Platelets in rheumatoid arthritis: exploring the anti-inflammatory and antithrombotic potential of TNF inhibitors
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Manfredi et al1 explore the relationship between tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα), TNFα inhibition and platelet activation in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Using elegant systems they demonstrate that TNFα activates platelets and promotes their proinflammatory and procoagulant actions, while TNF inhibition (TNFi) prevents platelet activation. They suggest this is a potential mechanism explaining why TNFi associates with a reduction of cardiovascular (CV) events in patients with RA, as shown in some observational studies. Should we then be(com)e interested in platelet biology in RA?

The potential role of platelets in the pathogenesis of RA and related comorbidities has, in fact, received much less attention than it deserves. Platelets are small (1–2 μm) anucleate cells that are intimately involved in thrombosis, angiogenesis, bone remodelling, inflammation and autoimmunity. Their bidirectional, damaging and protective roles across pathophysiological processes have been explored in several clinical and laboratory studies. The discovery of specific platelet markers and agonists, which target a range of membrane-bound receptors, has resulted in better understanding of their role. It is now clear that platelet reactivity throughout their short lifespan (8–10 days) is determined by megakaryopoiesis, which is regulated quantitatively by thrombopoietin; this is determined by megakaryopoiesis, which is regulated quantitatively by thrombopoietin; and qualitatively by a complex interplay of autocrine and paracrine growth factors. Biological agents of platelet granules, the major storage site of platelets, are capable of stimulating proliferation of chondrocytes and deposition of collagen I, thereby repairing damaged cartilage and tendons.2 3 There is, therefore, a distinct possibility of regulating various pathophysiological processes in joints and surrounding tissues by suppressing and activating platelets at different stages of the disease.

A variety of specific markers of platelet activation have been tested across inflammatory and rheumatic diseases; platelet count, membrane-bound P-selectin and CD40 ligand (CD40L), β-thromboglobulin, platelet factor 4 (PF4), also known as chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 4 (CXCL4), platelet aggregates and platelet–neutrophil complexes.5 Reactive thrombocytosis with elevation of platelet count has been suggested to be of diagnostic importance in the setting of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies-associated vasculitis.6 Mildly elevated platelet count along with decreased platelet size have been viewed as a reflection of inflammatory megakaryopoiesis and activity of RA,10 while the ratio of platelets to neutrophils and lymphocytes has also been suggested to reflect rheumatoid activity.11 A recently emerged important piece of evidence points to the fact that anticitrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) directly stimulate the expression of P-selectin on platelets, secretion of soluble CD40L and formation of platelet aggregates.12 With the incremental increase of ACPA preceding clinically manifest RA by months and years, it is possible that insidious seropositivity and increase of C-reactive protein determine platelet activation and involvement from the earliest stages of synovial inflammation onwards.

In the era of biological therapy several lines of evidence suggest that agents inhibiting TNFα and interleukin-6 suppress disease activity and decrease platelet counts in RA.13 14 The effect of biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) on platelet counts is not matched by nonbiologic DMARDs, which appear to be less effective towards megakaryopoiesis. The exception is bone marrow suppression and related thrombocytopenia as a rare occurrence of sulfasalazine therapy,3 which however is no longer a frontline choice in RA. Interestingly, the possible effects of bDMARDs on megakaryopoiesis may differ, with reports suggesting that tocilizumab may drop platelet counts in patients with RA more potently than adalimumab.15 This is another area that requires further investigation, as a potential side effect and also as a possible desirable effect. IL-6 is known as a physiological regulator of megakaryopoiesis acting like thrombopoietin,16 17 and blocking IL-6-related pathways may lead to anti-inflammatory and antithrombotic effects.

The present flow cytometric and electron microscopy study by Manfredi et al1 sheds light on the molecular basis of modulation of platelet activity by inflammatory cytokines and their inhibitors. The authors should be congratulated for their extensive work characterising the effects of recombinant human TNFα and the TNFi, infliximab, on platelet-rich plasma and isolated platelets of patients with RA (box 1).

One of the important messages of this study is that TNFi can only block the
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TNFα-dependent activation pathways of platelets. Other pathways of platelet activation, particularly those regulated by collagen and ADP, can still continue contributing to proinflammatory and prothrombotic actions. Although platelet count was not analysed in connection with flow cytometric markers, and this can be viewed as a limitation, it is plausible that TNFα exert their effects on platelet receptors and also on platelet precursors maturing throughout megakaryopoiesis.

The authors have concentrated almost exclusively on the concept of CV comorbidity and its reduction in RA. However, the mechanisms they have described may be as important in the very essence of the disease, joint inflammation per se. It is, therefore, slightly disappointing not to see the wealth of data generated, analysed in the context of disease activity, using well-established clinical and laboratory indices.

To return to the original thesis: has this study answered the question as to whether inhibition of platelet activation by TNFi translates into CV protection in RA? As the authors themselves point out it has not, due to evident design limitations such as its cross-sectional nature, small sample size, lack of long-term longitudinal follow-up and inability to control for multiple potential confounders (Box 1). The study is a good reference for future studies aiming to address CV risk reduction in RA. The CV implications of antirheumatic therapies have gained almost as much importance as their primary efficacy and safety targets but studies to address them are extremely difficult to conduct. Very large numbers, longitudinal design, the ability to control for multiple CV and other confounders that may be changing over time, and very specific, ideally hard endpoints are required as a minimum. Potential difficulties should be foreseen and accounted for. For example, longitudinal studies addressing platelet biology may be limited by the lack of reproducibility of specific laboratory tests over a long term (several months-years), the use of drugs required for other indications, and selection bias of the patients recruited compared with real-life patient groups (eg, TNFi are not used often in patients with heart failure, the clinical setting where most thrombotic events accumulate).

In summary, the study by Manfredi et al, together with evidence from other sources, demonstrate clearly that the axis of megakaryopoiesis, circulating platelets and platelet cellular complexes, and its modulation by antirheumatic therapies, may be very important in the pathogenic mechanisms of rheumatoid synovitis and related CV comorbidity. Despite the many challenges, it merits much more research attention than it has been receiving thus far.
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