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ABSTRACT
Purpose: The optimal treatment of systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a challenge because the pathogenesis of SSc is unclear and it is an uncommon and clinically heterogeneous disease affecting multiple organ systems. The aim of the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR) was to develop evidence-based, consensus-derived recommendations for the treatment of SSc.

Methods: To obtain and maintain a high level of intrinsic quality and comparability of this approach, EULAR standard operating procedures were followed. The task force comprised 18 SSc experts from Europe, the USA and Japan, two SSc patients and three fellows for literature research. The preliminary set of research questions concerning SSc treatment was provided by 74 EUSTAR centres.

Results: Based on discussion of the clinical research evidence from published literature, and combining this with current expert opinion and clinical experience, 14 recommendations for the treatment of SSc were formulated. The final set includes the following recommendations: three on SSc-related digital vasculopathy (Raynaud’s phenomenon and ulcers); four on SSc-related pulmonary arterial hypertension; three on SSc-related gastrointestinal involvement; two on scleroderma renal crisis; one on SSc-related interstitial lung disease and one on skin involvement. Experts also formulated several questions for a future research agenda.

Conclusions: Evidence-based, consensus-derived recommendations are useful for rheumatologists to help guide treatment for patients with SSc. These recommendations may also help to define directions for future clinical research in SSc.

Systemic sclerosis (SSc; scleroderma) affects the skin and multiple internal organs leading, eventually, to fibrosis.1 The European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) and the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR) have acknowledged the need for evidence-based recommendations to be used in clinical practice. Following EULAR’s standard operating procedures, an ad hoc expert committee was established by EULAR and EUSTAR.2

The present recommendations discuss the drug treatments, based on a combination of evidence and consensus, which, in the opinion of the community of SSc specialists (EUSTAR members and invited experts), were considered most important in the therapy of SSc. The appropriate management of SSc patients is complex and includes issues such as early diagnosis of internal organ involvement, identification of patients who are at risk of progressive disease and non-pharmacological treatments, all of which are beyond the scope of this paper. Moreover, there are new promising therapies, as well as some established treatments, which have not yet been studied extensively enough to be included in the present set of recommendations but might be helpful in individual SSc patients. Some of these treatments are included in a comment section or research agenda. The absence of a positive recommendation cannot thus be taken as a recommendation against use or that the agent has proved to be unhelpful/dangerous.

The main aim of these recommendations is to provide guidance to rheumatologists and practitioners to approach and choose the treatment for SSc patients. No recommendations regarding contraindications or what should not be done are included for procedural and other reasons.

Likewise, cost considerations, despite their importance, were not included in these considerations, as costs vary widely across countries and no uniform base case cost could be derived.

These recommendations are not meant to replace the physician’s clinical judgement. They should be viewed in terms of the clinician’s understanding of the individual patient and the clinician’s judgement of the balance between the efficacy and toxicity of a treatment for a specific person. Although some treatment-related toxicities are mentioned in the text of recommendations and/or in the following comments, this is the responsibility of the physician to recognise and monitor all possible toxicities/side effects according to the information supplied by the producer and all other available sources.

Throughout this paper, the specific recommendation is followed by the evidence supporting the recommendation. It should be realised that the committee utilised the evidence in each case and when evidence was not sufficient, supplemented it by the consensus-derived expert opinion to arrive at specific recommendations.
Consistent with EULAR guidelines for the generation of recommendations, only data up to a defined time point (December 2006) are included in the analysis. If new data become available later, they will be included in the next update, which is also a regular feature of EULAR recommendations.

**METHODS**

To obtain and maintain a high level of intrinsic quality and comparability, EULAR standardised operating procedures were followed. The detailed methodology for developing EULAR/EUSTAR recommendations on the treatment of SSc has been reported previously. Briefly, the task force included 18 SSC experts from Europe (two of them paediatric rheumatologists), the USA and Japan, two SSC patients from the Federation of the European Scleroderma Associations (FESCA) and three fellows for literature research. The preliminary set of research questions concerning SSC treatment was provided by 74 EUSTAR centres. After a process of aggregation and data reduction by a modified Delphi technique, the experts selected the final set of 26 questions for the systematic literature research. Retrieved clinical trial publications were evaluated using the Jadad questions for the systematic literature research. Retrieved literature research are presented separately.3

**RESULTS**

**Evidence-based approach**

Out of 5421 publications identified, 281 were included in the final analysis. The methodology, including the selection of research questions and literature search strategy and the results of systematic literature research are presented separately.3

**Experts’ opinion approach**

The final set of recommendations, grouped according to organ systems and the future research agenda are summarised in table 1 and box 1, respectively. The evidence that there are few high-quality trials in SSc prompted the experts also to include information concerning the highest level of evidence based upon which a particular recommendation was formulated. Moreover, the experts decided to formulate, in addition to the main recommendations, several comments concerning medications or therapies addressed in research questions, on which at present neither literature-based evidence nor clinical experience allowed precise recommendations to be made, see table 2.

All recommendations and appropriate comments were accepted unanimously.

**Final recommendations (propositions)**

1. **SSC-related digital vasculopathy (Raynaud’s phenomenon, digital ulcers)**

   1. A meta-analysis on dihydropiridine-type calcium antagonists and one meta-analysis on prostanoids indicate that nifedipine and intravenous iloprost reduce the frequency and severity of SSC-related Raynaud’s phenomenon (SSC-RP) attacks. Dihydropiridine-type calcium antagonists, usually oral nifedipine, should be considered for first-line therapy for SSC-RP, and intravenous iloprost, or other available intravenous prostanoids, should be considered for severe SSC-RP.

   One meta-analysis, including eight randomised controlled trials (RCT; seven with nifedipine and one with nicardipine)6–14 with 109 SSC patients involved, indicates that dihydropiridine-type calcium antagonists reduce the frequency and severity of ischaemic attacks in SSC-RP.14 The weighted mean difference (WMD) of all calcium antagonists versus placebo (six trials) for the reduction in the number of ischaemic attacks over a 2-week period was 8.3 (95% CI 0.9 to 15.7). When five RCT evaluating nifedipine (10–20 mg three times a day) versus placebo were analysed separately, the reduction was greater with a WMD of 10.2 (95% CI 0.3 to 20.1).

   The standardised mean difference of all calcium antagonists versus placebo (three trials) for the reduction in the severity of ischaemic attacks was 0.7 (95% CI 0.2 to 1.2). Clinically, this effect can be compared to a reduction in severity of 2.3 cm on a 10-cm visual analogue scale, or a more than 35% improvement compared with placebo. Again, the effect of nifedipine alone versus placebo (two trials) was greater (standardised mean difference 1.0; 95% CI 0.2 to 1.7).

   Two randomised placebo-controlled trials in patients with mixed forms of Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP) evaluated the efficacy of diltiazem. Those studies, which were not in the meta-analysis because they did not include an analysis on SSC patients only, gave contradictory results.15–16

   A second meta-analysis, which included the results of five RCT with intravenous iloprost, one RCT with oral iloprost and one RCT with oral cisaprost,17–23 with 332 SSC patients in total, indicates that iloprost is effective in reducing the frequency and severity of SSC-RP.24 Iloprost, given intravenously (0.5–3 ng/kg per minute for 3–5 consecutive days sequentially) or orally (50–150 µg twice a day) significantly reduced the frequency of ischaemic attacks, and improved the RP severity score in comparison with placebo (WMD 17.5; 95% CI 15.7 to 19.2 and WMD 0.7; 95% CI 0.3 to 1.1, respectively). Oral prostanoids seem to be generally less effective than intravenous iloprost in the treatment of SSC-RP, although some beneficial effects could be seen with higher doses.17–24–27

   Two RCT comparing intravenous iloprost (0.5–2 ng/kg per minute for 3–5 days, every 6–8 weeks) with nifedipine (30–60 mg/day) indicate that iloprost is only slightly superior to nifedipine in improving symptoms of SSC-RP.28–30

   In view of costs and feasibility, the experts recommended that calcium antagonists are first-line therapy in the treatment of SSC-RP. Intravenous prostanoids are recommended when calcium antagonists have failed.

   It should be recognised that, in addition to calcium antagonists and prostanoids, there are many other therapies that are in use for the treatment of SSC-RP, see supplement available online only.

   As both types of drugs may induce side effects of vascular origin, the experts recommend particular attention if prostanoids are combined with calcium antagonists.

2. Two RCT indicate that intravenous prostanoids (particularly intravenous iloprost) are efficacious in healing digital ulcers in patients with SSC. Intravenous prostanoids (in particular iloprost) should be considered in the treatment of active digital ulcers in patients with SSC.

   Intravenous iloprost (0.5–2 ng/kg per minute for 3–5 consecutive days) significantly reduced the number of digital ulcers in comparison with placebo in one small RCT (Jadad score 5), and improved digital ulcer healing in another RCT (Jadad score 4) including 75 SSC patients with active digital ulcers (p = 0.06 vs placebo for 50% improvement).21–22 In addition, two RCT comparing intravenous iloprost with oral nifedipine suggest that both medications have a beneficial effect on digital ulcer
healing, but the number of patients with digital ulcers in both trials was small.\textsuperscript{10, 28}

Moreover, intravenous epoprostenol, administered continuously for severe SSC-related pulmonary arterial hypertension (SSC-PAH), revealed a tendency towards a reduction in the number of new digital ulcers (by 50%).\textsuperscript{29}

3. Bosentan has no confirmed efficacy in the treatment of active digital ulcers in SSC patients. Bosentan has confirmed efficacy in two high-quality RCT to prevent digital ulcers in diffuse SSC patients, in particular in those with multiple digital ulcers.

Bosentan should be considered in diffuse SSC with multiple digital ulcers, after failure of calcium antagonists and, usually, prostanoid therapy.

Bosentan, a dual endothelin receptor antagonist (ERA), was evaluated in two placebo-controlled RCT (RAPIDS-1 and RAPIDS-2) (Jadad score 5) involving 210 SSC patients in total.\textsuperscript{30–32} Bosentan, at an oral dose of 62.5 mg twice a day for 4 weeks followed by 125 mg twice a day for another 12 weeks, significantly reduced the number of new digital ulcers by 48% compared with placebo (ES 0.4; 95% CI 0.0 to 0.8).\textsuperscript{31} The efficacy of bosentan in preventing new digital ulcer formation was corroborated by the results of the recent RAPIDS-2 study, which was performed in SSC patients with active digital ulcers (this is a population considered to be at high risk of peripheral digital necrosis) (mean placebo-adjusted improvement 0.7 (39%) and 0.8 (50%) new ulcers per patient over 12 and 24 weeks, respectively; p<0.05 vs placebo for both comparisons).\textsuperscript{31, 32} Post-hoc subgroup analysis of RAPIDS-1 suggested that the highest effect of bosentan was found in diffuse SSC (61% reduction over 16 weeks; p = 0.011 vs placebo), especially in diffuse SSC with active digital ulcers (67%; p<0.001 vs placebo), whereas in limited SSC the mean reduction was 58% when considering the entire population and 80% if limited to patients with active digital ulcers.\textsuperscript{30} It is anticipated that the results of RAPIDS-2, when published, will add new information concerning the efficacy of bosentan in the prevention of digital ulcers in SSC subsets.

Neither trial indicated that bosentan is superior to placebo in the healing of SSC-related active digital ulcers, as evaluated by the time to complete or partial healing of digital ulcers present at baseline, the time to healing of all digital ulcers, or the percentage of patients with complete digital ulcer healing (p>0.05 vs placebo for all comparisons).\textsuperscript{30–32} The beneficial effect on new digital ulcer formation was accompanied by a significant improvement in overall hand function (specific health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) score ES 0.4; 95% CI 0.0 to 0.8) in RAPIDS-1, and a significant improvement in the Scleroderma-HAQ dressing domain (p = 0.06) in RAPIDS-2.

As discussed above, intravenous iloprost and epoprostenol were shown to improve the healing of active digital ulcers.\textsuperscript{20, 21, 22, 26, 29} Calcium antagonists, efficacious in the treatment of SSC-RP, have been studied less extensively with respect to the prevention and healing of digital ulcers in SSC. The results of two RCT, with low numbers of patients with digital ulcers, suggest a comparable efficacy of calcium antagonists and intravenous iloprost in healing active digital ulcers in SSC.\textsuperscript{10, 28} In a small RCT with 10 SSC patients, nifedipine (30 mg/day) reduced both the number of patients with new digital ulcers and the total number of new digital ulcers by 50% compared with placebo over a 6-week period (NNT 3.3 for preventing new digital ulcers).\textsuperscript{32} The available evidence concerning calcium antagonists and prostanoids in the prevention of new digital ulcers in SSC patients is far less comprehensive and robust than that of bosentan, but their toxicity pattern is milder, and long-term clinical experience suggests a good safety profile.

There are two major concerns related to the use of bosentan and other ERA: potential liver injury and teratogenicity.\textsuperscript{33–34} Hormonal contraceptives may not be reliable if co-administered with bosentan, because bosentan may reduce their efficacy by interference with the cytochrome P450 system.\textsuperscript{35}

II. SSC-PAH

4. Two high-quality RCT indicate that bosentan improves exercise capacity, functional class and some haemodynamic measures in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). Bosentan should be strongly considered to treat SSC-PAH.

The efficacy of ERA in the treatment of PAH was analysed in a meta-analysis of four RCT evaluating bosentan and one RCT evaluating sitaxentan\textsuperscript{36–41} (see supplementary material available online only). The results of this meta-analysis refer mainly to patients with idiopathic PAH, who constituted the vast majority (mean 67%, range 53–89%) of patients recruited in the studies analysed. In SSC-PAH, known to have a worse prognosis than idiopathic PAH, only bosentan has been studied extensively, including data concerning survival in SSC-PAH patients exclusively.

Two high-quality (Jadad score 5) placebo-controlled RCT showed that bosentan (62.5 mg twice a day for 4 weeks, followed by 125–250 mg twice a day) significantly improved the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) after 12 and 16 weeks in a heterogeneous population of PAH patients, see supplementary table 3 available online only.\textsuperscript{36, 37} An improvement in exercise capacity coincided with an improvement in the New York Heart Association (NYHA)/World Health Organization (WHO) functional class (NNT 3 and NNT 7.7 to 14.3, respectively), dyspnoea score (ES 1.6; 95% CI 1.2 to 1.9 and ES 4.5; 95% CI 3.8 to 5.1 for bosentan dosages of 250 mg/day and 500 mg/day, respectively) and haemodynamic measures, see supplementary table 3 available online.\textsuperscript{36, 37, 42}

A subanalysis of 66 patients with connective tissue disease (CTD)-related PAH (CTD-PAH) included in the above two RCT (79% were SSC patients) revealed a placebo-adjusted improvement in 6MWT of 22 m in favour of bosentan (ES 0.3; 95% CI −0.2 to 0.8).\textsuperscript{43}

Analysis of the two pivotal RCT and their long-term extension studies suggested that bosentan may improve survival in SSC-PAH in comparison with historic controls (1, 2 and 3-year survival 82%, 67% and 64%, respectively, vs 45%, 35% and 28%),\textsuperscript{44} Similarly, Williams et al.\textsuperscript{45} demonstrated that SSC-PAH patients receiving bosentan (in addition to standard therapy consisting of diuretics, digoxin, oxygen, warfarin and, if clinically indicated, prostanoids) had a significantly better survival (81% at 1 year, 71% at 2 years) than a historic comparator group of SSC-PAH patients treated with standard therapy including prostanoids (survival 68% and 47% at 1 and 2 years, respectively; p = 0.016).

On the basis of the results of RCT, bosentan was recommended in the current guidelines of the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) for the treatment of severe PAH (WHO class III/IV).\textsuperscript{46}

5. Two high-quality RCT indicate that sitaxentan improves exercise capacity, functional class and some haemodynamic measures in PAH. At present, sitaxentan may also be considered to treat SSC-PAH.

Two high-quality (Jadad score 4) RCT (STRIDE-1 and STRIDE-2) including 423 patients with different forms of PAH, among which 65 (15%) had SSC-PAH, indicate that
sitaxentan (a selective ET<sub>A</sub> endothelin receptor antagonist), administered orally at a dose of 100 mg/day and 300 mg/day for 12 to 18 weeks, significantly improved exercise capacity and haemodynamics, see supplementary table 3 available online only. Accordingly, sitaxentan (100 mg/day and 300 mg/day) improved NYHA functional class compared with placebo (NNT 7 for both doses assessed over 12 weeks). The improvement was even greater in a STRIDE-1 subgroup of PAH patients being in WHO class III or IV, suggesting that patients with more severe PAH may achieve the greatest benefit from sitaxentan therapy, see supplementary table 3 available online only. In view of the comparable efficacy of the two sitaxentan regimens and the fact that the higher dose was associated with greater toxicity (discussed below), sitaxentan at a dose of 100 mg/day is suggested in the treatment of PAH.

No studies or specific subgroup analyses investigating the efficacy of sitaxentan in SSc-PAH have been published. A subanalysis of both pivotal trials combining the 110 patients with CTD-PAH, of whom 65 (57%) had SSc-PAH, showed that 100 mg/ day revealed a similar improvement in the 6MWT as in the overall STRIDE-1 study population (ES 0.5; 95% CI −0.2 to 0.3 for sitaxentan vs placebo). One-year survival in 42 CTD-PAH patients receiving sitaxentan in open-label studies was significantly better than in 25 CTD-PAH patients treated with bosentan (98% vs 79%; p < 0.01), although the effects on 6MWT and functional class were not different comparing the two drugs.

In view of its comparable efficacy and its similar toxicity profile, experts considered sitaxentan as an alternative for bosentan in patients with SSc-PAH. An open-label extension of STRIDE-2 suggested that sitaxentan (100 mg/day) may be safer than bosentan (250 mg/day) with regard to the frequency of liver test abnormalities (3% of the sitaxentan group vs 18% of the bosentan group; p < 0.05) and premature discontinuation, either related to hepatotoxicity (0% vs 14% for sitaxentan vs bosentan) or overall (20% vs 57% for sitaxentan vs bosentan; p < 0.001). Moreover, sitaxentan 100 mg/day improved the clinical status in more than one-third of PAH patients in whom bosentan was ineffective.

Sildenafil has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of PAH patients in WHO functional classes II, III and IV. The experts indicated that, compared with bosentan, the amount of data confirming the efficacy and safety of sildenafil in SSc-PAH was sparse. Therefore, at present, sildenafil should be considered for the treatment of SSc-PAH patients in whom bosentan has been ineffective, or can not be used for safety reasons.

7. One high-quality RCT indicates that continuous intravenous epoprostenol improves exercise capacity, functional class and haemodynamic measures in SSc-PAH. Sudden drug withdrawal may be life threatening.

Intravenous epoprostenol should be considered for the treatment of patients with severe SSc-PAH.

One RCT (Jadad score 5), involving 111 SSc-PAH patients, showed that epoprostenol (continuous intravenous infusion, starting dose 2 ng/kg per minute and increased based on clinical symptoms and tolerability) in combination with conventional therapy (diuretics, oral anticoagulants, oxygen and glycosides), improves exercise capacity, functional status and haemodynamic measures in SSc-PAH, compared with conventional therapy. The median 6MWT distance improved by 108 m (95% CI 55 m to 180 m; p < 0.01; epoprostenol vs control group), NYHA functional class improved in 21 (38%) patients treated with epoprostenol and none in the control group (NNT 2.7) and the Borg dyspnoea index and the dyspnoea fatigue score also improved significantly. The beneficial haemodynamic effects of epoprostenol included a statistically significant decrease in pulmonary vascular resistance, mean pulmonary artery pressure and right atrial pressure, as well as a significant increase in cardiac index.

Based on the results of RCT and two large long-term observational studies, which have documented an improvement in survival of patients with idiopathic PAH treated with epoprostenol, intravenous epoprostenol has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of severe (WHO class III or IV) PAH.

As a result of a very short half-life, epoprostenol is administered through a permanent indwelling central venous catheter, which may favour adverse events: infections, pneumothorax and haemorrhage. Sudden disruption/withdrawal of intravenous epoprostenol (due to catheter/vein thrombosis and/or patient’s decision) may lead to life-threatening PAH rebound. Epoprostenol is contraindicated in severe left ventricular dysfunction and if symptoms of pulmonary oedema develop during epoprostenol dose initiation, which may be associated with pulmonary veno-occlusive disease. Based on overall risk-benefit considerations, and in agreement with the current ACCP guidelines, experts recommend intravenous epoprostenol as the treatment of choice in severe, therapy-resistant SSc-PAH.

Although not included in the text of the present recommendations, other prostacyclin analogues are available and approved for treatment of PAH, see supplementary material available online only.

III. SSc-related skin involvement

8. Two RCT have shown that methotrexate improves skin score in early diffuse SSc. Positive effects on other organ manifestations have not been established.

Methotrexate may be considered for treatment of skin manifestations of early diffuse SSc.
**Recommendations**

**Table 1** The final set of 14 recommendations based on both evidence from the literature and expert opinion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of recommendation</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>SSc-related digital vasculopathy (RP, digital ulcers)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A meta-analysis on dihydropiridine-type calcium antagonists and one meta-analysis on prostanoids indicate that nifedipine and intravenous iloprost reduce the frequency and severity of SSc-RP attacks</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Two RCT indicate that intravenous prostanoids (particularly intravenous iloprost) are efficacious in healing digital ulcers in patients with SSc. Intravenous prostanoids (in particular iloprost) should be considered in the treatment of active digital ulcers in patients with SSc</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>21–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bosentan has no confirmed efficacy in the treatment of active digital ulcers in SSc patients. Bosentan has confirmed efficacy in two high-quality RCT to prevent digital ulcers in diffuse SSc patients, in particular in those with multiple digital ulcers</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>30–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>SSc-PAH</td>
<td>A/B</td>
<td>26–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Two high-quality RCT indicate that bosentan improves exercise capacity, functional class and some haemodynamic measures in PAH. Bosentan should be strongly considered to treat SSc-PAH</td>
<td>A/B</td>
<td>26–47, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Two high-quality RCT indicate that sitaxentan improves exercise capacity, functional class and some haemodynamic measures in PAH. At present, sitaxentan may also be considered to treat SSc-PAH</td>
<td>A/B</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>One high-quality RCT indicates that sildenafil improves exercise capacity, functional class and some haemodynamic measures in PAH</td>
<td>A/B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sildenafil may be considered to treat SSc-PAH</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>SSc-related skin involvement</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>60–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Two RCT have shown that methotrexate improves skin score in early diffuse SSc. Positive effects on other organ manifestations have not been established</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>60–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>SSc-ILD</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>62–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>In view of the results from two high-quality RCT and despite its known toxicity, cyclophosphamide should be considered for treatment of SSc-ILD</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>60–63, 71–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>SRC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>63–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Despite the lack of RCT, experts believe that ACE inhibitors should be used in the treatment of SRC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>63–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Four retrospective studies suggest that steroids are associated with a higher risk of SRC. Patients on steroids should be carefully monitored for blood pressure and renal function</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>63–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>SSc-related gastrointestinal disease</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>71–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Despite the lack of specific RCT, experts believe that PPI should be used for the prevention of SSc-related gastro-oesophageal reflux, oesophageal ulcers and strictures</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>71–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Despite the lack of specific RCT, experts believe that prokinetic drugs should be used for the management of SSc-related symptomatic motility disturbances (dysphagia, GORD, early satiety, bloating, pseudo-obstruction, etc)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>73–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Despite the lack of specific RCT, experts believe that, when malabsorption is caused by bacterial overgrowth, rotating antibiotics may be useful in SSc patients</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; GORD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; RCT, randomised controlled trial; RP, Raynaud’s phenomenon; SRC, scleroderma renal crisis; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SSc-ILD, SSc-related interstitial lung disease; SSc-PAH, SSc-related pulmonary arterial hypertension; SSc-RP, SSc-related Raynaud’s phenomenon.

In one RCT (Jadad score 3), involving 29 SSc patients with diffuse SSc or limited SSc (mean duration of skin involvement 3.2 years), methotrexate (intramuscularly at a dose of 15 mg/week for 24 weeks) showed a trend towards improvement of the total skin score (p = 0.06 vs placebo).60

In the second RCT (Jadad score 5), involving 73 patients with early diffuse SSc, methotrexate, given orally at a dose of 10 mg per week for 12 months, decreased the University of California Los Angeles skin score (ES 0.5, 95% CI 0.0 to 1.0) and the modified Rodnan skin score (ES 0.5; 95% CI 0.0 to 0.9) compared with placebo in an intention-to-treat analysis. Eleven out of 56 patients (31%) in the placebo group and 12 out of 35 patients (34%) in the methotrexate group dropped out before study completion, mainly due to treatment inefficacy. There were few premature discontinuations due to adverse events (number needed to harm 16 and 34.5 in both RCT, respectively).60 61 There were no significant differences in the mortality rate (three vs seven; p<0.18), although the trend was in favour of methotrexate.61 Safety concerns associated with methotrexate include liver toxicity, pancytopenia, its potential teratogenicity and, possibly, the induction of lung injury/interstitial lung disease.60 61

It should be recognised that cyclophosphamide has also been shown, in RCT, to improve skin changes in SSc patients, and other agents such as mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine or ciclosporine A are used to treat skin involvement, although their efficacy has not been studied so extensively.62 See also table 2.

**IV. Scleroderma interstitial lung disease**

9. In view of the results from two high-quality RCT and despite its known toxicity, cyclophosphamide should be considered for the treatment of SSc-related interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD). The efficacy and safety of cyclophosphamide in the treatment of SSc-ILD was evaluated in two high-quality (Jadad score 5) RCT.65 66 The first trial, involving 158 SSc patients with active alveolitis, demonstrated that cyclophosphamide given orally at a dose of 1–2 mg/kg per day improved lung function tests, dyspnoea score and quality of life over 12 months compared
with placebo. The placebo-corrected mean (95% CI) improvement in the forced vital capacity and the total lung capacity was 2.5% (0.3% to 4.8%) and 4.1% (0.5% to 7.7%), respectively (p<0.05 for both measures). Cyclophosphamide did not increase the lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. Cyclophosphamide improved the transitional dyspnoea index (mean 1.4 (SE 0.2), whereas this index deteriorated in the placebo group (mean 1.5 (SE 0.4); p=0.001 for between-group difference). Cyclophosphamide also improved the HAQ disability index, and the vitality and health-transition domains of the Short-Form 36 (p<0.05 vs placebo for both measures). 65

The second trial evaluated cyclophosphamide (intravenously at a dose of 600 mg/m2 per month) compared with placebo in 45 SSC patients with SSC-ILD. Active treatment included six infusions of cyclophosphamide given at 4-week intervals followed by oral azathioprine (2.5 mg/kg per day) or placebo for 6 months. Prednisolone (20 mg on alternate days) was co-administered in the active treatment group. The mean adjusted between-group difference in forced vital capacity was 4.2% in favour of cyclophosphamide, which just missed statistical significance (p = 0.08). The lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide and other outcome measures did not improve. 66 There was unanimous consensus about the cyclophosphamide dose and duration of treatment to be tailored individually dependent on the clinical condition and response. Potential risks of bone marrow suppression, teratogeneity, gonadal failure and haemorrhagic cystitis must be always considered. 66

**Box 1 Research agenda**

1. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of cyclophosphamide in the treatment of early diffuse SSC
2. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of mycophenolate mofetil and azathioprine in the treatment of SSC
3. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of sildenafil in the treatment of SSC-RP and digital ulcers
4. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of ACE inhibitors in the prevention of SRC
5. Evaluation of calcium antagonists in the prevention of SSC-PAH

V. Scleroderma renal crisis

10. Despite the lack of RCT, experts believe that angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors should be used in the treatment of scleroderma renal crisis (SRC).

RCT evaluating the efficacy of ACE inhibitors in the treatment of SRC are lacking. Since the first report demonstrating a beneficial effect of ACE inhibitors in two patients with SRC, numerous case reports and uncontrolled studies have reported on ACE inhibitors in SRC. A prospective analysis of 108 patients with SRC has suggested that patients on ACE inhibitors (captopril in 47 and enalapril in 8) had a significantly better survival rate at 1 year (76%) and 5 years (66%) compared with patients not on ACE inhibitors (15% at 1 year and 10% at 5 years, respectively). Treatment with ACE inhibitors was significantly associated with better survival in SRC, after adjustment for age and blood pressure (p<0.001). 66

Another prospective uncontrolled study of 145 patients with SRC treated with ACE inhibitors demonstrated survival rates at 5 and 8 years after the onset of SRC of 90% and 85%, respectively. 66 In addition, treatment with ACE inhibitors decreased the need for permanent dialysis. 65 Published evidence includes mainly captopril and enalapril.

It is highly unlikely that formal RCT will be conducted in this rare condition with high mortality. 11

Four retrospective studies suggest that steroids are associated with a higher risk of SRC. Patients on steroids should be carefully monitored for blood pressure and renal function.

The impact of steroid use on the development of SRC was evaluated in four retrospective studies involving 544 SSC patients, all suggesting an association between steroid treatment and the occurrence of SRC. 67–70 A case–control analysis showed that 36% of patients with SRC had received prednisone at a dose of 15 mg/day or more or equivalent within 6 months preceding the onset of SRC, compared with 12% matched controls (odds ratio 4.4; 95% CI 2.1 to 9.4; p<0.001). 66 In another study, recent exposure to corticosteroids was noted in 61% of SRC patients, and the exposure to corticosteroids during the previous 3 months was associated with a higher risk of SRC (relative risk 6.2; 95% CI 2.2 to 17.6). 70 An analysis of the main risk factors for SRC suggested that patients with a high skin score, joint contractures and prednisone use (<10 mg/day in nine out of 10 patients) were at higher risk (45% versus 21% of patient without steroids) of SRC. 69

VI. SSC-related gastrointestinal disease

12. Despite the lack of specific RCT, experts believe that proton pump inhibitors (PPI) should be used for the prevention of SSC-related gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), oesophageal ulcers and strictures.

Specific RCT for the efficacy of PPI in patients with SSC are lacking. The efficacy of PPI in the treatment of GORD in a general population is well documented in meta-analyses of RCT. 71–72

13. Despite the lack of specific RCT, experts believe that prokinetic drugs should be used for the management of SSC-related symptomatic motility disturbances (dysphagia, GORD, early response in satiety, bloating, pseudo-obstruction, etc).

Small RCT involving SSC or CTD patients indicate that the short-term usage of cisapride has a beneficial effect on gastric emptying and lower oesophageal sphincter pressures. 73–77 However, in many countries cisapride has either been withdrawn or has had limited use as a result of reports about long QT syndrome caused by cisapride, which predisposes to severe arrhythmias.

Long-term efficacy RCT of other prokinetics in SSC were not found. Several non-randomised or uncontrolled studies suggest that prokinetics may improve gastrointestinal signs and symptoms in SSC patients. 78–80

Several prokinetic drugs have shown beneficial effects in RCT involving patients with other than SSC-related dysmotility disorders or are under evaluation (for review see Hasler 85 and Karamanolis and Tack 86). Whether these drugs would be effective in the treatment of SSC-related symptomatic motility disturbances is at present only speculative and needs to be investigated.

14. Despite the lack of specific RCT, experts believe that, when malabsorption is caused by bacterial overgrowth, rotating antibiotics may be useful in SSC.

No RCT regarding the efficacy of antibiotics in the treatment of SSC-related bacterial overgrowth or malabsorption were found.

In general, current treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth is based on empirical courses of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as quinolones or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid.
The principles of diagnosis and treatment strategies of this condition have been summarised in a recent excellent review.

**DISCUSSION**

The present set of recommendations addresses only a limited number of the most relevant pharmacological treatments for SSc. Many were tested in RCT, although some, even in the absence of RCT, were felt by the expert committee to be indicated for SSc.

As SSc has a heterogeneous clinical course and is an uncommon disease, many treatment options have not yet been able to be appropriately tested. It should be recognised that “absence of evidence for efficacy” does not imply that “efficacy is absent”. Indeed, some treatment options that were not translated into recommendations because of lack of evidence were considered important or promising by the expert committee and were included in the research agenda, see box 1 and table 2.

As a result of the scarcity of high-quality RCT solely involving SSc patients, several recommendations are based on evidence extrapolated from other diseases (such as idiopathic PAH or GORD). These diseases may differ from SSc-related complications in clinical course and prognosis.

There are also other treatment options for the management of SSc patients, such as physiotherapy, education, new experimental therapies, etc, which were beyond the scope of this project or could not be included because of the lack of expert consensus.

Medications that are disease modifying for SSc in terms of mortality are lacking, and the efficacy of the treatments recommended here is often only modest to moderate. Nevertheless, given no other options, these less than optimal treatments are still worthwhile. This set of recommendations should be helpful to make clinical decisions but should always be used in the context of the patient, clinical judgement and with the balance of efficacy and toxicity in mind.

In view of the heterogeneity of SSc, the complexity of the diagnostic evaluation and the wide array of available treatment options, experts believe that the referral of patients with SSc to a specialised centre should be strongly considered.
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