A randomised, double-blind, multicentre, parallel-group, prospective study comparing the pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of CT-P13 and innovator infliximab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: the PLANETAS study

Won Park,1 Pawel Hrycaj,2 Slawomir Jeka,3 Volodymyr Kovalenko,4 Grygorii Lysenko,5 Pedro Miranda,6 Helena Mikazane,7 Sergio Gutierrez-Ureña,8 MieJin Lim,1 Yeon-Ah Lee,3 Sang Joon Lee,10 HoUng Kim,11 Dae Hyun Yoo,12 Jürgen Braun13

ABSTRACT

Objective To compare the pharmacokinetics (PK), safety and efficacy of innovator infliximab (INX) and CT-P13, a biosimilar to INX, in patients with active ankylosing spondylitis (AS).

Methods Phase I randomised, double-blind, multicentre, multinational, parallel-group study. Patients were randomised to receive 5 mg/kg of CT-P13 (n=125) or INX (n=125). Primary endpoints were area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) at steady state and observed maximum steady state serum concentration (Cmax,ss) between weeks 22 and 30. Additional PK, efficacy endpoints, including 20% and 40% improvement response according to Assessment in Ankylosing Spondylitis International Working Group criteria (ASAS20 and ASAS40), and safety outcomes were also assessed.

Results Geometric mean AUC was 32 765.8 μg/ml for CT-P13 and 31 359.3 μg/ml for INX. Geometric mean Cmax,ss was 147.0 μg/ml for CT-P13 and 144.8 μg/ml for INX. The ratio of geometric means was 104.5% (90% CI 94% to 116%) for AUC and 101.5% (90% CI 95% to 109%) for Cmax,ss. ASAS20 and ASAS40 responses at week 20 were 70.5% and 51.8% for CT-P13 and 72.4% and 47.4% for INX, respectively. In the CT-P13 and INX groups more than one adverse event occurred in 64.8% and 63.9% of patients, infusion reactions occurred in 3.9% and 4.9%, active tuberculosis occurred in 1.6% and 0.8%, and 27.4% and 22.5% of patients tested positive for anti-drug antibodies, respectively.

Conclusions The PK profiles of CT-P13 and INX were equivalent in patients with active AS. CT-P13 was well tolerated, with an efficacy and safety profile comparable to that of INX up to week 30.

INTRODUCTION

Innovator infliximab (INX), a chimeric monoclonal antibody (mAb) to tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα), was the first TNF antagonist shown to be efficacious in ankylosing spondylitis (AS).1 INX significantly improved the signs, symptoms, functional status, and quality of life (QOL) of patients with AS in clinical trials, with clinical improvement seen as early as 2 weeks after initiation of therapy and an acceptable safety profile.2-4 In the Ankylosing Spondylitis Study for the Evaluation of Recombinant Infliximab Therapy (ASSERT) trial, patients receiving INX also showed significant improvement versus placebo in 20% and 40% improvement response according to Assessment in Ankylosing Spondylitis International Working Group criteria (ASAS20/ASAS40), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI) and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI), chest expansion and physical component summary score of the SF-36.2 INX and other anti-TNF agents have become important components of the management of patients with active AS.5-6 With current biologic therapies approaching patent expiration, there has been considerable interest in developing biosimilar products, which are highly similar but not identical and not ‘biodeientical’, to approved ‘reference’ agents.7

CT-P13 is an IgG1 chimeric human-murine mAb biosimilar to INX. CT-P13 is produced in the same type of cell-line (Sp2/0-AG14—purchased from ATCC, Cat. CRL-1581) and has an identical amino acid sequence to INX. CT-P13 and INX have demonstrated comparable in vitro primary pharmacodynamics (PD) in a range of studies (CELLTRION, Inc. Unpublished data (see online supplementary appendix A)). CT-P13 and INX showed comparable binding affinities to monomeric and trimeric forms of human TNFα (hTNFα), transgenic mouse hTNFα (tmhTNFα) expressed by Jurkat cells and to Fc receptors and FcRn. Comparable hTNFα neutralising activity against a TNFα-sensitive mouse sarcoma cell-line (WEHI-164) has also been demonstrated. CT-P13 and INX are also comparable in terms of: lack of binding activity to human TNFβ and TNFα from a range of different species known not to bind infliximab; relative binding affinities to complement protein C1q; and complement-dependent cytotoxicity effects and apoptotic effects against a Jurkat T-cell-line expressing tmhTNFα. Comparable cytotoxic activities have been achieved as a result of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity evaluation
of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells against tmhTNF-α–Jurkat T cells, demonstrating biosimilarity of CT-P13 and INX. Highly comparable human tissue cross-reactivity results have been observed for betinylated CT-P13 and INX.

According to biosimilar guidelines from European Medicines Agency (EMA) and US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), comparative clinical trials for pharmacokinetics (PK) and efficacy are required for demonstration of clinical comparability, preferably double-blind, normally equivalence trials. Programme evaluating the Autoimmune disease iNeStigatig drug cT-p13 in AS patients (PLANETAS) was conducted with the approval of the regulatory authorities, including the EMA. PLANETAS was not a conventional dose finding Phase 1 clinical trial but a Phase 1 biosimilar study designed to demonstrated PK equivalence and efficacy and safety comparability of CT-P13 and INX in active AS patients. Efficacy equivalence of CT-P13 and INX in a phase 3 study named Programme evaluating the Autoimmune disease iNeStigatig drug cT-p13 in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients (PLAETRA). PK and PD endpoints were also assessed, as the indications for the PLANETAS and PLANETRA trials were different.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients with active AS according to the 1984 modified New York classification criteria for ≥3 months prior to screening, with BASDAI score of ≥4 (range 0–10) and a visual analogue scale score for spinal pain of ≥4 (range 0–10) were eligible for PLANETAS study. Patients were permitted to receive both oral glucocorticoids (equivalent to ≤10mg daily prednisolone) and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, if they had received a stable dose for ≥4 weeks prior to screening. Additional details of patient eligibility criteria are provided online (see online supplementary appendix B).

Study design

This study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01220518) was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and International Committee on Harmonisation good clinical practices. The protocol was reviewed and approved by regulatory authorities and the ethics committees of each study site. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was conducted at 46 sites across 10 countries in Europe, Asia and Latin America.

Patients were randomly assigned 1:1 to receive either 5 mg/kg of CT-P13 (CELLTRION INC, Incheon, Republic of Korea) or INX (Jansen Biotech Inc, Horsham, Pennsylvania, USA), both administered by 2-h IV infusion, at weeks 0, 2, 6 and then q8 weeks up to week 30. Patients were premedicated with antihistamine (chlorpheniramine 2–4 mg or dose of equivalent antihistamine, eg, 10 mg of cetirizine) 30–60 min prior to the start of infusion at the investigator’s discretion.

Patients underwent clinical assessments and blood sampling at baseline, weeks 14 and 30. At each visit, patients were questioned about adverse events (AEs) and concomitant medications and were monitored for any clinical signs and symptoms of tuberculosis (TB). Additional study details are provided in (see online supplementary appendix C).

Study endpoints

The primary endpoint was to demonstrate PK equivalence at steady state (area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) and observed maximum serum concentration (Cmax)) between CT-P13 and INX assessed between weeks 22 and 30 (doses 5 and 6). Serum blood samples for PK analysis were obtained immediately prior to the study treatment infusion, at the end of the infusion and 1 h after the study treatment infusion. For primary PK analysis, a total of 10 serum blood samples were obtained between weeks 22 and 30. All PK analyses were conducted using a flow-through immunoassay platform (GyrolabxP; Gyros AB, Sweden).

In an equivalence trial, we conclude that two treatments are equivalent if the observable difference (ΔE) between them lies within an established interval for predefined clinical equivalence margin (−d, d). In general, the ‘null hypothesis’ is that the difference (ΔE) is outside of the equivalence margin, that is, either ΔE≥d or ΔE≤−d. If collected data on the true difference ΔE reject the null hypothesis of ‘non-equivalence’ then we can accept the alternative explanation (−d≤ΔE≤d) that the two treatments work equally well. An equivalence margin of 80–125% was selected based on recommendations for bioequivalence trials. The use of 90% confidence intervals (CIs), lying within the equivalence margin of 80–125%, was therefore considered to be the best available method of determining bioequivalence for PK comparative trials. In our PK analysis, the predetermined difference is defined by its corresponding ratio since we use the ratio of geometric means of PK endpoints.

Secondary endpoints included additional PK, efficacy, immunogenicity and safety parameters. The secondary PK endpoints included assessments of observed maximum serum concentration (Cmax), minimum serum concentration (Cmin), time to reach Cmax (Tmax) up to week 30 and the comparison of the following parameters from week 22 to 30: average concentration at steady state (Css); minimum concentration at steady state immediately before the next infusion (Cmin,ss); swing ((Cmax,ss−Cmin,ss)/Cmin,ss); degree of fluctuation ((Cmax,ss−Cmin,ss)/Cav,ss); mean residence time (MRT); terminal elimination half-life calculated between doses 5 and 6 (T1/2); total body clearance (CLb) and volume of distribution at steady state (Vss).

Efficacy endpoints were assessed at weeks 14 and 30 and included: proportion of patients achieving ASAS20 or ASAS40 responses; Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) score; change in BASDAI, BASFI and BASI scores versus baseline; change in chest expansion score versus baseline; and QOL (assessed using the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36)).

Blood samples were assessed for anti-drug antibodies (ADA) at weeks 14 and 30. Antibodies against CT-P13 or INX were measured using an electrochemiluminescent immunoassay method utilising the Meso Scale Discovery platform (MSD, Rockville, Maryland, USA).

Safety endpoints included incidence and type of AEs, serious AEs (SAEs) and incidence of infusion-related reactions, infection and changes in clinical laboratory parameters from baseline. AEs were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities and severity was characterised as mild, moderate or severe. ECGs were recorded at week 30.

All patients were screened for latent or active TB by an interferon gamma release assay (IGRA) utilising QuantiFERON-TB Gold in tube (Cellestis Ltd, Australia) and chest x-ray. Patients with latent TB received prophylactic medication according to the local guidelines. For countries with an increased incidence of TB, IGRA was used to identify positive conversion from negative results at weeks 14 and 30, in line with the WHO recommendations for sole use of IGRA in non-HIV adults receiving anti-TNF therapy.

Statistical analysis

Sample size was determined using the following criteria: a coefficient of variation (CV) of 50%, expected ratio of means=1, 0.8.
Safety analysis was performed by presenting data on hypersensitivity, ECG results, physical examination, vital sign measurements, clinical laboratory tests (haematology, clinical chemistry and urinalysis), AEs, concomitant medications and immunogenicity.

RESULTS

Patients

The first patient was screened in November 2010; the week 30 evaluation of the last patient was performed in December 2011. Baseline demographics are shown in table 1. Of the 250 randomised patients, 229 completed the 30-week study period and 21 discontinued study treatment prior to week 30, primarily due to AEs (5.2%) and patient withdrawal of consent (2.4%) (figure 1).

PK analyses included 223 patients. Efficacy and safety analysis were performed in all 250 patients.

Pharmacokinetics

Steady state PK (AUC and Cmax) was equivalent for CT-P13 (32765.8 μg/ml and 147.0 μg/ml) and INX (31359.3 μg/ml and 144.8 μg/ml) in the overall PK population (table 2 and figure 2). The ratio of geometric means was near 100% for AUC and Cmax,ss. In the ADA-negative subset of patients (n=171), geometric means of AUC and Cmax,ss were higher than in the overall PK population, but the ratios of geometric means in this subgroup remained near 100% for both measures. The mean secondary PK endpoints—Cmax,ss, Cmin,ss, swing, degree of fluctuation, MRT, T1/2, CLss, Vss, Cmax, Cmin and Tmax —were also highly similar between CT-P13 and INX (table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>CT-P13 5 mg/kg (N=125)</th>
<th>INX 5 mg/kg (N=125)</th>
<th>Total (N=250)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>38.0 (18–69)</td>
<td>38.0 (18–66)</td>
<td>38.0 (18–69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>99 (79.2)</td>
<td>103 (82.4)</td>
<td>202 (80.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26 (20.8)</td>
<td>22 (17.6)</td>
<td>48 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>97 (77.6)</td>
<td>92 (73.6)</td>
<td>189 (75.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>16 (12.8)</td>
<td>13 (10.4)</td>
<td>29 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12 (9.6)</td>
<td>20 (16.0)</td>
<td>32 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>172.0 (148–198)</td>
<td>171.0 (147–193)</td>
<td>172.0 (147–198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>72.70 (45.0–120.0)</td>
<td>76.00 (45.5–122.7)</td>
<td>73.75 (45.0–122.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.39 (18.0–38.7)</td>
<td>25.64 (17.5–42.0)</td>
<td>25.12 (17.5–42.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASDAS, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI (stratification factor), no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–&lt;8</td>
<td>92 (73.6)</td>
<td>95 (76.0)</td>
<td>187 (74.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8–10</td>
<td>33 (26.4)</td>
<td>30 (24.0)</td>
<td>63 (25.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI score, 0–10</td>
<td>6.8 (3.4–10.0)</td>
<td>6.6 (1.8–10.0)</td>
<td>6.7 (1.8–10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASFI score, 0–10</td>
<td>6.3 (0.7–9.8)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.1–10.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.1–10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASMI score, 0–10</td>
<td>4.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest expansion, cm</td>
<td>3.0 (0.5–9.0)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.0–7.0)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36 summary scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical component</td>
<td>34.1 (16.2–49.7)</td>
<td>33.1 (15.3–54.3)</td>
<td>33.4 (15.3–54.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental component</td>
<td>38.2 (15.1–63.7)</td>
<td>37.2 (12.5–63.6)</td>
<td>37.8 (12.5–63.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP level, mg/dl</td>
<td>1.1 (0.0–13.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.0–17.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.0–17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR level, mm/h</td>
<td>33.0 (2.0–110.0)</td>
<td>34.0 (1.0–119.0)</td>
<td>34.0 (1.0–119.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Except where indicated otherwise, values are the median (minimum, maximum).

ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BASMI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; INX, innovator infliximab; SF-36, quality-of-life questionnaire (Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form Health Survey).
Clinical efficacy

Efficacy was highly similar between the two groups, as measured by all efficacy endpoints. ASAS20 response was achieved in 62.6% and 70.5% for CT-P13 and 64.8% and 72.4% for INX at weeks 14 (OR=0.91, 95% CI 0.53 to 1.54) and 30 (OR=0.91, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.62), respectively. ASAS40 response was achieved in 41.7% and 51.8% for CT-P13 and 45.9% and 47.4% for INX at weeks 14 (OR=0.85, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.42) and 30 (OR=1.19, 95% CI 0.70 to 2.00), respectively (see online supplementary appendix E).

The mean change from baseline in the ASDAS-CRP score was highly similar for both treatment groups at weeks 14 (−1.8; SD=1.1 vs −1.8; SD=1.1) and 30 (−1.8; SD=1.2 vs −1.7; SD=1.2) for CT-P13 and INX, respectively.

The median change from baseline to weeks 14 and 30 for CT-P13 and INX was noted in the following secondary endpoints: BASDAI score (week 14: −2.7 vs −2.7 and 30: −3.1 vs −2.5); BASFI score (week 14: −2.2 vs −2.4 and 30: −2.6 vs −2.2); BASMI score (week 14: 0.0 vs 0.0 and 30: −1.0 vs −1.0); and chest expansion score (week 14: +0.2 vs +0.5 and 30: +0.5 vs

### Table 2 Overall steady state PK between weeks 22 and 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>Ratio (%) of geometric means</th>
<th>90% CI of ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PK population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (μg/ml)</td>
<td>CT-P13</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>32765.8</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>94.3 to 115.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INX</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>31359.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max,ss&lt;/sub&gt; (μg/ml)</td>
<td>CT-P13</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>94.7 to 108.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INX</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>144.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA-negative subset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (μg/ml)</td>
<td>CT-P13</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37505.2</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>94.6 to 113.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INX</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>36266.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max,ss&lt;/sub&gt; (μg/ml)</td>
<td>CT-P13</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>97.2 to 112.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INX</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The primary PK endpoints of the observed AUC and C<sub>max,ss</sub> in patients treated with CT-P13 and INX at steady state were analysed using an analysis of covariance with treatment as a fixed effect and region and baseline BASDAI score fitted as covariates. Point estimates and 90% CI for differences on the log scale were exponentiated to obtain estimates for ratios of geometric means on the original scale.

ADA, anti-drug antibodies; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; C<sub>max,ss</sub>, observed maximum steady state serum concentration; CI, confidence interval; INX, innovator infliximab; PK, pharmacokinetics.
Similarly, SF-36 score increased from baseline to week 14 and 30 similarly at both time points and in both treatment groups. At week 30, a significant improvement from baseline in the physical component score of the SF-36 (median change from baseline 7.6 vs 8.5, respectively) was observed in both treatment groups. A similar effect was observed for the mental component score of the SF-36 (6.5 vs 5.2).

An ASAS20 response for CT-P13 and INX at week 30, assessed according to baseline C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, was achieved in 75.2% and 77.6% of patients with a baseline CRP of >3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) (OR=0.76: 95% CI 0.32 to 1.84) and in 68.9% and 67.2% of patients with a baseline CRP ≤3×ULN, respectively (OR=0.99: 95% CI 0.45 to 2.17). The median change from baseline to week 30 in CRP and erythrocyte sedimentation rate was −0.7 mg/dl and −21.0 mm/h for CT-P13 and −0.8 mg/dl and −19.5 mm/h for INX, respectively.

Overall, no statistical significance in clinical response between the treatment groups at weeks 14 and 30 was found.

Immunogenicity

Antibodies to infliximab with active AS patients were detected in 9.1% (n=11) and 11.0% (n=13) of patients for CT-P13 and INX at week 14 and 27.4% (n=32) and 22.5% (n=25) of patients for CT-P13 and INX, respectively, at week 30.

The efficacy results were analysed for ADA-positive and ADA-negative patients in a post-hoc analysis, and it was found that ADA-positive patients had a less robust ASAS20 response (see online supplementary appendix F). No statistically significant difference between the CT-P13 and INX treatment groups was observed at week 14 and 30.

Safety

Overall treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) were reported in 83 (64.8%) patients and 78 (63.9%) patients from the CT-P13 and INX treatment arms, respectively (table 4). The majority of TEAEs was mild-to-moderate in intensity. The TEAEs considered by the investigator to be related to the study treatment and most frequently reported for patients were: CT-P13: increased alanine transaminase (ALT) (n=14, 10.9%) and aspartate transaminase (AST) (n=12, 9.4%), latent TB (n=5, 3.9%), urinary tract infection (n=5, 3.9%), serum creatine phosphokinase elevation (n=4, 3.1%) and γ-glutamyltransferase elevation (n=4, 3.1%); INX: increased ALT (n=13, 10.7%) and AST (n=10, 8.2%), γ-glutamyltransferase elevation (n=4, 4.1%) and latent TB (n=4, 3.3%). Infusion-related reactions occurred in five (3.9%) and six (4.9%) patients in CT-P13 and INX groups, respectively. The proportions of infusion-related reactions in CT-P13 and INX groups were 3.1% (n=1) vs 11.1% (n=3) for ADA-positive group and 3.4% (n=3) and 2.2% (n=2) for ADA-negative group, respectively.

Similar rates of SAEs were reported between treatment groups, regardless of the relationship with the study drug (see online supplementary appendix G). No deaths were reported during the study.

DISCUSSION

This randomised, double-blind, multicentre and multinational, parallel-group, prospective PLANETAS study assessed the PK equivalence and safety and efficacy comparability of multiple doses of CT-P13 (5 mg/kg) versus INX (5 mg/kg) administered up to week 30 in active AS patients.

The primary outcome, steady-state PK (AUC and Cmax,ss), was shown to be equivalent for CT-P13 and INX (90% CIs for the
mean AUC and $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ were 94–116% and 95–109%, respectively). These values were within the predefined margins for equivalence (80–125%), thereby satisfying the criteria set for PK equivalence of CT-P13 to INX. This predefined margin is considered appropriate from a clinical perspective because of the broad therapeutic window and high variability of INX. AUC and $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ were higher in the ADA-negative subset of patients in this study, versus values for the overall PK population. Published PK data for the 5mg/kg dose of INX in AS is sparse, but the values for AUC and $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ reported in this study are similar to those reported in previous studies of INX monotherapy using a similar dosing pattern in Crohn’s disease. The coadministration of methotrexate (MTX) is thought to increase concentrations of INX in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Although coadministration of MTX with INX in AS is not recommended, the effect of coadministration on CT-P13 should be further studied—especially in patients with peripheral arthritis. In patients with AS, the possible influence of ADA on circulating concentrations of INX, including the potential consequence, an impaired clinical response, has been studied but yielded conflicting results. Nevertheless, INX monotherapy remains a useful treatment for the majority of patients with AS, for which long-term data are available.

The mean secondary PK endpoints were also highly similar between CT-P13 and INX groups. CT-P13 was also equivalent to INX up to week 30 in terms of efficacy as assessed by ASAS20/ASAS40 criteria. The median change from baseline in BASDAI, BASFI, BASMI, chest expansion and SF-36 score was highly similar at weeks 14 and 30, underlining the benefit of CT-P13 and INX in both physician-measured and patient-reported outcomes.

The efficacy outcomes of this trial were comparable to those reported previously in pivotal randomised controlled trials of INX in AS. The ASAS20 and ASAS40 responses at week 30 in this study for INX (72.4% and 47.4%, respectively) are similar to those reported at week 24 in the ASSERT trial (61.2% and 47.0%, respectively). The magnitude of improvements in secondary efficacy endpoints with INX in this trial were also comparable to those reported in ASSERT. Improvements from baseline to week 30 of the physical component of the SF-36 in the INX group in this study were comparable to those reported in ASSERT (8.5 vs 10.2, respectively), as were the median baseline scores of the physical component of the SF-36 (28.8 vs 33.1, respectively). However, the improvements from baseline to week 30 of the mental component of the SF-36 in this study were not seen in ASSERT (5.2 vs 2.7, respectively), likely reflecting the higher baseline median scores of the mental component of SF-36 in ASSERT (37.2 vs 47.6, respectively).

### Table 3: Mean (CV) Serum pharmacokinetic parameters of INX: pharmacokinetic population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CT-P13 (N=113)</th>
<th>INX (N=110)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/ml)</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max,ss}}$ (µg/ml)</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T_{\text{max}}$ was reported as median (minimum, maximum). $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ was set to missing if the concentration was below the lower limit of quantification or the same as other concentrations.

$C_{\text{max}}$, maximum serum concentration; $C_{\text{av,ss}}$, average concentration at steady state; $C_{\text{min,ss}}$, minimum concentration immediately before the next application; $C_{\text{ave,ss}}$, average concentration at steady state; $C_{\text{min,ss}}$, minimum concentration immediately before the next application at steady state; CV, coefficient of variation; INX, innovator infliximab; MRT, mean residence time; $T1/2$, terminal elimination half-life; $T_{\text{max}}$, time to reach $C_{\text{max}}$; $V_{ss}$, volume of distribution at steady state.

$T_{\text{max}}$ was set to missing if the concentration was below the lower limit of quantification or the same as other concentrations.
Comparison of ASAS20 and ASAS40 values for CT-P13 and INX by ADA status showed no statistical significance despite the observational difference in the ADA-positive subset, the latter probably due to the lack of patient numbers.

Overall, CT-P13 and INX were well-tolerated and their safety profiles were comparable. The majority of patients had negative immunogenicity results according to the electrochemiluminescent immunoassay method at weeks 14 and 30. Extra-articular manifestations such as uveitis, psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease were not assessed in this study, but the single cases of uveitis and psoriasis in the INX group were reported as AEs. The incidence of AEs, SAEs, infections and infusion-related reactions with INX in this trial were comparable to those seen in ASSERT.2

Table 4  Related treatment-emergent adverse events reported in at least 1% of patients in either treatment group, no (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT-P13 5 mg/kg (N=128)</th>
<th>INX 5 mg/kg (N=112)</th>
<th>Total (N=250)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alanine aminotransferase increased</strong></td>
<td>14 (10.9) 13 (10.7) 27 (10.8)</td>
<td>12 (9.4) 10 (8.2) 22 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aspartate aminotransferase increased</strong></td>
<td>4 (3.1) 5 (4.1) 9 (3.6)</td>
<td>5 (3.9) 4 (3.3) 9 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>γ-glutamyltransferase increased</strong></td>
<td>3 (2.3) 2 (1.6) 5 (2.0)</td>
<td>3 (2.3) 2 (1.6) 5 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latent tuberculosis</strong></td>
<td>2 (1.6) 3 (2.5) 5 (2.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.6) 3 (2.5) 5 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper respiratory tract infection</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.6) 2 (1.6) 4 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasopharyngitis</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharyngitis</strong></td>
<td>2 (1.6) 3 (2.5) 5 (2.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.6) 3 (2.5) 5 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urinary tract infection</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteriuria</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tonsillitis</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuberculosis</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infusion-related reaction</strong></td>
<td>5 (3.9) 0 5 (2.0)</td>
<td>5 (3.9) 0 5 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum creatinine phosphokinase increased</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutropenia</strong></td>
<td>2 (1.6) 2 (1.6) 4 (1.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.6) 2 (1.6) 4 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leukopenia</strong></td>
<td>0 0 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 0 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pyrexia</strong></td>
<td>2 (1.6) 1 (0.8) 3 (1.2)</td>
<td>2 (1.6) 1 (0.8) 3 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Headache</strong></td>
<td>3 (2.3) 1 (0.8) 4 (1.6)</td>
<td>3 (2.3) 1 (0.8) 4 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rash</strong></td>
<td>0 3 (2.5) 3 (1.2)</td>
<td>0 3 (2.5) 3 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urticaria</strong></td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 2 (1.6) 2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nausea</strong></td>
<td>1 (0.8) 2 (1.6) 3 (1.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.8) 2 (1.6) 3 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total number of treatment-emergent adverse events count included all related patient events. At each level of summarisation, a patient was counted once if he or she reported one more related events. Only the most severe event was counted. Patients who received at least one (full or partial) dose of CT-P13 were included in the CT-P13 group for safety analyses, irrespective of their randomisation.

The positive results of this study provide a rationale for future studies of CT-P13 in the treatment of other TNF-mediated inflammatory diseases.

CONCLUSIONS

CT-P13 and INX were shown to be equivalent in terms of AUC and C_{max,ss} in patients with active AS. Clinical efficacy endpoints, including ASAS20 and ASAS40 responses, were highly similar between CT-P13 and INX groups. CT-P13 was well-tolerated with an immunogenicity and safety profile comparable to that of INX up to week 30.
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