Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) has been defined as a unique inflammatory arthritis associated with psoriasis. Its exact prevalence is unknown, but estimates vary from 0.3% to 1% of the population. The clinical features described initially are recognised by most experienced clinicians, although they are most distinct in early disease. Initially, PsA typically presents as an oligoarthritis and mild disease. However, with time PsA becomes polyarticular, and it is a severe disease in at least 20% of patients. Patients with PsA who present with polyarticular disease are at risk for disease progression. In addition to progression of clinical and radiological damage, health-related quality of life is reduced among patients with PsA. It is important to note that patients included in recent drug trials resemble patients followed prospectively in a clinic.

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) has been defined as an inflammatory arthritis, usually seronegative, associated with psoriasis. It emerged as a clinical entity separate from rheumatoid arthritis (RA) following the discovery of the rheumatoid factor in 1948 and the observations of the late Professor Verna Wright of Leeds, UK. Even though the identity of a specific form of arthritis associated with psoriasis has been questioned, epidemiological evidence strongly supports the existence of PsA.

**EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE FOR PsA**

The prevalence of psoriasis among patients with arthritis in the general population is 2–3%, but among patients with arthritis it is 7%. Inflammatory arthritis occurs in 2–3% of the general population, but among patients with psoriasis the prevalence of inflammatory arthritis varies from 6% to 42%. Thus, there is a distinct increase in the prevalence of inflammatory arthritis with varied patterns of presentation that are associated with psoriasis. The genetic epidemiology of PsA also supports its unique status. PsA has been associated with human leucocyte antigen (HLA) class 1 alleles as opposed to the class 2 alleles associated with RA.

The exact prevalence of PsA is unknown and its estimation has been difficult, partly due to the lack of a widely accepted classification or diagnostic criteria, and partly due to the fact that even experts may fail to make the correct diagnosis.

Estimated prevalence rates vary from 0.04% in the Faroe Islands to 0.1% in a study from the Mayo Clinic. The reported incidence of PsA has varied from 3.4 to 8 per 100 000. It may very well be that these are underestimates. As described above, although the prevalence of psoriasis has been estimated between 2% and 3%, the estimated prevalence of inflammatory arthritis among patients with psoriasis has varied widely from 6% to 42%. A recent study from Sweden suggests that PsA occurs in 30% of patients with psoriasis. Similarly a study of patients attending a psoriasis clinic identified 31% as having PsA. If this prevalence is correct, the prevalence of PsA in the general population should be close to 1%. Once the CIASSification of Psoriatic ARthritis (CIASPAR) group arrives at a valid set of diagnostic/classification criteria, a proper prospective epidemiological study can be performed to determine accurately the prevalence of the disease.

**CLINICAL FEATURES OF PsA**

Wright identified five clinical patterns among patients with PsA: distal predominant pattern, oligoarticular asymmetrical, polyarticular RA-like, spondylitis, and arthritis mutilans. The exact frequency of the patterns has varied even in Wright’s publications. Moll and Wright’s seminal paper identified the majority of PsA patients as having oligoarthritis. Over the past four decades, a number of studies have been published confirming the varied clinical patterns seen in PsA. The frequency of distribution of the patterns has varied, partly because different definitions might have been used by individual investigators, and partly due to the fact that the patterns likely change over time, such that with longer duration of PsA, patients tend to develop the polyarticular pattern (table 1). Thus these patterns are likely more relevant at disease onset and may not be helpful in the classification of PsA when the disease is already established.

Several clinical features help distinguish PsA from RA. Although RA is more common in women, PsA occurs just as frequently in both sexes. The specific clinical features include the common involvement of distal joints in PsA. The joint distribution tends to occur in a ray pattern in PsA so that all the joints of a single digit are more likely to get affected than the same joints on both sides, which is typical of RA. This may explain the tendency to asymmetry that occurs even in the polyarticular disease in PsA. The degree of erythema over affected joints, the presence of spinal involvement, the presence of enthesitis, and lower level of tenderness are also typical features of PsA. Although the level of tenderness detected by dolorimetry is clearly different between patients with PsA and those with RA, the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) scores identified in clinical trials are similar. It is possible that the presence of enthesitis makes a difference.

Extra-articular manifestations of PsA are also different from those of RA. In particular, rheumatoid nodules are absent. Rheumatoid factor, which is detected in more than 80% of patients with RA may be detected in about 13% of patients with PsA. The deformities that result from PsA lead to shortening of digits because of severe joint or bone lysis, with the most severe form being the telescoping of digits. Bony fusion of joints may also occur in PsA. These changes are seen in radiographs as the classic pencil in cup and ankylosis, respectively. PsA is associated with perioseal
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reaction, enthesitis, and spinal involvement. A typical clinical feature of PsA is dactylitis, inflammation of an entire digit, likely from inflammation affecting both the joints and the tendons. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans have demonstrated that both tenosynovitis and synovitis contribute to the clinical picture of dactylitis.

PsA is classified with the spondyloarthopathies because of the presence of spondylitis in up to 40% of patients, the occurrence of extra-articular features common to the spondyloarthopathies (mucous membrane lesions, iritis, urethritis, diarrhoea, aortic root dilatation), and association with HLA-B27. PsA may be distinguished from the other spondyloarthopathies (mucous membrane lesions, iritis, urethritis, diarrhoea, aortic root dilatation), and association with HLA-B27. PsA may be distinguished from the other spondyloarthopathies by the presence of peripheral arthritis, asymmetrical distribution of the spinal involvement (both sacroiliac joints and syndesmophytes), lower level of pain, and limitation of movement.

Although by definition, all patients with PsA must have psoriasis, and the arthritis may precede the psoriasis by many years, the common scenario is that psoriasis has its onset 10 years before PsA. Nail lesions are very common and help distinguish between patients who have PsA and those who have RA, and between patients with psoriasis who have arthritis and those who do not have arthritis. Nail lesions occur in about 40–45% of patients with psoriasis uncomplicated by arthritis and about 87% of patients with PsA. While psoriasis and RA may coexist with a prevalence of 3:10000, it appears that the presence of 20 nail pits distinguishes patients with PsA from those with RA and psoriasis.

### Clinical features of PsA in observational cohorts and clinical trials

Since PsA presents in different patterns, how do patients included in longitudinal observational cohorts compare with those included in drug trials? Some of the drug trials have included patients with five or more swollen joints, whereas others have included patients with three or more swollen joints. Table 2 presents a comparison of the clinical features noted among patients followed prospectively in a longitudinal clinic with those reported in recent drug trials. Generally, the ages at onset and presentation are similar and sex distribution and the numbers of tender and swollen joints are similar in the longitudinal cohort and the drug trials.

### COURSE OF PsA

Moll and Wright’s description of PsA suggested that the disease was less severe than that seen in RA. However, over the past two decades it has become clear that PsA is much more aggressive than previously thought. About 20% of the patients develop a very destructive disabling form of arthritis. Over time there is clinically active arthritis such that by the time patients have been followed for more than 10 years, 55% have five or more deformed joints. A recent study of early onset PsA showed that within two years of onset, 47% of patients demonstrated at least one erosion. This rate is in keeping with previous observations that 67% of patients seen in PsA clinics had evidence of erosive disease. One study identified clinical predictors for progression of clinical

### Table 1 A comparison of the published series of patients with PsA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>67/101</td>
<td>47/53</td>
<td>29/33</td>
<td>101/16</td>
<td>32/18</td>
<td>99/81</td>
<td>59/41</td>
<td>43/57</td>
<td>35/33</td>
<td>37/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (years)</td>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>33–45</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoarthritis (%)</td>
<td>23/54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyarthritis (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal (%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back (%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutilans (%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joints before skin (%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Comparison of features from longitudinal clinic data and drug trials of psoriatic arthritis (PsA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>PsA Clinic*²</th>
<th>Trials Sulfasalazine*²</th>
<th>Leflunomide*²</th>
<th>Etanercept 2*²</th>
<th>Etanercept 3*²</th>
<th>Infliximab 2*²</th>
<th>Infliximab 3*²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>364/286</td>
<td>169/51</td>
<td>112/78</td>
<td>34/26</td>
<td>105/100</td>
<td>60/104</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of psoriasis</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of arthritis</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first visit (years)</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of tender joints</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of swollen joints</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylitis</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylitis</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute phase reactants and health status</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Updated information from the University of Toronto PsA Clinic.

CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ, Health Assessment Questionnaire; NA, not available.
damage.³⁷ Progression was defined by the change in clinical damage state (state 1 reflects no damaged joints; state 2, one to four damaged joints; state 3, five to nine damaged joints; stage 4, greater than 10 such joints). Predictors for progression included five or more swollen joints and a high medication level at presentation to the clinic, particularly use of steroids. A low sedimentation rate at presentation to clinic was noted to be “protective”.³⁷ In another study from a different centre, polyarticular onset predicted not only the development of clinical deformities but also erosive disease.⁴⁰

HLA antigens have also been found to be predictive of progression of clinical damage. HLA-B22 was protective; HLA-B27 in the presence of HLA-DR7, HLA-B39, and HLA-DRB1*0101 of HLA-DR7, were predictive of subsequent damage.⁴¹ The authors found at follow up that for each actively inflamed joint (tender and/or swollen), there was a 4% risk of increased damage at the next visit, which was six months later.⁴² Thus, if a patient had 20 actively inflamed joints (which is what was found in the clinical trials), there was an 80% chance of progression of damage from the beginning to the end of the trial. Females tend to progress more than males, and current damage is predictive of future damage.

The severity of PsA is reflected not only in the accrual of joint damage but also in increased mortality. Patients with PsA are at an increased risk for death with a standardised mortality ratio of 1.62.⁴³ The causes of death are similar to those noted in the general population, with cardiovascular causes being the commonest. The risk for premature death is related to previously active and severe disease, the level of medication, the presence of erosive disease, and a high sedimentation rate at presentation to clinic.⁴⁴ This study was based on a cohort of patients with PsA followed prospectively over close to 20 years. A review of PsA patients identified through an administrative database described the disease as mild, and there were no deaths.⁴⁵

One study showed patients with PsA had similar radiological damage as patients with RA, suggesting that the disease may be just as destructive radiologically.⁴⁶ Another study suggested that the radiological changes are not quite as severe.⁴⁷ It has been reported that there is a group of patients who may achieve remission, defined as no actively inflamed joints for a period of 12 months.⁴⁸ These tend to be men with PsA who may achieve remission, defined as no actively inflamed joints and distribution of inflammatory joint diseases in a defined population: results from the Kuopio 2000 arthritis survey.¹²

Recently a specific quality of life instrument for PsA was developed.⁵³ Whether this instrument functions better than the HAQ or SF-36, in terms of identifying issues specific to PsA and sensitivity to change, remains to be determined.

SUMMARY

PsA may be more common than previously described. In addition, the burden of disease is demonstrated both in terms of progression of clinical and radiological damage, and in terms of quality of life and functional status of these patients. Moreover, patients with PsA are at an increased risk of death, which is related to the severity of their disease.

Authors’ affiliations

D D Gladman, University of Toronto, Toronto Western Research Institute, Psoriatic Arthritis Program, University Health Network, Centre for Prognosis Studies in the Rheumatic Diseases, Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

C Antoni, Department of Medicine-3, Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

P Mease, Seattle Rheumatology Associates; Rheumatology Clinical Research, Swedish Hospital Medical Center; University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA

D O Clegg, Rheumatology Section, Salt Lake City Veterans Health Care System, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

P Nash, Rheumatology Research Unit, Nambour Hospital, Sunshine Coast; and Department of Medicine, University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia

Correspondence to: Dr D Gladman, Toronto Western Hospital, 399 Bathurst St ECW 5-034B, Toronto, Ontario, MST 2SB, Canada; dafna.gladman@utoronto.ca

REFERENCES


www.annrheumdis.com
Psoriatic arthritis: epidemiology, clinical features, course, and outcome

Psoriatic arthritis: epidemiology, clinical features, course, and outcome

D D Gladman, C Antoni, P Mease, D O Clegg and P Nash

Ann Rheum Dis 2005 64: ii14-ii17
doi: 10.1136/ard.2004.032482

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://ard.bmj.com/content/64/suppl_2/ii14

These include:

References
This article cites 45 articles, 12 of which you can access for free at:
http://ard.bmj.com/content/64/suppl_2/ii14#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/