Anakinra treatment for systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis and adult onset Still disease
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Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (sJIA) is a distinct clinical spectrum of illnesses compared to other types of chronic arthritis in children. Typically, the clinical features include the characteristic quotidian fevers, evanescent rashes, serositis, lymphadenopathy and hepatosplenomegaly in addition to persistent and destructive arthritis at the severe end of the spectrum. The children also appear to be more prone to macrophage activation syndrome/secondary histiolymphoctytic haemopagocytosis (HLH). It is generally acknowledged that a similar disease occurs rarely in adults: adult onset Still disease (AOSD). However, the classical classifications for each were developed separately and are different from each other. The Yamaguchi AOSD criteria are less precise in the exclusions and are able to include a wider spectrum of clinical manifestations as compared to the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) classification of sJIA.

The pathogenesis of sJIA is still the subject of much research and the first reports of the role of interleukin (IL)-1 in sJIA by Verbsky et al. and Pascual et al. have raised the hope that both the pathogenesis and treatment of this disease are finally being elucidated. Similarly in AOSD, Fuji et al. and Fitzgerald et al. found success in treating AOSD with anakinra, a recombinant form of the IL-1 receptor antagonist. The paper by Lequerre et al. in this issue (see page 302) supports the anecdotal reports at scientific meetings of anakinra treatment failures in sJIA, as well as the dramatic benefit anakinra produced in responders.

In this report, the authors performed a prospective study among paediatric rheumatologists and rheumatologists to assess the efficacy and safety of anakinra given to 20 sJIA and 15 AOSD patients. The response criteria are standard ones now used for all therapeutic trials, but they are different for the two groups: ACR pedi 30 (American College of Rheumatology 30% improvement (pediatric) of a composite score) for JIA and ACR 20 (20% improvement in an adult orientated composite score) for the adults. Their results are interesting in that there appears to be a dichotomy between the two groups in terms of the percentage of complete responders (4/20 sJIA vs 9/15 AOSD), and non-responders (10/20 sJIA vs 2/15 AOSD). Complete response is dramatic in both groups. However, a further five sJIA patients had a partial response and steroid dose was tapered. The anakinra dosages for the sJIA patients were not the same (1–2 mg/kg variation), and it could be argued that this response rate is due to the pharmacodynamics not being optimal in some of these children. The concomitant disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) use also may affect the general outcome as the authors suggested in the discussion. However, these factors may not be the whole answer in that body size and DMARD use are not particularly different in the complete response cohort vs the non-responder cohort. However, the numbers are small in this sort of analysis of subgroups, and any conclusions can only be undertaken with caution. In two sJIA patients another phenomenon was seen: the decrease of efficacy with time, whereas this was not seen in the AOSD cohort.

So what have we learnt from this paper? The first issue is whether there is a real difference in phenotype between AOSD and sJIA, and so affecting the response rate to anakinra. Although the spectra of clinical symptoms are similar, there is the clear difference of the age of onset, which may be related more to genetic factors. This paper suggests that there may be a difference in the response rate to anakinra between the two groups but the classification criteria as well as the measurement of outcome are similar but not the same. So these variations may be artificial. Harmonising of classification and outcome criteria would be useful in future in comparisons of this sort so that differences in response rate would be more informative in terms of pathology as well as the efficacy of the drug.

Despite the small numbers in each group, there are reports of infections, which may or may not be directly due to anakinra. Since this drug is used off licence in many units where conventional therapies have failed, there should be close monitoring during treatment. A recent report of “severe systemic inflammatory response syndrome” to anakinra in an AOSD patient also raises the possibility of this adverse event in children. For both groups of patients, phase II and III studies are needed to assess efficacy and safety, which unfortunately is not likely to be carried out in the case of anakinra. The rheumatology community should ensure that these are carried out for newer biologics that block IL-1 signalling.

There is much evidence clinically as well as genetically that sJIA represent a spectrum of illnesses from mild to very severe. The variable response to the use of IL-1 signal blockade with anakinra supports the notion that there is a clinical as well as a pathological spectrum. The blockade of IL-1 signalling has a dramatic and sustained effect in some patients (the complete responders), with cessation of symptoms and significant decrease of acute phase markers. The large group of partial responders and non-responders are suggestive of pathological processes that are independent of the IL-1 pathway, rather than just a difference in pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics. These response rates are in a way reminiscent of the use of anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF) in sJIA, reported by one of the authors of the paper under discussion in this issue. Blockade of IL-6 signalling however, has been reported in phase II studies with much better response rates. This response rate is likely to be due to the fact that IL-6 is able to be stimulated by IL-1 and TNF, and so blockade of IL-6 will take care of processes that come mainly from the IL-1 or TNF pathways, as well other sources of stimulation of IL-6 in this disease. Much has already been written about the importance of IL-6 in the pathogenesis of sJIA. In order to achieve complete response in most if not all of the patient with therapy, a different approach to current drug trial design is necessary. Not only statistical power should be achieved by a multi-centre study, which is multi-ethnic, but also account must be taken of genetic factors, which inevitably
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have a part to play in the clinical phenotypes as well as drug response. Thus, comprehensive whole genome variation analysis is a very important way forward to characterise the pathogenesis as well as drug response and should be performed in parallel with gene expression/protein profiling in future biological drug trials in sJIA.
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