Exploratory analyses of the association of MRI with clinical, laboratory and radiographic findings in patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Evaluate relationships between MRI and clinical/laboratory/radiographic findings in rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods 837 methotrexate-naive patients (GO-BEFORE) and 444 patients with active RA despite methotrexate (GO-FORWARD) were randomly assigned to subcutaneous placebo + methotrexate, golimumab 100mg + placebo, golimumab 50mg + methotrexate, or golimumab 100mg + methotrexate every 4 weeks. In GO-BEFORE(n=318) and GO-FORWARD(n=240) substudies, MRI of dominant wrist/metacarpophalangeal joints were scored for synovitis, bone oedema and bone erosion. Relationships between RAMRIS scores and serum C-reactive protein (CRP), 28-joint count disease activity score (DAS28–CRP) and van der Heijde modified Sharp (vdH-S) scores were assessed.

Results Baseline and weeks 24/28 DAS28–CRP, CRP, and vdH-S generally correlated well with baseline and week 24 RAMRIS synovitis, oedema and erosion scores. Early (week 4) CRP changes correlated with later (week 12) RAMRIS synovitis/erosion changes; earlier (week 12) changes in some RAMRIS scores correlated with later (weeks 24/28) changes in vdH-S. Significant correlations between RAMRIS change scores and clinical/radiographic change scores were weak.

Conclusions MRI and clinical/laboratory/radiographic measures generally correlated well. Associations between earlier changes in CRP and later changes in RAMRIS synovitis/erosions were observed. Changes in MRI and clinical/radiographic measures did not correlate well, probably because MRI is more sensitive than radiographs and more objective than DAS28–CRP.

MRI is more sensitive than radiographs in detecting joint erosions 1–6 in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Unlike radiographs, MRI can detect synovitis and bone marrow oedema, pre-erosive inflammatory changes that increase the risk of new erosions 7–13 Areas of bone appearing as osteitis/bone marrow oedema by MRI are heavily infiltrated by inflammatory cells and osteoclasts. 14 The detection and treatment of pre-erosive inflammatory changes 10, 15 are crucial to limiting generally irreversible osseous joint damage. 16

We have reported the results of radiographic and MRI assessments from two large phase III trials (GO-BEFORE, methotrexate-naive patients; 17–19 GO-FORWARD, patients with inadequate response to methotrexate therapy); 18, 20, 21 that evaluated the efficacy of golimumab (a human monoclonal antibody to tumour necrosis factor alpha) in RA. MRI findings correlate with clinical, laboratory, imaging and histological measures of inflammation in RA. 15, 16 While MRI appears more sensitive than radiographs in detecting bone erosion, the ability of the RA MRI scoring (RAMRIS) system to detect erosive changes earlier/more often than the van der Heijde modification of the Sharp (vdH-S) scoring systems and the relationship between RAMRIS scores and laboratory/clinical measures of inflammation in large randomised clinical trials (eg, GO-BEFORE and GO-FORWARD MRI substudies) need to be assessed.

RESULTS

Baseline patient characteristics

Methotrexate-naive patients appeared to have more active inflammation but less structural damage than patients with an inadequate response to methotrexate (table 1).

Cross-sectional data correlations

DAS28 versus RAMRIS scores

In GO-BEFORE, significant (p<0.01) correlations were observed between baseline DAS28 scores and baseline RAMRIS synovitis (r=0.40), bone oedema/osteitis (r=0.18), and bone erosion (r=0.21) scores (table 2). Significant (p<0.001) correlations were also observed between week 24 DAS28 scores and week 24 RAMRIS synovitis (r=0.30), bone oedema/osteitis (r=0.22) and bone erosion (r=0.23) scores. Correlations in GO-FORWARD were weak.
Serum CRP concentration versus RAMRIS scores
In GO-BEFORE, significant (p<0.001) correlations were observed between baseline CRP concentrations and baseline RAMRIS synovitis (r=0.26), bone oedema/oestitis (r=0.49) and bone erosion (r=0.64; figure 1A) scores. Similar significant correlations were observed between week 28 total vdh-S and week 24 RAMRIS scores (figure 1B). Correlations between vdh-S and RAMRIS erosion scores were significant (p<0.001) and strong at baseline (r=0.58) and weeks 24/28 (r=0.59).

In GO-FORWARD, significant (p<0.001) correlations were observed between total vdh-S and RAMRIS synovitis (r=0.28), bone oedema/oestitis (r=0.58) and bone erosion (r=0.77) baseline scores. Findings were consistent at week 24. Correlations between vdh-S and RAMRIS erosion scores were significant (p<0.001) and strong at baseline (r=0.75) and week 24 (r=0.71).

Change score correlations
**DAS28 versus RAMRIS change scores**
Changes from baseline to week 12 and week 24 in DAS28 scores paralleled changes from baseline to week 12 and week 24, respectively, in each RAMRIS score for GO-BEFORE and for RAMRIS bone oedema/oestitis scores only for GO-FORWARD (table 2).

The association between RAMRIS change scores at week 12 and later changes in clinical response (week 24 DAS28) was significant only for the RAMRIS synovitis score in GO-BEFORE and for the RAMRIS bone oedema/oestitis score in GO-FORWARD. Differences in radiographic response between groups were not explored.

### Table 1  Baseline clinical characteristics of the GO-BEFORE and GO-FORWARD MRI substudy populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>GO-BEFORE (methotrexate-naive)</th>
<th>GO-FORWARD (methotrexate inadequate response)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients randomly assigned to treatment, n</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>257 (80.8%)</td>
<td>200 (83.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50.0 (41.0–58.0)</td>
<td>51.0 (43.0–58.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6–3.7)</td>
<td>6.3 (3.0–13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen joints (0–66)</td>
<td>10.0 (7.0–18.0)</td>
<td>10.0 (7.0–18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender joints (0–69)</td>
<td>23.5 (13.0–35.0)</td>
<td>21.0 (11.0–31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.5–2.7)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/h)</td>
<td>38.0 (22.0–58.0)</td>
<td>36.0 (22.0–50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28 score (0–10)</td>
<td>5.5 (4.8–6.3)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.5–6.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vdh-S score (0–448)</td>
<td>20.5±38.1</td>
<td>36.2±46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAMRIS scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovitis, wrist plus MCP (0–21)*</td>
<td>9.5±5.0</td>
<td>7.0±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone oedema/oestitis (0–69)</td>
<td>10.0±10.0</td>
<td>6.9±9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone erosion (0–230)</td>
<td>21.2±23.7</td>
<td>24.4±28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented for all treatment groups combined.

*Several sites did not have the capability to obtain postgadolinium images of both the wrist and the metacarpophalangeal joints; therefore, RAMRIS synovitis scores are summarised and assessed for the subgroups of patients with both determinations.

CRP, C-reactive protein; DAS28, 28-joint disease activity score calculated using CRP; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MCP, metacarpophalangeal; RAMRIS, rheumatoid arthritis MRI scoring system; vdh-S, van der Heijde modified Sharp score.

### Table 2  Spearman correlation coefficients and p values for the relationship between RAMRIS scores and clinical, laboratory and radiographic findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO-BEFORE (methotrexate-naive)</th>
<th>GO-FORWARD (methotrexate inadequate response)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline RAMRIS vs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline DAS28</td>
<td>0.40 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline CRP</td>
<td>0.36 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline total vdh-S</td>
<td>0.26 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline vdh-S erosion score</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 4 RAMRIS vs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4 DAS28</td>
<td>0.30 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4 CRP</td>
<td>0.24 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks 24/28 total vdh-S</td>
<td>0.25 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks 24/28 vdh-S erosion score</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RAMRIS Δ to week 12 vs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28 Δ to week 12</td>
<td>0.21 (p=0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28 Δ to week 24</td>
<td>0.21 (p=0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP % to week 4</td>
<td>–0.17 (p=0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP % to week 12</td>
<td>–0.21 (p=0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vdh-S Δ to weeks 24/28</td>
<td>0.08 (p=0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vdh-S erosion score Δ to weeks 24/28</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RAMRIS Δ to week 24 vs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28 Δ to week 24</td>
<td>0.22 (p=0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP % to week 24</td>
<td>–0.20 (p=0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vdh-S Δ to weeks 24/28</td>
<td>0.13 (p=0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vdh-S erosion score Δ to weeks 24/28</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented for all treatment groups combined.

*Several sites did not have the capability to obtain postgadolinium images of both the wrist and the metacarpophalangeal joints; therefore, RAMRIS synovitis scores are summarised and assessed for the subgroups of patients with both determinations.

CRP, C-reactive protein; DAS28, 28-joint disease activity score calculated using CRP; RAMRIS, rheumatoid arthritis MRI score; vdh-S, van der Heijde modified Sharp score.
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for synovitis and bone oedema/osteitis, but not bone erosion, RAMRIS scores in GO-FORWARD.

**Serum CRP concentration versus RAMRIS change scores**

Changes from baseline to week 12 and week 24 in serum CRP concentrations paralleled RAMRIS synovitis and bone oedema/osteitis, but not bone erosion, change scores. Week 4 (an early time point) CRP change was associated with later changes at week 12 in synovitis and bone oedema/osteitis, but not bone erosion, RAMRIS scores.

**vdH-S versus RAMRIS change scores**

In GO-BEFORE, changes from baseline to week 12 and week 24 in RAMRIS scores generally did not correlate with changes
from baseline to week 28 in total vdH-S score (figure 1C), with the exception of a statistically significant but weak correlation between week 12 RAMRIS bone oedema/osteitis and week 28 total vdH-S change scores ($r_s=0.14, p=0.033$). In GO-FORWARD, week 24 RAMRIS change scores did not correlate with week 24 total vdH-S change scores. However, week 12 RAMRIS bone erosion changes weakly predicted later changes at week 24 in total vdH-S scores ($r_s=−0.18, p=0.027$). Correlations between vdH-S erosion and RAMRIS erosion change scores were not significant in either study.

**DISCUSSION**

Consistent with previous reports, 15-16 in our preliminary assessment of MRI data derived from the largest randomised, controlled trials evaluating RAMRIS scores in RA patients, we observed strong and significant correlations of cross-sectional data at baseline and week 24 between RAMRIS bone erosion and total vdH-S radiographic scores and between RAMRIS bone erosion and vdH-S erosion scores. At both baseline and week 24 of GO-BEFORE, statistically significant correlations were observed between each RAMRIS score and DAS28 scores and between each RAMRIS score and CRP concentrations. Overall, the correlations between RAMRIS scores and evaluated clinical/laboratory/radiographic measures indicated that MRI findings represent disease activity and structural damage status as measured by conventional methods, thus confirming the findings of previously reported smaller studies and anecdotal reports. 15-16

Interestingly, clinical/radiographic change scores generally did not correlate well with RAMRIS change scores. Changes in CRP, however, did correlate well with changes in RAMRIS measures of inflammation (synovitis and bone oedema/osteitis). In particular, early (week 4) changes in CRP may predict future changes in RAMRIS scores. The relatively stronger correlation observed between CRP and MRI change scores could be due to the objectivity of these measures. The DAS28 change score (clinical measure of disease activity) generally did not correlate well with RAMRIS change scores, perhaps because of the composite nature of the DAS28, which includes the subjective tender joint count not measured by MRI. In particular, if tenderness is due to factors beyond inflammation (eg, higher pain perception of the patient, fibromyalgia, etc), the DAS28 score may not correlate with RAMRIS measures of inflammation depending on the relative contribution of the tender joint count to the DAS28 score. While persistently high DAS28 scores predispose patients to more structural damage progression, the actual degree of progression varies widely across patients. Furthermore, in GO-FORWARD both RAMRIS and radiographic structural damage progression were minimal in all treatment arms including the control arm. Given the wide variability in patients’ responses (as measured by DAS28) to therapeutic interventions and that only a small proportion of patients showed structural progression, a much larger sample size (than was available in these substudies) may have been needed to study such correlations, or lack thereof, adequately in these RA assessment tools. We also conducted post-hoc analyses using only the control arm to eliminate the possible impact of golimumab treatment on change scores, and results were similar to those described above. However, the much smaller sample size (approximately 25% less than analyses involving all treatment arms combined) in these analyses should be considered in interpreting these post-hoc results.

The lack of strong correlation between RAMRIS erosion and vdH-S change scores could be due to the higher sensitivity of MRI radiographs in detecting bone erosion.1-6-19 Anti-tumour necrosis factor agents dramatically inhibit radiographic progression; thus, the association between RAMRIS-detected changes in structural damage and vdH-S scores may best be assessed in control groups with the possibility of further progression. This was not the case for GO-FORWARD, as minimal progression was observed in all patients regardless of treatment.18-21 The lack of strong concordance between RAMRIS bone erosion and vdH-S (total and erosion) change scores may also be related to RAMRIS measuring the wrist plus metacarpophalangeal joints of one hand, while the total vdH-S scoring incorporates joints of both hands and feet. As the total vdH-S score includes the joint space narrowing subscore, while the RAMRIS score does not, an analysis to evaluate the correlation of changes in the vdH-S erosion subscore only and in RAMRIS erosion scores was conducted; these results also did not indicate good correlation. Finally, wide individual variation was observed in change scores, again possibly implicating insufficient sample size and little radiographic or MRI-detectable progression of structural damage in GO-FORWARD.18-21 Interestingly, even though the MRI and clinical/radiographic change scores did not correlate well in either GO-BEFORE or GO-FORWARD, the overall results of these MRI substudies were consistent with radiographic findings in the overarching study populations.

Taken together, findings derived from GO-BEFORE and GO-FORWARD MRI substudies indicate that RAMRIS scores and clinical/laboratory/radiographic measures at certain time points (ie, baseline, week 24) generally correlate well. For change scores, only changes in CRP correlated well with RAMRIS changes. The lack of strong/consistent correlations between vdH-S/DAS28 change scores and RAMRIS change scores is probably related to differential sensitivities of MRI and x-ray for detecting erosive changes, the subjective component of DAS28, wide individual variations in reporting joint tenderness, and analysing all patients regardless of treatment group. Further in-depth analyses beyond this preliminary examination are underway to understand fully the relationship between MRI assessments and other measures of disease activity/progression of structural damage and the value of MRI in clinical practice and trials.
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