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Erosive osteoarthritis: a more severe form of radiographic hand osteoarthritis rather than a distinct entity?

Michelle Marshall,1 Elaine Nicholls,1 Wing-Yee Kwok,2 George Peat,1 Margreet Kloppenburg,2 Danielle van der Windt,1 Helen Myers,1 Krysia Dziedzic1

ABSTRACT

Objectives To determine whether erosive osteoarthritis shares the same pattern of joint involvement and risk profile as increasing grades of non-erosive hand osteoarthritis.

Methods Participants were from two population-based cohorts, aged ≥50 years, reporting hand symptoms in the previous month. Interphalangeal joints were assessed for erosive osteoarthritis (Verbruggen–Vesey erosive or remodelled phase) and radiographic osteoarthritis (sliding cut-offs of K&L=2, K&L=3 and K&L=4). At the joint level, similarities in the frequency and pattern of erosive and non-erosive osteoarthritis were assessed by Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients and generalised estimating equations. At the person level, individuals with erosive osteoarthritis were compared to those with non-erosive osteoarthritis using logistic regression, adjusted for age and gender (aOR), for the following exposures: family history, previous injury, overuse and metabolic factors (BMI, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, diabetes).

Results In 1076 symptomatic participants the ranked frequency of involvement for erosive joints was comparable to joints with K&L=3 and K&L=4 (r=0.95). Patterns of joint involvement in erosive osteoarthritis were strongest for symmetry (aOR=6.5; 95% CI 3.0 to 14.1), followed by row (2.0; 0.8 to 5.0) and ray (0.3; 0.0 to 2.5), which was similar to joints with K&L=3 and K&L=4. Individuals with erosive osteoarthritis (n=80) had an increased risk of obesity and a hereditary aspect, have also been reported for erosive osteoarthritis.18 To date, no studies have directly compared joint involvement and risk factors in erosive osteoarthritis to increasing grades of non-erosive osteoarthritis.

Studies and case reports have suggested that erosive osteoarthritis affects distal interphalangeal (DIP) joints more frequently than the proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints and that it is highly symmetrical.14 15 These are the same patterns of involvement that are reported in non-erosive hand osteoarthritis.16 17 Risk factors that are known for hand osteoarthritis include female gender, older age, family history, obesity, previous hand injury and occupational and recreational activities.13 Some of these risk factors, including a female predominance, obesity and a hereditary aspect, have also been reported for erosive osteoarthritis.18 To date, no studies have directly compared joint involvement and risk factors in erosive osteoarthritis to increasing grades of non-erosive osteoarthritis.

This study aims to investigate whether erosive osteoarthritis is a discrete disease entity or at the severe end of the hand osteoarthritis continuum. We examined similarities in the frequency and pattern of joint involvement between erosive osteoarthritis and increasing grades of non-erosive radiographic hand osteoarthritis, and compared risk factor profiles between individuals with erosive osteoarthritis and non-erosive radiographic hand osteoarthritis.

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory osteoarthritis was first described by Crain1 in 1961 and the term erosive osteoarthritis was coined 5 years later by Peter et al.2 Erosive osteoarthritis predominantly affects the interphalangeal joints (IPJ) and is characterised by abrupt onset with inflammation. Those affected experience tissue swelling, stiffness, erythema, and report considerable pain and functional limitation.4 5 Complete loss or pseudo-widening of the joint space may be apparent.6 Over time, subsequent reparative alterations are frequently seen with a new, but irregular subchondral plate and a new joint space.6 In this remodelling phase osteolytic areas gradually recede and large osteophytes develop.7

The prevalence of erosive osteoarthritis in the general population has been estimated at 2.8% rising to 10.2% in those with symptomatic osteoarthritis.8 Erosive osteoarthritis has been found to have poorer clinical and radiographic outcomes than non-erosive hand osteoarthritis.9 12 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the diagnosis of hand osteoarthritis have suggested that erosive osteoarthritis should be considered as a subset of hand osteoarthritis.13 However, the etiopathogenesis is not clear and poorer clinical outcomes may be the result of erosive osteoarthritis being a discrete disease entity rather than a more severe form of hand osteoarthritis.

METHODS

Study population Participants were recruited from a prospective observational cohort study undertaken in North
Staffordshire: the Clinical Assessment Study of the Hand (CASHA). All adults aged 50 years and older registered with two general practices were invited to participate at baseline in a two-stage self-report questionnaire.19 In the UK over 95% of people are registered with general practices, thus providing convenient general population sampling frames.20 Those with hand pain or hand problems in the past 12 months were invited to research clinics that included an interview, clinical assessment and radiographs.

Participants reported the frequency of hand pain, aching or stiffness in the past month (no days, few days, some days, most days or all days). Those who reported symptoms on a few days or more were deemed symptomatic and eligible for inclusion in the analyses. Participants were excluded if general practice or local rheumatology hospital medical records or a consultant musculoskeletal radiologist identified them as having inflammatory arthritis (rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis). Those with no hand radiographs or missing radiographic data were also excluded.

The sample was enriched from an identically performed survey in a similar population to increase the number of individuals with erosive osteoarthritis included in the analysis. In the Clinical Assessment Study of the Knee (CASK) all adults aged 50 years and older registered with three general practices in North Staffordshire were invited to participate at baseline in the same two-stage self-report questionnaire.21 Individuals reporting knee pain were invited to attend research clinics and received an identical hand assessment and hand radiographs to those in the CASHA study. All individuals included in the analysis had hand pain on a few days or more in the previous month.

The North Staffordshire Local Research Ethics Committee approved both these studies (LREC project no. 1430). All participants provided written informed consent.

Data collection
Radiographic assessment and scoring
Posterior–anterior radiographs of the hands were taken with separate exposures for each hand according to a standardised protocol.19 21 The Kellgren and Lawrence (K&L) grading system was used by two trained readers (CASHA: MM; CASK: JH) to grade osteoarthritis in 16 joints in each hand.22 Intrarater reliability for the presence of osteoarthritis (K&L≥2) in an individual joint was excellent (unweighted mean kappa=0.92 and 0.85, mean percentage agreement=98% and 98% for reader 1 and 2, respectively) and interrater reliability was moderate (unweighted mean kappa=0.5, mean percentage agreement=90%).4 The presence of erosive osteoarthritis in the IPJ (rays 2–5) was determined using the Verbruggen–Vëys anatomical phase progression score by a single reader (WKY). Intrarater reliability was excellent (unweighted mean kappa =0.94, mean percentage agreement = 98%).6 Knee radiographs were also taken and scored for the presence of osteoarthritis.19 21

Risk factors
Demographic and socioeconomic data including gender, age, occupation and education were collected in the baseline survey along with self-reported previous hand injury and excessive use of hands in either employment or pastimes. At the research clinics, participants were asked whether their parents or siblings had arthritis, and height and weight were measured to calculate the body mass index (BMI).

A review of general practice medical records was undertaken for a 2-year period before clinic attendance for participants providing permission (n=1007, 94%). General practice consultations in the UK are coded for medical diseases, symptoms and procedures (read codes) using a hierarchical method. The five participating practices were fully computerised and the completeness of their coding of consultations has been subject to regular quality reviews.23 24 Participants with a diagnosis and consultations for hypertension, type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose and dyslipidaemia or prescription of a lipid-regulating drug were identified. Metabolic syndrome was defined as the presence of three or more of the following: BMI>30 kg/m², hypertension, dyslipidaemia and type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose.

Case definitions
All individuals included in this analysis were symptomatic (pain, aching or stiffness on a few days or more in the past month). Analysis was completed on two levels: joint and person.

Erosive osteoarthritis in a joint was defined as having eroded ‘E’ or remodelled ‘R’ phase of the Verbruggen–Vëys anatomical phase progression score. Non-erosive radiographic hand osteoarthritis in a joint was defined by sliding cut-offs of K&L grades: K&L ≥2, K&L ≥3 and K&L=4.

At the person level, individuals with erosive osteoarthritis were defined as having one or more IPJ with eroded ‘E’ or remodelled ‘R’ phase of the Verbruggen–Vëys anatomical phase progression score. Reference groups consisted of individuals free of erosive osteoarthritis who had increasing grades of radiographic osteoarthritis: K&L≥2, K&L≥3 and K&L=4 defined by the highest grade present in the IPJ.

Statistical analysis
The frequency of joint involvement was examined for erosive osteoarthritis and increasing grades of non-erosive radiographic osteoarthritis by ranking the IPJ in the order that they were most frequency affected, and Spearman rank correlation coefficients were used to compare the rank order. Analysis of the patterns of joint involvement by symmetry (same joint opposite hand), row (same row same hand) and ray (same ray same hand) were undertaken using three separate generalised estimating equation models to account for the lack of independence in the data for multiple hand joints in a single individual. Using symmetry as an example, the aim of the model was to test whether having osteoarthritis in a single joint increased the odds of having osteoarthritis in the same joint on the opposite hand. All generalised estimating equation models were fitted using an exchangeable correlation structure and robust SE. Model results are presented as OR adjusted for potential confounding by age, gender, hand joint, hand side and the number of affected IPJ.

Analysis was undertaken at the person level to compare the descriptive characteristics and risk factors of individuals with erosive osteoarthritis to reference groups with increasing grades of non-erosive radiographic osteoarthritis. The OR for a risk factor in those with erosive osteoarthritis compared to reference groups were calculated, and adjusted for age and gender.

Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.20 and STATA V11.0. All tests were two tailed and a p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Study population
In total, 15 396 adults aged 50 years and over from five general practices in North Staffordshire were mailed a two-stage survey from which CASHA and CASK study participants were recruited (see supplementary figure 1, available online only, for full details of the recruitment process). In total, 1167
participants reporting hand pain on a few days or more in the previous month attended the research clinics (CASHA n=578; CASK n=589). Even though only a small proportion of those surveyed attended research clinics the CASK sample has been shown to be representative of the symptomatic general population and similar analyses in CASHA resulted in the same conclusions.²⁵

Following exclusions for inflammatory arthritis (n=44), no hand X-rays (n=6) and missing X-ray data (n=41), 1076 participants were included in the analysis (CASHA n=521; CASK n=555), 60% women, mean age 64.8 years (SD 8.3, range 50–93).

**Frequency of joint involvement**

In total, 8608 hand joints were examined, with K&L ≥ 2 present in 1754 joints, K&L ≥ 3 in 425 joints, K&L=4 in 112 joints and erosive osteoarthritis in 207 joints.

Erosive osteoarthritis had the same rank involvement for the DIP joints as moderate–severe (K&L≥3) and severe (K&L=4) osteoarthritis in the right hand and severe osteoarthritis (K&L=4) in the left hand (table 1). In both hands statistically significant associations were seen for the rank order of joints with erosive osteoarthritis and moderate–severe osteoarthritis (K&L≥3), and for erosive osteoarthritis and severe osteoarthritis (K&L=4) (table 1, Spearman r ≥ 0.95). Fewer similarities were seen when the frequency of erosive joint involvement was compared to the presence of any radiographic osteoarthritis (K&L≥2) (table 1).

**Patterns of joint involvement**

Trends in the patterning of joint involvement were strongest by symmetry followed by row and then ray for erosive osteoarthritis and increasing grades of radiographic osteoarthritis, although in erosive joints statistically significant odds were only seen for symmetrical patterning (table 2). The strength of association for symmetry and ray was similar for erosive osteoarthritis compared with moderate–severe (K&L≥3) and severe hand osteoarthritis (K&L=4) (table 2).

**Demographic and radiographic characteristics**

In total, 80 participants were classed as having erosive osteoarthritis (mean number of erosive joints=2.6 (SD = 1.9), range=1–9). As similarities were seen between erosive osteoarthritis and moderate–severe and severe osteoarthritis in the joint patterning, analyses focused on the reference groups of 193 individuals with K&L≥3 and the 68 who had K&L=4. In individuals with erosive osteoarthritis the distribution of gender and age was comparable to those with moderate–severe (K&L≥3) and severe (K&L=4) osteoarthritis (table 3). Individuals with erosive osteoarthritis had thumb metacarpophalangeal and first carpometacarpal joint osteoarthritis slightly more often while symptomatic radiographic knee osteoarthritis was less frequent compared to those with more severe non-erosive osteoarthritis (table 3); however, the 95% CI showed none of these differences to be statistically significant (data not shown).

**Risk factors**

After adjustment for potential confounders, individuals with erosive osteoarthritis had increased odds of having dyslipidaemia (aOR = 4.7) and a classification of metabolic syndrome (aOR = 2.7) when compared to individuals with non-erosive radiographic osteoarthritis K&L≥3 (table 4). The same patterns were seen when erosive osteoarthritis was compared to the reference group with K&L=4.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Patterns of joint involvement by symmetry, row and ray for increasing grades of radiographic osteoarthritis and erosive osteoarthritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups of Joints</td>
<td>( N \geq 2 ) (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same joint, opposite hand</td>
<td>3.8 (3.1 to 4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same row, same hand</td>
<td>3.2 (2.7 to 3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same row, same hand</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOR, adjusted OR for age, gender, hand joint, hand side and number of affected interphalangeal joints; K&L, Kellgren and Lawrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Demographic and radiographic characteristics of individuals with erosive osteoarthritis compared to the reference groups with increasing grades of non-erosive radiographic hand osteoarthritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K&amp;L( \geq 3 )</td>
<td>K&amp;L=4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>n=193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range, years</td>
<td>52–91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years (SD)</td>
<td>68.9 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb IPJ osteoarthritis*</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb MCP osteoarthritis*</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First CMC osteoarthritis*</td>
<td>71.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezioscapoid joint osteoarthritis*</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP osteoarthritis†</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic radiographic knee osteoarthritis‡</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual occupation</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended higher education</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of arthritis</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous hand injury</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive hand use at work or in pastimes</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ( \text{kg/m}^2 )</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed a lipid-regulating drug</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome§</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CMC, carpometacarpal joint; IPJ, interphalangeal joint; K&L, Kellgren and Lawrence; MCP, metacarpophalangeal joint.

*Radiographic osteoarthritis determined by presence of K&L\( \geq 2 \).
†Radiographic osteoarthritis determined by the presence of K&L\( \geq 2 \) in one or more joints in the joint group.
‡Symptomatic radiographic knee osteoarthritis of tibiofemoral or patella-femoral joints of either knee (K&L\( \geq 2 \) on posterior–anterior or skyline views or posterior or lateral osteophytes Burnett grade\( \geq 1 \) on the lateral views).
§Metabolic syndrome was defined as the presence of three or more of the following: BMI\( \geq 30 \) kg/m², hypertension, dyslipidaemia and type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose.
incidence and progression of knee osteoarthritis and increasing ritis, supporting the lack of association seen in the current analysis was made between erosive osteoarthritis and more severe hand osteoarthritis. Increased serum cholesterol has been shown to be a risk factor for more severe hand osteoarthritis, but it does not discriminate between those with severe non-erosive hand osteoarthritis and erosive osteoarthritis. Another study found significantly increased odds of hypercholesterolaemia in patients with erosive and non-erosive osteoarthritis compared to controls, but no assessment was made between erosive and non-erosive hand osteoarthritis and more severe non-erosive hand osteoarthritis. Increased serum cholesterol has been shown to be a risk factor for generalised osteoarthritis, and the use of statins has been found to lead to a reduction in both the incidence and progression of osteoarthritis, supporting the lack of association seen in the current analyses with lipid-regulating drugs. The exact mechanism is not yet known but osteoarthritis is believed to share similar biochemical and inflammatory pathways to metabolic disorders, and dyslipidaemia may alter lipid metabolism in a number of joint tissues. The association between osteoarthritis and a number of metabolic risk factors has been noted in a number of studies. A population-based study recently demonstrated a significant dose–response relationship between the presence, incidence and progression of knee osteoarthritis and increasing numbers of metabolic factors. Therefore, as we found in this analysis, more severe forms of osteoarthritis, which include erosive osteoarthritis, may be associated with the presence of multiple metabolic factors.

Erosive osteoarthritis has been considered a separate disease entity as unlike non-erosive hand osteoarthritis it typically has an abrupt onset. Synovial pathology has shown an intense hypertrophy with acute inflammatory changes consistent with inflammatory arthritis. In one study, 15% of erosive osteoarthritis patients later developed seropositive rheumatoid arthritis. However, once the inflammatory phase has diminished histological samples are comparable to non-erosive hand osteoarthritis, suggesting inflammation is only a transitory phase. A further indication that erosive osteoarthritis may be a more severe form of hand osteoarthritis is that erosive osteoarthritis is seen in association with the same radiographic features of osteoarthritis and never in the absence of osteoarthritic changes. Research, including the present findings, has found that erosive osteoarthritis frequently affects the same hand joints as more severe non-erosive hand osteoarthritis. Nodes often occur in individuals with erosive osteoarthritis, and the greater clinical burden in erosive osteoarthritis compared to non-erosive osteoarthritis is thought to be largely due to the increased presence of nodes. Levels of serum hyaluronic acid, an important component of articular cartilage and synovial fluid, are increased in individuals with erosive compared to non-erosive osteoarthritis, and the potential erosive-specific risk factors of dyslipidaemia and metabolic syndrome found in the current research may indicate why some go on to develop a more severe form of hand osteoarthritis.

A few methodological limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. Although all individuals included in the current analysis had recent hand symptoms, those from CASK were recruited on the basis on having knee pain and could have a more widespread form of osteoarthritis; however, no excess in the frequency of erosive or non-erosive hand osteoarthritis was seen in the CASK participants. This study was limited by its small sample size as erosive osteoarthritis is a relatively rare condition; nevertheless, other population-based studies, such as Framingham and Rotterdam, had comparable numbers with erosive osteoarthritis. The focus of this research was specifically on the radiographic presentation of erosive osteoarthritis in relation to increasing grades of non-erosive hand osteoarthritis and not the clinical or symptomatic aspects of the condition. General practice medical records were used to determine the metabolic exposures, except for BMI. However, all doctors making a diagnosis of a metabolic condition would be working to UK clinical guidelines. Furthermore, we considered whether individuals with erosive osteoarthritis might be more likely visit their general practitioner thereby increasing the possibility of the diagnosis of a metabolic risk factor. Sensitivity analysis found this was not the case. Finally, in this analysis individuals with prevalent erosive osteoarthritis were used along with a limited retrospective period for the ascertainment of metabolic exposures. A longer period of retrospective review and the use of incident erosive osteoarthritis would allow a more thorough analysis to be completed.

In conclusion, the frequency and patterning of joint involvement in erosive osteoarthritis is similar to that seen in moderate–severe and severe non-erosive radiographic osteoarthritis, which is consistent with erosive osteoarthritis being a more severe form of hand osteoarthritis rather than a distinct entity. Metabolic exposure, and dyslipidaemia in particular, may be associated with erosive osteoarthritis.
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**Table 4** Risk factors for erosive osteoarthritis compared to reference groups with non-erosive moderate–severe and severe radiographic hand osteoarthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Erosive osteoarthritis vs K&amp;L≥3 aOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Erosive osteoarthritis vs K&amp;L=4 aOR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>1.4 (0.7 to 2.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6 to 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual occupation</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4 to 1.2)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4 to 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended higher education</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8 to 3.1)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6 to 3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of arthritis</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7 to 2.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.7 to 2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous hand injury</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8 to 2.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7 to 3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive hand use at work or in pastimes</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8 to 3.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8 to 4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6 to 1.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5 to 2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2 to 1.8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2 to 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7 to 2.1)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.9 to 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>4.7 (2.1 to 10.6)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2 to 9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed a lipid-regulating drug</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6 to 2.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.6 to 3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome*</td>
<td>2.7 (1.0 to 7.1)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.8 to 13.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aOR, adjusted OR for age and gender; BMI, body mass index; K&L, Kellgren and Lawrence.

*Metabolic syndrome was defined as the presence of three or more of the following: BMI>30 kg/m², hypertension, dyslipidaemia and type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose.
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