**, Suppl. A, 34-42.
- Wright, V., and Hopkins, R. (1976). Administration of antirheumatic drugs. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, **35**, 174-176.