Spondyloarthritis: may the force be with you?
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In this issue Jacques et al1 provide proof of concept that experimental spondyloarthritis (SpA) may be a biomechanically triggered process. The implication is that an aberrant mesenchymal tissue response to physiologic mechanical forces that occur during joint movement may trigger inflammation associated with SpA. Given the long-known genetic association of human SpA with human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B27,2 which governs initiation of adaptive immune responses, the concept that SpA resembles a biomechanically driven rather than autoimmune disease may come as a great surprise. The en face argument that mechanically driven animal models bear no resemblance to human SpA, however, has to be taken with caution, given that the role of mechanics in human SpA has several supporting strands of evidence that date back over 50 years.

Jacques and colleagues show that the earliest lesions in their model are localised at the Achilles tendon. As far back as 1959, La Cava, in his prophetic commentary on enthesitis, but not specifically in relationship to SpA, recognised that “the continually recurring concentration of muscle stress at these points provokes a reaction of inflammation with a strong tendency to the formation of fibrosis and calcification”.4,5 He also stated that insertions appeared to show “a peculiar reaction to irritative stimuli” and that such stimuli were most frequently ‘microtraumatic in origin’. Since that time evidence has accumulated that supports all aspects of these suppositions.

In the 1970s, Moll and Wright deduced the clinical concept of SpA.4-6 Although they did not pinpoint the ‘invisible unifying concept’, which appears to be mechanical stress, they recognised that physical trauma to joints appeared to trigger psoriatic arthritis (PsA) represented a ‘Deep Koebner response’. However, they did not specifically recognise the importance of the enthesal organ as the link between bio-mechanics and inflammation. Likewise, nail disease in psoriasis shows a propensity for the thumbs and index fingers compared with adjacent nails,7 pointing to the importance of mechanical factors and resident tissue responses in the initiation and clinical gestalt of disease. The differing clinical patterns of SpA with the propensity for large joints in the lower limbs in adult disease and the propensity for foot involvement in juvenile SpA both attest to factors linked to resident cells and tissue as being the key determinants of the disease process of SpA.

In 1984, Bywaters suggested that morbid pathology had little left to offer in the study of SpA, which to him appeared as a mechanically driven disease given the propensity for disease localisation to the enthesis and to the aortic root.9 Noting that clinically occult enthesitis was common in inflamed synovial joints in SpA—and noting that perienthesal osteitis was common in SpA and that its distribution often equated with apparent bone stressing patterns—we proposed that human SpA may be primarily a biomechanically driven disease with secondary adaptive immune response modifying the clinical phenotype.9

The entheseal organ is the visible face of complex joint mechanical stressing, but there are other important locations that exhibit similar features. These include wrap around tendons and fibrocartilaginous synovial joints, both of which also show a proclivity for disease localisation in man and in experimental models of arthritis.10 In the present study, Jacques et al used a well-established tumour necrosis factor (TNF) transgenic model that develops a Crohn’s-like colitis and arthritis (both spondyloarthritis) to show that disease localises primarily to the enthesis. They also provided MRI evidence of sacroiliac joint osteitis, although this finding was not histologically confirmed.

The actual joint unloading was performed using a tail suspension procedure with the animals being placed at 30°, which apparently permitted some limited contact with the ground. Careful histological evaluation in early disease confirmed an enthesitis-based peripheral arthritis at three different locations, including interphalangeal joints, Achilles tendons and the hip joints. As previously shown by this group, arthritis development was independent of the adaptive immune response as it also developed in RAG1 knockout mice, which lack T lymphocytes.11 Histological evaluation of animals in the later stages of disease showed extensive secondary synovitis and bone erosion. Remarkably the joint unloading procedure almost completely blocked the arthritis development, and furthermore no increase of arthritis was reported in the anterior limbs, which were not unloaded. The TNF transgenic mouse model is not characterised by significant new bone formation,12 and consequently the authors explored the effect of tail suspension in the SpA model of male DBA1 mice. Again, tail suspension alleviated the course of arthritis that was associated with marked reduction of new bone formation at the enthesis. Therefore, mechanical stress appears to play a significant role in both inflammation and new bone formation in different model systems.

In order to explore the molecular basis for these findings, the authors investigated those intracellular signalling pathways that have previously been shown to be responsive to mechanical stress in stromal and other cell types.13-16 Specifically, ERK1 and ERK2, two mitogen-activated protein kinases, appear to be important signalling components linking biomechanical stress to the development of enthesitis. However, given the multiplicity of functions of ERKs, including mediating the cytokine signalling, it remains unclear whether ERK inhibition directly antagonises the mechanical stress response or indirectly through modulation of the inflammatory response.

The findings of Jacques and colleagues revitalise the concept of biomechanical factors translating to biochemical tissue responses and envision a pivotal role of mechanical stress in the pathogenesis of human SpA. Several questions arise from these findings:

1. How do genetic factors, particularly the HLA-B27 and the IL-23 pathway, influence this process?2 17 18 It can be speculated that genetic factors in SpA patients lower the threshold of pathological mechanical stress, which renders entheseal organs more vulnerable to physical forces. Alternatively, genetic factors may solely alter the inflammatory response to stress but not tissue
sustainability to stress. Sites of complex patterns of biomechanical stress, including enthesis and synovial joints such as the SIJ, are associated with induction of fibrocartilage rather than articular cartilage. In human disease, there is a link between carriage of the HLA-B27 gene and osteitis adjacent to fibrocartilage. Although the present animal model is independent of adaptive immunity, in man it has been suggested that autoimmunity against fibrocartilage at these sites of stress could be an initiating event.

2. Does mechanical stress per se drive disease or are microdamage and injury consequent to such stress the primary triggers for inflammation? Bone responses and the associated bone modelling pathways such as Wnt proteins and their antagonists, the Hedgehog pathway and bone morphogenic proteins may represent important mechanistic links between biomechanical stress and inflammation.

3. Do we have to reconsider the role of exercise in SpA? Exercise presently forms the cornerstone of comprehensive management of SpA patients in conjunction with anti-inflammatory drug therapy. Clinical experience suggests that exercise and associated mechanical strain may reduce inflammation in SpA fostering efficacy of anti-inflammatory drug treatment. Considering the data from Jacques and colleagues, exercise may in fact be a double-edged sword in patients with SpA. It thus remains to be determined which kind of mechanical loading is beneficial for SpA and which one is not.

4. How might a disease with a primary mechanical stress mediated initiation subsequently unfold in human SpA that for many facets of disease is linked to HLA-B27 and to abnormal mucosal permeability, especially of the gut? First, abnormal gut permeability is typically associated with access of bacterial adjuvant to the circulation. It has been suggested that the proinflammatory signals associated with aberrant responses to mechanical stress in addition to those derived from adjuvant from the gut or other sites might lead to innate immune activation at the enthesis and other sites of high stressing. The migration of primed dendritic cells to regional nodes and the presentation of arthritogenic peptides via HLA-B27 could then lead to secondary adaptive immune response and multilevel spinal inflammation in the case of ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and axial HLA-B27-associated SpA. However, as shown in Jacques et al’s paper, the SpA-like phenotype can occur with equal severity in the absence of an adaptive immune system. So the role of mechanical stress as a dominant factor needs much scrutiny in non-HLA-associated SpA to determine whether such disease entities may be predominantly exclusively mediated by innate immune mechanisms.

5. From the medicolegal perspective, an association between frank joint injury with associated structural damage and the subsequent development of entities such as osteoarthritis and PsA has been accepted. Now these findings raise the possibility that the magnitude of injury, if indeed it can be demonstrated at all, may be rather small. The threshold for injury in the ‘medicolegal immunology field’ of inflammatory arthritis would appear to have been considerably lowered.

The present study raises intriguing yet unexplained and unresearched possibilities with respect to human SpA. Why is disease not more common in obese individuals possessing HLA-B27? Why is pregnancy not an exacerbating factor for axial inflammation? Why does inflammation appear in apparently totally ankylosed segments of the spine on MRI if biomechanical factors are relevant to susceptibility...
and severity of disease? Most of these questions have not been addressed, but it is interesting to note that one study showed a much worse disease course in AS in subjects who had occupationally related heavy lifting activities.25 The present study will hopefully spur further investigation of these questions.

In summary, the work by Jacques and colleagues has rediscovered the importance of mechanical components of musculoskeletal disease showing us how tightly such factors are related to inflammation. The data suggest that in order to understand the pathogenesis of SpA one needs to better address the liaison between these mechanical factors and the inflammatory cytokine network (figure 1). In a disease process, where the pathology primarily affects the organ of mechanotransduction from muscle to bone, physical force cannot be neglected as a component of the disease process. To understand this force and make it useful for the SpA patients will be the key area of future research in the SpA field.
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