

RESULTS

Detailed results of the systematic literature review (SLR) are presented in a separate manuscript.[Dejaco et al., ARD 2015 (in press)] In this file, we summarize the data of the SLR and the external evidence considered by the guideline panel to formulate the individual recommendations.

Recommendation 1:

Results from SLR: This PICO question was addressed in a single very low Quality of Evidence (QoE) study demonstrating that Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAID) use is associated with lower rate of vertebral fractures (but not with other fractures such as the hip) and a higher rate of infections.[1] The reason for these findings (particularly the latter result) was unclear. In addition, there was a trend (reported in 2 articles from the same cohort analysed at 2 different time points) toward a higher rate of cardiovascular events and hypertension in NSAID treated PMR patients (both outcomes with very low QoE).[1,2] Whether this observation was directly related to NSAID use (or to other factors such as the inflammatory state of PMR itself) is unclear.

External evidence: Several ACR and EULAR recommendations dealt with the issue of long-term NSAID use in patients with degenerative and inflammatory rheumatic conditions. Most of these recommendations advised caution in the use of NSAIDs because of the known gastrointestinal, cardiovascular and renal side effects.[3–9]

Recommendation 2:

External evidence: The advice to use the minimum effective glucocorticoid (GC) dose is supported by other recommendations in rheumatology such as the 2010 ACR Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of GC-Induced Osteoporosis,[10] the EULAR evidence-based recommendations on the management of systemic GC therapy in rheumatic diseases [11] and other recommendations.[8,12–14]

Recommendation 3:

Results from SLR: PICO question number 5 was addressed in 1 small randomized controlled trial comparing initial doses of 20mg and 10mg prednisone, and in 4 retrospective studies comparing doses above and below 15mg daily.[15–19] Thus, none of these studies met exactly the objective of this PICO aimed at the comparison of doses between $\geq 10\text{mg/day}$ and $\leq 20\text{mg/day}$ versus $>20\text{mg}$ and $\leq 30\text{mg/day}$ of prednisone equivalent. The randomized study by Kyle demonstrated with a moderate QoE, a lower relapse rate at 2 months in the higher dose group whereas the meta-analysed effect of 3 retrospective studies revealed (with a very low QoE) no difference regarding relapse rates during a 2-10 year follow-up period. One study each indicated with a very low QoE a higher risk of GC-related adverse events and a longer duration of therapy in the higher dose group.[17,19]

Three retrospective studies directly compared GC starting doses below and above 7.5mg/day: 1 study revealed a higher relapse rate in the medium compared to the lower dose group but this study had a very low QoE.[2] The second study, published in the format of a letter, also had a very low quality and did not find an association between medium GC doses and relapse risk.[20] A third study (very low QoE)

reported no difference between medium and low doses of GCs regarding discontinuation of steroids at 1 and 2 years after diagnosis.[16]

The value of high (>30mg/day prednisone equivalent) versus medium (>7.5mg/day and ≤30mg/day) GC doses in PMR was addressed by 2 retrospective studies showing no benefit of the high dose regarding relapse rates and the discontinuation of GCs after 1 and 2 years.[16,21] Both studies had several limitations resulting in a very low QoE overall.

Concerning prognostic factors, a few studies with variable quality indicated that females,[22] patients with high initial ESR [2,18,23,24] and patients with peripheral inflammatory arthritis [25] have a higher probability of relapse and/or a higher number of relapses; however, a number of studies also failed to demonstrate an association between these factors and relapses.[2,18,21,23,26–34] Females appeared to be at an increased risk of GC-side effects [22,35,36] and females [37] as well as patients with a high ESR had a longer duration of GC therapy.[37,38]

Recommendation 4:

Results from SLR: This PICO question was addressed in 1 study revealing low QoE that rapid tapering (as determined by a “tapering constant” in regression analysis) of GCs was associated with a higher risk of relapse than slower tapering.[2] No (optimal) tapering schemes could be extracted from this study directly.

Recommendation 5:

Results from SLR: This PICO question was addressed in 1 randomized controlled trial including 60 PMR patients revealing moderate to low QoE for comparable remission rates at week 12, 48 and 96 to oral GC therapy.[39,40] This study also indicated a lower cumulative GC-dose and a less weight gain (moderate QoE) in the intramuscular (i.m.) group. I.m. methylprednisolone was applied at a dose of 120 mg every 3 weeks until week 9. At week 12, 100mg were used and subsequently, injections were continued at monthly intervals and the dose was reduced by 20 mg every 12 weeks until week 48. Thereafter, the dosage was reduced by 20 mg every 16 weeks until discontinuation.

Recommendation 7:

Results from SLR: This PICO question was addressed in 4 randomised controlled trials and 1 retrospective study testing the use of MTX plus oral GCs (initial prednisone doses ranging from 15-25mg/day).[18,41–44] There was moderate to high QoE from 1-2 studies indicating a benefit of MTX regarding remission (1 study),[42] relapse rate (1 study),[44] discontinuation of GC (1 study) [44] and cumulative GC-doses (3 studies).[41,42,44] Evidence from 1-4 studies (1 related to remission, 4 to relapse, 1 to discontinuation of GC) indicating no benefit regarding these outcomes was of very low quality.[18,41–43]

In the 4 randomised controlled trials, MTX was used at doses of 7.5mg/week (1 study) [43] and 10mg/week (3 studies).[41,42,44]

None of the studies demonstrated a reduction of GC related adverse events by the use of MTX, except for 1 trial reporting a better DEXA result in the MTX than in the control group (moderate QoE).[41]

External evidence: As there were insufficient data on the safety of MTX use in PMR the panel considered external evidence from Rheumatoid Arthritis recommendations.[14,45] Accordingly, MTX use has an overall beneficial long-term safety profile.

Recommendation 8:

Results from SLR: PICO questions 10 and 11 were addressed in 1 trial each. A single 52-weeks randomized placebo controlled trial addressed the efficacy of infliximab (3mg/kg body weight) versus placebo in 53 PMR patients revealing moderate QoE for no benefit of infliximab regarding relapse rate and discontinuation of GCs.[46] Another trial comparing etanercept with placebo in newly diagnosed PMR patients (not receiving GCs) also failed to demonstrate a benefit of the anti-TNF α agent.[47]

RELEASE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Implementation of the 2015 EULAR-ACR recommendations for treatment and management of PMR in clinical practice will be a multistep procedure initiated by presentation and discussion of the recommendations at international and national meetings. The panel member will assist the national societies of rheumatology, internal medicine, primary care and health care professionals to implement the new

recommendations into daily clinical care. The panel members will also promote the adoption of the new recommendations by national institutes of clinical excellence in health and social care (e.g. NICE). Pocket recommendations and online tools (such as the Map of Medicine by the Royal College of Physicians [48]) may support the routine use of these recommendations.

There may also be some barriers: The enthusiasm to follow these new recommendations for example may differ between primary care physicians and specialists and may differ among countries. National health care systems with a high emphasis on international quality standards of care are more likely to adopt the new recommendations than systems without such a focus. Another barrier may be the fact that early use of MTX may lead to a shift of new PMR patients from primary toward specialty care (and thus to a shift of resources), as DMARDs are usually prescribed (and often also monitored) by rheumatologists or specialists in internal medicine.

REFERENCES

- 1 Gabriel SE, Sunku J, Salvarani C, *et al.* Adverse outcomes of antiinflammatory therapy among patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;**40**:1873–8.
- 2 Kremers HM, Reinalda MS, Crowson CS, *et al.* Relapse in a population based cohort of patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. *J Rheumatol* 2005;**32**:65–73.
- 3 Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, *et al.* American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2012;**64**:465–74.
- 4 Khanna D, Khanna PP, Fitzgerald JD, *et al.* 2012 American College of Rheumatology guidelines for management of gout. Part 2: therapy and antiinflammatory prophylaxis of acute gouty arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2012;**64**:1447–61.
- 5 Recommendations for use of selective and nonselective nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: an American College of Rheumatology white paper. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;**59**:1058–73.
- 6 Zhang W, Doherty M, Pascual E, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for calcium pyrophosphate deposition. Part II: management. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;**70**:571–5.
- 7 Zhang W, Doherty M, Leeb BF, *et al.* EULAR evidence based recommendations for the management of hand osteoarthritis: report of a Task Force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;**66**:377–88.
- 8 Combe B, Landewe R, Lukas C, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the management of early arthritis: report of a task force of the European Standing

- Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;**66**:34–45.
- 9 Zhang W, Doherty M, Bardin T, *et al.* EULAR evidence based recommendations for gout. Part II: Management. Report of a task force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;**65**:1312–24.
 - 10 Grossman JM, Gordon R, Ranganath VK, *et al.* American College of Rheumatology 2010 recommendations for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;**62**:1515–26.
 - 11 Hoes JN, Jacobs JWG, Boers M, *et al.* EULAR evidence-based recommendations on the management of systemic glucocorticoid therapy in rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;**66**:1560–7.
 - 12 Duru N, van der Goes MC, Jacobs JWG, *et al.* EULAR evidence-based and consensus-based recommendations on the management of medium to high-dose glucocorticoid therapy in rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;**72**:1905–13.
 - 13 Van der Goes MC, Jacobs JWG, Boers M, *et al.* Monitoring adverse events of low-dose glucocorticoid therapy: EULAR recommendations for clinical trials and daily practice. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;**69**:1913–9.
 - 14 Smolen JS, Landewe R, Breedveld FC, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;**69**:964–75.
 - 15 Kyle V, Hazleman BL. Treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis. I. Steroid regimens in the first two months. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1989;**48**:658–61.

- 16 Myklebust G, Gran JT. Prednisolone maintenance dose in relation to starting dose in the treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis. A prospective two-year study in 273 patients. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2001;**30**:260–7.
- 17 Mackie SL, Hensor EM, Haugeberg G, *et al.* Can the prognosis of polymyalgia rheumatica be predicted at disease onset? Results from a 5-year prospective study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2010;**49**:716–22.
- 18 Lee JH, Choi ST, Kim JS, *et al.* Clinical characteristics and prognostic factors for relapse in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR). *Rheumatol Int* 2013;**33**:1475–80.
- 19 Delecoeuillerie G, Joly P, Cohen de Lara A, *et al.* Polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis: a retrospective analysis of prognostic features and different corticosteroid regimens (11 year survey of 210 patients). *Ann Rheum Dis* 1988;**47**:733–9.
- 20 Catoggio W, Soriano ER, Imamura PM. Treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica: lower initial dose. *Br J Rheumatol* 1991;**30**:393–5.
- 21 Kanemaru K, Nagura H, Ooyama T, *et al.* [Report of 6 cases with polymyalgia rheumatica and a review of the literature]. *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi* 1986;**23**:469–76.
- 22 Cimmino MA, Parodi M, Caporali R, *et al.* Is the course of steroid-treated polymyalgia rheumatica more severe in women? *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006;**1069**:315–21.
- 23 Salvarani C, Boiardi L, Mantovani V, *et al.* HLA-DRB1 alleles associated with polymyalgia rheumatica in northern Italy: correlation with disease severity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1999;**58**:303–8.

- 24 Cantini F, Salvarani C, Olivieri I, *et al.* Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein in the evaluation of disease activity and severity in polymyalgia rheumatica: a prospective follow-up study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2000;**30**:17–24.
- 25 Salvarani C, Cantini F, Macchioni P, *et al.* Distal musculoskeletal manifestations in polymyalgia rheumatica: a prospective followup study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;**41**:1221–6.
- 26 González-Gay MA, García-Porrúa C, Vázquez-Caruncho M, *et al.* The spectrum of polymyalgia rheumatica in northwestern Spain: incidence and analysis of variables associated with relapse in a 10 year study. *J Rheumatol* 1999;**26**:1326–32.
- 27 Paulsen S. [Polymyalgia rheumatica. Long term treatment with steroids]. *Ugeskr Laeger* 1971;**133**:944–5.
- 28 Salvarani C, Cantini F, Niccoli L, *et al.* Acute-phase reactants and the risk of relapse/recurrence in polymyalgia rheumatica: a prospective followup study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;**53**:33–8. 29 Caplanne D, Le Parc JM, Alexandre JA. Interleukin-6 in clinical relapses of polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1996;**55**:403–4.
- 30 Nagaoka S, Ohno M, Ohno S, *et al.* Long-term outcome for patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. *Rinshou Ryumachi* 2000;**12**:348–52.
- 31 Larrosa M, Gratacos J, Sala M. Polymyalgia rheumatica with low erythrocyte sedimentation rate at diagnosis. *J Rheumatol* 2000;**27**:1815–6.
- 32 Proven A, Gabriel SE, O’Fallon WM, *et al.* Polymyalgia rheumatica with low erythrocyte sedimentation rate at diagnosis. *J Rheumatol* 1999;**26**:1333–7.

- 33 Kimura M, Tokuda Y, Oshiawa H, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of patients with remitting seronegative symmetrical synovitis with pitting edema compared to patients with pure polymyalgia rheumatica. *J Rheumatol* 2012;**39**:148–53.
- 34 Ceccato F, Roverano SG, Papisidero S, *et al.* Peripheral musculoskeletal manifestations in polymyalgia rheumatica. *JCR J Clin Rheumatol* 2006;**12**:167–71.
- 35 Cimmino MA, Moggiana G, Montecuccio C, *et al.* Long term treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica with deflazacort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1994;**53**:331–3.
- 36 Ayoub WT, Franklin CM, Torretti D. Polymyalgia rheumatica. Duration of therapy and long-term outcome. *Am J Med* 1985;**79**:309–15.
- 37 Barraclough K, Liddell WG, du Toit J, *et al.* Polymyalgia rheumatica in primary care: a cohort study of the diagnostic criteria and outcome. *Fam Pract* 2008;**25**:328–33.
- 38 Gonzalez-Gay MA, Rodriguez-Valverde V, Blanco R, *et al.* Polymyalgia rheumatica without significantly increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate. A more benign syndrome. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;**157**:317–20.
- 39 Dasgupta B, Dolan AL, Panayi GS, *et al.* An initially double-blind controlled 96 week trial of depot methylprednisolone against oral prednisolone in the treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;**37**:189–95.
- 40 Dolan AL, Moniz C, Dasgupta B, *et al.* Effects of inflammation and treatment on bone turnover and bone mass in polymyalgia rheumatica. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;**40**:2022–9.
- 41 Ferraccioli G, Salaffi F, De Vita S, *et al.* Methotrexate in polymyalgia rheumatica: preliminary results of an open, randomized study. *J Rheumatol* 1996;**23**:624–8.

- 42 Nazarinia AM, Moghimi J, Toussi J. Efficacy of methotrexate in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. *Koomesh* 2012;**14**:265–70.
- 43 Van der Veen MJ, Dinant HJ, van Booma-Frankfort C, *et al.* Can methotrexate be used as a steroid sparing agent in the treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis? *Ann Rheum Dis* 1996;**55**:218–23.
- 44 Caporali R, Cimmino MA, Ferraccioli G, *et al.* Prednisone plus methotrexate for polymyalgia rheumatica: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;**141**:493–500.
- 45 Smolen JS, Landewé R, Breedveld FC, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2013 update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;**73**:492–509.
- 46 Salvarani C, Macchioni P, Manzini C, *et al.* Infliximab plus prednisone or placebo plus prednisone for the initial treatment of polymyalgia rheumatica: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;**146**:631–9.
- 47 Kreiner F, Galbo H. Effect of etanercept in polymyalgia rheumatica: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;**12**:R176.
- 48 Physicians RC of. Map of Medicine.
<http://eng.mapofmedicine.com/evidence/terms.htm;jsessionid=73FEFA51C695D55DFDC9F79F1619CD8F?next=/>