

Safety of biological therapies following rituximab treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients

M C Genovese, ¹ F C Breedveld, ² P Emery, ³ S Cohen, ⁴ E Keystone, ⁵ E L Matteson, ⁶ Y Baptiste, ⁷ A Chai, ⁸ L Burke, ⁷ W Reiss, ⁸ M Sweetser, ⁹ T M Shaw⁷

¹ Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California. USA; ² Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands; ³ Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust, the University of Leeds, Leeds, UK; ¹ Metroplex Clinical Research Center, Dallas, Texas, USA; University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; 6 Mayo Clinic of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK: 8 Genentech, Inc. South San Francisco, California, USA: 9 Biogen Idec, Inc. Cambridge, Massachusetts,

Correspondence to: Dr M C Genovese, Division of Rheumatology, Stanford University Medical Center, 1000 Welch Road, Suite 203, Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA; genovese@stanford.edu

Accepted 14 December 2008 Published online first 20 January 2009

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the safety of biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients following rituximab.

Methods: RA patients who participated in an international rituximab clinical trial programme were included. Patients who had received one or more rituximab courses and entered safety follow-up (SFU) were permitted additional biological DMARD. Serious infection events (SIE) were collected.

Results: Of 185 of 2578 patients who entered SFU and received another biological DMARD, 88.6% had peripheral B-cell depletion at the time of initiation of another biological agent. Thirteen SIE (6.99 events/100 patient-years) occurred following rituximab but before another biological DMARD and 10 SIE (5.49 events/100 patient-years) occurred following another biological DMARD. SIE were of typical type and severity for RA patients. 153 had received one or more tumour necrosis factor inhibitor(s). No fatal or opportunistic infections occurred.

Conclusions: In this analysis, treatment with biological DMARD after rituximab was not associated with an increased serious infection rate. Sample size with limited follow-up restricts definitive conclusions.

Infection is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients,¹ and RA patients have approximately twice the rate of infection compared with matched non-RA controls.² Given the multiple RA therapies with different mechanisms of action, the safety of switching among different biological agents, particularly with regard to the rate of infection, is an important consideration.

Rituximab, a monoclonal antibody that selectively targets CD20-positive B cells, is effective and well tolerated in the treatment of RA patients who have had an inadequate response to one or more tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor(s).³⁻⁶ The pharmacodynamic effect of rituximab may be long lasting, and in patients who have discontinued treatment, the safety of treatment with other biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD), during this period of B-cell depletion, has not been established.

Here, we describe the rate of serious infection events (SIE) in RA patients previously treated with rituximab and who subsequently received a biological DMARD therapy. A majority of these patients were peripherally B-cell (CD20+) depleted when another biological therapy was initiated.

METHODS

Patients and follow-up

Patients with active RA who received one or more courses of rituximab (either 2 × 500 mg or 2 × 1000 mg infusions given 2 weeks apart) during participation in one of nine trials in an international clinical trial programme were included in the analysis.3-7 Patients who withdrew from the treatment phase of their respective trial entered safety follow-up (SFU) during which SIE, peripheral CD19+ cell counts (a surrogate marker for CD20+ B cells) and concomitant medications were collected. Peripheral B-cell counts were monitored at regular intervals for 48 weeks or more. During SFU, patients were permitted to receive standard of care therapy, which may have included additional biological therapies at the discretion of the treating physician. Patients who received an additional biological agent comprised this study population. After the study treatment phase, patients were followed for at least one year for SFU. Patients remained in SFU if their CD19+ cell counts at the end of one year were below the lower limit of normal (LLN) or had returned to baseline values, whichever was less. SFU time was thus variable among patients.

Safety

SIE were predefined as infections that required intravenous antibiotics or met the regulatory criteria for a serious adverse event, in which at least one of the following applied: required inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of an existing hospitalisation; were immediately lifethreatening; resulted in persistent or significant disability or incapacity; were medically significant, when an intervention was required to prevent one of the previously mentioned outcomes; or were fatal. ^{4 5} The rates (events per 100 patient-years) of SIE while on rituximab but before the initiation of a biological DMARD were calculated and compared with rates after initiating a biological DMARD.

RESULTS

Patients

At data cut-off (November 2007), 2578 patients (5013 patient-years) had been treated with one or more courses of rituximab within the clinical trial programme, with many patients receiving multiple courses. A total of 185 patients received subsequent treatment with biological DMARD. Of the 185 patients, 153 (81%) received TNF inhibitors (etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab) as their

Table 1 Baseline demographic and disease characteristics of patients treated with biological DMARD following rituximab treatment

Characteristic*	All patients receiving another biological DMARD after rituximab (n = 185)			
Age, years (SD)	51.2 (12.3)			
Female, n (%)	145 (78.4%)			
RA disease duration, years (SD)	11.9 (9.2)			
Previous DMARD,† n (SD)	4.0 (2.4)			
Previous TNF inhibitors, n (SD)	1.3 (0.9)			
Swollen joint count, n (SD)	24.5 (13.0)			
Tender joint count, n (SD)	37.8 (15.9)			
C-reactive protein, mg/dl (SD)	3.7 (4.1)			
DAS28-ESR (SD)	7.0 (0.9)			

*Mean values are reported when applicable. †Excludes methotrexate. DAS28, disease activity score based on 28 joints; DMARD, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

biological DMARD following rituximab (including three patients who had taken other biological agents before the TNF inhibitor), 25 patients received abatacept, nine received anakinra (including two patients who subsequently took a TNF inhibitor) and one received natalizumab in a clinical trial and then was subsequently treated with etanercept. The demographic and disease characteristics of this population are summarised in table 1.

The median time from the last dose of rituximab to the first biological DMARD was 7 months (range 0.5–37 months), with a mean of 9 months (SD 6). The median follow-up time after the receipt of the subsequent biological agent was 11 months (range 0–45 months) and the mean was 12 months (SD 9). The median follow-up time before the receipt of another biological DMARD was 10 months (range 1–40 months), with a mean of 12 months (SD 9). At the time of receiving further RA treatment, the majority (88.6%) had peripheral B-cell depletion, with CD19+ cell levels less than LLN (\leq 80 cells/µl), with a mean CD19+ B-cell count of 27.3 cells/µl (SD 53.4) (range 0–317).

Serious infections

The overall rate of SIE was 4.31 events/100 patient-years (95% CI 3.77 to 4.92) during the 5013 patient-years of observation for the 2578 patients across the rituximab RA clinical trial programme. Of the 185 patients who withdrew and received another biological DMARD, 13 SIE in 12 patients over 186.05 patient-years of follow-up were reported (6.99 events/100 patient-years; 95% CI 4.06 to 12.03) during treatment with rituximab and before the receipt of another biological DMARD (table 2). The specific SIE that occurred while on rituximab, but

before subsequent exposure to another biological DMARD, included gastroenteritis, pneumonia, diverticulitis, diarrhoea, bronchitis, urinary tract infection (UTI), septic arthritis of the right hip, shigella and cellulitis (table 3). One of the cases of gastroenteritis occurred after the receipt of cyclophosphamide, but before the receipt of etanercept.

Three patients experienced two SIE. One patient had two cases of pneumonia (before and after starting anakinra). A second patient had pneumonia before starting adalimumab and a UTI after starting adalimumab. A third patient experienced bronchitis and one case of UTI before starting etanercept.

Overall, the infections were variable and typical for RA patients. All but three of the patients with SIE were also taking concomitant glucocorticoids. There were no opportunistic or fatal infections.

All patients receiving a biological DMARD

Following the initiation of the biological DMARD, 10 SIE in 10 patients over 182.31 patient-years were reported (5.49 events/ 100 patient-years; 95% CI 2.95 to 10.19). The median time to SIE after initiating another biological agent was 4 months (mean 7 months (SD 8), range 0–23 months). Ten patients experienced an SIE after the receipt of a subsequent biological DMARD, which included pneumonia, UTI, cellulitis, erysipelas, viral meningitis, wound infection and bacterial arthritis.

Among the 10 patients who had SIE after biological DMARD, the median peripheral B-cell count at the time of the infection was 17.5 (range 1–312, interquartile range (IQR) 2–59.0; table 3). Eight patients had peripheral B-cell counts less than LLN at the time of receiving the biological DMARD and at the last measurement before the SIE following another biological agent. The median B-cell levels of these eight patients were 4.5 cells/µl (range 1–59, IQR 2–40.5). One of the eight patients had an IgG level less than LLN (5.2 g/l) before the SIE; this patient experienced a UTI while on adalimumab and subsequently had an IgG level greater than LLN 18 days after the onset of infection. The IgM level for this patient was 0.35 g/l, which was less than LLN (0.5 g/l).

Patients receiving TNF inhibitor

The rate of SIE of the TNF inhibitor subgroup following rituximab treatment, but before the TNF inhibitor, was 6.63 events/100 patient-years (95% CI 3.57 to 12.32). The rate of SIE of the TNF inhibitor subgroup was 4.93 events/100 patient-years (95% CI 2.46 to 9.85) after receiving the TNF inhibitor (table 2).

DISCUSSION

The study population comprised a select group of patients with advanced RA, many of whom had previously been treated with

Table 2 Serious infection rate in patients who received treatment with another biological DMARD

	Overall (N = 2578)	Patients receiving any biological agent after rituximab treatment (n = 185)		Patients receiving TNF inhibitor after rituximab treatment (n = 153)	
		Before other biological agent	After other biological agent	Before other TNF inhibitor	After other TNF inhibitor
Total exposure, patient-years	5013	186.05	182.31	150.87	162.41
Serious infections, n	216	13	10	10	8
Serious infections/100 patient-years	4.31	6.99	5.49	6.63	4.93
95% CI	3.77 to 4.92	4.06 to 12.03	2.95 to 10.19	3.57 to 12.32	2.46 to 9.85

DMARD, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

Table 3 SIE reported before and after another biological DMARD therapy following rituximab treatment

SIE*	Subsequent RA biological DMARD post-rituximab	Time from last rituximab dose to subsequent biological DMARD (days)	Day of onset after biological DMARD†	Last CD19+ cell count before biological DMARD	Last CD19+ cell count before SIE‡ (days from CD19+ cell count to SIE)
Before other biological agent					
Diarrhoea	ABA	392	NA	5	7 (59)
Gastroenteritis	ADA	459	NA	36	1 (38)
Diverticulitis	ADA	211	NA	4	34 (65)
Pneumonia**	ADA	181	NA	3	0 (15)
Pneumonia§	ANK	171	NA	1	1 (21)
Diverticulitis	ANK	515	NA	10	0 (11)
Bronchitis¶‡‡	ETN	521	NA	166	116 (44)
Infection of right hip	INF	262	NA	0	1 (12)
UTI¶‡‡	ETN	521	NA	166	359 (51)
Gastroenteritis	Post-CYC, pre-ETN	239	NA	11	0 (21)
Shigella infection	INF	358	NA	8	4 (52)
Cellulitis;;	INF	114	NA	0	0 (42)
UTI‡‡	INF	366	NA	40	6 (12)
After other biological agent					
Erysipelas	ETN	148	18	2	2 (39)
Cellulitis	ETN	43	51	3	59 (8)
Arthritis, bacterial**	ETN and INF	156	417 days after starting INF, 77 days after starting ETN	1 (INF), 53 (ETN)	53(83)
Pneumonia	ABA	148	201	4	122 (6)
Pneumonia§	ANK	171	5	1	1 (28)
Meningitis (viral)	ADA	382	41	16	7 (37)
UTI**	ADA	181	173	3	2 (73)
UTI††	ETN	230	713	0	28 (62)
Cellulitis	ETN	166	512	2	2 (82)
Wound infection	ETN	389	94	85	312 (45)

^{*}Patients with multiple serious adverse events are indicated. †In the case of serious infection before receipt of another biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD), this is not available (NA). In the case of a serious infection after another biological DMARD, this refers to the duration following receipt of the biological DMARD to serious infection events (SIE). ‡The lower limit of normal of peripheral CD19+ B-cell counts is ≤ 80 cells/μl. Note that CD19+ cell counts were often taken at various time intervals before the SIE (range 6–83 days; median 39 days). \$The same patient experienced SIE before and after the receipt of anakinra (ANK). ¶Patient experienced bronchitis followed by urinary tract infection (UTI) 4 months later. **Patient experienced pneumonia before receipt of adalimumab (ADA) and experienced UTI after receipt of ADA. ††Patient took blinded natalizumab before etanercept (ETN). ‡‡Four events were not categorised as serious adverse events per protocol, but required intravenous antibiotics. ABA, abatacept; CYC, cyclophosphamide; INF, infliximab; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

multiple RA therapies, including biological agents before receiving rituximab, and then withdrew from clinical trials and subsequently received further biological therapy. In this analysis, the rate of SIE in patients who withdrew from rituximab and received a subsequent biological DMARD was 6.99 events per 100 patient-years (95% CI 4.06 to 12.03) before exposure to another biological DMARD and 5.49 events/100 patient-years (95% CI 2.95 to 10.19) after exposure to another biological agent. The majority of patients were B-cell depleted at the time of receiving another biological agent. The nature of the infections reported and their clinical course were consistent with SIE typical of patients with RA treated with DMARD or other biological therapies. ⁸ Importantly, there were no fatal or opportunistic infections pre or post another biological DMARD following rituximab treatment.

Although the current study is not a controlled trial of combination therapy, this analysis provides a unique opportunity to examine indirectly the potential effects of biological therapy combined with low/depleted B-cell levels. Of note is the fact that in studies in which TNF inhibitors have been used in combination with other biological agents (eg, anakinra and abatacept),

increased rates of infections have been reported, even with low patient numbers and short durations of follow-up.¹⁰ ¹¹

However, given the small sample size, the short follow-up, and wide confidence intervals of the point estimates, these data should be considered preliminary. In earlier data analysis, with a report of 107 patients, the rates of serious infections were numerically different but continued to remain within the range of the confidence intervals. ¹² One additional consideration is that these patients represent patients previously enrolled in clinical trials and may differ from patients who did not meet inclusion/exclusion criteria.

In conclusion, these data appear to suggest that despite patients being B-cell depleted in the peripheral blood when further RA biological DMARD were initiated, the rate and nature of SIE remain consistent with those observed during rituximab treatment and within expectations for patients treated with biological therapies. Further data are warranted before definitive conclusions can be drawn regarding the risk of SIE in patients who receive another biological DMARD while B-cell depleted. Controlled studies to assess the safety of biological DMARD in combination with rituximab are ongoing.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the investigators, their staff and their patients for participation. The authors also wish to thank Genentech, Inc for their assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

Funding: This report was sponsored by F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Biogen Idec, Inc and Genentech, Inc. A portion of this work (Stanford University) was supported in part by a grant (5 M01 RR000070) from the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.

Competing interests: Declared. MCG has received speaker fees and research grant support from Roche and has served as a consultant for Roche, Biogen Idec and Genentech. FCB has received consulting and speaker fees from Roche. EK has received consulting and speaker fees from Roche and Genentech and research grants from Roche. PE has received consulting and speaker fees and research grants from Roche; he is the arc Professor of Rheumatology of the Academic Unit of Musculoskeletal Disease at Leeds University. SC has received consulting and speaker fees and research grants from Genentech, Inc. ELM has received consulting fees and research grants from Biogen Idec. YB, LB and TMS are employees and own shares in Roche Products Ltd. MS is an employee and owns shares in Biogen Idec. AC and WR are employees and own shares in Genentech, Inc.

Role of the study sponsors: Data collection was co-sponsored by F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Biogen Idec, Inc and Genentech, Inc. Statistical analyses were conducted by qualified statisticians who were employees of the sponsors. All the authors had access to and involvement in the interpretation of the data and input into the content of this manuscript (supervised by MCG).

REFERENCES

 Symmons DP, Jones MA, Scott DL, et al. Longterm mortality outcome in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: early presenters continue to do well. J Rheumatol 1998;25:1072–7.

- Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, et al. Frequency of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with controls: a population-based study. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:2287–93.
- Edwards JC, Szczepanski L, Szechinski J, et al. Efficacy of B-cell-targeted therapy with rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2572–81.
- Emery P, Fleischmann R, Filipowicz-Sosnowska A, et al. The efficacy and safety of rituximab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis despite methotrexate treatment: results of a phase IIB randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging trial. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:1390–400.
- Cohen SB, Emery P, Greenwald MW, et al. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating primary efficacy and safety at twenty-four weeks. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:2793

 –806.
- van Vollenhoven RF, Emery P, Bingham CO, et al. Extended follow-up of the longterm safety of rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:88.
- Keystone E, Fleischmann R, Emery P, et al. Safety and efficacy of additional courses of rituximab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: an open-label extension analysis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007:56:3896–908.
- Bombardieri S, Ruiz AA, Fardellone P, et al. Effectiveness of adalimumab for rheumatoid arthritis in patients with a history of TNF-antagonist therapy in clinical practice. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2007;46:1191–9.
- Dixon WG, Symmons DP, Lunt M, et al. Serious infection following anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: lessons from interpreting data from observational studies. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:2896–904.
- Weinblatt M, Schiff M, Goldman A, et al. Selective costimulation modulation using abatacept in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis while receiving etanercept: a randomised clinical trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:228–34.
- Genovese MC, Cohen S, Moreland L, et al. Combination therapy with etanercept and anakinra in the treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis who have been treated unsuccessfully with methotrexate. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:1412–19.
- Genovese MC, Breedveld FC, Emery P, et al. Safety of TNF inhibitors and nonbiologic DMARDs in rheumatoid arthritis patients previously treated with rituximab. Arthritis Rheum 2007;58:262.

Corrections

The department of one of the authors who co-authored all of the below papers has found that the affiliations were not correct. The correct affiliations for Professor P Emery, for all of the below articles, are: ¹Section of Musculoskeletal Disease, Leeds Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Leeds; ²NIHR Leeds Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust, Leeds, UK.

- Keystone E, Emery P, Peterfy CG, et al. Rituximab inhibits structural joint damage in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to tumour necrosis factor inhibitor therapies. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:216–21.
- Doward LC, McKenna SP, Whalley D, et al. The development of the L-QoL: a quality-of-life instrument specific to systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:196–200.
- Potter C, Hyrich KL, Tracey A, et al. Association of rheumatoid factor and anticyclic citrullinated peptide positivity, but not carriage of shared epitope or PTPN22 susceptibility variants, with anti-TNF response in RA. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:69–74.
- 4. Smolen JS, Han C, van der Heijde DM, et al.; Active-Controlled Study of Patients Receiving Infliximab for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis of Early Onset (ASPIRE) Study Group. Radiographic changes in rheumatoid arthritis patients attaining different disease activity states with methotrexate monotherapy and infliximab plus methotrexate: the impacts of remission and tumour necrosis factor blockade. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:823—7.
- Buch MH, Boyle DL, Rosengren S, et al. Mode of action of abatacept in rheumatoid arthritis patients having failed tumour necrosis factor blockade: a histological, gene expression and dynamic magnetic resonance imaging pilot study. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1220–7
- Emery P, Van Vollenhoven R, Ostergaard M, et al. Guidelines for initiation of antitumour necrosis factor therapy in rheumatoid arthritis: similarities and differences across Europe. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:456–9.
- Bejarano V, Conaghan PG, Proudman SM, et al. Long-term efficacy and toxicity of ciclosporin A in combination with methotrexate in poor prognosis rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:761–3.
- Rudwaleit M, Landewé R, van der Heijde D, et al. The development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part I): classification of paper patients by expert opinion including uncertainty appraisal. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:770–6.
- Bennett AN, Marzo-Ortega H, Emery P, et al.; Leeds Spondyloarthropathy Group. Diagnosing axial spondyloarthropathy. The new Assessment in SpondyloArthritis international Society criteria: MRI entering centre stage. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:765–7.
- Marzo-Ortega H, McGonagle D, O'Connor P, et al. Baseline and 1-year magnetic resonance imaging of the sacroiliac joint and lumbar spine in very early inflammatory back pain. Relationship between symptoms, HLA-B27 and disease extent and persistence. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1721–7.
- Gilworth G, Emery P, Gossec L, et al. Adaptation and cross-cultural validation of the rheumatoid arthritis work instability scale (RA-WIS). Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1686–90.
- Gilworth G, Emery P, Gossec L, et al. Adaptation and cross-cultural validation of the RA-WIS (Work Instability Scale). Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1686–90.
- Jarrett SJ, Sivera F, Cawkwell LS, et al. MRI and clinical findings in patients with ankylosing spondylitis eligible for anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy after a short course of etoricoxib. Ann Rheum Dis 2009:68:1466–9.
- Haugeberg G, Conaghan PG, Quinn M, et al. Bone loss in patients with active early rheumatoid arthritis: infliximab and methotrexate compared with methotrexate treatment alone. Explorative analysis from a 12-month randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1898–901.
- Genovese MC, Breedveld FC, Emery P, et al. Safety of biological therapies following rituximab treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1894–7.
- Kekow J, Moots RJ, Emery P, et al. Patient-reported outcomes improve with etanercept plus methotrexate in active early rheumatoid arthritis and the improvement is strongly associated with remission: the COMET trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:222–5.
- Furst DE, Keystone EC, Fleischmann R, et al. Updated consensus statement on biological agents for the treatment of rheumatic diseases, 2009. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69(Suppl 1):i2–29.
- Freeston JE, Wakefield RJ, Conaghan PG, et al. A diagnostic algorithm for persistence of very early inflammatory arthritis: the utility of power Doppler ultrasound when added to conventional assessment tools. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:417–9.
- Jones E, Churchman SM, English A, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells in rheumatoid synovium: enumeration and functional assessment in relation to synovial inflammation level. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:450–7.
- Alten RE, Zerbini C, Jeka S, et al. Efficacy and safety of pamapimod in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis receiving stable methotrexate therapy. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:364

 –7.

- Machold KP, Landewé R, Smolen JS, et al. The Stop Arthritis Very Early (SAVE) trial, an international multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial on glucocorticoids in very early arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:495–502.
- Schoels M, Knevel R, Aletaha D, et al. Evidence for treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: results of a systematic literature search. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:638–43.
- Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Bijlsma JW, et al.; T2T Expert Committee. Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: recommendations of an international task force. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:631–7.
- Burr ML, Naseem H, Hinks A, et al.; BIRAC Consortium; YEAR Consortium. PADI4 genotype is not associated with rheumatoid arthritis in a large UK Caucasian population. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:666–70.
- Emery P, Durez P, Dougados M, et al. Impact of T-cell costimulation modulation in patients with undifferentiated inflammatory arthritis or very early rheumatoid arthritis: a clinical and imaging study of abatacept (the ADJUST trial). Ann Rheum Dis 2010:69:510–16.
- Bennett AN, Rehman A, Hensor EM, et al. The fatty Romanus lesion: a noninflammatory spinal MRI lesion specific for axial spondyloarthropathy. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:891–4.
- Nam JL, Winthrop KL, van Vollenhoven RF, et al. Current evidence for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: a systematic literature review informing the EULAR recommendations for the management of RA. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:976–86.
- Smolen JS, Landewé R, Breedveld FC, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:964–75.
- Tan RJ, Gibbons LJ, Potter C, et al.; BRAGGSS. Investigation of rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility genes identifies association of AFF3 and CD226 variants with response to anti-tumour necrosis factor treatment. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1029–35.
- Robinson JI, Barrett JH, Taylor JC, et al.; YEAR Consortium; BRAGGSS. Dissection of the FCGR3A association with RA: increased association in men and with autoantibody positive disease. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1054–7.
- Cohen SB, Keystone E, Genovese MC, et al. Continued inhibition of structural damage over 2 years in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with rituximab in combination with methotrexate. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69:1158–61.
- Haugeberg G, Bennett AN, McGonagle D, et al. Bone loss in very early inflammatory back pain in undifferentiated spondyloarthropathy: a 1-year observational study. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1364–6.
- Schoels M, Aletaha D, Smolen JS, et al. Follow-up standards and treatment targets in rheumatoid arthritis: results of a questionnaire at the EULAR 2008. Ann Rheum Dis 2010:69:575–8.
- Eyre S, Flynn E, Martin P, et al. No evidence for association of the KLF12 gene with rheumatoid arthritis in a large UK cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1407–8.
- Eyre S, Hinks A, Flynn E, et al. Confirmation of association of the REL locus with rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility in the UK population. Ann Rheum Dis 2010:69:1572–3.
- Orozco G, Eyre S, Hinks A, et al.; Wellcome Trust Case Control consortium YEAR Consortium. Association of CD40 with rheumatoid arthritis confirmed in a large UK case-control study. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:813–16.
- Emery P, Durez P, Dougados M, et al. Impact of T-cell costimulation modulation in patients with undifferentiated inflammatory arthritis or very early rheumatoid arthritis: a clinical and imaging study of abatacept (the ADJUST trial). Ann Rheum Dis 2010: 69:510–16
- Saleem B, Keen H, Goeb V, et al. Patients with RA in remission on TNF blockers: when and in whom can TNF blocker therapy be stopped? Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1636–42.
- Barkham N, Coates LC, Keen H, et al. Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of etanercept in the prevention of work disability in ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2010:69:1926–8.
- Emery P, Deodhar A, Rigby WF, et al. Efficacy and safety of different doses and retreatment of rituximab: a randomised, placebo-controlled trial in patients who are biological naive with active rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to methotrexate (Study Evaluating Rituximab's Efficacy in MTX iNadequate rEsponders (SERENE)). Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1629–35.
- Dixon WG, Hyrich KL, Watson KD, et al.; BSRBR Control Centre Consortium; British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. Influence of anti-TNF therapy on mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis-associated interstitial lung disease: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1086–91.

Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:1519. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-70-08