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EXTENDED REPORT

Objective: To investigate if there are differences in radiological findings in lumbosacral spine radiographs between different subgroups of patients with previous reactive arthritis.

Methods: 95 patients with previous enteroarthritis (n = 53), uroarthritis (n = 37), or Reiter’s syndrome, aetiology unknown (n = 5) were included in the study. Lumbosacral radiographs were taken 7 to 38 years after the initial arthritis. Three independent observers read the radiographs. Spinal changes included squaring of vertebrae, Romanus lesions, syndesmophytes, and osteophytes. Sacroiliitis was recorded according to the New York and Stoke methods. Signs of enthesitis in the iliac crest and disc space narrowing were recorded. Interobserver reliability and intraobserver reproducibility were determined.

Results: 23% of patients had grade 2–4 sacroiliitis (New York criteria) and 14% had syndesmophytes. There was more frequent sacroiliitis (32% v 13%) in uroarthritis than in enteroarthritis, and more syndesmophytes (mean 0.54 v 0.15 per patient; prevalence 24% v 6%, respectively). In other radiological features, no significant differences were present between the groups. In the material as a whole, patients with sacroiliitis of grade 2 or more had significantly less disc space narrowing both in patient comparisons (χ² test) and in numbers of spaces involved [Mann-Whitney test]. Interobserver and intraobserver agreement, calculated using Cohen’s k method, varied from 0.2 to 1.

Conclusions: Syndesmophytes and sacroiliitis are more common in patients with previous uroarthritis than in those with previous enteroarthritis, but radiological findings in lumbosacral spine radiographs are characteristically similar.

Reactive arthritis is a subgroup of the spondylarthropathies, other members of which are ankylosing spondylitis, arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease, psoriatic arthritis, and undifferentiated spondylarthropathy. Reactive arthritis is described as a sterile arthritis occurring after a primary infection remote from the joint at another site such as the gastrointestinal or urogenital tract. Several criteria for diagnosing reactive arthritis have been proposed, but at present there is no general agreement on their use.

Reactive arthritis is classically divided into uroarthritis and enteroarthritis, following urogenital and enteral infection, respectively. The most common pathogens underlying enteritis are yersinia, salmonella, campylobacter, and shigella species, and for uroarthritis Chlamydia trachomatis. Spondylarthropathies differ in the radiological appearances in the spine. In 1974, McEwen et al. made a distinction in the radiological characteristics of the spine between so called category 1 (ankylosing spondylitis and spondylarthropathy associated with inflammatory bowel disease) and category 2 (Reiter’s disease and psoriatic arthritis). Later on, Hellwell et al. confirmed some but not all of these differences. They confirmed the asymmetry of syndesmophytes, the less severe changes, and the distinctive form of the syndesmophytes in psoriatic arthritis compared with ankylosing spondylitis and spondylarthropathy associated with inflammatory bowel disease. However, the reactive arthritis subgroup in this later study was so small that definitive conclusions about this form of arthritis in relation to other subgroups could not be drawn. The most commonly affected segments of the spine in Reiter’s disease are the lower dorsal and upper lumbar regions.

Radiography is still the standard for diagnosing chronic sacroiliitis. Radiological signs of spondylarthropathy (syndesmophytes or sacroiliitis) are observed in 10–30% of patients with previous reactive arthritis. Our aim in this study was to determine whether the proportions of types of syndesmophytes and the characteristics of other spondylarthropathy related signs, such as sacroiliitis, differ between uroarthritis and enteroarthritis in lumbosacral radiographs of patients with previous definite reactive arthritis.

METHODS

Patients

The material of this study included radiographs of 95 Finnish patients with diagnosis of reactive arthritis or Reiter’s syndrome. Patients were treated in the Department of Medicine at Helsinki University Central Hospital. The acute phase of reactive arthritis or Reiter’s syndrome began in 1955 to 1986. A follow up study with lumbosacral radiographs was carried out in 1991 to 1995. The average age of the patients at the time of radiography was 48.7 years (range 30 to 86). There were 60 men and 35 women in the study. The patients were grouped into one of three categories: enteroarthritis, uroarthritis, or Reiter’s syndrome of unknown aetiology. The enteroarthritis group (n = 53) consisted of 46 patients with yersinia, five with salmonella, and two with enteritis of unknown aetiology as the triggering infection. The uroarthritis group (n = 37) consisted of 13 patients with Chlamydia trachomatis, 16 with non-gonococcal urethritis, six with gonococcal urethritis, and two with prostatitis of unknown aetiology as the triggering infection. The uroarthritis group (n = 37) consisted of 13 patients with Chlamydia trachomatis, 16 with non-gonococcal urethritis, six with gonococcal urethritis, and two with prostatitis of unknown aetiology as the triggering infection. Five patients who had complete or incomplete Reiter’s syndrome but no known preceding infection formed the aetiology unknown Reiter’s syndrome group. HLA-B27 status was available for 89 patients. All patients had a typical clinical picture of reactive arthritis. The diagnosis of Chlamydia trachomatis was based on urethral/cervical culture or serology, or both, by immunofluorescence; diagnosis of gonococcus was based on urethral/cervical culture; and diagnosis of yersinia and salmonella was based on stool culture or serology, or both.
For patients with negative cultures and serology, a history of preceding symptomatic urological infection or gastroenteritis was applied in classifying patients into the enteroarthritis or uroarthritis groups. Patients had no clinical or microbiological evidence of purulent arthritis, and we excluded other rheumatic diseases according to routine clinical practice. The general characteristics of the groups are described in table 1. The study is a part of the long term outcome study of reactive arthritis. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Helsinki University Central Hospital.

**METHODS**

Conventional lumbosacral plain radiography with anteroposterior and lateral projections was used. The lumbosacral radiographs were read by three independent observers in 2002. Observers did not know the reactive arthritis subgroup or the clinical features of the patients. Two of the observers were radiologists (MH and JP) and one an orientated general practitioner (AM). A structured form was used in interpreting the radiographs. Before reading the radiographs, observers participated in a common session where typical findings were discussed and the use of the form was practised with an experienced radiologist (LL). A preliminary reading of the material from 10 patients was carried out, followed by a practical session with advice on filling out the form. After first reading the entire material, radiographs of 20 randomly selected patients were read again in 2003 by the same three observers. The symmetrical anterior and posterior syndesmophytes was not determined. In the analysis, the grade of a syndesmophyte was determined as a rounded average of grades of the observers.

Sacroiliitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Osteophytes were not graded. In the analysis, the grade of a syndesmophyte was determined as a rounded average of grades of the observers. Syndesmophytes were considered as bilaterally asymmetrical when a difference was found in the type or grade of lateral syndesmophyte at the same level. The symmetry of anterior and posterior syndesmophytes was not determined. In the analysis a radiological sign was considered to be present when at least two of the observers noticed it.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Spinal changes were assessed from T12 to S1. Squaring of vertebrae and Romanus lesions were interpreted with regard to vertebrae, and, in the case of Romanus lesions, also the upper anterior and lower anterior corners of the vertebrae. In later analysis, these data were converted to either the presence or the absence of these signs in a patient.

Marginal and non-marginal syndesmophytes and osteophytes were recorded with regard to every intervertebral space so that location could be anterior, right lateral, left lateral, or posterior. For any given location no more than one of these three options (non-marginal/marginal syndesmophytes and osteophytes) could be recorded. Syndesmophytes were graded from 1 to 3. Grade 1 was used when a syndesmophyte did not reach over the intervertebral border of a vertebra; grade 2 when a syndesmophyte reached over the border but was not bridging; and grade 3 when there was a bridging syndesmophyte. Osteophytes were not graded. In the analysis, the grade of a syndesmophyte was determined as a rounded average of grades of the observers.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.

Sacroilitis was assessed using both the modified New York and the Stoke methods. In case of disagreement between the three readers, a majority decision was reached. In further analysis, sacroilitis was grouped either as grades 0–1 and 2–4, or as grades 0–2 and 3–4.
for small groups when needed) or the Mann–Whitney U test. Interobserver reliability and intraobserver reproducibility were calculated using Cohen’s κ method. The cut off point for statistical significance was \( p < 0.05 \). In all calculations, SPSS version 11.0 statistical software was used.

RESULTS

No significant differences in the average age of patients during acute reactive arthritis, in the duration of follow up, in the total number of arthritic attacks, or in HLA-B27 positivity were found between the uroarthritis, enterointeritis, and aetiology unknown Reiter’s syndrome groups. The proportion of male patients in the uroarthritis and Reiter’s syndrome groups was significantly higher than in the enterointeritis group.

Syndesmophytes were more commonly present in the uroarthritis group (24%) than in the enterointeritis group (6%, \( p = 0.010 \)). In the uroarthritis group, patients had more syndesmophytes than in the enterointeritis group (\( p = 0.014 \)).

There were no significant differences in marginality/non-marginality, location (anterior, posterior, lateral), symmetry, or mean number of syndesmophytes in patients with syndesmophytes when comparing the groups (table 2). Also the average grade of syndesmophytes was fairly similar. There were no posterior syndesmophytes.

Sacroilitis grades 2–4, using New York criteria, was more common in the uroarthritis group (32%) than in the enterointeritis group (13%, \( p = 0.028 \)). When using Stoke criteria, the difference was not significant but was consistent with the results obtained with the New York criteria. When stricter criteria for sacroilitis were used, there were no significant differences in frequency of grade 3–4 (table 3). No differences were present in unilaterality of sacroilitis.

All the squaring of vertebrae and Romanus lesions were in the enterointeritis group, but there were no significant differences between the groups. The frequency of iliac enthesitis did not differ between the groups (table 3).

The frequency and numbers (data not shown) of osteophytes and disc space narrowing were not significantly different between the main groups. In the material as a whole, patients with sacroilitis by New York or Stoke grades 2–4 or 3–4 had less disc space narrowing than those with grades 0–1 or 0–2 (\( p < 0.05 \)), both in patient comparisons (\( \chi^2 \) test) and in numbers of spaces involved (Mann–Whitney test). The mean (SD) age of patients with sacroilitis grades 2–4 using the New York system (47.8 (7.1) years) was not significantly different from that of patients without sacroilitis grades 2–4 (48.9 (9.2) years), neither were there any differences in mean duration of follow up between patients with sacroilitis grades 2–4 (19.4 (5.0) years) and those without sacroilitis grades 2–4 (18.6 (3.7) years). Analysis by dividing patients into sacroilitis grades 0–2 or 3–4 by New York criteria or applying the Stoke criteria did not change the results (data not shown). The results of radiographic findings are given in tables 2 and 3.

When comparing the subgroups of the three main groups (yersinia, salmonella, chlamydia, gonococcus, non-gonococal urethritis, prostatitis, enterointeritis of unknown aetiology, and Reiter’s syndrome of unknown aetiology), there were no significant differences with respect to sacroilitis, syndesmophytes, iliac enthesitis, Romanus lesions, or squaring of the vertebrae (data not shown).

One of the patients in the gonococcal urethritis subgroup had serological evidence and one had culture based evidence of simultaneous chlamydial infection. If other gonococcal urethritis cases were omitted from the comparison between the uroarthritis and enterointeritis groups, the statistical significance of the difference in the presence of syndesmophytes, grades 2–4 New York sacroilitis, or the number of syndesmophytes did not change (data not shown).

Interobserver reliability and intraobserver reproducibility varied from 0.2 to 1 (table 4).

DISCUSSION

A mean of 19 years after acute reactive arthritis, 14% of patients had syndesmophytes in lumbosacral spine radiographs and 14–15% had grade 3–4 sacroilitis. This study supports the view that the reactive arthritides are uniform in the character of the radiological findings but that there are differences in the frequency of certain signs between the uroarthritis and enterointeritis groups. In patients with

### Table 3 Sacroilitis and iliac enthesitis in the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enterointeritis (n = 53)</th>
<th>Uroarthritis (n = 37)</th>
<th>Reiter’s syndrome, aetiology unknown (n = 5)</th>
<th>Total (n = 95)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (NY) grade 2–4 (%)</td>
<td>7 (13)*</td>
<td>12 (32)*</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
<td>22 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (Stoke) grade 2–4 (%)</td>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>11 (30)</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
<td>22 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (NY) grade 3–4 (%)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
<td>14 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (Stoke) grade 3–4 (%)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
<td>13 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral sacroilitis (NY) grade 2–4 (%)</td>
<td>4/12 (33)</td>
<td>1/12 (8)</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
<td>5/22 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral sacroilitis (Stoke) grade 2–4 (%)</td>
<td>3/8 (38)</td>
<td>3/11 (27)</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
<td>6/22 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac enthesitis (%)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between groups (\( p < 0.05 \)).

NY, New York criteria for sacroilitis; Stoke, Stoke criteria for sacroilitis.

### Table 4 Interobserver reliability and intraobserver reproducibility with regard to radiological signs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interobserver A B</th>
<th>Interobserver A C</th>
<th>Interobserver B C</th>
<th>Intraobserver A</th>
<th>Intraobserver B</th>
<th>Intraobserver C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syndesmophytes and osteophytes (marginal/non-marginal/osteophyte)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (NY) grade 2–4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (Stoke) grade 2–4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (NY) grade 3–4</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacroilitis (Stoke) grade 3–4</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac enthesitis</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc space narrowing</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NY, New York criteria for sacroilitis; Stoke, Stoke criteria for sacroilitis.
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The association between grade 2–4 and grade 3–4 sacroiliitis has been reported to be protective against disc space narrowing. This relation may be associated with mechanical factors, such as the influence of inflammatory spinal disease on posture, as well as with genetic factors.

Conclusions

The lumbosacral radiographic features in patients with previous uroarthritis or enteroarthritis are characteristically similar, but in uroarthritis there are more syndesmophytes (both in overall incidence and in the numbers present) and more sacroilitis. In the future, we anticipate that more sophisticated imaging methods (such as magnetic resonance imaging) will shed further light on this subject.
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Previous uroarthritis, syndesmophytes and sacroilitis were found to be more common than in enteroarthritis. This difference reflects a worse prognosis and more frequent relapses of uroarthritis in this comparison and is in accordance with other studies.

Before the start of the study, we hypothesised that non-marginal syndesmophytes would be more common in Chlamydia trachomatis triggered arthritis and in uroarthritis than in enteroarthritis. This was not confirmed, and our result suggests that the course of enteroarthritis and uroarthritis is very similar. In patients with syndesmophytes, the average number per patient and the average grade of syndesmophytes were also similar. Osteophytes were analysed in our study because it is sometimes hard to distinguish between syndesmophytes (especially non-marginal) and osteophytes. The distribution of the number and the frequency of occurrence were similar between the groups, suggesting that osteophytes did not introduce major bias into the study.

Assessment of agreement when interpreting enthesophytes (syndesmophytes and osteophytes) has not been common in earlier studies. In one study, interobserver and intraobserver agreement by radiologists in the presence of bridging syndesmophytes with regard to intervertebral spaces in the lumbar spine varied between 0.19 and 0.66. The $k$ values are not directly comparable with ours (interobserver agreement 0.39 to 0.51 and intraobserver agreement 0.67 to 0.76) because of differences in methods and definitions.

The grading of sacroiliac joints is considered difficult, and the $k$ statistics to express intraobserver and interobserver variation have ranged from 0.07 to 1.0 and from 0.19 to 0.79, respectively. In the analysis of this study, the original grading was divided dichotomously, with a cut off point between grades 1 and 2 or 2 and 3. This was done to enable us to take a majority decision with regard to the sacroiliac joint when there was a disagreement. Only independent blind readings were taken into account and reconciliation of this disagreement was necessary before the majority decision was made. In our material the agreement was divided dichotomously, with a cut off point between grades 1 and 2 or 2 and 3. This was done to enable us to take a majority decision with regard to the sacroiliac joint when there was a disagreement. Only independent blind readings were taken into account and reconciliation of this disagreement was necessary before the majority decision was made.
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The grading of sacroiliac joints is considered difficult, and the $k$ statistics to express intraobserver and interobserver agreement have ranged from 0.07 to 1.0 and from 0.19 to 0.79, respectively. In the analysis of this study, the original grading was divided dichotomously, with a cut off point between grades 1 and 2 or 2 and 3. This was done to enable us to take a majority decision with regard to the sacroiliac joint when there was a disagreement. Only independent blind readings were taken into account and reconciliation of this disagreement was necessary before the majority decision was made. In our material the agreement was divided dichotomously, with a cut off point between grades 1 and 2 or 2 and 3. This was done to enable us to take a majority decision with regard to the sacroiliac joint when there was a disagreement. Only independent blind readings were taken into account and reconciliation of this disagreement was necessary before the majority decision was made.
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