of antibodies against individual citrullinated peptides (ACPA; Hansson M et al. Arthritis Res Ther 2012;14:R201). We have also developed a method for the quantification of autoantibodies in immune complexes (IC; Sohrabian et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74(Suppl 1):A74). Here we have combined these techniques to determine ACPA profiles in RA IC.

Objectives: To investigate if measurement of specific ACPA in synovial fluids (SF) and in IC from sera and SF can provide more prognostic information than conventional measurement of total ACPA and rheumatoid factor (RF) in serum.

Methods: Seventy-seven RA patients with knee synovitis were treated with intra-articular triamcinolone hexacetonide, and followed until relapse. DAS28 and radiographic joint damage according to Larson-Dale were recorded. Anti-CCP2, IgM and IgA RF and circulating C1q-binding immune complexes (CIC) were determined in paired sera and SF. IC were purified from sera and SF by binding to C1q-coated beads, and thereafter eluted with a procedure developed in our laboratory. Antibodies against 19 citrullinated peptides were investigated with a custom-made microarray assay based on the ImmunoCAP ISAC system (Phadia AB, Sweden) in sera and SF as well as in IC from sera and SF. The target peptides were filaggrin 307-324 (CCP1), vimentin peptides 60-75 and 2-17, fibrinogen peptides a36-50, a563-583, a580-600, a621-635, β36-52, β60-74, β62-81 (with citrullination in positions 72 and 74, respectively), α-enolase 5-21 (CEP-1), peptides 1, 5, Z1, Z2 and Bla26 from hnRNP, and histone 4 peptides 14-34 and 31-50. Cutoffs were established in relation to healthy controls. Backward stepwise regression was used to investigate what factors determined Larsen Dale index, DAS28, and duration of remission after steroid treatment. Independent factors were anti-CCP2, IgM RF, IgA RF, CIC, number of ACPA peptide reactivities, and number of ACPA reactivities in IC, all measured both in serum and paired SF.

Results: A considerable proportion of anti-CCP2 negative patients had multiple ACPA in SF, and in IC fractions. High DAS28 associated with reactivity against 7/19 peptides in serum and 9/19 in SF. High Larsen score associated with number of specific ACPA in SF IC and with CIC in SF DAS28 levels associated with IgM RF in SF and with CIC in SF, and steroid response duration with number of specific ACPA in serum and in SF IC.

Conclusions: We found ACPA in SF, and especially in the IC fraction of SF, in a sizeable fraction of anti-CCP2 negative patients. Number of peptide-specific ACPA (but not anti-CCP2 levels) associated with radiological destruction and length of remission after intra-articular steroid therapy. Our data do not support a role for any unique ACPA specificity in RA pathogenesis. Instead, the number of individual ACPA specificities may be important.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.3222

FRI0086 EFFICACY AND SAFETY DATA BASED ON HISTORICAL OR PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AT BASELINE FOR PATIENTS WITH ACTIVE RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS WHO WERE TREATED WITH BARICITINIB

B. Combe¹, A. Balsa², P. Sarzi-Puttini³, H.-P. Tony⁴, I. de Torre⁵, V. Rogai⁵, F. Durand⁶, A. Jahangir⁵, S. Witt⁵, J. Zhong⁷, M. Dougados⁸. ¹Hopital Lapeyronie, Montpellier, France; ²Hospital Universitario la Paz, Madrid, Spain; ³Sacco Hospital, Milano, Italy; ⁴University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ⁵Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis; ⁶Eli Lilly and Company, Madrid; ⁷QuintilesIMS, Morrisville, United States; ⁸Rene Descartes Univ/ Cochin Hospital, Paris, France

Background: Patients (pts) with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) often experience comorbidities that may affect efficacy and safety when treated with different drugs.¹ Baricitinib (BARI) is a selective inhibitor of Janus kinase^{2,3} 1 and 2 that improves disease activity in pts with RA with an acceptable safety profile.4-4 Objectives: To investigate the effect of selected comorbidities on safety and

efficacy outcomes in pts treated with BARI.

Methods: Pts were selected for this post hoc analysis on the basis of historical or ongoing conditions defined by Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities and divided by the following comorbidity subgroups: depression, osteoporosis, hepatic disorders, and previous cardiovascular events. Efficacy outcomes included 20% and 50% improvement in American College of Rheumatology 20 Response (ACR20) and American College of Rheumatology 50 Response (ACR50) criteria, respectively; the proportion of pts who achieved a Disease Activity Score for 28joint count using high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (DAS28-hsCRP) score <3.2; and change from baseline in Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI) at week 12. Pts who had an inadequate response (IR) to conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDS) from 5 studies with BARI 4 mg and placebo (PBO) were included in efficacy analyses (N=1684) and safety analyses (N=1683). The interaction of comorbidity by treatment was analysed using logistic regression or analysis of variance modelling. Interaction tests were performed within each comorbidity subgroup, and the effect size in pts with and without the comorbidity was analysed.

Results: Pts in the efficacy set had similar baseline demographic and diseaseactivity characteristics across treatments within each comorbidity subgroup. The presence of a comorbid condition did not affect the incidence of treatmentemergent adverse events (TEAEs), serious adverse events (AE), discontinuations, or deaths caused by AEs for BARI 4 mg vs PBO (Table 1). The most common TEAEs across the subgroups for BARI and PBO were nasopharyngitis and upper respiratory tract infection. For cDMARD-IR pts, change from baseline for each comorbidity subgroup for ACR20, ACR50, DAS28-hsCRP <a>3.2 response, and HAQ-DI was higher for BARI 4 mg compared with PBO. Within each comorbidity subgroup, BARI responses compared with PBO were similar (interaction P > 0.1) (Table 2)

Table 1: Adverse Events Reported by Selected Comorbidity up to Week 16

Baricitinib 4 mg n (%)	Depression (N=64)	Osteoporosis (N=113)	CV Event (N=350)	Hepatic Event (N=222)	Overall (N=802)
Any TEAE	45 (70.3)	70 (61.9)	227 (64.9)	152 (68.5)	495 (61.7)
Serious AE	0	9 (8.0)	14 (4.0)	8 (3.6)	25 (3.1)
Discon due to AEs	1 (1.6)	7 (6.2)	14 (4.0)	9 (4.1)	25 (3.1)
Deaths	0	0	0	0	0
Placebo	(N=69)	(N=134)	(N=381)	N=202	(N=881)
Any TEAE	57 (82.6)	91 (67.9)	228 (59.8)	119 (58.9)	494 (56.1)
Serious AE	6 (8.7)	9 (6.7)	16 (4.2)	5 (2.5)	31 (3.5)
Discon due to AEs	3 (4.3)	9 (6.7)	12 (3.1)	6 (3.0)	24 (2.7)
Deaths	1 (1.4)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.1)

Table 2: Efficacy Outcomer Penerted by Selected Subgroup at Week 12

Baricitinib 4 mg	Depression (N=64)	Osteoporosis (N=113)	CV Event (N=350)	Hepatic Event (N=222)	Overall (N=803)
ACR20, NRI, n (%)	38 (59.4)	74 (65.5)	239 (68.3)	149 (67.1)	542 (67.5)
ACR50, NRI, n (%)	22 (34.4)	46 (40.7)	143 (40.9)	90 (40.5)	330 (41.4)
DAS-hsCRP≤3.2, mLOCF, n (%)	20 (31.3)	53 (46.9)	157 (44.9)	95 (42.8)	352 (43.8)
∆HAQ-DI,mLOCF*	63,-0.46 (0.14)	111,-0.36	347, -0.54	2190.58	792, -0.53
N-Obs, LSM (SE)		(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.08)	(0.03)
Placebo	(N=69)	(N=134)	(N=381)	(N=202)	(N=881)
ACR20, NRI, n (%)	22 (31.9)	43 (32.1)	155 (40.7)	75 (37.1)	345 (39.2)
ACR50, NRI, n (%)	6 (B.7)	16 (11.9)	55 (14.4)	23 (11.4)	127 (14.4)
DAS28-hsCRP	9 (13.0)	17 (12.7)	60 (15.7)	26 (12.9)	146 (18.2)
≤3.2, mLOCF, n (%)					
ΔHAQ-DI, mLOCF*	68, -0.20	131, -0.08	374 -0.27	201, -0.28	868, -0.25
N-Obs, LSM (SE)	(0.13)	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.08)	(0.03)

N-Obs.
LSM (SE)
(0.13)
(0.07)
(0.04)
(0.08)
(0.03)

Abbreviations: ACR25 = 20% improvement in American College of Rheumatology 20 Response criteria;
CV = cardiovascular;
CN = cardo

Conclusions: Treatment with BARI 4 mg showed similar effect in selected comorbidity subgroups with depression, osteoporosis, cardiovascular events, and hepatic impairment for efficacy and safety. No trends were noted for pts in each comorbidity subgroup for increased risk of events after treatment with BARI 4 mg compared with PBO.

References:

- [1] Gossec L et al. Joint Bone Spine. 2016.
- [2] Taylor et el. 2015 ACR Meeting. Abstract 2L.
- [3] Kremer et al. 2015 ACR Meeting. Abstract 1050.
- [4] Dougados M et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017.
- [5] Smolen et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016.
- [6] Fleischmann R et al. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016.

Disclosure of Interest: B. Combe Grant/research support from: Pfizer, Roche, UCB, Consultant for: Abbvie, BMS, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB, Speakers bureau: BMS, MSD, Pfizer, Roche, Regeneron, UCB, A. Balsa Consultant for: Eli Lilly & Company, P. Sarzi-Puttini Grant/research support from: Eli Lilly & Company, Speakers bureau: Eli Lilly & Company, H.-P. Tony Consultant for: Eli Lilly & Company, I. de Torre Shareholder of: Eli Lilly & Company, Employee of: Eli Lilly & Company, V. Rogai: None declared, F. Durand Shareholder of: Eli Lilly & Company, Employee of: Eli Lilly & Company, A. Jahangir Shareholder of: Eli Lilly & Company, Employee of: Eli Lilly & Company, S. Witt Shareholder of: Eli Lilly & Company, Employee of: Eli Lilly & Company, J. Zhong Consultant for: Eli Lilly & Company, M. Dougados Grant/research support from: Lilly, Pfizer, UCB, Merck, BMS, Roche, Abbvie, Consultant for: Lilly, Pfizer, UCB, Merck, BMS, Roche, Abbvie

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.2227

LOW RATES OF RADIOGRAPHIC PROGRESSION OF FRI0087 STRUCTURAL JOINT DAMAGE OVER 2 YEARS OF BARICITINIB TREATMENT IN PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

D. van der Heijde¹, M. Schiff², Y. Tanaka³, R. Klar⁴, L. Xie⁵, G. Meszaros⁵ T. Ishii⁵, M. Casillas⁵, R. Ortmann⁵, P. Emery⁶. ¹Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands; ²University of Colorado, Denver, United States; ³School of Medicine, Kitakyushu, Japan; ⁴Quintiles IMS Holdings, Inc, Durham; ⁵Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, United States; ⁶University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kinadom

Background: In ph3 studies, baricitinib (bari) inhibited progression of radiographic joint damage for up to 1 year in patients (pts) with active rheumatoid arthritis (RA) who were DMARD-naïve or who had an inadequate response to conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD-IR).

Objectives: To evaluate radiographic progression of structural joint damage in pts with RA over 2 years of treatment.

Methods: Upon completion of a bari ph 3 study, pts could enter a long-term extension (LTE) study, in which they continued to receive the same bari dose as in the original ph3 study. At 52 wks, DMARD-naïve pts receiving methotrexate (MTX) or combination therapy (bari 4mg + MTX) were switched to bari 4mg monotherapy; MTX-IR pts receiving adalimumab (ADA) were switched to bari