Effect of sildenafil on digital ulcers in systemic sclerosis: analysis from a single centre pilot study
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ABSTRACT
Objective In this pilot study, the effect of sildenafil on digital ulcer (DU) healing and related clinical symptoms was analysed.
Methods A total of 19 patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) were treated with maximally tolerated sildenafil doses up to 6 months. Primary outcome was the healing of DUs. Changes in other clinical symptoms were also evaluated.
Results In all, 49 DUs were present at baseline; this decreased to 17 ulcers (p<0.001) at the end of sildenafil treatment. Furthermore, the visual analogue scale (VAS) score for Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP), pain and activity improved (p=0.003, p=0.002 and p=0.05, respectively). A total of 9 patients developed 12 new DUs during sildenafil treatment.
Conclusions This study indicates an effect of sildenafil on DU healing in patients with SSc and an improvement of RP and associated symptoms that should be validated in controlled studies.

INTRODUCTION
Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a devastating disease with a high impact on quality of life and prognosis. Vasculopathy is an early and prominent feature and is reflected by Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP), the presence of digital ulcers (DUs) and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). Recent therapeutic advances suggest common pathogenic mechanisms and a role for endothelin receptor activation in SSc-associated vasculopathy. However, endothelin receptor blockers such as the dual endothelin receptor type A/B blocker bosentan are effective in the prevention of DUs, but are not able to heal DUs, indicating different mechanisms for prevention and ulcer healing. Intravenous iloprost is often used for the treatment of DUs, but its effect on the prevention of DUs has not been studied to date. There is also a subgroup of patients that do not respond to iloprost treatment.

Reduced levels of nitric oxide have also been proposed to play a role in the pathogenesis of vascular disease in systemic sclerosis. Therefore, sildenafil as a selective inhibitor of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP)-specific phosphodiesterase type 5 and potent agent to increase the endogenous NO levels is an attractive candidate for the treatment of SSc-associated vasculopathy. Indeed, sildenafil is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency for the treatment of PAH. The highest dose of sildenafil recommended for the treatment of PAH is 60 mg daily; however, several studies suggest a higher effect by increasing the dose. In a placebo-controlled crossover study, 50 mg sildenafil twice daily for 4 weeks was shown to be effective for the treatment of RP. Furthermore, some case reports have suggested an effect on DU healing in SSc. Therefore, we conducted a pilot study analysing the effect of sildenafil on healing of SSc-associated DUs refractory to other treatments.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
A total of 19 patients with SSc (mean age 51 years) fulfilling the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for systemic sclerosis, assessed according to the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) criteria, and experiencing severe rhagades (n=1) or digital ulcers (n=18) refractory to treatment were treated with the maximally tolerated sildenafil doses (up to 150 mg) for a maximum of 6 months. Inclusion criteria were stable treatment with vasoactive and immunosuppressive drugs for 3 months. Current smokers, patients with gangrene, a history of gastric ulcers during the last 3 months, cardiac ejection fraction below 25%, patients with severe organ involvement or other uncontrolled diseases were excluded. DUs were defined as a loss of epidermis and dermis. The demographic data are shown in table 1.

The study was conducted between 2004 and 2007. Two patients were treated outside of the study with the intention to heal digital ulcers refractory to other treatments. The other 17 patients were treated as part of a prospective, open, single-centre study. Here, the primary outcome parameter was DU healing. Secondary end points were effects on Raynaud’s phenomenon by using a visual analogue scale (VAS), the prevention of new ulcers, changes in VAS scores for pain, activity and experiencing ulcers, the improvement of rhagades, Scleroderma Health Assessment Questionnaire (SHAQ) indices and the occurrence of sildenafil-related adverse effects. Drop out criteria/final end points were any changes in the immunosuppressive treatments, no response on ulcer healing within 1 month, or escalation of medication with vascular effects. Relevant macrovascularopathy responsible for DUs was excluded by angiography or duplex sonography. Nine patients treated for 6 months by sildenafil received a second angiography.

The study was approved by the local ethical committee (SDN-D-002G) and published as a clinical trial (NCT00624275). Patients signed an individual informed consent.
Table 1  Patient characteristics and effects of treatments including previous and concomitant treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Activity score</th>
<th>Systemic sclerosis (SSc) type</th>
<th>DD (years)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Previous and concomitant</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Number of ulcers during treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>Duration (months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>CCB, PC (4), PX</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>SL, CCB, PC (2), ASA</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>PC (3.5), CCB, SL, P (7.5)</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>ACE, CCB, ASS, P (2.5)</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>PC, PX, PC (3), CCB, HQ, P (4)</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>PC (1.5), SG, CCB, AB, ACE</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>2.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>PC (5), CCB, ATB, P (7)</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>AA, CCB, ACE, PC (4)</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>CCB, PX, ASA</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>&lt;1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>CCB, PC (4), SG, CCB, ACE AZA, P (6), PX</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>&lt;1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>PP, PP (2), PX, CCB, AC</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>&lt;1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>CCB, AC</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>PC (6), CCB, PX, P (5)</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>PC (3), CCB, ASA, P (6)</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>SG, PC (3), CCB, ACE</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>SG, PC (3), PX, ASA</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>PC (2), PX, CCB, CYC, P (10)</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>SG, PC (5), CCB, PX, PP (7.5)</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>CYC, CCB, PC (2), AC</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSc type: lim, limited; diff, diffuse.
*Indicates male patients.
†Indicates cessation of treatment due to side effects.
‡Indicates cessation of treatment due to other events with possible impact on the effect of treatment (ulcer infection, cyclophosphamide use).
§Indicates patient with development of new digital ulcer or calcinosis and no significant improvement by treatment.
AA, autoamputation; AB, α-blocker; AC, anticoagulation; ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; AF, atrial fibrillation; ASA, acetyl salicylic acid; ATB, angiotensin receptor blocker; AZA, azathioprine; BVI, body weight increase; CCB, calcium channel blockers; compl heal, number of ulcers completely healed; CYC, cyclophosphamide; DD, disease duration; Dizz, dizziness; Dys, dyspnoea; E, Oedema; Fl, flush; HQ, hydroxychloroquine; MP, muscle pain; P, prednisone (mg/day); Pa, palpitations; PC, intravenous prostacyclin (weeks with maximum tolerated dose for 6 h/day); PP, pentoxyphylline; Rx, reflux; SG, surgery; SL, sympathicolysis.

Statistics
A paired Wilcoxon test was used to identify the effect of sildenafil on digital ulcers and VAS for clinical symptoms. Graph Pad Prism V.3.02 (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, California, USA) for Microsoft Windows was used.

RESULTS
Three patients did not receive sildenafil for more than 1 month due to myositis requiring immunosuppression (n=1), the reoccurrence of atrial fibrillation (n=1) and infection of an ulcer with a multiresistant staphylococcus (n=1), and were excluded from further analyses. In the remaining 16 patients, the mean sildenafil dose was 114 mg/day, and the mean duration of treatment was 5.2 months. At baseline, 49 ulcers were present (mean 3.1/patient). Most patients showed a rapid response reaching a minimum of DUs within 3 months without differences in SSc subtype (figure 1A,B; figure 2). The minimal number of DUs was achieved in each patient at different time points; minimally nine DUs were present (mean 0.6 ulcers/patients, p<0.001 compared to initial numbers, table 1). At the end of sildenafil treatment, 17 digital ulcers were detectable (mean 1.1/patient), which was different from the number of ulcers at baseline (p<0.001, table 1).

During sildenafil treatment, 9 patients developed 12 new DUs resulting in treatment being stopped in 3 patients. In one of these patients a diagnosis of calcinosis was made. A total of 12 patients were treated for 6 months. VAS scores for RP, pain and activity significantly improved (p=0.003, p=0.002 and p=0.05, respectively) with sildenafil treatment (figure 1C–F). There was also a tendency for changes in the disability score due to the DUs (p=0.08). SHAQ Disability Index (SHAQ-DI) scores available from 11 patients improved from a mean of 0.6 (SD 0.52) to 0.42 (SD 0.32) but failed to reach statistical significance (p=0.14). In the nine patients that received angiography before and after treatment, one responder showed an improvement of vascular pathology (not shown). Severe rhagades disappeared by sildenafil in the one affected patient. Of the 14 patients with...
follow-up data available, 7 patients developed 15 DUs within 3 months, and 3 patients took sildenafil outside of the study.

Mild side effects were common and were present in 9 out of 19 patients, but resulted in a discontinuation of the treatment only in the 2 male patients (table 1). In three patients with arterial hypertension, the antihypertensive treatment was reduced during sildenafil treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

In a previous single-centre placebo-controlled crossover study, sildenafil was shown to improve the capillary blood flow and RP. As suggested by a few patients with DUs, sildenafil could provide a useful therapeutic approach for DU healing. In this prospective, open pilot study, maximally tolerated sildenafil doses seem to be effective in DU healing. The effect was rapid, and maximum benefit was reached in the first few months. Furthermore, the study confirmed the beneficial effect of sildenafil on RP and microvasculopathy. DUs are a very severe complication with a high impact on the quality of life in patients with SSc. At present, there is no approved treatment for the healing of DUs. The dual endothelin-1 receptor antagonist has failed to be effective in ulcer healing. However, different studies have shown the effect of bosentan in preventing the development of new DUs. In the present study, sildenafil shows benefit in DU healing, confirming case reports and initial observations in which sildenafil was given only for a few weeks. In our study, patients were treated for up to 6 months. Within this period, a significant proportion of patients developed new DUs, suggesting a failure of sildenafil to prevent new structural defects. Furthermore, only one patient had mild improvement by angiography. As already suggested by others, different pathogenetic mechanisms could be responsible for prevention or DU healing. Therefore, as also discussed for the treatment of PAH, combination treatments targeting different pathogenic mechanisms could provide a more valuable and effective tool for further treatments.

A major limitation of this study is the lack of a placebo group. When compared to the placebo group of the ‘Rheumatoid Arthritis Prevention of Structural Damage 1’ (RAPID 1) study in which about 10% of ulcers healed per month, the number of ulcers healed was higher in our sildenafil group. However, direct comparison with other trial data provide only limited information due to differing study designs. Furthermore, most of the patients treated here had been refractory to previous treatments.

Figure 1  Effect of sildenafil treatment on the number of digital ulcers (DU) in patients with (A) diffuse and (B) limited systemic sclerosis (SSc) during 6 months of treatment, on (C) Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP), (D) burden of pain, (E) daily activity and (F) on disease burden due to ulcers measured by visual analogue scale (VAS). A paired Wilcoxon test was used to calculate statistical significance; the median is illustrated as a horizontal line.
In conclusion, this pilot study indicates beneficial and rapid effects in the treatment of DUs. Moreover, sildenafil decreases the burden of RP and associated symptoms. The data encourages controlled studies, and combining a preventive as well as a curative treatment would be a valuable approach to treat DUs in patients with SSc.
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