Let the fog be lifted: screening for hepatitis B virus before biological therapy
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Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and tuberculosis have much in common. Approximately one-third of the world has been infected with each, and in the world of rheumatology, both represent important causes of infectious morbidity in patients who use biological and other immunosuppressive therapies. Furthermore, unlike most infections encountered in the biological setting, reactivation or progression of both hepatitis B and latent tuberculosis infection is largely preventable. Both infections can be screened for and preventive therapy is available and efficacious when employed correctly. Perhaps most pertinent to this editorial, the similarities do not end there. As for tuberculosis, there is variation in rheumatological practice and sometimes confusion, with optimal screening strategies often obscured by the fog of inadequate data and imperfect tests. The publication by Lan et al1 (pp. 1719) in this edition of Annals of Rheumatic Diseases helps clear the fog, adds important insights into the screening question, and provides strong data to suggest an optimal algorithm for HBV screening in this setting.

HBV is a DNA virus highly endemic in southeast Asia, Africa and other regions of the world outside of North America and western Europe, whereby most infection is transmitted perinatally (figure 1).2 If overlaid with the map for tuberculosis prevalence, one would be hard-pressed to distinguish the two. Within low prevalence regions, and also similar to tuberculosis, infection is more common among certain subgroups such as persons with HIV, a history of intravenous drug use, or a history of incarceration (box 1). In a substantial majority of HBV-infected patients, generally those infected perinatally, infection is chronic and life-long, frequently resulting in cirrhosis and eventually death.2 The lifetime risk of death among men from endemic regions with HBV approaches 40%, with substantial risk among women noted although several fold lower.3 Worldwide, approximately one million persons die annually from hepatic complications from this virus.2 Among patients exposed to HBV, chronic infection (positive hepatitis B surface antigen; HBsAg) is much more common in those coming from endemic areas where infection was acquired perinatally.4 In such cases, immune tolerance to infection occurs and the likelihood of chronic life-long infection is great. Conversely, in patients who develop infection later in life due to bloodborne or other exposure (primarily those cases from non-endemic regions), clearance of the virus and resolved infection is a much more common scenario.2 It is useful to understand this distinction when screening patients, as those coming from endemic areas will be much more likely to have chronic infection (ie, positive HBsAg) or occult viraemia (negative HBsAg but quantifiable HBV-DNA titres from blood).

In general, the categorisation of HBV-exposed patients is often confusing and can only be accomplished with the full battery of three serological tests for HBV (hepatitis B core antibody (HBcAb), Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), and hepatitis B surface antibody (HBsAb)), with a fourth reserved to determining levels of infectiousness (hepatitis B e antigen). The meaning and utility of these tests is summarised in table 1, and revisiting these definitions is essential in understanding a patient’s risk of HBV progression during biological therapy. To begin, consider chronic HBV (defined by positive HBsAg), in which the ability of HBV to progress under conditions of immunosuppression is well established. Within the rheumatological literature, numerous case reports and series exist documenting the potential for this complication during both anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF) therapy and therapy with rituximab.5 For such patients, screening and management decisions are fairly clear. On the other extreme, patients who have no evidence of previous infection (ie, those with negative HBcAb) are obviously not at risk of HBV progression, and an opportunity for HBV vaccination exists for unimmunised patients at risk of contracting HBV. Categorising patients that lie between these two extremes is more problematical, and deserves further consideration. For patients who have evidence of previous exposure (HBCAb), but who lack evidence of chronic active infection (HBsAg negative), the risks depend largely on whether the patient appears to have cleared their infection (ie, presence of HBsAb). When HBcAb is found in the presence of HBsAb, this implies a degree (but not absolute) of protection and a state of healed infection; however, such patients, particularly when exposed to intense immunosuppression, can become HBsAg positive with progression of HBV. For those who lack HBsAb, the categorisation of ‘resolved infection’ is more tenuous. These individuals are more likely to demonstrate occult viraemia (positive HBV DNA) or have HBV DNA present within the liver, in which case they would be considered to have chronic infection.

So how do the data of Lan et al1 help clear the air? Their findings are in support of the categorisations above and of the known biology of HBV. They evaluated 106 consecutive RA patients before anti-TNF therapy and found remarkably that 90% of those patients had previous HBV exposure (positive HBcAb), a number that seems exceedingly high even for a highly endemic region. Regardless of this, and most importantly, among the 12 HBsAg-negative/HBsAb-negative patients, they identified one-third of the patients to have occult viraemia. This finding underscores the reason why patient should not be screened with HBsAb alone before initiating anti-TNF therapy. Second, among the 58 patients with HBsAg-negative/HBsAb-positive status (ie, seemingly resolved HBV), none of the 58 experienced HBV reactivation during subsequent anti-TNF therapy.8 A number of other published case series also attest to a lack of risk in anti-TNF-treated patients with healed (HBsAg-negative/HBsAb-positive status) HBV infection.7 While these findings are reassuring, however, there is at least one case of HBV progression reported in a HBsAg-
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negative/HBsAb-positive patient using anti-TNF therapy, and it is apparent from other immunosuppressive conditions that such patients can undergo ‘seroreversion’ in which they regain HBsAg positivity (and lose HBsAb positivity). Lan et al and others have noted that patients’ HBsAb levels can decline during anti-TNF therapy, further raising the possibility that prolonged therapy in some individuals could promote seroreversion and HBV progression. Accordingly, patients meeting this profile should be screened periodically during anti-TNF therapy for potential HBV recrudescence.

So how do rheumatologists screen for HBV? In 2008, the American College of Rheumatology published guidelines for the use of biological therapy in which no detailed screening algorithm was recommended. The document did, however, warn readers of the potential for HBV reactivation during anti-TNF therapy, but it did not provide guidance regarding how to screen. A recent survey conducted among American rheumatologists suggested that nearly 50% of rheumatologists relied upon HBsAg only to screen patients. The data from Lan et al highlight the danger in such a screening algorithm, in that a substantial proportion of patients with previous HBV exposure who lack HBsAg might in fact have occult chronic HBV (this would be particularly more likely in those coming from endemic regions).

The bottom line? The data accumulated to date, and the known biology of HBV, imply that screening patients before biological therapy should utilise all three serological markers of HBV exposure and immunity including HBeAb, HBsAg and HBsAb, and baseline serum HBV-DNA assessment to rule out occult viraemia in any patient with HBeAb positivity (particularly in those lacking HBsAb). Based on these results, we suggest categorising patients into four groups: no history of HBV; vaccinated for HBV; resolved HBV and chronic HBV (table 2). For patients classified as having chronic infection (ie, HBsAg positivity), therapy with biological agents are not necessarily contraindicated. As in the study by Lan et al, a number of published accounts suggest that the concurrent use of appropriate antiviral therapy can keep HBV levels and hepatic enzymes stable during therapy, although the long-term safety of such concurrent therapy has not yet been documented. For those patients caught in the middle with ‘resolved HBV’ (ie, HBeAb positive either alone or in combination with HBsAb), patients should be monitored for HBV progression during biological therapy (table 2). Finally, for those without previous HBV exposure or vaccination, HBV vaccine should be considered in those persons at risk of HBV acquisition according to local or regional guidelines.

So like tuberculosis, complications with HBV are generally preventable and manageable in this setting, but it is a problem that will not soon go away. As biological therapies expand into regions of the globe where these chronic infections are highly prevalent, and as patients from endemic regions continue to travel and immigrate to regions of low prevalence, pursuing appropriate screening algorithms for HBV will only continue to gain importance.
HBV DNA is a direct marker of HBV replication and correlates with disease activity. In blood it is
screened for.

**Table 1** Interpretation of hepatitis B serological and screening tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hepatitis B lab nomenclature</strong></th>
<th><strong>Interpretation</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbsAg, Hepatitis B surface antigen</td>
<td>A marker of infectivity in acute or chronic HBV infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| HbsAb, Hepatitis B surface antibody | A marker of immunity and most often found in isolation in HBV
|                                                | immunised patients. It is also found in conjunction with HBcAb in resolved infection and rarely in
|                                                | isolation in naturally infected individuals. |
| HbcAb, Antibody to core antigen | A marker of acute (IgM), chronic (IgG) or resolved HBV infection. In
|                                                | the absence of HbsAg, HBcAb is generally found in conjunction with HbsAb and is a marker
|                                                | of resolved infection. In isolation it may represent a false positive test or indicate 'occult' HBV
|                                                | infection. |
| HbeAb, Antibody to hepatitis B e antigen | A marker of a high degree of HBV infectivity, correlating with a high level of HBV
|                                                | replication. HB e antigen is absent in patients with core and pre-core mutants. |
| HBV DNA, Hepatitis B virus DNA | A direct marker of HBV replication and correlates with disease activity. In blood it is
|                                                | used to monitor treatment. HBV may be detected in the absence of HbsAg with or without anti-
|                                                | HBc and when present represents active HBV infection. |

**Table 2** Proposed functional HBV categorisation of patients based on screening test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Screening test results</strong></th>
<th><strong>Risk of progression during biological therapy</strong></th>
<th><strong>Management considerations</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never infected</td>
<td>HbcAb negative, HbsAg negative, HbsAb positive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV vaccinated</td>
<td>HbcAb negative, HbsAg negative, HbsAb positive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolved HBV*</td>
<td>HbcAb positive, HbsAg negative, HbsAb positive</td>
<td>Immunity suggested low risk (but not zero)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HbcAb positive, HbsAg negative, HbsAb positive</td>
<td>Immunity not clear Low to moderate risk of progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic HBV</td>
<td>HbsAg positive, (regardless of other results)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients in this category may also rarely be HbsAb positive in isolation.

† Patients with HbsAb positivity have probably cleared their virus, although there still exists a small risk of seroreversion and reactivation during immunosuppression.8

8 In the case of HbsAb negativity, this substantially increases the risk that a patient has not cleared their HBV infection. Some proportion of these patients have either occult viraemia (detectable serum HBV DNA) or can reactivate later during immunosuppression in which HBV DNA and/or surface antigen will become detectable. HBcAb, hepatitis B core antibody; HbsAb, hepatitis B surface antibody; HbsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus.
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